

485.28

G. 45

CONCORDIA LIBRARY

BRONXVILLE, N. Y.

From the professional library
of **Dr. E. G. SIHLER,**

Professor of Latin

Language and Literature,

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

1892-1923

E. G. Sihler
Fellow in classical Philology
of Johns Hopkins
Baltimore.
A. Fox-Wayne-

008



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2025

https://archive.org/details/bwb_S0-EHF-451

G 657

SYNTAX

OF

THE MOODS AND TENSES

OF THE

GREEK VERB.

BY

WILLIAM W. GOODWIN, PH. D.,

ELIOT PROFESSOR OF GREEK LITERATURE IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

FIFTH EDITION, REVISED.

PA
369
.66
1274

BOSTON:
GINN BROTHERS.
1874.

5,716

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1860, by
W. W. GOODWIN,
in the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

UNIVERSITY PRESS: WELCH, BIGELOW, & CO.,
CAMBRIDGE.

P R E F A C E

TO THE SECOND EDITION.

IN the first edition of the present work, published in 1860, I attempted to give a plain and practical statement of the principles which govern the relations of the Greek Moods and Tenses. Although many of these principles were established beyond dispute, there were others (and these often the most elementary) upon which scholars had long held the most opposite opinions. Upon many of these latter points I presented new views, which seemed to me to explain the phenomena of the language more satisfactorily than any that had been advanced. The favorable opinion of scholars has confirmed my belief, that some such attempt as I have made was demanded by the rising standard of classical scholarship in this country, and has given me reason to hope that my labor has not been entirely a thankless one.

The progress in grammatical science in this century has been made step by step, like that in every other science; and so it must long continue to be. He who imagines that every important principle of Greek and Latin syntax is as well understood and as clearly defined as the rules for addition and multiplication in Arithmetic, has not yet begun to learn. It is no disparagement of even the highest scholars, therefore, to say that they have left much of the most important work to be done by their successors.

The vague notions so often expressed on the Greek Moods, even by scholars of otherwise high attainments, are in strange contrast with the accuracy demanded by scientific scholarship in other departments. If the study of language is to retain its present place (or indeed any prominent place) in the mental

discipline of youth, it must be conducted on strictly scientific principles, and above all with scientific *accuracy*. On no other ground can we defend the course of elementary grammatical training, which is the basis of all sound classical scholarship. An elementary grammar should be as short as the best scholar can make it, but it should be as accurate as a chapter in Geometry. To those who cannot appreciate the importance of accuracy in scholarship, or even distinguish it from pedantry, to those who cannot see the superiority of the Greek in this respect over Chinese or Choctaw, it is useless to speak; but surely no scholar can fail to see that an accurate knowledge of the uses of the Greek Verb, with its variety of forms, each expressing its peculiar shade of meaning, must be indispensable to one who would understand the marvellous power of the Greek language to express the nicest distinctions of thought.

One great cause of the obscurity which has prevailed on this subject is the tendency of so many scholars to treat Greek syntax metaphysically rather than by the light of common sense. Since Hermann's application of Kant's *Categories of Modality* to the Greek Moods, this metaphysical tendency has been conspicuous in German grammatical treatises, and has affected many of the grammars used in England and America more than is generally supposed. The result of this is seen not merely in the discovery of hidden meanings which no Greek writer ever dreamed of, but more especially in the invention of nice distinctions between similar or even precisely equivalent expressions. A new era was introduced by Madvig, who has earned the lasting gratitude of scholars by his efforts to restore Greek syntax to the dominion of common sense. Madvig is fully justified in boasting that he was the first to give full and correct statements on such elementary matters as the meaning of the Aorist Optative and Infinitive, and the construction of ὄν and ὡς in *oratio obliqua*; although Professor Sophocles distinctly recognized the same principles in his Grammar, published later in the same year with Madvig's (1847). I can hardly express my great indebtedness to Madvig's *Syntax der griechischen Sprache*, and to his *Bemerkungen über einige Punkte der griechischen Wortfügungslehre* (in a supplement to the *Philologus*, Vol. II.). The works of this eminent scholar have aided

me not only by the material which they have afforded as a basis for the present work, but also by the valuable suggestions with which they abound.

Next to Madvig, I must acknowledge my obligations to Krüger's *Griechische Sprachlehre*, which has everywhere supplied me with important details and most excellent examples. I have been frequently indebted to the other grammarians, who need not be specially mentioned. Bäumlein's *Untersuchungen über die griechischen Modi* reached me after the printing of the first edition was begun. I have often been indebted to his valuable collection of examples, and have derived many hints from his special criticisms; I regret that I cannot agree with the general principles to which he refers the uses of each mood, especially as his criticisms of the prevailing German theories on this subject are most satisfactory and instructive. I am indebted to the personal advice and suggestions of my learned colleague, Professor Sophocles, in the preparation of both editions, for information which no books could have supplied.

I must acknowledge the following special obligations. The notes on the tenses of the Indicative in Chapter II. are based mainly on Krüger, § 53. The chapters on the Infinitive and Participle are derived chiefly from Madvig's *Syntax* (Chapters V. and VI.), and partly from Krüger, § 55, § 56. The note on the Future Optative after *ᾔπας*, &c. (§ 26, Note 1) contains the substance of Madvig's *Bemerkungen*, pp. 27 - 29; and the account of the various constructions that follow verbs of *hindrance* and *prevention* (§ 95, 2 and 3) is based on the same work, pp. 47 - 66. The statement of the principles of indirect discourse (Chapter IV. Section IV.) was written in nearly its present form before Madvig's *Syntax* reached me; and I was strongly confirmed in the views there expressed, by finding that they agreed almost exactly with those of Madvig. I was anticipated by him in my statement of the occasional use of the Present Optative to represent the Imperfect, and in my quotation of DEM. in Onet. I. 869, 12 to illustrate it. I am entirely indebted to him, however, for the statement of the important principle explained in § 74, 2.

It remains to state what new material the present work professes to offer to scholars. The most important and most

radical innovation upon the ordinary system will be found in the classification of conditional sentences (§ 48), with its development in the rules that follow. I have explained the grounds of this classification at some length in the *Proceedings of the American Academy*, Vol. VI. p. 363. and will therefore merely allude to them here. The great difficulty (or rather the impossibility) of defining the force of the Subjunctive in protasis as distinguished from the Present Indicative, has arisen from neglect of the distinction between *particular* and *general* suppositions. When this is recognized, the distinction between the Subjunctive and the Present Indicative is seen to be entirely one of time; whereas all the common distinctions based on *possibility, certainty, &c.* will apply only to select examples, which of course are easily found to illustrate them. In the first edition, I could not persuade myself to abandon the old doctrines so completely as to exclude the common distinction between the Subjunctive and the Optative in protasis,—that the former implies a “prospect of decision,” while the latter does not. Subsequent experience has convinced me that there is no more distinction between ἐὰν τοῦτο ποιῇ and εἰ τοῦτο ποιῶν than between the English *if he shall do this* and *if he should do this*; and I think every one must see that here there is no distinction but that of greater or less vividness of expression. The simple fact that both could be expressed by the Latin *si hoc faciat* is a strong support of this view.

The principles of conditional sentences being first settled, I have attempted to carry out the analogy between these and *conditional relative* sentences more completely. It seems to me that it is only by adopting the classification of conditional sentences which I have given, that the true nature of the analogous relative sentences can be made clear. (See § 60, § 61, § 62.) Upon a right classification of conditional sentences depends also the right understanding of the forms used to express a wish (§ 82, § 83).

The frequent use of the Subjunctive with ἵνα, ὅπως, &c., after past tenses, instead of the Optative, of which I had never seen a satisfactory explanation, is here explained on the principle of *oratio obliqua*. (See § 44, 2; § 77, 2.) The construction of the Infinitive with verbs like χρῆν and ἔδει, forming

an apodosis, is explained in the present edition on a new principle, which (it is hoped) will remove many of the difficulties which the old explanation did not reach. (See § 49, 2, Note 3 and Remarks.) In the first edition, the usual distinction between the constructions that follow $\sigma\upsilon \mu\eta$ was adopted with hesitation, including Elm-ley's punctuation, by which the second person of the Future in prohibitions with $\sigma\upsilon \mu\eta$ is made interrogative. In this edition both constructions are explained more satisfactorily upon the same principle. (See § 89, 1 and 2, with Notes and Remarks.) It is hoped that the new statement of the force of the Perfect Infinitive, in § 18, 3, (a) and (b) of this edition, will meet the difficulties which that tense presents. The statement in the former edition was very defective.

It may seem strange to some that no general definitions of the Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative are attempted in the first chapter. I have rather taken warning from the numerous unsuccessful attempts that have been made to include all the uses of these moods in comprehensive definitions, and have preferred merely to illustrate their various uses by simple examples at the outset, leaving the explanations to their proper place in the book. For one, I am not ashamed to admit that I cannot propose a definition comprehensive enough to include all the examples in § 1, § 2, or § 3, which shall still be limited enough to be called a *definition*.

Besides the special changes already mentioned, the work has been subjected to a thorough revision, so that in many parts the new edition might claim to be an entirely new work.*

* Notwithstanding the changes in the second edition, very few alterations have been made in numbering the paragraphs or notes. The following are the only changes (except a few omissions) which can affect references already made to the first edition: — § 18, 3 is subdivided into (a) and (b); § 18, 3, Rem. takes the place of § 18, 4, Note; § 24, Notes 1 and 2 are rearranged; § 37, Note 2 is omitted, and N. 3 is changed to N. 2; § 45, N. 2 is subdivided into (a) and (b); in § 49, 2, N. 3, the present divisions (c), (d), and (e) were included in (b); § 64, 1 and 2 are rearranged; in § 92, 2, Note 1 is changed to Remark; § 109, N. 9 was included in N. 3.

The following *additions* have been made in the second edition: — § 49, 2, N. 6 (b); § 50, 1, Rem. 2; § 52, 2, Rem.; § 53, N. 4; § 64, Rem. 2; § 65, 3, N. 2; § 65, 4; § 69, 5; § 71, Rem. 2; § 89, 2, Rem. 1 and 2; § 92, 2, N. 1; § 95, 3, Rem.; § 108, N. 4 (b); § 112, 1, Rem.; § 112, 2, Rem.; § 113, Rem. after N. 10. The following have been materially changed in subject or in substance in the second edition: — § 25, 1, N. 5 (b); § 41, N. 4; § 43, Rem.; Remarks 1 and 2 after § 49, 2, N. 3; § 82, Rem. 2; § 89, 2, Notes 1 and 2.

Especially, the collection of examples has been revised and greatly enlarged, with the object of illustrating every variety of each construction from as wide a range of classic authors as possible. An index to these examples (more than 2,300 in number) is added to this edition. This index includes those which are merely cited, as well as those actually quoted, many of the former being quite as important as the latter. In the new edition, the matter printed in the two larger types has been reduced, and made as concise as was consistent with accuracy, while that printed in the smallest type has been greatly increased. It should be understood that only the first-mentioned portion of the work is intended for use as a grammatical text-book, while the notes and remarks in the smallest type are intended only for reference: with this view, the latter are often extended to a greater length than would otherwise be justifiable.

The Dramatists are cited by Dindorf's lines, except the fragments, which follow the numbers in Nauck's edition; Plato, by the pages of Stephanus; and Demosthenes, by Reiske's pages and lines. In the Index to the Examples, however, the sections of Bekker's German editions of Demosthenes have been added in each case, to facilitate reference. Other citations will be easily understood.

CAMBRIDGE, June, 1865.

PREFACE

TO THE FIFTH EDITION.

SINCE the publication of the second edition of this work in 1865, several changes of expression and many corrections have been made, which it is impossible to enumerate in full. In preparing the fourth edition in 1870, and the fifth edition in 1873, the work has been carefully revised; several sections and notes have been rewritten, and some notes have been added. The only changes which can affect references made to the earlier editions (besides those mentioned on page v.) will be found in § 10, 1, Remark; § 11, Note 7; § 18, 1, Note; § 19, Note 6; § 66, 2, Note 3; § 78, Note; and § 114, 2, Note: these have been added since the second edition was printed. Changes of expression and additions will be found in the Remark before § 12; § 18, 1; § 23, 2, Note 3; § 37, 1; § 45, Note 7 (*a*); § 69, 1; § 70, 1; § 86, Note 1 (*b*); § 88, Remark; and § 89, 2, Note 1 and Remark 1; not to mention others of less importance. The most important change made in the fifth edition will be found in the statement of the classification of conditional sentences (§ 48). This has been adopted to make clearer the position of the present and past "general suppositions" which have the subjunctive and optative in Greek (§ 51), as opposed to the present and past "particular suppositions" which have the simple indicative (§ 49, 1). This distinction of these two classes in protasis is a striking peculiarity of Greek syntax; most languages having a single form of expression for both particular and general conditions here, as the Greek has in other kinds of conditions. I cannot state too distinctly, that the chief peculiarity of my classi-

PREFACE.

fication of conditional sentences consists in treating present and past general conditions as closely allied to ordinary present and past conditions (being actually united with them in one class in most languages, and occasionally even in Greek), and as only remotely connected, at least in sense, with the externally similar forms of future conditions which have the subjunctive and optative. This relation is especially obvious when we see that *ἐὰν ποιῇ* as a general supposition is occasionally represented by *εἰ ποιεῖ*, whereas *ἐὰν ποιῇ* in a future condition is equivalent to *εἰ ποιήσῃ* in the indicative. I have explained this at greater length in the *Philologus*, Vol. XXVIII. pp. 741–745 (Göttingen, 1869), and in a paper read before the American Philological Association in July, 1873. The change in § 48 has made necessary slight changes of expression in § 12; § 13, 1; § 20; § 21, 1; § 49, 1; § 51; § 60; § 61, 1; and § 62. An index to the examples which have been added in the later editions is given on page 242.

CAMBRIDGE, September, 1873.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

GENERAL VIEW OF THE MOODS.

	PAGE
§ 1. The five Moods	1
§§ 2-4. Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative	1, 2
§§ 5-7. Imperative, Infinitive, Participle, and Verbal in -τέος	2, 3

CHAPTER II.

USE OF THE TENSES.

§ 8, 1. The seven Tenses	3
2. Primary and Secondary Tenses	3
§ 9. Relative and absolute Time	3

Present and Imperfect.

A. *In the Indicative.*

§ 10, 1. Present Indicative	4
2. Historic Present	6
§ 11. Imperfect	6

B. *Present in the Dependent Moods.*

REM.—Distinction between Present and Aorist	8
§ 12. Present Subjunctive	9
§ 13, 1. Present Optative, not in indirect discourse	10
2. Present Optative in indirect discourse:—	
(a.) Representing a Present Indicative	11
(b.) Representing Pres. Subj. (in questions of doubt)	11
§ 14. Present Imperative	12
§ 15. Present Infinitive:—	
1. In its ordinary use (indefinite in time)	12
2. In indirect discourse	13
3. As Imperfect Infinitive	15
§ 16. Present Participle:—	
1. As Present	16
2. As Imperfect	17

Perfect and Pluperfect.

A. In the Indicative.

§ 17, 1	Perfect Indicative	18
2.	Pluperfect Indicative	18
N. 2.	Compound forms (εἰμί and ἦν with Perf. Part.)	18

B. Perfect in the Dependent Moods.

§ 18.	Relations of the Perfect to the Present	19
1.	Perfect Subjunctive and Optative	20
2.	Perfect Imperative	21
3.	Perfect Infinitive	22, 23
4.	Perfect Participle	23

Aorist.

A. In the Indicative.

§ 19.	Aorist Indicative	24
N. 2.	Distinction between Aorist and Imperfect	24

B. Aorist in the Dependent Moods.

§ 20.	Aorist Subjunctive	26
N. 1.	Aorist Subjunctive as Future Perfect	26, 27
§ 21, 1.	Aorist Optative not in indirect discourse	28
2.	Aorist Optative in indirect discourse:—	
(a.)	Representing an Aorist Indicative	29
(b.)	Representing an interrogative Aor. Subj.	29
§ 22.	Aorist Imperative	30
§ 23.	Aorist Infinitive:—	
1.	In its ordinary use	30
2.	In indirect discourse	32
N. 2.	After verbs of <i>hoping, promising, &c.</i>	32
§ 24.	Aorist Participle	34
N. 1.	With λανθάνω, φθάνω, &c.	34
N. 2.	With περιείδον, ἐπείδον, &c.	35

Future.

§ 25, 1.	Future Indicative	36
N. 5.	Future with force of Imperative	37
N. 6.	Future denoting <i>present</i> intention	37
2.	Periphrastic Future (with μέλλω)	38
§ 26.	Future Optative	38
N. 1.	After ὅπως and μή	39
§ 27.	Future Infinitive	41
N. 1.	Distinction between Future and Present or Aorist	41
N. 2.	Future used for Present or Aorist	41, 42
N. 3.	Future after verbs of <i>hoping, promising, &c.</i>	43
§ 28.	Future Participle	43
§ 29.	Future Perfect	43

N. 3. Expressed by Perfect Participle and ἔσομαι	44
N. 6. In the dependent moods	44

Gnomic and Iterative Tenses.

§ 30, 1. Gnomic Aorist' and Perfect	45
N. 5. Gnomic Aorist in Infinitive and Participle	46, 47
N. 6. Gnomic Perfect in Infinitive	47
2. Iterative Imperfect and Aorist with ἄν	47
NOTES.—Iterative Aorist in -σκον, -σκόμην	47, 48

Dependence of Moods and Tenses.

§ 31, 1-2. General Rules	48
Distinction between <i>Primary</i> and <i>Secondary</i> Tenses:—	
§ 32. In the Indicative	49, 50
§ 33. In the Subjunctive and Imperative	50
§ 34. In the Optative	50-53
§ 35. In the Infinitive and Participle	53, 54

CHAPTER III.

THE PARTICLE ἄΝ.

§ 36, 1-2. Two uses of ἄν	54
§ 37, 1. ἄν not used with the Present and Perfect Indicative	55
2. ἄν with Future Indicative in early Poets	55
N. 1. In Attic Greek (rare)	56
3. ἄν with Secondary Tenses of the Indicative	56
§ 38, 1. ἄν with the Subjunctive in Protasis, &c.	56
2. ἄν with the Subjunctive in Apodosis (Epic)	57
§ 39. ἄν with the Optative	57
§ 40. ἄν not used with the Imperative	57
§ 41. ἄν with the Infinitive and Participle	57-61
1. Present	58
2. Perfect	59
3. Aorist	59
4. Future	60
§ 42, 1-4. Position and repetition of ἄν	61-64

CHAPTER IV.

USE OF THE MOODS.

SECTION I.

FINAL AND OBJECT CLAUSES AFTER ἵνα, ὥς, ὅπως,
ὅφρα, AND μή.

§ 43. Classification	65-67
N. 2. Negative Particle	67

A. *Pure Final Clauses.*

- § 44, 1. Subjunctive and Optative after *ἵνα, ὥς, ὅπως, μή, &c.* . . . 67
 N. 1. Future Indicative after *ὅπως, &c.* . . . 68
 N. 2 and 3. *ἄν* in Final Clauses . . . 68-70
 2. Subjunctive after secondary tenses . . . 70
 3. Secondary tenses of the Indicative after *ἵνα, &c.* . . . 72

B. *Clauses with "Ὅπως and "Ὅπως μή after Verbs of Striving, &c.*

- § 45. Future Indicative, &c. after *ὅπως* . . . 73
 N. 2. Homeric construction after *φράσσομαι, &c.* . . . 76, 77
 N. 7. Elliptical expressions . . . 78, 79
 N. 8 (and foot-note). Dawes's Canon on *ὅπως, &c.* 79, 80

C. *Clauses with μή after Verbs of Fearing, &c.*

- § 46. Subjunctive and Optative after *μή* . . . 80
 N. 1. Future Indicative after *μή* . . . 82
 N. 2. Elliptical expressions . . . 83
 N. 5. Present and past tenses of the Indic. after *μή* 83-85

SECTION II.

CONDITIONAL SENTENCES.

- § 47, 1. Protasis and Apodosis explained . . . 87
 2. *ἄν* or *κέ* in Protasis and Apodosis . . . 87
 3 (and Note). Negative particles . . . 88
 § 48. Classification of Conditional Sentences . . . 88-92

I. *Four Forms of Ordinary Conditional Sentences.*A. *Present and Past Conditions.*

- § 49, 1. Simple Indicative in Protasis and Apodosis . . . 92
 N. 3. Future Indicative expressing *present* intention . . . 93
 2. Secondary tenses of the Indic. (cond. not fulfilled) . . . 93
 N. 2. Omission of *ἄν* in Apodosis . . . 96
 N. 3. Verbs of *necessity, &c.* without *ἄν*, in Apod. 97-100
 N. 6. Homeric Optative for Indicative . . . 101, 102

B. *Future Conditions.*

- § 50, 1. Subjunctive with *εἰάν* in Protasis . . . 102
 N. 1. Future Indicative in Protasis . . . 103
 N. 2. Homeric peculiarities . . . 104
 N. 3. *Εἰ* for *εἰάν* with Subjunctive in Attic (rare) . . . 105
 2. Optative in both Protasis and Apodosis . . . 105
 N. 1. Omission of *ἄν* in Apodosis . . . 106
 N. 2. *ἄν* with Optative in Protasis . . . 107

II. *Present and Past General Suppositions.*

- § 51. Subjunctive and Optative in Protasis . . . 107, 108
 N. 3. Indicative after *εἰ τις* . . . 109

Ellipsis and Substitution in Protasis or Apodosis.

- § 52, 1. Protasis implied in another clause, or expressed in a
 Participle or other word 110
 N. 1 and 2. Ellipsis of Verb of the Protasis 111, 112
 2. Protasis suppressed 112
 N. Optative with *ἄν* like Imperat. or Fut. Ind. 113
 § 53. Apodosis expressed in Infinitive or Participle 113
 NOTES.—Ellipsis of the Apodosis 114–116

Mixed Constructions. — Irregularities.

- § 54, 1–3. Protasis and Apodosis differing in form 116–119
 § 55, 1. Two or more Protases with the same Apodosis 119
 2. Apodosis in a dependent construction 119
 § 56. *Εἰ* after verbs expressing *wonder, indignation, &c.* 120
 § 57. *Δέ* in Apodosis 121

SECTION III.

RELATIVE AND TEMPORAL SENTENCES.

- § 58, 1. Relative and Temporal Words 121
 2. Definite and Indefinite Antecedent 121, 122
 3. Negative particles 122

A. *Relative with a Definite Antecedent.*

- § 59. Indicative after Relative with Definite Antecedent 122
 N. 1. Other constructions 123

B. *Relative with an Indefinite Antecedent.*

- § 60, 1, 2. *Conditional Relative* explained 123–125
 3. *ἄν* in Conditional Relative clauses 125
 § 61. Four forms of Conditional Relative clauses corresponding to the four forms of ordinary protasis 125–129
 1. Simple Indicative (like § 49, 1) 125
 2. Secondary tenses of the Indicative (like § 49, 2) 126
 3. Subjunctive (like § 50, 1) 127
 4. Optative (like § 50, 2) 128
 § 62. Conditional Relative clauses with *general* suppositions:—
 Subjunctive and Optative (like § 51) 129
 N. 1. Indicative in these sentences (after *ὅστις*) 131
 N. 3. Subjunctive in Homeric similes 132
 § 63, 1. Relative without *ἄν*, with the Subjunctive 133
 2, 3, 4. Peculiarities in Cond. Relative clauses 133–135
 5. Parenthetical Relative clauses (*ὅτι ποτ' ἐστίν*) 135

Assimilation in Conditional Relative Clauses.

- § 64, 1. Assimilation after Subjunctive or Optative 135
 2. Assimilation after secondary tenses of Indicative 136

Relative Clauses expressing a Purpose or Result.

- § 65, 1. Future Indicative after Relatives 137

N. 2. Subjunctive and Optative in Homer	138
N. 5. Relative clauses expressing a <i>result</i>	140
2. Future Indicative after ἐφ' ᾧ, ἐφ' ᾧτε	140
3. Indicative after ὥστε	140
4. Causal Relative Sentences	141

Temporal Particles signifying Until and Before that.

A. Ἔως, Ἔστε, Ἀχρη, Μέχρι, Εἰσόκε, Ὅφρα, *Until*.

§ 66, 1. Ἔως, &c. with past tenses of Indicative	142
2. Ἔως ἄν, &c. with Subj. Ἔως, &c. with Optative	142, 143
3. Ἔως, &c. with secondary tenses of Indicative	143
4. Ἔως, &c. with Subj. and Opt. after <i>general</i> statements	144

B. Πρίν, *Until, Before that*.

§ 67. Finite Moods and Infinitive after πρίν	144
1. Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative after πρίν	145
2. Infinitive after πρίν (see § 106)	145
N. 3. Πρίν ἥ, πρότερον ἥ, πρόσθεν ἥ	146

SECTION IV.

INDIRECT DISCOURSE.

§ 68, 1. Direct and Indirect Quotations distinguished	147
2. Manner of introducing Indirect Quotations	147
3. Indirect Questions	147

General Principles.

§ 69, 1. Principles of Indirect Quotations after ὅτι and ὥς, and of Indirect Questions	148
2. Secondary tenses of the Indicative	148
3. Infinitive and Participle	148
4. Ἄν in Indirect Discourse	149
5. Negative particles in Indirect Discourse	149

Indirect Quotation of Simple Sentences.

§ 70. Indicative and Optative after ὅτι or ὥς, and in Indirect Questions:—

1. Indicative after primary tenses	149
2. Optative or Indicative after secondary tenses	150
REM. 1 and 2. Both Moods in the same quotation	151, 152
N. 1. (a.) Imperfect and Pluperfect retained	152
(b.) Present Optative as Imperfect	153
N. 3. Independent Optative (often with γάρ)	154
§ 71. Interrogative Subjunctive in Indirect Questions	154, 155
§ 72. Indicative or Optative with ἄν in Indirect Discourse . .	156
§ 73, 1. Infinitive, with or without ἄν, in Indirect Discourse .	157
2. Participle, with or without ἄν, in Indirect Discourse . .	159

Indirect Quotation of Compound Sentences.

- § 74, 1. Quotation of dependent clauses with a Subjunctive, or a Present, Perfect, or Future Indicative . . . 160
 N. 1. Mixed constructions 162
 2. Quotation of dependent clauses with Imperfect, Pluperfect, or Aorist Indicative 164
 § 75. Dependent clauses with secondary tenses of Indicative . . . 165
 § 76. Dependent clauses with Optative 165

Single Dependent Clauses in Indirect Quotation.

- § 77, 1. (a.) Clauses depending on an Infinitive after verbs of *commanding*, &c.—(b.) Causal sentences stating a cause assigned by others.—(c.) Clauses after *εἰ* or *ἐάν*, in case *that*.—(d.) Relative and temporal clauses expressing a past intention.—(e.) Relative sentences containing the thought of another . . . 166
 2. Same principle applied to clauses after *ἵνα*, *ὅπως*, &c. . . 169

Ὅπως and Ὅ in Indirect Quotations.

- § 78, 1. Ὅπως for ὅτι or ὥς. 2. Homeric ὅ for ὅτι . . . 170
 NOTE.—Ὅθούνεκα and οὔνεκα for ὅτι 171

- § 79. Ὅτι before Direct Quotations. 171

SECTION V.

CAUSAL SENTENCES.

- § 80. Causal particles 171
 § 81, 1. Indicative in Causal sentences. 171
 2. Optative after secondary tenses, to express a cause assigned by others 172
 N. 1. Cause expressed by an Apodosis 173

SECTION VI.

EXPRESSION OF A WISH.

- REM.—Two classes of Wishes 173
 § 82. Optative in Wishes. 173, 174
 § 83, 1. Secondary tenses of Indicative in Wishes . . . 176
 2. Ὁφελον with the Infinitive 176, 177
 REM.—Greek and Latin expressions compared . . . 177

SECTION VII.

IMPERATIVE AND SUBJUNCTIVE IN COMMANDS, EXHORTATIONS, AND PROHIBITIONS.

- § 84. Imperative 178
 § 85. First person of Subjunctive in exhortations. 179
 § 86. Pres. Imperat. or Aor. Subj. in prohibitions with *μη* . . . 180

SECTION VIII.

SUBJUNCTIVE (LIKE FUT. IND.) IN INDEPENDENT SENTENCES.
— INTERROGATIVE SUBJUNCTIVE. — Οὐ μή WITH SUBJUNCTIVE AND FUTURE INDICATIVE.

§ 87. Independent Subjunctive in Homer	181
NOTE. — With ἄν, in apodosis	182
§ 88. Interrogative Subjunctive (with or without βούλει)	182
§ 89. Double Negative, οὐ μή:—	
1. With Subj. (rarely Fut. Ind.), as emphatic Future	184
2. With Future Indicative in prohibitions	185

CHAPTER V.

THE INFINITIVE.

§ 90. Infinitive as a Verbal Noun	188
§ 91. Infinitive as Subject	188
§ 92. Infinitive as Object:—	
1. Not in indirect discourse	189
2. In indirect discourse	192
N. 3. Infinitive after Relatives (by assimilation)	193
§ 93, 1 and 2. Infin. after Adjectives and Adverbs	193–195
§ 94. Infinitive (with Article) after Prepositions	197
§ 95, 1. Infinitive as Genitive or Dative	197
2. Two constructions after verbs of hindrance, &c.	198
N. 1. Negative particles. Double Negative, μὴ οὐ	200
3. Infinitive with τὸ μὴ, after expressions implying <i>pre- vention, omission, or denial</i>	201
§ 96. Infinitive and its adjuncts preceded by τό	202
§ 97. Infinitive expressing a purpose	203
N. 4. Infin. after Comparative and ἤ, <i>than</i>	204
§ 98, 1. Infinitive after ὥστε (<i>result</i>)	205
2. Infin. after ὥστε (<i>condition or purpose</i>)	205
N. 1. Ὡς for ὥστε, with Infinitive	206
§ 99. Infinitive after ἐφ' ᾧ or ἐφ' ᾧ τε	207
§ 100. Absolute Infinitive (generally with ὥς or ὅσον)	207
N. 1. Ὀλίγου (δείν), <i>almost, little short of</i>	208
N. 2. Ἐκὼν εἶναι, τὸ νῦν εἶναι, &c.	208
§ 101. Infinitive used imperatively	208
§ 102. Infinitive in wishes (like the Optative)	209
§ 103. Infinitive in <i>laws, treaties, proclamations, &c.</i>	209
§ 104. Infinitive expressing surprise or indignation	209
§ 105. Infinitive in narration	210
§ 106. Infinitive after πρὶν	210, 211
NOTES. — Πρὶν ἢ, πρότερον ἢ, πρόσθεν ἢ, πάρος	211, 212
REM. — Tenses of the Infinitive	212

CHAPTER VI.

THE PARTICIPLE.

§ 107. Three uses of the Participle	213
§ 108, 1. Participle as Adjective	213
2. Participle (as Adjective) used substantively	213
§ 109. Partic. defining the <i>circumstances</i> of an action :—	
1–4. <i>Time, means, manner, cause, &c.</i>	216
5–7. <i>Purpose, condition, opposition, &c.</i>	217
8. <i>Attendant circumstances</i>	218
NOTES 1–5. Adverbs, &c. with Part. of § 109	218–221
N. 7. (a.) Partic. in Rel. or Interrog. sentences	221
(b.) <i>Τί μαθών; Τί παθών; wherefore?</i>	221, 222
§ 110, 1. Genitive Absolute	222
2. Accusative Absolute	224
§ 111. Gen. or Accus. Absol. and ordinary Partic. combined	225
§ 112. Participle with verbs (like Infinitive) :—	
1. With verbs signifying <i>to begin, to cease, &c.</i>	226
2. With <i>διατελέω, λανθάνω, τυγχάνω, φθάνω, &c.</i>	227
§ 113. Partic. in indirect discourse (after verbs signifying <i>to see, to perceive, to know, &c.</i>)	229
N. 1. Participle with <i>δηλός (φανερός) εἰμι</i>	230
N. 6. Participle with <i>σύννοια</i> and <i>συγγιγνώσκω</i>	230
N. 10. <i>Ὡς</i> with these Participles	231, 232

CHAPTER VII.

VERBAL ADJECTIVES IN *-τέος*.

§ 114. Two constructions of the Verbal in <i>-τέος</i> :—	
1. Personal construction	233
2. Impersonal construction	233, 234

APPENDIX I.	235
APPENDIX II.	240
INDEX TO EXAMPLES	243
ENGLISH INDEX	257
GREEK INDEX.	261

CHAPTER I.

GENERAL VIEW OF THE MOODS.

§ 1. THE Greek verb has five Moods, the Indicative, Subjunctive, Optative, Imperative, and Infinitive. The first four, as opposed to the Infinitive, are called *finite* moods.

§ 2. The Indicative is used in simple, absolute assertions; as γράφει, *he writes*; ἔγραψεν, *he wrote*; γράψει, *he will write*; γέγραπεν, *he has written*.

The Indicative is used also to express various other relations, which the following examples will illustrate:—

Εἰ τοῦτο ἀληθές ἐστί, χαίρω, *if this is true, I rejoice*. Εἰ ἔγραψεν, ἦλθον ἄν, *if he had written, I should have come*. Εἰ τοῦτο ποιήσει, καλῶς ἔξει, *if he shall do this, it will be well*. Ἐπιμελεῖται ὅπως τοῦτο γενήσεται, *he takes care that this shall happen*. Εἴθε με ἔκτεινας, ὥς μήποτε τοῦτο ἐποίησα, *O that thou hadst killed me, that I might never have done this!* Εἴθε τοῦτο ἀληθές ἦν, *O that this were true*. Λέγει ὡς τοῦτο ἀληθές ἐστίν, *he says that this is true*. Εἶπεν ὅτι τοῦτο πράξει, *he said that he would do this*. Ἐρωτᾷ τί ἐγράψαμεν, *he asks what we wrote*.

These constructions will be explained in Chapter IV. They are sufficient to show the impossibility of including all the uses of the Indicative in one definition. Any definition which is to include these must be comprehensive enough to include even the Imperfect and Pluperfect Subjunctive in Latin; for εἰ ἔγραψεν, ἦλθον ἄν is equivalent to *si scripsisset, venissem*. It would be equally impossible to give a single definition sufficiently precise to be of any use in practice, including all the uses of the Subjunctive or Optative.

§ 3. The various uses of the Subjunctive — in clauses denoting a purpose or object, after ἵνα, μή, &c.; in conditional, relative, and temporal sentences; and

in certain independent sentences — may be seen by the following examples: —

Ἔρχεται ἵνα τοῦτο ἴδῃ, he is coming that he may see this. Φοβεῖται μὴ τοῦτο γένηται, he fears lest this may happen. Ἐάν τοῦτο ποιεῖν βούληται, δυνήσεται, if he shall wish to do this, he will be able. Ὅτι ἂν ποιεῖν βούληται δυνήσεται, whatever he shall wish to do he will be able (to do). Ἐάν τι ποιεῖν βούληται, τοῦτο ποιεῖ, if he (ever) wishes to do anything, he (always) does it. Ὅτι ἂν ποιεῖν βούληται ποιεῖ, whatever he wishes (at any time) to do he (always) does. Ὅταν τοῦτο ποιεῖν βούληται, δυνήσεται, when he shall wish to do this, he will be able. Ὅταν ποιεῖν τι βούληται, ποιεῖ, whenever he wishes to do anything, he (always) does it. Ἴωμεν, let us go. Μὴ θαυμάσητε, do not wonder. Οὐ μὴ τοῦτο γένηται, this will (surely) not happen. Τί εἶπω; what shall I say?

§ 4. The various uses of the Optative — in clauses denoting a purpose or object after *ἵνα, μή, &c.*; in conditional, relative, and temporal sentences; in indirect quotations and questions; and in independent sentences (in apodosis with *ἂν*, or in expressions of a wish) — may be seen by the following examples: —

Ἦλθεν ἵνα τοῦτο ἴδοι, he came that he might see this. Ἐφοβεῖτο μὴ τοῦτο γένοιτο, he feared lest this might happen. Εἰ τοῦτο ποιεῖν βούλοιτο, δύναιτ' ἂν, if he should wish to do this, he would be able. Ὅτι ποιεῖν βούλοιτο δύναιτ' ἂν, whatever he should wish to do, he would be able (to do). Εἴ τι ποιεῖν βούλοιτο, τοῦτ' ἐποίει, if he (ever) wished to do anything, he (always) did it. Ὅτι ποιεῖν βούλοιτο ἐποίει, whatever he wished (at any time) to do he (always) did. Ὅτε τοῦτο ποιεῖν βούλοιτο, δύναιτ' ἂν, whenever he should wish to do this, he would be able. Ὅτε ποιεῖν τι βούλοιτο, ἐποίει, whenever he wished to do anything, he (always) did it. Εἶπεν ὅτι τοῦτο ποιοίη, he said that he was doing this. Εἶπεν ὅτι τοῦτο ποιήσειεν, he said that he had done this. Εἶπεν ὅτι τοῦτο ποιήσοι, he said that he would do this. Ἠρώτων τί ποιοίη (ποιήσειεν or ποιήσοι), they asked what he was doing (had done, or would do).

Δύναιτ' ἂν τοῦτο ποιεῖν, he would be able to do this. Εἶθε μὴ ταῦτα πάσχοιεν, O that they may not suffer these things! Ἀπόλοιτο, may he perish! Μὴ τοῦτο γένοιτο, may this not happen!

NOTE. For a discussion of the relation of the Optative to the Subjunctive, see Appendix.

§ 5. The Imperative is used to express a command, exhortation, entreaty, or prohibition.

§ 6. The Infinitive expresses the simple idea of the

verb without restriction of person or number, and may be considered as a verbal noun with many attributes of a verb.

§ 7. To the Moods may be added the Participle, and the Verbal in *-τέος* or *-τέον*. Both are verbal adjectives.

CHAPTER II.

USE OF THE TENSES.

§ 8. 1. THERE are seven Tenses, — the Present, Imperfect, Perfect, Pluperfect, Aorist, Future, and Future Perfect. The Imperfect and Pluperfect occur only in the Indicative; the Futures are wanting in the Subjunctive and Imperative.

2. These tenses are divided into *primary* and *secondary*; the *primary* tenses being those which refer to *present* or *future* time, and the *secondary* being those which refer to *past* time.

The *primary* tenses of the Indicative are the Present, Perfect, Future, and Future Perfect. The *secondary* tenses are the Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist.

NOTE. This distinction will be more fully explained at the end of this chapter, §§ 31–35.

§ 9. In speaking of the time denoted by any verb, we must distinguish between time which is present, past, or future with reference to the time of the speaker or writer (that is, time *absolutely* present, &c.), and time which is present, past, or future with reference to the time of some other verb with which the verb in question is connected (that is, time *relatively* present, &c.). Thus, when we say *τοῦτο ἀληθές ἐστίν*, *this is true*, *ἐστίν* denotes time present with reference to the time of speak-

ing : but when we say ἔλεξε τοῦτο ἀληθὲς εἶναι, or ἔλεξεν ὅτι τοῦτο ἀληθὲς ἐστίν (or εἶη), *he said that this was true* (i. e. *he said "this is true"*), we use the Present tense ; but this tense here denotes time present with reference to the time of the leading verb, ἔλεξε, or time *absolutely* past and only *relatively* present. The same distinction is seen between the Future in τοῦτο γενήσεται, *this will happen*, and in ἔλεξε τοῦτο γενήσεσθαι or ὅτι γενήσεται (γενήσοιτο), *he said that this would happen* ; where the Future in the first case denotes time *absolutely* future, in the other cases time only *relatively* future, which may even be *absolutely* past. Again, in τοῦτο ἐγένετο, *this happened*, the Aorist is *absolutely* past ; but in ἔλεξε τοῦτο γενέσθαι, or ἔλεξεν ὅτι τοῦτο ἐγένετο (or γένοιτο), *he said that this had happened*, it denotes time past with reference to the time of ἔλεξεν, which makes it *doubly* past.

It is to be noticed as a special distinction between the Greek and English idioms, that the Greek oftener uses its tenses to denote merely *relative* time. Thus, in the examples given above, we translate the Greek Presents εἶναι and ἐστίν after ἔλεξε by our Imperfect *was* ; the Futures γενήσεσθαι and γενήσεται by *would happen* ; and the Aorists γενέσθαι and ἐγένετο by *had happened*. This principle is especially observed in the Indicative, Optative, and Infinitive in indirect quotations ; in final and object clauses after ἵνα, ὅπως, &c. ; and usually in the Participle.

PRESENT AND IMPERFECT.

A. In the Indicative.

§ 10. 1. The Present Indicative represents an action as going on now ; as γράφω, *I write*, or *I am writing*.

REMARK. A single important exception occurs when the Present Indicative in indirect discourse denotes time present relatively to the leading verb. See above, § 9 ; and § 70, 2.

NOTE 1. As the limits of such an action on either side of the present moment are not defined, the Present may express a *customary* or *repeated* action, or a *general truth*. E. g.

Πλοῖον εἰς Δῆλον Ἀθηναῖοι πέμπουσιν, *the Athenians send a ship to Delos (every year)*. PLAT. Phaed. 58 A. Τίττει τοι κόρος ὕβρις, ὅταν κακῷ ἄλβος ἔπεται, *satiety begets insolence, whenever prosperity follows the wicked*. THEOGN. 153. Ἐν χρόνῳ ἀποφθίνει τὸ τάρβος ἀνθρώποισιν. AESCH. Agam. 857.

NOTE 2. The Present denotes merely the *continuance* of an action, without reference to its completion: sometimes, however, it is directly implied by the context that the action is *not* to be completed, so that the Present denotes an *attempted* action. Especially, δίδωμι, in the sense *I offer*, and πείθω, *I try to persuade*, are used in this sense. E. g.

Νῦν δ' ἄμα τ' αὐτίκα πολλὰ διδοῖ, *he offers many things*. II. IX, 519. Πείθουσι ὑμᾶς ἐναντία καὶ τοῖς νόμοις καὶ τῷ δικαίῳ ψηφίσασθαι, *they are trying to persuade you to vote contrary both to the laws and to justice*. ISAE. de Cleon. Hered. § 26.

This signification is much more common in the Imperfect. See *de con* § 11, N. 2, and the examples.

NOTE 3. The Present is often used with expressions denoting past time, especially πάλαι, in the sense of a Perfect and Present combined. E. g.

Κεῖνον ἰχνεύω πάλαι, *I have been tracking him a long time (and still continue it)*. SOPH. Aj. 20. Οὐ πάλαι σοι λέγω ὅτι ταῦτόν φημι εἶναι; i. e. *have I not long ago told you, (and do I not still repeat,) that I call it the same thing?* PLAT. Gorg. 489 C. So Πολὺν χρόνον τοῦτο ποιοῶ.

So in Latin, Jam dudum loquor.

NOTE 4. The Presents ἤκω, *I am come*, and οἶχομαι, *I am gone*, are used in the sense of the Perfect. An approach to the signification of the Perfect is sometimes found in such Presents as φεύγω, in the sense *I am banished*, ἀλίσκομαι, *I am captured*, νικάω and κρατέω, *I am victorious*, ἡττάομαι, *I am conquered*, ἀδικέω, *I have been unjust (I am ἄδικός)*. So ἴκω and ἰκάνω in Homer, with ἄλλυμαι and similar verbs and sometimes τίκτω in the Tragedians. E. g.

Θεμιστοκλῆς ἤκω παρὰ σέ, *I, Themistocles, am come to thee*. THUC. I, 137. Οἶχεται εἰς ἄλα διαν, *he is gone to the divine sea*. II. XV, 223. Ἰλίου ἀλίσκομένου. *Ilium having been captured*. THUC. VI, 2. So HDT. I, 85. Εἰ πάντα ταῦτα ἐλυμαίνετο τοῖς ὅλοις, ἕως ἀνέτρεψε, τί Δημοσθένης ἀδικεῖ; DEM. COR. 327, 1. Πύργων ὄλλυμένων ἐνὶ ναυσὶν ἔβαν, *I embarked after the towers had been destroyed*. EUR. Iph. T. 1108. So ἀνοιγομένης θύρης, HDT. I, 9. Ἦδε τίττει σε, *this woman is thy mother*. EUR. Ion. 1560.

NOTE 5. The Greek, like other languages, often allows the use of the Present of such verbs as *I hear, I learn, I say*, even when their action is strictly finished before the moment at which they are used. E. g.

Οἱ Σικελιώται στασιάζουσιν, ὥς πυνθανόμεθα, *the Sicilians are at discord, as we learn.* THUC. VI, 16. Ἐπὶ πόλεις, ὥς ἐγὼ ἀκοῇ αἰσθάνομαι, μέλλομεν ἵναί μεγάλας. THUC. VI, 20.

NOTE 6. The Present εἶμι, *I am going*, through all its moods, is used like a Future. Its compounds are sometimes used in the same sense. (The Poets, especially Homer, sometimes use εἶμι as a Present.) E. g.

Σεῦ ὅστερος εἶμ' ὑπὸ γαίαν, *I shall go.* IL. XVIII, 333. Εἶμι πάλιν ἐπ' ἐκεῖνα, *I shall recur to that.* PLAT. Phaed. 100 B. Ἄλλ' εἴσεμι, σοῦ δ' οὐ φροντιῶ. ARIST. Nub. 125. Ὡ φίλ', ἐγὼ μὲν ἄπειμι, σῦ δὲ κείνα φυλάξων. OD. XVII, 593.

(As Present.) Οἶος δ' ἀστὴρ εἴσι μετ' ἀστράσι νυκτὸς ἀμολγῶ, *as a star moves, &c.* IL. XXII, 317.

NOTE 7. In animated language the Present often refers to the future, to express *likelihood, intention, or danger.* E. g.

Μένομεν ἕως ἄν ἕκαστοι κατὰ πόλεις ληφθῶμεν; *shall we wait?* THUC. VI. 77. Εἰ δέ φησιν οὗτος, δεξιῶτω. καγὼ καταβαίνω, *and I will take any seat.* DEM. F. L. 351, 4. Σὺ εἰ ὁ ἐρχόμενος, ἢ ἕτερον προσδοκῶμεν; *art thou he that should come, or do we look for another?* MATTH. Evang. XI, 3. Ἀπόλλυμαι, *I shall perish.* (See § 17, N. 6.)

2. The Present is often used in narration for the Aorist, to give a more lively statement of a past event. This is called the Historic Present. E. g.

Βουλὴν ἐπιτεχνᾷται ὅπως μὴ ἀλισθεῖεν Ἀθηναῖοι, *he contrives a plan to prevent the Athenians from collecting.* HDT. I, 63. Κελεύει πέμψαι ἄνδρας . . . ἀποστέλλουσιν οὖν, καὶ περὶ αὐτῶν ὁ Θημιστοκλῆς κρῖφα πέμπει. THUC. I, 91. Δαρείου καὶ Παρυσάτιδος παῖδες γίγνονται δύο. XEN. AN. I, 1, 1.

15|8

NOTE. The Historic Present is not found in Homer.

§ 11. The Imperfect represents an action as going on in past time; as *ἔγραφον, I was writing.*

NOTE 1. The Imperfect is thus a Present transferred to the past, and it retains all the peculiarities of the Present

which are not inconsistent with the change to past time. Thus the Imperfect denotes *customary* or *repeated* action, as opposed to the Aorist, which denotes the *simple occurrence* of an action. (See § 19, N. 2.) E. g.

Ἐπὶ Κέκροπος ἡ Ἀττικὴ κατὰ πόλεις ᾤκειτο, καὶ οὐ ξυνήεσαν βουλευσόμενοι. ἀλλ' αὐτοὶ ἕκαστοι ἐπολιτεύοντο καὶ ἐβουλεύοντο. Ἐπειδὴ δὲ Θησεὺς ἐβασίλευσεν, ἐς τὴν νῦν πόλιν οὔσαν ξυνώκισε πάντας. THUC. II, 15. (Here the Imperfects refer to the state of the country or the customs, the Aorists to single actions; ἐβασίλευσε, *became king*, ξυνώκισε, *collected into one state*.)

NOTE 2. The Imperfect, like the Present (§ 10, N. 2), sometimes denotes *attempted* action, being in this case strictly an *Imperfect tense*. So especially ἐδίδων and ἔπειθον. E. g.

Φίλιππος Ἀλόννησον ἐδίδου, *Philip offered Halonnesus* (lit. *tried to give it*). AESCH. Cor. § 83. Ἐκαστος ἔπειθεν αὐτὸν ὑποστῆναι τὴν ἀρχήν, *each one tried to persuade him to undertake the command*. XEN. An. VI, 1, 19. Κῶμα ἴστατ' ἀειρόμενον, κατὰ δ' ἦρεε Πηλείωνα, *and was about to overpower the son of Peleus*. II. XXI, 327. Ἐμισθοῦτο παρ' οὐκ ἐκδιδόντος τὴν αὐλήν, *he tried to hire the yard of one who refused to let it*. HDT. I, 68. Πέμψαντες ἐς Σάρδεις χρυσὸν ὠνέοντο, *they wanted to buy gold*. HDT. I, 69. Ἐπεθύμησε τῆς χλανίδος, καὶ αὐτὴν προσελθὼν ὠνέετο, *he tried to buy it*. HDT. III, 139. Ἄ ἐπράσσετο οὐκ ἐγένετο, *what was attempted did not happen*. THUC. VI, 74. So προσετίθει, *she wanted to add*. ARIST. Nub. 63.

NOTE 3. When the Present has the force of the Perfect (§ 10, 1, N. 4), the Imperfect has regularly the force of a Pluperfect. (See § 17, N. 3). E. g.

Ὁ ὄχλος κατὰ θίαν ἦκεν, *the crowd were come to look on*. THUC. VI, 31. Ἐπεὶ ᾤχεο νηὶ Πύλουνδε, *after thou wast gone by ship to Pylos*. Od. XVI, 24.

NOTE 4. The Imperfect sometimes denotes *likelihood*, *intention*, or *danger* in past time. (See § 10, 1, N. 7.) E. g.

Ἐπειδὴ τῷ ψεύδεσθαι ἀπώλλυτο, *when he was on the point of ruin through his deceit*. ANTIPHON. de Caed. Herod. § 37. Καὶ τὰμ' ἔθνησκε τέκν', ἀπώλλύμην δ' ἐγώ, *and my children were about to die, and I was about to perish*. EUR. Herc. F. 538.

NOTE 5. The Imperfect is sometimes found in simple narration, where the Aorist would be expected, especially in Homer. The meaning of the verb often makes it indifferent which of the two is used. Thus βαῖνον and βῆ are used without any perceptible difference in II. I, 437, 439; so βάλλετο and βάλετο, II, 43, 45; ἦκεν and τίθει, XXIII. 653, 656; δῶκε and δίδου, VII, 303, 305; ἔλιπεν and λείπε, II, 103, 107; compare also μίστυλλον and ὥπτησαν, I, 465, 466.

Herodotus and Thucydides use ἔλεγον and ἐκέλευον as Aorists. Compare ἔλεγον, THUC. I, 72, with εἶπον and ἔλεξε, I, 79.

NOTE 6. The Imperfect sometimes expresses a *fact*, which is either the result of a previous discussion, or one that is just recognized as a fact by the speaker or writer, having previously been denied, overlooked, or misunderstood. In the latter case, the particle ἄρα is often joined to the verb. E. g.

᾽Ω πόποι, οὐκ ἄρα πάντα νοήμονες οὐδὲ δίκαιοι ἦσαν Φαιήκων ἡγήτορες ἡδὲ μέδοντες, i. e. *they are not, as I once imagined.* OD. XIII, 209. Οὐκ ἄρα μούνων ἔην ἐρίδων γένος, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ γαίαν εἰσὶ δύο. *there is not after all merely one race of discords, but there are two on earth.* HES. OP. 1. "Οδ' ἦν ἄρα ὁ ξύλλαβὼν με, *this is then the one who seized me.* SOPH. PHIL. 978. Οὐ σὺ μόνος ἄρ' ἦσθ' ἔποψ; *are you not then the only epops (as I thought)?* ARIST. AV. 280. Ἦν ἡ μουσικὴ ἀντίστροφος τῆς γυμναστικῆς, εἰ μέμνησαι, *music then (as we proved) corresponds to gymnastics.* PLAT. REP. VII, 522 A. Διαφθεροῦμεν ἐκείνο, ὃ τῷ μὲν δικάῳ βέλτιον ἐγγίγνεται, τῷ δὲ ἀδίκῳ ἀπώλλυτο, *we shall destroy that which (as we proved) becomes better by justice and is ruined by injustice.* PLAT. CRIT. 47 D. Ἄρ' οὐ τὸδε ἦν τὸ δένδρον, ἐφ' ὅπερ ἦγες ἡμᾶς; *is not this after all the tree to which you were bringing us?* PLAT. PHAEDR. 230 A.

NOTE 7. The Greek sometimes uses an idiom like the English *he was the one who did it for he is the one who did it*: as ἦν ὁ τὴν γνώμην ταύτην εἰπὼν Πείσανδρος, THUC. VIII, 68; τίς ἦν ὁ βοηθήσας τοῖς Βυζαντίοις καὶ σώσας αὐτούς; DEM. COR. 255, 2. (See Note 6.)

B. The Present in the Dependent Moods.

REMARK. The distinction of time which marks the Present and Aorist in the Indicative is retained in the Optative and Infinitive of indirect discourse, and usually in the Participles.

But in all other constructions, this distinction of time disappears in the dependent moods, and the Present and Aorist differ only in this, that the Present denotes a *continued* or *repeated* action, while the Aorist denotes the *simple occurrence* of an action, the time being determined by the construction. In these cases the Present and Aorist are the tenses chiefly used; the Perfect is seldom required (§ 18, Note), and the Future is exceptional (§ 27, Notes). It must be remembered that the Greek distinction between the Present and Aorist in the Subjunctive and Optative is one which the Latin could not express; the Present, for example, being the only form found in the Latin Subjunctive to express a condition which the Greek can express by the Present or Aorist Optative, and sometimes by the Present or Aorist Subjunctive, each with some

peculiar meaning. Thus εἰ τοῦτο ποιοίη, *if he should do this (habitually)*, εἰ ποιήσεις, (simply) *if he should do this*, and sometimes εἰν τοῦτο ποιῇ (or ποιήσῃ), *if he (ever) does this*, may each be translated by *si hoc faciat*.

This distinction, although in general strictly observed, was sometimes neglected even by the best authors: we occasionally find, for example, the Present Subjunctive where the Aorist would have expressed the idea more exactly, and *vice versa*. In other examples the two seem to be used in nearly the same sense. (See XEN. Cyr. V, 5, 13.) These are to be considered merely as exceptions; when, however, the Aorist is wanting, as in εἰμί, the Present regularly takes the place of both.

§ 12. The Present Subjunctive denotes a *continued* or *repeated* action, the time of which is determined as follows:—

(a.) In clauses denoting a *purpose* after ἵνα, ὅπως, &c., or the object of *fear* after μή, it refers to time *future* relatively to that of the leading verb.

(b.) In conditional sentences, — in ordinary protasis (§ 50, 1), the Subjunctive refers simply to the future; if the supposition is *general* (depending on a verb of *present* time which expresses a repeated action or a general truth), the Subjunctive is indefinite in its time, but is expressed in English by the Present. This applies also to all conditional relative and temporal sentences.

(c.) In independent sentences (in exhortations, prohibitions, questions of doubt, &c.) the Subjunctive refers to the future. E. g.

(a.) Δοκεῖ μοι κατακαῦσαι τὰς ἀμάξας, ἵνα μὴ τὰ ζεύγη ἡμῶν στρατηγῇ, ἀλλὰ πορευόμεθα ὅπῃ ἂν τῇ στρατιᾷ συμφέρῃ, *it seems good to me to burn the wagons, that our beasts of burden may not be for generals, and that we may go on whithersoever it may be best for the army*. XEN. An. III, 2, 27. Καὶ γὰρ βυσιλεύς αἰρεῖται, οὐχ ἵνα ἑαυτοῦ καλῶς ἐπιμελῇται, ἀλλ' ἵνα καὶ οἱ ἐλόμενοι δι' αὐτὸν εὖ πράττωσι. XEN. Mem. III, 2, 3.

(b.) Ἄν δε τις ἀνθιστῇται, πειρασόμεθα χειροῦσθαι, *but if any one shall stand opposed to us, we will try to subdue him*. XEN. An.

VII, 3, 11. *Κἂν πόλεμος ᾖ, ἕως ἂν ἐπ' ἄλλον ἔχωμεν στρατεύεσθαι, σοῦ τε καὶ τῶν σῶν ἀφεξόμεθα*, and if there shall be war, so long as we shall be able, &c. *Id. Hell. IV, 1, 38. 'Αλλ' ἢ ἂν γιγνώσκω βέλτιστα ἔρω, but I will speak as I shall think best. THUC. VI, 9. Οὓς ἂν βούληται ποιήσασθαι φίλους, ἀγαθόν τι λέγει περὶ αὐτῶν πρὸς τοὺς ἀπαγγέλλοντας, whomsoever you shall wish, &c. ISOC. Demon. p. 9 C. § 33. Ἄσας λόγος, ἂν ἀπ' ἣ τὰ πράγματα, μάταιόν τι φαίνεται καὶ κενόν, all speech, if (wherever) deeds are wanting, appears vain and useless. DEM. Ol. II, 21, 20. Συμμαχεῖν τούτοις ἐθέλουσιν ἅπαντες, οὓς ἂν ὁρῶσι παρσκευασμένους, all are willing to be allied to those whom they see prepared. *Id. Phil. I, 42, 1.**

(c.) *Πειθόμεθα πάντες· φεύγωμεν σὺν νηυσὶ φίλην ἐς πατρίδα γαίαν, let us all be persuaded; let us fly, &c. II. II, 139. Τί φῶ; τί δρῶ; what shall I say? what shall I do? Πῶς οὖν περὶ τούτων ποιῶμεν; how then shall we act about this? PLAT. Phileb. 63 A.*

See other examples under the rules in Chapter IV.

§ 13. 1. The Present Optative, when it is not in indirect discourse, denotes a *continued* or *repeated* action, the time of which is determined as follows:—

(a.) In clauses denoting a *purpose* after *ἵνα*, *ὅπως*, &c., or the object of *fear* after *μή*, it refers to time *future* relatively to that of the leading verb.

(b.) In conditional sentences,—in ordinary protasis (§ 50, 2), the Optative refers to the *future* (only more vaguely than the Subjunctive); if the supposition is *general* (depending on a verb of *past* time which expresses a repeated action or general truth), the Optative refers to indefinite past time. This applies also to all conditional relative and temporal sentences.

(c.) In independent sentences (that is, in expressions of a wish, and in Apodosis with *ἄν*) the Optative refers to the *future*. E. g.

(a.) *Τούτου ἐπεθύμει, ἵνα εὖ πράττοι, he desired this in order that he might be in prosperity. Ἐφοβέτο μὴ τοῦτο ποιοῖεν, he feared lest they should do this (habitually). Δηλὸς ἦν ἐπιθυμῶν ἄρχειν, ὅπως πλείω λαμβάνοι, ἐπιθυμῶν δὲ τιμᾶσθαι, ἵνα πλείω κερδαίνοι· φίλος τε ἐβούλετο εἶναι τοῖς μέγιστα δυναμένοις, ἵνα ἀδικῶν μὴ διδοίῃ δίκην. XEN. An. II, 6, 21. (Here the Aorist Optative would have referred to single acts of receiving, getting gain, and suffering punishment, while the present refers to a succession of cases, and to a whole course of conduct.)*

(b.) Οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐπαινοίη με, εἰ ἐξελαύνοιμι τοὺς εὐεργέτας. *for he would not praise me, if I should banish my benefactors.* XEN. AN. VII, 7, 11. Εἴης φορητὸς οὐκ ἂν, εἰ πρᾶσσοις καλῶς, *you would not be endurable, if you should be in prosperity (at any time).* AESCH. PROM. 979. Πῶς γὰρ ἂν τις, ἃ γε μὴ ἐπίσταιτο, ταῦτα σοφὸς εἴη; *for how could any one be wise in that which he did not understand?* (i. e. εἴ τινα μὴ ἐπίσταιτο.) XEN. MEM. IV, 6, 7. 'Αλλ' εἴ τι μὴ φέροισιν, ὥτρυνεν φέρειν, *but if we neglected to bring anything, he always exhorted us to bring it.* EUR. ALB. 755. Οὐκ ἀπέλειπετο ἔτι αὐτοῦ, εἰ μὴ τι ἀναγκαῖον εἴη, *he never left him, unless there was some necessity for it.* XEN. MEM. IV, 2, 40. 'Οπότε Εὐαγόραν ὀρῶεν, ἐφοβοῦντο, *whenever they saw Evagoras, they were afraid.* ISOC. EVAG. 193 D. § 24.

(c.) Εἴθε τοῦτο εἴη (utinam sit), *O that this may be.* Εἴθε μὴ ταῦτα πάσχοιεν, *may they not suffer these things (habitually).* But εἴθε μὴ πάθοιεν, *may they not suffer (in a single case).* See examples of Apodosis with ἂν above, under (b).

See other examples under the rules in Chapter IV.

2. In indirect quotations and questions, each tense of the Optative denotes the same time, *relatively* to the leading verb, which the tense (of any mood) which it represents denoted in the direct discourse. (See § 69, 1.)

(a.) If therefore the Present Optative represents a Present Indicative of the direct discourse, it denotes a continued or repeated action, *contemporary* with that of the leading verb (that is, *relatively* present). E. g.

Περικλῆς προηγόρευε, ὅτι Ἀρχίδαμός οἱ ξένος εἴη, *Pericles announced that Archidamus was his friend* (i. e. he said ξένος μοί ἐστιν). THUC. II, 13. Ἐγνώσαν ὅτι κενὸς ὁ φόβος εἴη, *they learned that their fear was groundless* (i. e. they learned κενὸς ἐστιν). XEN. AN. II, 2 21. Ἐπυνθάνετο εἰ οἰκοῖτο ἡ χώρα, *he asked whether the country was inhabited* (i. e. he asked the question, *Is the country inhabited?*). XEN. CYR. IV, 4, 4.

(b.) But if it represents a Present Subjunctive of the direct discourse, it denotes a continued or repeated action, which is *future* with reference to the leading verb. E. g.

Κλέαρχος ἐβουλεύετο, εἰ πέμποιέν τινας ἢ πάντες ἴοιεν. *Clearchus was deliberating whether they should send a few, or should all go.* XEN. AN. I. 10, 5. (The question was, πέμπωμέν τινας ἢ πάντες ἴωμεν; *shall we send a few, or shall we all go?* See § 88.)

REMARK. Example of the Present Optative representing the

Present Indicative or Subjunctive in a dependent clause of the direct discourse, to which the same principles apply, may be found under § 74, 1.

NOTE 1. It will be seen, by a comparison of the examples under (a) and (b), that an ambiguity may sometimes arise from uncertainty whether the Optative stands for the Present Indicative or for the Present Subjunctive in a *question of doubt* (§ 88). Thus ἡγνούουν ὃ τι ποιοῖεν might mean *they knew not what they were doing* (the Optative representing τί ποιοῦμεν; *what are we doing?*) or *they knew not what to do* (the Optative representing τί ποιῶμεν; *what shall we do?*). The context must decide in each case. See § 71.

NOTE 2. In the few instances in which the Present Optative in indirect quotations represents the *Imperfect* of the direct discourse, it of course denotes time *past* relatively to the leading verb. See § 70, 2, N. 1 (b).

§ 14. The Present Imperative refers to a continued or repeated action in *future* time; as φεῦγε, *begone*; χαιρόντων, *let them rejoice*; μὴ νομίζετε, *do not believe*.

§ 15. The Present Infinitive has three distinct uses:—

1. First, in its *ordinary* use (either with or without the article), whenever it is not in indirect discourse, it denotes a continued or repeated action *without regard to time*, unless its time is specially defined by the context. E. g.

Ἐξέστι μένειν, *it is possible to remain*. Ἐξέσται τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *it will be possible to do this*. Δέομαι ὑμῶν μένειν, *I beg you to remain*. Τί τὸ κωλῶν ἔτ' αὐτὸν ἔσται βαδίζειν ὅποι βούλεται, *what will there be to prevent him from going whither he pleases?* DEM. OL. I, 12, 22. Ἐκέλευσα αὐτὸν τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *I commanded him to do this*. Ἐβούλετο σοφὸς εἶναι, *he wished to be wise*. Δεινός ἐστι λέγειν, *he is skilled in speaking*. Ὡρα βαδίζειν, *it is time to be going*. Πᾶν ποιοῦσιν, ὥστε δίκην μὴ διδόναι, *they do everything, so as to avoid being punished*. PLAT. Gorg. 479 C. Τὸ μὲν οὖν ἐπιτιμᾶν ἴσως φῆσαι τις ἂν ῥᾶδιον εἶναι, τὸ δ' ὃ τι δεῖ πράττειν ἀποφαίνεσθαι, τοῦτ' εἶναι συμβούλου, *some one may say that finding fault is easy, but that showing what ought to be done is the duty of an adviser*. DEM. OL. I, 13, 27. (Here ἐπιτιμᾶν, ἀποφαίνεσθαι, and πράττειν belong under this rule; εἶναι in both cases belongs under § 15, 2.) Οὐ πλεο-

νεξίας ἔνεκεν ταῦτ' ἔπραξεν, ἀλλὰ τῷ δικαιοτέρα τοὺς Θηβαίους ἢ ὑμας ἀξιοῦν, *he did this not from love of gain, but because of the Thebans making juster demands than you.* DEM. Phil. II, 69, 6. Ἐπειχίσθη δὲ Ἀταλάντῃ νῆσος, τοῦ μὴ ληστὰς κακουργεῖν τὴν Εὐβοίαν, *in order to prevent pirates from ravaging Euboea.* THUC. II, 32.

REMARK. The Infinitive in this its ordinary use has usually no more reference to *time* than any verbal noun, and the distinction of *tense* therefore disappears, the Present differing from the Aorist only by expressing a *continued* or *repeated* action. An Infinitive which *in itself* has no reference to time may, however, be referred to some particular time, like any other verbal noun, by the verb on which it depends, by some particle like ὥστε or πρὶν, or by some other word in the sentence. Thus ὥστε denoting a *purpose* refers the Infinitive to the future: the Infinitive without ὥστε expressing a *purpose* is likewise future. After a large class of verbs, as those of *commanding, advising, desiring, asking, &c.*, whose signification points to the future, the Infinitive necessarily denotes relative future time. (For an irregular use of the Future Infinitive after such verbs, see § 27, N. 2.) The time denoted by the Infinitive in any of these constructions must be carefully distinguished from that which it denotes in indirect discourse (§ 15, 2), where its tense is fully preserved.

NOTE 1. For a discussion of the Infinitive with the article and a subject, with reference to its time, see Appendix, II.

NOTE 2. Χράω, ἀναιρέω, θεσπίζω, and other verbs signifying to *give an oracular response*, are sometimes followed by the Present (as well as the Aorist) Infinitive, where we might expect the Future on the principle of indirect discourse (§ 15, 2, N. 1). These verbs here take the ordinary construction of verbs of *commanding, advising, and warning*. E. g.

Λέγεται δὲ Ἀλκμαίῳ τὸν Ἀπόλλω ταύτην τὴν γῆν χρῆσαι οἰκεῖν, *it is said that Apollo gave a response to Alcmaeon that he should inhabit this land.* THUC. II, 102. The Future is sometimes found. For the Aorist, see § 23, 1, N. 2.

2. Secondly, the Present Infinitive in indirect discourse is used to represent a Present Indicative of the direct discourse, and therefore denotes a continued or repeated action, which is *contemporary* with that of the leading verb, that is, *relatively present*. E. g.

Φησὶ γράφειν, *he says that he is writing*; ἔφη γράφειν, *he said that he was writing* (i. e. he said "I am writing"); φήσει γράφειν, *he will say that he is (then) writing*. Ἀρρωστεῖν προφασίζεται, *he pretends that he is sick*. Ἐξώμοσεν ἀρρωστεῖν τουτονί, *he took his oath that this man was sick*. DEM. F. L. 379, 15 and 17. Οὐκ ἔφη αὐτὸς ἀλλ' ἐκείνον στρατηγεῖν, *he said that not he himself, but Nicæus,*

was general; i. e. he said, οὐκ ἐγὼ αὐτὸς ἀλλ' ἐκείνος στρατηγεῖ. THUC. IV, 28. For the Present Infinitive with αῖν (not included here), see § 41.

NOTE 1. The Infinitive is said to stand in *indirect discourse*, with its tenses thus corresponding to the same tenses of the Indicative, only when it depends upon verbs implying *thought* or the *expression of thought* (*verba sentiendi et declarandi*), and when *also* the thought, as originally conceived, would have been expressed by some tense of the Indicative, which the corresponding tense of the Infinitive can represent. Thus verbs of *commanding*, *wishing*, and others enumerated in § 92, 1, although they may imply thought, yet never introduce an indirect quotation in the sense here intended, as an Infinitive after them never stands for an Indicative, but is merely the ordinary Infinitive used as a verbal noun, without any definite time. See § 73, 1, Remark; where the principle is stated in full, so as to include all the tenses and the Infinitive with αῖν.

NOTE 2. Verbs and expressions signifying *to hope*, *to expect*, *to promise*, and the like, after which the *Future Infinitive* stands regularly in indirect discourse (as representing a Future Indicative of the direct discourse), sometimes take the Present or the Aorist Infinitive. E. g.

Ὁμολόγεις καθ' ἡμᾶς πολιτεύεσθαι, *you agreed to live according to us (the laws)*. PLAT. Crit. 52 C. Συνέθου πολιτεύεσθαι. Id. 52 D. Προσαγαγὼν ἐγγυητὰς ἡ μὴν πορεύεσθαι, *having giving securities that he would go*. XEN. Cyr. VI, 2, 39. Ἐλπίζει δυνατὸς εἶναι ἄρχειν, *he hopes to be able to rule*. PLAT. Rep. IX, 573 C. (But in HDT. I, 30, ἐλπίζων εἶναι ὀλβιώτατος ἐπειρώτα, means, *he asked, trusting that he was*, εἶναι being a regular Present Infinitive of indirect discourse. So I. 22, ἐλπίζων . . . εἶναι καὶ τὸν λεῶν τετρῦσθαι.)

In these cases the Infinitive seems to be used nearly as in § 15, 1, without regard to time. The Greek makes no more distinction than the English between ἐλπίζει τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *he hopes to do this*, and ἐλπίζει τοῦτο ποιήσειν, *he hopes that he shall do this*. Compare φαμέν τοῦτον ὁμολογηκέναι ταῦτα ποιήσκειν with φάσκοντές σε ὁμολογηκέναι πολιτεύεσθαι. PLAT. Crit. 51 E and 52 D. The Future, however, is the regular form (§ 27, N. 3). For the Aorist, see § 23, 2, N. 2.

NOTE 3. Even verbs of *saying* and *thinking*, — as λέγω, when it signifies *to command*, and δοκεῖ, *it seems good*, — may be followed by the ordinary Infinitive of § 15, 1, referring to the future. Εἶπον is very seldom followed by the Infinitive, except when it signifies *to command*. (See § 92, 2, N. 1.) The context must distinguish these cases from indirect quotations. E. g.

Τούτοις ἔλεγον πλεῖν, *I told them to sail*. DEM. F. L. 388, 4. (Τούτους ἔλεγον πλεῖν would mean *I said that they were sailing*.) Εἰπὼν μηδένα παρίεναι εἰς τὴν ἀκρόπολιν, *having given orders that no*

one should pass into the citadel. XEN. Hell. V, 2, 29. Δοκεῖ ἡμῖν τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *it pleases us to do this*. (But δοκεῖ μοι ὑμᾶς τοῦτο ποιεῖν means *it seems to me that you are doing this*, by § 15, 2.) Ἐδοξε in the sense *it was resolved*, introducing a resolution or enactment, is followed by the Present or Aorist (not Future) Infinitive.

3. Thirdly, the Present Infinitive belongs also to the *Imperfect*, and is used in indirect discourse to represent an Imperfect Indicative of the direct discourse. It here denotes continued or repeated action which is *past* with reference to the leading verb, thus supplying the want of an Imperfect Infinitive. E. g.

Τίνας οὖν εὐχὰς ὑπολαμβάνειτ' εὔχεσθαι τὸν Φίλιππον ὅτ' ἔσπενδεν; *what prayers then do you suppose Philip made when he was pouring the libations?* DEM. F. L. 381, 10. (Here the temporal clause ὅτ' ἔσπενδεν shows the past time denoted by εὔχεσθαι.) Πότερ' οἴεσθε πλεον Φωκίας Θηβαίων ἢ Φίλιππον ὑμῶν κρατεῖν τῷ πολέμῳ; *do you think that the superiority of the Phocians over the Thebans, or that of Philip over you, was the greater in the war* (the war being then past)? DEM. F. L. 387, 6. (Here the direct discourse would be ἐκράτουν and ἐκράτει.) Πῶς γὰρ οἴεσθε δυσχερῶς ἀκούειν Ὀλυνθίους, εἴ τις τι λέγοι κατὰ Φίλιππον κατ' ἐκείνους τοὺς χρόνους, ὅτ' Ἀνθεμῶντα αὐτοῖς ἀφίει, κ. τ. λ.; . . . ἄρα προσδοκᾶν αὐτοὺς τοιαῦτα πείσεσθαι (sc. οἴεσθε); . . . ἄρ' οἴεσθε, ὅτε τοὺς τυράννους ἐξέβαλλε, (τοὺς Θετταλοὺς) προσδοκᾶν κ. τ. λ.; *for how unwillingly do you think the Olynthians used to hear it, if any one said anything against Philip in those times when he was ceding Anthemus to them, &c.? Do you think they were expecting to suffer such things? Do you think that the Thessalians, when he was expelling the despots, were expecting, &c.?* DEM. Phil. II, p. 70, 25 to p. 71, 12. (The direct discourse here was πῶς . . . ἤκουον, εἰ . . . λέγοι; and προσεδόκων;) Καὶ γὰρ τοὺς ἐπὶ τῶν προγόνων ἡμῶν λέγοντας ἀκοῦω τούτῳ τῷ ἔθει χρῆσθαι, *I hear that they used to follow this custom*. DEM. Ol. III, 34, 17. Τὰ μὲν πρὸ Ἑλληνος οὐδὲ εἶναι ἢ ἐπὶ κλησις αὕτη (sc. δοκεῖ), *in the times before Hellen this name does not appear to have even existed*. THUC. I, 3. Again, in the same sentence of Thucydides, παρέχεσθαι, *to have furnished*. Μετὰ ταῦτα ἔφη σφᾶς μὲν δειπνεῖν, τὸν δὲ Σωκράτη οὐκ εἰσιέναι· τὸν οὖν Ἀγάθωνα πολυλάκεις κελεύειν μεταπέμψασθαι τὸν Σωκράτην, ἔδὲ οὐκ εἶν. PLAT. Symp. 175 C. (He said, εἰδειπνοῦμεν, ὁ δὲ Σ. οὐκ εἰσήκει· ὁ οὖν Ἀ. ἐκέλευεν . . . ἐγὼ δὲ οὐκ εἴων.) Συντυχὴν γὰρ (ἔφη) Ἀτρεστίδα παρὰ Φιλίππου πορευομένην, καὶ μετ' αὐτοῦ γυναῖκα καὶ παιδάρια βαδίζειν, *for he said that he had met (Aor.) Atrestidas coming from Philip, and that there were walking with him, &c.* DEM. F. L. 439, 3. Τοῦτ' ἐγὼ φημι δεῖν ἐμὲ μὴ λαθεῖν, *I say that this ought not to have escaped my notice*. DEM. Cor. 291, 27. (The direct discourse here was τοῦτ' ἔδει ἐμὲ μὴ λαθεῖν. § 49, 2, N. 3.)

* For the Imperfect Participle, see § 16, 2.

REMARK 1. This use of the Present of the Infinitive as an Imperfect cannot be too carefully distinguished from its ordinary use after past tenses, where we translate it by the Imperfect, as in ἔλεγε τὸ στράτευμα μάχεσθαι, *he said that the army was fighting*. But here μάχεσθαι refers to time *present*, relatively to ἔλεγε; whereas, if it had been used as an Imperfect, it would have referred to time *past* relatively to ἔλεγε, as in ἔλεγε τὸ στράτευμα τῇ προτεραίᾳ μάχεσθαι, *he said that the army had been fighting on the day before*. In the former case the direct discourse was μάχεται, in the latter it was ἐμάχετο. Such an Imperfect Infinitive differs from the Aorist in the same construction only by expressing a continued or repeated action (as in the Indicative): it gives, in fact, the only means of representing in the Infinitive what is usually expressed by λέγει ὅτι ἐποίει, *he says that he was doing*, differing from λέγει ὅτι ἐποίησεν, *he says that he did*. (For the rare use of the Present Optative to represent the Imperfect in the same way, see § 70, 2, N. 1, (b).) It must be observed, that this construction is never used unless the context makes it certain that the Infinitive represents an Imperfect and not a Present, so that no ambiguity can arise. See the examples.

REMARK 2. This important distinction between the ordinary Present Infinitive referring to the past (when it takes its time from a past tense on which it depends), and the same tense used as an Imperfect and referring to the past by its *own* signification, seems to be overlooked by those who would call the former also a case of Imperfect Infinitive. But in the former case ἔφη τοῦτο ποιεῖν is translated *he said that he WAS doing this* merely to suit the English idiom, whereas the Greeks used the Present because the time was to be *present* (relatively to ἔφη), the direct discourse being τοῦτο ποιῶ: in the other case, however, ἔφη τοῦτο ποιεῖν τῇ προτεραίᾳ, *he said that he had been doing this the day before*, the Greeks used ποιεῖν as a regular Imperfect (relatively to ἔφη), the direct discourse being τοῦτο ἐποίουν. So in Latin (CIC. Phil. VIII, 10), Q. Scaevolam memoria teneo bello Marsico, cum esset summa senectute, quotidie *facere* omnibus conveniendi potestatem sui. So (CIC. de Off. I, 30), Q. Maximum accepimus facile *celare, tacere, dissimulare, insidiari, praeripere* hostium consilia.

The frequency of such constructions and their principle have been often overlooked, from the fact that they occur only when the context prevents all possible ambiguity.

16. 1. The Present Participle regularly refers to a continued or repeated action, which is *contemporary* with that of the leading verb. E. g.

Τοῦτο ποιοῦσιν νομίζοντες κ. τ. λ., *they do this because they think, &c.* Ἐποιοῦν νομίζοντες, *they were doing it in the thought, &c.* Ἐποίησαν νομίζοντες, *they did it because they thought, &c.* Ποιή-

σουσιν νομίζοντες, *they will do it in the thought, &c.* Ταῦτ' ἐπράχθη Κόνωνος στρατηγούντος, *these things were done when Conon was general.* Isoc. Evag. p. 200 C. § 56. (Στρατηγούντος is present relatively to ἐπράχθη) Καὶ τοιαῦτα πράττων τί ἐποίει; *and in doing such things what was he doing?* DEM. Phil. III, 114, 20.

NOTE. When the Present Participle is used like an ordinary Adjective or Substantive (as in § 108), it occasionally refers to time *absolutely* present, even when the leading verb is not present. This must always be denoted by an adverb like νῦν, or by something else in the context. E. g.

Τὴν νῦν Βοιωτίαν καλουμένην ὥκησαν, *they settled in the country now called Boeotia.* THUC. I, 12. Ὁ τοίνυν Φίλιππος ἐξ ἀρχῆς, οὐπω Διοπίθους στρατηγούντος, οὐδὲ τῶν ὄντων ἐν Χερρονήσῳ νῦν ἀπεσταλμένων, Σέρρειον καὶ Δορίσκον ἐλάμβανε, *Philip then in the beginning, when Diopeithes was not yet general, and when the soldiers who ARE NOW in the Chersonese had not yet been sent out, seized upon Serrium and Doriscus.* DEM. Phil. III, 114, 15. (Here στρατηγούντος is present to the time of ἐλάμβανε, while ὄντων is present to the time of speaking.)

2. The Present Participle is also used as an Imperfect, like the Present Infinitive. With the Participle this use is not confined (as it is with the Infinitive) to indirect discourse. E. g.

Οἱ συμπρεσβεύοντες καὶ παρόντες καταμαρτυρήσουσιν, *those who were his colleagues on the embassy and who were present will testify.* DEM. F. L. 381, 5. (Here the embassy is referred to as a well-known event in the past.) Φαίνεται γὰρ ἡ νῦν Ἑλλὰς καλουμένη οὐ πάλαι βεβαίως οἰκουμένη, ἀλλὰ μεταναστάσεις τε οὔσαι τὰ πρότερα, καὶ ῥαδίως ἕκαστοι τὴν ἑαυτῶν ἀπολείποντες, i. e. *the following things are evident, Ἑλλὰς οὐ πάλαι βεβαίως ᾤκειτο, ἀλλὰ μεταναστάσεις ἦσαν, καὶ ἕκαστοι τὴν ἑαυτῶν ἀπέλειπον.* THUC. I, 2. Οἶδα τὸν Σωκράτην δεικνύντα τοῖς ξυνοῦσιν ἑαυτὸν καλὸν κάγαθόν ὄντα. Οἶδα δὲ κάκῳ σωφρονοῦντε, ἔστε Σωκράτει συνήστην. XEN. Mem. I, 2, 18. (The direct discourse here was ἐδείκνυ and ἐσωφρονεῖτην.)

The principles stated in § 15, 3 (with Remarks and Note) in regard to the Present Infinitive used as an Imperfect apply equally to the Participle.

REMARK. The rules for the time of the Infinitive and Participle given in this chapter do not include the Infinitive and Participle with ἄν. For these see Chapter III. § 41.

PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT.

A. *In the Indicative.*

§ 17. 1. The Perfect represents an action as already finished at the *present* time; as γέγραφα, *I have written* (that is, *my writing is now finished*).

2. The Pluperfect represents an action as already finished at some specified *past* time; as ἐγγράφειν, *I had written* (that is, *my writing was finished at some specified past time*).

NOTE 1. The consideration that the Perfect, although it implies the performance of the action in past time, yet *states* only that it *stands completed* at the *present* time, will explain why the Perfect is classed with the Present and Future among the *primary* tenses, that is, the tenses of *present or future time*.

NOTE 2. The Perfect Indicative and the Pluperfect may be expressed by the Perfect Participle with the Present or Imperfect of εἰμί. Here, however, each part of the compound generally retains its own signification, so that this form expresses more fully the continuance of the *result* of the action down to the *present* time (in the case of the Perfect), and down to the *past* time referred to (in the case of the Pluperfect). E. g.

Πεποιηκώς ἐστίν (or ἦν), *he is (or was) in the condition of having done,—he has done (or had done)*. Ἐμοῦ οἱ νόμοι οὐ μόνον ἀπεγνωκότες εἰσὶ μὴ ἀδικεῖν, ἀλλὰ καὶ κεκελευκότες ταύτην τὴν δίκην λαμβάνειν, *it is the laws which have not only acquitted me of injustice, but have commanded me to inflict this punishment*. Lys. de Morte Erat. p. 95, 4. § 34. Οὐρανὸς γεγονώς ἐστὶ τε καὶ ἔτ' ἔσται, *heaven has been formed (and still exists), and will still continue*. PLAT. Tim. 31 B.

REMARK. The latter part of Note 2 of course does not apply to cases where the compound form is the only one in use, as in the third person plural of the Perfect and Pluperfect Passive and Middle of mute and liquid verbs.

On the other hand, the simple form very often implies the continuance of the result of the action down to the present time, or down to a specified past time; but not so distinctly as the compound form, and *not necessarily*. (See the last two examples.) E. g.

Ἐπιμελῶ. οἱ θεοὶ ὧν οἱ ἄνθρωποι δέονται κατεσκευάσιν, *the Gods have carefully provided what men need*. XEN. Mem. IV, 3, 3. Ἐὼν ποιητῶν τινες ὑποθήκας καταδελόipασιν, *some of the poets have left us maxims*. ISOC. Nicocl. p. 15 B. § 3. Ἀκῆροα μὲν τοῦνομα,

μνημονεύω δ' οὐ. *I have heard the name, but I do not remember it.* PLAT. Theaet. 144 B. "Α σοι τύχη κέχρηκε, ταῦτ' ἀφείλετο, *Fortune has taken back what she has lent you.* MENAND. Frag. Incert No. 41.

NOTE 3. The Perfect of many verbs has the signification of a Present, which is usually explained by the peculiar meaning of these verbs. Thus θνήσκειν, *to die*, τεθνηκέναι, *to be dead*; καλεῖν, *to call*, κεκληθῆσθαι, *to be called or named*; γίγνεσθαι, *to become*, γεγονέναι, *to be*; μιμνήσκειν, *to remind*, μεμνηῆσθαι, *to remember*; οἶδα (novi), *I know*; &c.

The Pluperfect of such verbs has the signification of the Imperfect; as οἶδα, *I know*, ᾔδειν, *I knew*. (§ 29, N. 5.)

NOTE 4. In Homer and Herodotus the Pluperfect is sometimes found in nearly the same sense as the Aorist. E. g.

Βεβλήκει γλουτὸν κατὰ δεξιόν. II. V, 66. (Here two Aorists follow, referring to the same time as βεβλήκει.) Ταῦτα ὡς ἐπίθοντο, ὁρμέατο βοηθεῖν, *when they heard this, they started to carry aid.* HDT. IX, 61. "Αλλοι δὲ ἡγεμόνας ἔχοντες ὠρμέατο ἐπὶ τὸ ἱρόν. IDT. VIII, 35.

NOTE 5. In epistles, the Perfect and Aorist are sometimes used where we might expect the Present, the writer transferring himself to the time of the reader. E. g.

Ἀπίσταλκά σοι τόνδε τὸν λόγον, *I send you this speech.* ISOC. Demon. § 2. Μετ' Ἀρταβάζον, ὃν σοι ἔπεμψα, πράσσε. THUC. I, 129. (Here ὃν ἔπεμψα refers to the man who was to carry the letter.) So *scripsi* in Latin.

NOTE 6. The perfect sometimes refers to the future, to denote the certainty or likelihood that an action will immediately take place, in a sense similar to that of the Present (§ 10, N. 7), but with more emphasis, as the change in time is greater. E. g.

"Ὅστ' εἴ με τόξων ἐγκρατὴς αἰσθήσεται, ὄλωλα, *I shall perish at once.* SOPH. Phil. 75. Κἂν τοῦτο νικῶμεν, πάνθ' ἡμῖν πεποιήται. XEN. An. I, 8, 12. So *perii* in Latin.

The Pluperfect can express the same certainty or likelihood transferred to the past.

B. Perfect in the Dependent Moods.

§ 18. As the Perfect Indicative represents an act as finished at the present time, so the Perfect of any of the dependent moods represents an act as finished at the time (present, past, or future) at which the Present of that mood would represent it as going on.

1. The Perfect Subjunctive and Optative are very often expressed in the active, and almost always in the passive and middle, by the Perfect Participle with $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\epsilonῖην$; and can always be resolved into these. Their time, therefore, in each case, can be seen by applying the principles stated in §§ 12 and 13 to the $\tilde{\omega}$ or $\epsilonῖην$. Where the Present would denote *future* time, the Perfect denotes *future-perfect* time. E. g.

Τὸ χρόνον γεγενῆσθαι πολὺν δέδοικα μή τινα λήθην ὑμῖν πεποιήκη, *I fear lest the fact that a long time has passed may (when you come to decide the case) prove to have caused in you some forgetfulness* DEM. F. L. 342, 10. (Μὴ ποιῇ would mean *lest it may cause*, the time being the same as before.) Χρὴ αὐτὰ [ἃ τελευτήσαντα ἐκάτερον περιμένει] ἀκοῦσαι, ἵνα τελέως ἐκάτερος αὐτῶν ἀπειλήφῃ τὰ ὀφειλόμενα, *we must hear what awaits each of them after death, that (when we have finished) each may have fully received his deserts.* PLAT. Rep. X, 614 A. Τοὺς μὲν ἄλλους, κἂν δεδωκότες ᾧσιν εὐθύνας, τὴν ἀειλογίαν ὁρῶ προτεινομένους, *I see that other men, even if they have already given their accounts,—i. e. even if they are (in the state of) persons who have given their accounts,—always offer a perpetual reckoning.* DEM. F. L. 341, 14. Ἀνδρεῖόν γε πάνν νομίζομεν, ὅς ἂν πεπλήγῃ πατέρα, *we always consider one who has beaten his father very manly.* ARIST. Av. 1350. Νόμον θήσκειν μηδενὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ὑμᾶς βοηθεῖν ὃς ἂν μὴ πρότερος βεβόηθη κὼς ὑμῖν ἦ, i. e. *to assist no one who shall not previously have assisted you.* DEM. F. L. 345, 28. (*Ὅς ἂν μὴ πρότερος βοηθῇ would mean *who shall not previously assist you.* The Aorist βοηθήσῃ would differ very little from the Perfect. See § 20, N. 2.)

*Ἐδεισαν μὴ λύσσα ἡμῖν ἐμπεπτώκοι, *they feared lest madness might prove to have fallen upon us.* XEN. An. V, 7, 26. (Μὴ ἐμπίπτει would mean *lest it might fall upon us.*) Πὼς οὐκ ἂν οἰκτρότατα πάντων ἐγὼ πεπονθὼς εἶην, εἰ ἐμέ ψηφίσαιτο εἶναι ξένον; *how should I not have suffered the most pitiable of all things, if they should vote me to be an alien?* DEM. Eubul. 1312, 17. (This could have been expressed, with a very slight difference in meaning, πὼς οὐ πεπονθὼς ἔσομαι. Fut Perf., εἰν ψηφίσονται; *how shall I not have suffered, &c.*) Εἰ ὅτιοῦν πεπονθὼς ἐκάτερος ἡμῶν εἶη, οὐ καὶ ἀμφοτέροι ἂν τοῦτο πεπόνθοιμεν; *if each of us should have suffered anything whatsoever, would not both of us have suffered it?* PLAT. Hipp. M. 301 A. Οὐκ ἂν διὰ τοῦτό γ' εἶεν οὐκ εὐθὺς δεδωκότες, *this, at least, cannot be the reason why they did not pay it at once; i. e. they would not (on inquiry) prove to have not paid it on this account.* DEM. Onet. I, 867, 1. So SOPH. Oed. T. 840. Ἐλεγε ὅσα ἀγαθὰ Κῦρος Πέρσας πεποιήκοι, *he told how many services Cyrus had done the Persians.* HDT. III. 75. (Πεποιήκοι here represents *πεποίηκε* of the direct discourse.) Οἶδοι ἔλεγον ὥς πεντακόσιοι αὐτοῖς εἶσαν ἐκ τοῦ Πειραιῶς δεδεκασμένοι. LYS. in Philocr. p. 182, § 12. (Here the direct discourse was *πεντακόσιοι εἰσιν δεδεκασμένοι.*)

NOTE. The Perfect Subjunctive in protasis (§ 50, 1) corresponds exactly to the Latin Future Perfect Indicative; but the Greek seldom uses this cumbersome Perfect, preferring the less precise Aorist (§ 20, N. 2). The Perfect Optative, in both protasis and apodosis, corresponds to the Latin Perfect Subjunctive, but is seldom used.

The Perfect Optative can seldom be accurately expressed in English. For when we use the English forms *would have suffered* and *should have suffered* to translate the Perfect Optative, these are merely vaguer expressions for *will* and *shall have suffered*. (See the examples above.) *I should have suffered* is commonly past in English, being equivalent to *ἔπαθον ἄν*; but here it is future, and is therefore liable to be misunderstood. There is no more reference to past time, however, in the Perfect Optative with *ἄν*, than there is in the Future Perfect Indicative in such expressions as *μάτην ἐμοὶ κεκλαύσεται*, *I shall have had my whipping for nothing* (referring to one received in his boyhood); ARIST. Nub. 1436.

2. The Perfect Imperative may express a command that something just done or about to be done shall be *decisive* and *final*. It is thus equivalent to the Perfect Participle with the Imperative of *εἰμί*. E. g.

Ταῦτα μὲν δὴ ταύτη ἐῖρήσθω, *let so much have been thus said*, i. e. *let what has been thus said be sufficient*. PLAT. Crat. 401 D. But ὁμως δὲ ἐῖρήσθω ὅτι, κ. τ. λ., *still let as much as this (which follows) be said (once for all), that, &c.* PLAT. Rep. X, 607 C. Περὶ τῶν ἰδίων ταῦτά μοι προεῖρήσθω, *let this have been said (once for all) by way of introduction*. ISOC. Paneg. p. 43 D. § 14. Ταῦτα πεπαίσθω τε ὑμῖν, καὶ ἴσως ἱκανῶς ἔχει, *let this be the end of the play, &c.* PLAT. Euthyd. 278 D. Τετάρχθω ἡμῖν κατὰ δημοκρατίαν ὁ τοιοῦτος ἀνὴρ, *let such a man remain where we have placed him, &c.* PLAT. Rep. VIII, 561 E. Ἀπειργάσθω δὴ ἡμῖν αὕτη ἡ πολιτεία, *let now this be a sufficient description of this form of government*. Id. 553 A. Μέχρι τοῦδε ὠρίσθω ὑμῶν ἡ βραδυτής, *at this point let the limit of your sluggishness be fixed*. THUC. I, 71.

This use seems to be confined to the *third person singular* of the passive and middle. The third person plural in the same sense could be expressed by the Perfect Participle with the Imperative of *εἰμί*, as in PLAT. Rep. VI, 502 A: οὗτοι τοίνυν τοῦτο πεπεισμένοι ἔστων, *grant then that these have been persuaded of this*.

NOTE 1. On this principle the Perfect Imperative is used in mathematical language, to imply that something is to be considered as proved or assumed *once for all*, or that lines drawn or points fixed are to remain as data for a following demonstration. E. g.

Εἰλήφθω ἐπὶ τῆς AB τυχὸν σημεῖον τὸ Δ, καὶ ἀφηρήσθω ἀπὸ τῆς ΑΓ τῇ ΑΔ ἴση ἢ ΑΕ, *let any point Δ be (assumed as) taken in the line AB, and AE equal to ΑΔ as cut off from ΑΓ*. EUCL. I, Pr. 9.

NOTE 2. The Perfect Imperative of the *second* person is rare; when it is used, it seems to be a little more emphatic than the Present or Aorist. E. g.

Ἦέ σὺ τόνδε δέδεξο. II. V, 228. Μὴ πεφόβησθε. THUC. VI, 17. Μόνον σὺ ἡμῖν πιστὰ θεῶν πεποίησο καὶ δεξιὰν δός, *only make us (immediately and once for all) solemn pledges and give the right hand*. XEN. Cyr. IV, 2, 7. Πέπαυσο, *stop! not another word!* DEM. Timoc. 721, 6.

NOTE 3. In verbs whose Perfect has the force of a Present (§ 17, N. 3) the Perfect Imperative is the ordinary form, as μέμνησο, κεκλήσθω, ἐστάτω, τεθνάτω, ἴστω. The Perfect Imperative *active* seems to have been used only in such verbs. Occasionally we find the periphrastic form with the Participle and εἰμί, as ἔστω ξυμβεβηκῦια. PLAT. Leg. V, 736 B.

3. (a.) The Perfect Infinitive in indirect discourse represents a Perfect Indicative of the direct discourse, and therefore denotes an action which is *finished* at the time of the leading verb. E. g.

Φησὶ τοῦτο πεπραχέναι, *he says that he has done this*; ἔφη τοῦτο πεπραχέναι, *he said that he had done this*; φήσει τοῦτο πεπραχέναι, *he will say that he has done this* (the direct discourse in each case being πέπραχα). Ἔφη χρήμαθ' ἐαυτῷ τοὺς Θηβαίους ἐπικεκρηγμέναι, *he said that the Thebans had offered a reward for his seizure*. DEM. F. L. 347, 26. In ARIST. Nub. 1277, προσκεκλησθαί μοι δοκεῖς (according to Mss. Rav. & Ven.), *you seem to me to be sure to be summoned to court (to be as good as already summoned)*, the Infinitive represents a Perfect Indicative referring to the future (§ 17, N. 6). So κεκωλύσθαι ἐδόκει. THUC. II, 8.

(b.) In other constructions the Perfect Infinitive represents an act as *finished* at the time at which the Present in the same construction would represent it as *going on* (§ 15, 1). E. g.

Οὐ βουλευέσθαι ἔτι ὄρα, ἀλλὰ βεβουλευῆσθαι. τῆς γὰρ ἐπιούσης νυκτὸς πάντα ταῦτα δεῖ πεπραχθαι, *it is no longer time to be deliberating, but (it is time) to have finished deliberating; for all this must be done (and finished) within the coming night*. PLAT. Crit. 46 A. Καὶ μὴν περὶ ὧν γε προσετάξατε . . . προσήκει διωκηκέναι, *and it is his duty to have attended (during his absence) to the business about which you gave him instructions*. DEM. F. L. 342, 28. (This refers to an ambassador presenting his accounts on his return.) Ξυνετύγχανε πολλαχοῦ διὰ τὴν στενοχωρίαν τὰ μὲν ἄλλοις ἐμβεβληκέναι τὰ δ' αὐτοὺς ἐμβεβληθῆσθαι, δύο τε περὶ μίαν . . . ξυνηρτηῆσθαι, *it often befell them to have made an attack on one side and (at the same time) to have been attacked themselves on the*

other, &c. THUC. VII, 70. Ἀνάγκη γὰρ τὰ μὲν μέγιστ' αὐτῶν ἴδῃ κατακεχρησθαι μικρὰ δέ τινα παραλελειφθαι, *for it must be that the most important subjects have been used up, and that only unimportant ones have been left*. ISOC. Pan. p. 55 D § 74. Οὐκ ἤθελον ἐμβαίνειν διὰ τὸ καταπεπληχθαι τῇ ἡσση, *they were unwilling to embark on account of having been terrified by the defeat*. THUC. VII, 72. Τὸ γὰρ πολλὰ ἀπολωλέκεναι κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀμελείας ἂν τις θεῖη δικαίως, τὸ δὲ μήτε πάλαι τοῦτο πεπονθέναι πεφηνέναι τέ τινι ἡμῖν συμμαχίαν τούτων ἀντίρροπον, τῆς παρ' ἐκείνων εἰνοίας εὐεργέτημ' ἂν ἔγωγε θεῖην, *for our having lost many things during the war any one might justly charge upon our neglect; but our never having suffered this before and the fact that an alliance has now appeared to us to make up for these losses I should consider a benefaction*, &c. DEM. Ol. I, 12, 3. (Compare γεγενῆσθαι in the first example under § 18, 1.) Ἐφθασαν παροικοδομήσαντες, ὥστε μηκέτι μήτε αὐτοὶ κωλύεσθαι ὑπ' αὐτῶν, ἐκείνους τε καὶ παντάπασιν ἀπεστερηκέनαι σφᾶς ἀποτείχισαι, i. e. *they carried their own wall beyond that of the Athenians, so as no longer to be themselves interfered with by them, and so as to have effectually prevented them*, &c. THUC. VII, 6. Ἐπεμελήθη καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν, ὥστε τῶν παρόντων τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἀγαθῶν μηδὲν μὲν ἄνευ τῆς πόλεως εἶναι, τὰ δὲ πλείστα διὰ ταύτην γεγενῆσθαι. ISOC. Pan. p. 48 B. § 38. Τοιαῦτα καὶ τοσαῦτα κατεσκεύασαν ἡμῖν, ὥστε μηδενὶ τῶν ἐπιγιγνομένων ὑπερβολὴν λελεῖφθαι, *they made such and so great acquisitions as to have no possibility of surpassing them left to any one who should come after them*. DEM. Ol. III, 35, 18. Δίδομεν αὐτοῖς προῖκα συγκεκύφθαι, *we allow them to have cut us up for nothing* (i. e. *we make no account of their having done so*). ARIST. Nub. 1426.

NOTE. The Perfect Infinitive is sometimes used like the Perfect Imperative (§ 18, 2), signifying that the action is to be *decisive* and *permanent*, and sometimes it seems to be merely more emphatic than the Present or Aorist Infinitive. E. g.

Εἶπον τὴν θύραν κεκλείσθαι, *they ordered that the door should be shut and remain so*. XEN. Hell. V, 4, 7. Βουλόμενος ἀγωνί καὶ δικαστηρίῳ μοι διωρίσθαι παρ' ὑμῖν ὅτι τάναντία ἐμοὶ καὶ τούτοις πέπρακται, i. e. *wishing to have it definitely and once for all settled in your minds*. DEM. F. L. 410, 28. Θελούσας πρὸς πύλαις πεπτωκέनαι, *eager to fall before the gates*. AESCH. Sept. 462. Ἦλαυνεν ἐπὶ τοὺς Μένωνος, ὥστ' ἐκείνους ἐκπεπληχθαι καὶ τρέχειν ἐπὶ τὰ ὄπλα, *he marched against the soldiers of Menon, so that they were (once for all) thoroughly frightened and ran to arms*. XEN. An. I, 5, 13. (Here ἐκπεπληχθαι is merely more emphatic than the Present would have been.)

REMARK. The Perfect Infinitive belongs also to the Pluperfect, and is occasionally used to represent that tense in indirect discourse. This occurs chiefly (perhaps only) when the Infinitive is modified by ἄν. See the first example under § 41, 2.

4. The Perfect Participle in all its uses refers to an

action as already *finished* at the time of the leading verb. E. g.

Ἐπαινοῦσι τοὺς εἰρηκότας, *they praise those who have spoken.*
Ἐπῆνεσαν τοὺς εἰρηκότας, *they praised those who had spoken.*
Ἐπαινέσουσι τοὺς εἰρηκότας, *they will praise those who have (then) spoken.*
Ἐπέδειξα οἱδὲν ἀληθὲς ἀπὴ γγελκότα (Αἰσχίνην), *I showed that Aeschines had announced nothing that was true (i. e. I showed, οὐδὲν ἀληθὲς ἀπὴ γγελεν).* DEM. F. L. 396, 30.

AORIST.

A. In the Indicative.

§ 19. The Aorist Indicative expresses the simple *momentary occurrence* of an action in past time; as ἔγραψα, *I wrote.*

This fundamental idea of *simple occurrence* remains the essential characteristic of the Aorist through all the dependent moods, however indefinite they may be in regard to time.

NOTE 1. The Aorist of verbs which denote a *state* or *condition* generally expresses the *entrance into* that state or condition. E. g.

Βασιλεύω, *I am king*, ἐβασίλευσα, *I became king*; ἄρχω, *I hold office*, ἤρξα, *I obtained office*; πλουτῶ, ἐπλούτησα, *I became rich.* Τῇ ἀληθείᾳ συνῶκει καὶ οὐδέπω ἀπολέλοιπεν . . . ἀλλὰ παρὰ ζῶντος Τιμοκράτους ἐκέινῳ συνῶκησε, *she was his wife in good faith, and has not even yet been divorced*; . . . but she went to live with him, &c. DEM. Onet. I, 873, 8.

NOTE 2. The Aorist differs from the Imperfect by denoting the *momentary occurrence* of an action or state, while the Imperfect denotes a *continuance* or *repetition* of the same action or state. This is especially obvious in the verbs mentioned in Note 1, as ἐβασίλευον, ἤρχον, ἐπλούτουν, *I was king, held office, was rich.* (See especially the last example under N. 1.) The Aorist is therefore the tense most common in *narration*, the Imperfect in *description*. The Aorist may sometimes refer to a series of repetitions; but it refers to them *collectively*, as a single whole, while the Imperfect refers to them *separately*, as individuals. So the Aorist may even refer to a continued action, if (as a whole) it is viewed as a *single event* in past time. E. g.

Ἐγὼ δὲ ἦλθον, εἶδον, ἐνίκησα, *I came, I saw, I conquered* (Veni, vidi, vici). APP. BELL. CIV. II, 91. So ἐβασίλευσε δέκα

ἔτη may be used to mean *he had a reign of ten years* (which is now viewed as a single past event); whereas ἐβασίλευε δέκα ἔτη would mean *he continued to reign ten years*.

NOTE 3. The distinction between the Imperfect and Aorist was sometimes neglected, especially by the older writers. See § 11, Note 5.

NOTE 4. (a.) The Aorist is sometimes found where we should expect the Perfect or the Pluperfect; the action being simply referred to the past, without the more exact specification afforded by the Perfect and Pluperfect. E. g.

Τῶν οἰκετῶν οὐδένα κατέλιπεν, ἀλλ' ἅπαντα πέπρακεν. AESCHIN. Timarch. § 99. Ἐτράποντο ἐς τὸν Πάνορμον, ὅθεν περ ἀνηγάγοντο, *they turned towards Panormus, whence they had set sail.* THUC. II, 92. Κῦρον δὲ μεταπέμπεται ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρχῆς ἧς αὐτὸν σατράπην ἐποίησεν, *of which he had once made him satrap.* XEN. An. I, 1, 2.

(b.) Especially the Aorist is generally used, even where we should expect the Pluperfect, after particles of time like ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὥς (*when*), ὅτε, ἕως, πρὶν, &c. E. g.

Ἐπειδὴ ἔτελε ὑπῆρξε Δαρείος καὶ κατέστη Ἀρταξέρξης, *after Darius had died and Artaxerxes had become established.* XEN. An. I, 1, 3. Οὐ πρόσθεν ἐξενεγκεῖν ἐτόλμησαν πρὸς ἡμᾶς πόλεμον, πρὶν τοὺς στρατηγοὺς ἡμῶν συνέλαβον, *before they had seized our generals.* XEN. An. III, 2, 29. Οἱ δ' ὅτε δὴ λιμένος πολυβενθέος ἐντὸς ἵκοντο, *when they had entered.* II. I, 432. So in Latin, postquam venit, *after he had come.*

NOTE 5. The Aorist is sometimes used in colloquial language; by the poets (especially the dramatists), when a momentary action, which is *just taking place*, is to be expressed as if it had already happened. E. g.

Ἐπ' ἦν εἰς ἔργον καὶ πρόνοιαν ἦν ἔθον, *I must approve your act, &c.* SOPH. Aj. 536. Ὅσθην ἀπειλαῖς, ἐγέλασα ψολοκομπίας, *I am amused by your threats, I cannot help laughing, &c.* ARIST. Eq. 696.

NOTE 6. The Aorist sometimes refers vividly to the future, like the Present or Perfect (§ 10, N. 7; § 17, N. 6); as ἀπωλόμην εἰ με λείψεις, *I perish if you leave me,* EUR. Alc. 386.

So in questions with τί οὐ expressing surprise that something is not already done, and implying an exhortation to do it; as τί οὐδν οὐ διηγῆσω; *why then do you not tell us the story?* PLAT. Prot. 310 A. See also τί οὐδν οὐκ ἐκαλέσαμεν; Prot. 317 D.

B. Aorist in the Dependent Moods.

REMARK. The Aorist of the dependent moods differs from the Present as is explained in the Remark before § 12.

§ 20. The Aorist Subjunctive denotes a *single* or *momentary* action, the time of which is determined by the rules that apply to the time of the Present Subjunctive, § 12:—

That is, in clauses denoting a *purpose* or *object*, after *ἵνα*, *μή*, &c., it refers to time *future* relatively to the leading verb; in conditional sentences (including conditional relative and temporal sentences),—in ordinary protasis (§ 50, 1), the Subjunctive refers to the *future*; in general suppositions after verbs of present time (§ 51), it refers to indefinite time represented as *present*. In independent sentences it refers to the *future*. E. g.

Δέδοικα μὴ ἐπιλαθόμεθα τῆς οἰκαδὲ ὁδοῦ, *I fear lest we may forget the road home*. XEN. AN. III, 2, 25. Διανοεῖται τὴν γέφυραν λῦσαι, ὡς μὴ διαβῇ τε ἀλλ' ἀποληφθῇ τε. *he intends to destroy the bridge, that you may not pass over but be caught*. ID. II, 4, 17. *Ἦν τὴν εἰρήνην ποιήσωμεθα, μετὰ πολλῆς ἀσφαλείας τὴν πόλιν οἰκήσομεν. *if we shall make the peace, &c.* ISOC. PAC. p. 163 A. § 20. Ὡς ἂν εἴπω περθόμεθα, *let us obey as I shall direct*. II. IX, 704. *Ἦν ἐγγὺς ἔλθῃ θάνατος, οὐδεὶς βούλεται θνήσκειν, *if death comes near (the moment that death comes near), no one wants to die*. EUR. ALCE. 671. *Ὅν μὲν ἂν ἴδῃ ἀγνώστα (sc. ὁ κύων), χαλεπαίνει· ὃν δ' ἂν γνώριμον (sc. ἴδῃ), ἀσπάζεται, i. e. *whomsoever the dog sees (at any time)*. PLAT. REP. II, 376 A. Ἀναλογισώμεθα τὰ ὁμολογημένα ἡμῖν, *let us enumerate the points which have been conceded by us*. PLAT. PROT. 332 D. Μηδὲν φοβηθῇς, *fear not (in this case)*. (But μηδὲν φοβοῦ, *be not timid*.) Τί ποιήσω; *what shall I do (in a single case)?* (But τί ποιῶ; *what shall I do (generally)?*) Οὐ μὴ τοῦτο εἴπῃς, *you will not say this*. Οὐ μὴ γένηται, *it will not happen*. So in the Homeric οὐδὲ ἴδωμαι, *nor shall I ever see*.

See other examples under the rules in Chapter IV.

NOTE 1. When the Aorist Subjunctive depends on *ἐπειδάν* (*ἐπὶ ἅν*, *ἐπὶ ἣν*), *after that*, it is referred by the meaning of the particle to a moment of time that *precedes* the action of the leading verb, so that *ἐπειδάν τοῦτο ἴδω*, *ἐλεύσομαι* means *after I shall have seen this, I will come*; and *ἐπειδάν τοῦτο ἴδω*, *ἀπέρχομαι*, *after I have seen this, I (always) depart*. In such cases it is to be translated by our *Future Perfect*, when the leading verb is future; and by our *Perfect*, when the leading verb denotes a *general truth* and is translated by the Present. As the Subjunctive in this construction can never depend

upon a verb expressing simply *present* time, it is obvious that it can never refer to time absolutely *past*: we use the *Perfect* Indicative in translating such Aorists after verbs expressing general truths, merely because we use the *Present* in translating the leading verb, although that is properly not merely *present*, but *general* in its time.

In like manner, after *ἕως*, *πρὶν*, and other particles signifying *until*, *before that*, and even after the relative pronoun or *ἐάν*, the Aorist Subjunctive may be translated by our Future Perfect or Perfect, when the context shows that it refers to a moment of time *preceding* that of the leading verb. E. g.

Χρὴ δὲ, ὅταν μὲν τιθῇσθε τοὺς νόμους, ὅποιοί τινές εἰσιν σκοπεῖν, ἐπειδὰν δὲ θῇσθε, φυλάττειν καὶ χρῆσθαι, *while you are enacting laws, you must look to see of what kind they are; but after you have enacted them, you must guard and use them.* DEM. MID. 525, 11. (Here the Present *τιθῇσθε* after *ὅταν*, *while*, refers to an action continuing through the time of the leading verb; but *θῇσθε* after *ἐπειδὰν*, *after that*, refers to time *past* relatively to the leading verb.) Ταῦτα, ἐπειδὰν περὶ τοῦ γένους εἴπω, τότε, ἂν βούλησθε ἀκοῦειν, ἐρῶ, *when I shall have spoken about my birth, then, if you desire to hear, I will speak of these things.* DEM. EUBUL. 1303, 25. (Here the Aorist *εἴπω*, though absolutely future, denotes time *past* with reference to *ἐρῶ*.) Ἐπειδὰν διαπράξωμαι ἃ θέομαι, ἤξω, *when I shall have accomplished what I desire, I will come.* XEN. AN. II, 3, 29. Ἐπειδὰν δὲ κρύψωσι γῇ, ἀνὴρ ἡρημένος ὑπὸ τῆς πόλεως λέγει ἐπ' αὐτοῖς ἔπαινον τὸν πρέποντα, *when they have covered them with earth, &c.* THUC. II, 34. Ἔως ἂν σώζηται τὸ σκάφος, τότε χρὴ προθύμους εἶναι· ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἡ θάλαττα ὑπὲρσχῃ, μάταις ἢ σπουδῇ, *as long as it remains in safety (Present); — but the moment that the sea has overwhelmed it (Aorist).* DEM. PHIL. III, 128, 22. Ἔως ἂν ἐκμάθῃς, ἔχ' ἐλπίδα, *until you have learnt fully, have hope.* SOPH. O. T. 834. Μία δὲ κλίνη κενὴ φέρεται τῶν ἀφανῶν, οἱ ἂν μὴ εὕρεθῶσιν ἐς ἀναίρεσιν, *and one bier is always carried empty, in honor of the missing, whose bodies have not been found.* THUC. II, 34. Τίς διανοεῖται, ἃ ἂν ἄλλοι τῇ ἀρετῇ καταπράξωσι, τούτων ἰσομοιρεῖν; *who ever thinks of having an equal share in those things which others by their valor have acquired?* XEN. CYR. II, 3, 5. Πάνθ' ὅσ' ἂν ἐκ πολέμου γυγνομένης εἰρήνης προεθῇ, ταῦτα τοῖς ἀμελήσασιν ἀπόλλυται, *all things which are (or have been) abandoned when peace is made are always lost to those who abandoned them.* DEM. F. L. 388, 9. Ἦν δ' ἄρα καὶ τοῦ πείρα σφαλῶσιν, ἀντελπίσαντες ἄλλα ἐπλήρωσαν τὴν χρεῖαν, *if they have been disappointed in anything, they always supply the deficiency, &c.* THUC. I, 70. (See § 30, 1.) Οὐχὶ παῖσσομαι, πρὶν ἂν σε τῶν σῶν κύριον στήσω τέκνων, *I will not cease before I have (shall have) made you master of your children.* SOPH. O. C.

1040. Μὴ στέναζε πρὶν μάθῃς, *do not groan until you have heard.* SOPH. Phil. 917.

NOTE 2. The use of the Aorist Subjunctive mentioned in Note 1 sometimes seems to approach very near to that of the Perfect Subjunctive (§ 18, 1); and we often translate both by the same tense in English. But with the Perfect, the idea of an action *completed* at the time referred to is expressed by the tense of the verb, without aid from any particle or from the context; with the Aorist, the idea of relative past time can come *only* from the particle or the context. (See § 18, 1, Note.) E. g.

*Οὐ μὲν ἂν ἴδῃ ἀγνώτα (ὁ κύων), χαλεπαίνει· ὃν δ' ἂν γνώριμον (ἴδῃ), ἀσπάζεται, κἂν μηδὲν πρόποτε ὑπ' αὐτοῦ ἀγαθὸν πεπόνθῃ, *whomsoever he sees whom he knows, he fawns upon, even if he has hitherto received no kindness from him.* PLAT. Rep. II, 376 A. Compare this with εἰ ἀγαθὸν τι πάθῃ ὑπό τινος, ἀσπάζεται, *if he ever happens to receive any kindness from any one, he always fawns upon him*; and ἐπειδὴν ἀγαθὸν τι πάθῃ, ἀσπάζεται, *after he has received any kindness, he always fawns upon him.* See examples under § 18, 1.

§ 21. 1. The Aorist Optative, when it is not in indirect discourse, denotes a *single* or *momentary* action, the time of which is determined by the rules that apply to the time of the Present Optative, § 13, 1:—

That is, in clauses denoting a *purpose* or *object*, after ἵνα, ὅπως, μή, &c., it refers to time *future* relatively to the leading verb; in conditional sentences (including conditional relative and temporal sentences),—in ordinary protasis (§ 50, 2), the Optative refers to the *future* (only more vaguely than the Subjunctive); in general suppositions after verbs of past time (§ 51), it refers to indefinite *past* time. In independent sentences it refers to the *future*. E. g.

Φίλιππος ἐν φόβῳ ἦν μὴ ἐκφύγοι τὰ πράγματα αὐτόν, *Philip was in fear lest the control of affairs might escape him.* DEM. Cor. 236, 19. Εἰ ἔλθοι, πάντ' ἂν ἴδοι, *if he should go, he would see all.* Εἰ ἔλθοι, πᾶνθ' ἑώρα, *if ever (whenever) he went, he (always) saw all.* Οὐδ' εἰ πάντες ἔλθοιεν Πέρσαι, πλήθει γε οὐχ ὑπερβαλοίμεθ' ἂν τοὺς πολεμίους, *not even if all the Persians should come, should we surpass the enemy in numbers.* XEN. Cyr. II, 1, 8. *Ὅτε ἕξω τοῦ δεινοῦ γένοιτο, καὶ ἐξείη πρὸς ἄλλους ἀρχοντας ἀπίνειν, πολλοὶ αὐτὸν ἀπέλειπον, *but when they were come out of danger and it was in their power (Present) to go to other commanders, (in all such cases) many left him.* XEN. An. II, 6, 12. *Ἄνευ γὰρ ἀρχόντων οὐδὲν ἂν οὔτε καλὸν

οὔτε ἀγαθὸν γένοιτο, *nothing could be done, &c.* XEN. AN. III, 1 38. Οὐκ οἶδα ὅ τι ἂν τις χρήσαιο αὐτοῖς, *I do not know what use any one could make of them.* XEN. AN. III, 1, 40. Εἴθε σὺ τοιοῦτος ὦν φίλος ἡμῖν γένοιτο, *may you become a friend to us.* XEN. HELL. IV, 1, 38. Μὴ γένοιτο, *may it not happen.*

See other examples under the rules in Chapter IV.

NOTE. When the Aorist Optative depends upon ἐπειδὴ or ἐπεὶ, *after that*, it is referred by the meaning of the particle to a moment of time preceding that of the leading verb, like the Aorist Subjunctive in § 20, N. 1, so that ἐπειδὴ ἴδοι, ἀπῆρχετο means *after he had seen, he (always) went away.* This gives the Aorist in translation the force of a Pluperfect. So after ἕως, *until*, and in the other cases mentioned in § 20, N. 1. E. g.

Οὓς μὲν ἴδοι εὐτάκτως ἴοντας, τίνες τε εἰν ἡρώτα, καὶ ἐπεὶ πύθοιτο, ἐπῆναι, *he asked any whom he saw marching in good order, who they were; and after he had ascertained, he praised them.* XEN. CYR. V, 3, 55. Περιεμένομεν ἐκάστοτε ἕως ἀνοιχθείη τὸ δεσμωτήριον· ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀνοιχθείη, εἰσῆιμεν παρὰ τὸν Σωκράτη, *we waited each morning until the prison was opened (or had been opened); and after it was opened, we went in to Socrates.* PLAT. PHAED. 59 D. Οὐδαμόθεν ἀφίεσαν, πρὶν παραθεῖεν αὐτοῖς ἄριστον, *before they had placed breakfast before them.* XEN. AN. IV, 5, 30.

2. From the general rule for indirect discourse (§ 69, 1) we derive the following special rules:—

(a.) First, if the Aorist Optative in indirect discourse represents an Aorist Indicative of the direct discourse, it denotes a *momentary* or *single* action which is *past* with reference to the leading verb. E. g.

Ἔλεξαν ὅτι πέμψειε σφᾶς ὁ βασιλεὺς. *they said that the king had sent them* (i. e. *they said* ἔπεμψεν ἡμᾶς ὁ βασιλεὺς). XEN. CYR. II, 4, 7. Τότε ἐγνώσθη ὅτι οἱ βάρβαροι τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἱποπέμψαιεν, *then it became known that the barbarians had sent the man.* XEN. AN. II, 4, 22. Ἐτόλμα λέγειν ὡς πολλὰ τῶν ἐμῶν λάβοιεν, *he dared to say that they had taken much of my property.* DEM. APH. I, 828, 25. Ἠρώτων αὐτὸν εἰ ἀναπλεύσειεν, *I asked him whether he had set sail* (i. e. *I asked him the question, ἀνέπλευσας;).* DEM. POLYCL. 1223, 21. Ἐπειρώτα τίνα ἴδοι, *he asked whom he had seen* (i. e. *τίνα εἶδες, whom did you see?).* HDT. I, 31. So I, 116: εἶρετο κόθεν λάβοι.

(b.) But if it represents an Aorist Subjunctive of the direct discourse, it denotes a *momentary* or *single* action which is *future* with reference to the leading verb. E. g.

Οἱ Ἐπιδάμνιοι τὸν θεὸν ἐπῆρνον εἰ παραδοίεν Κορινθίους τὴν πόλιν, *they asked whether they should deliver up their city to the Corinthians (i. e. they asked the question, παραδῶμεν τὴν πόλιν: shall we deliver up our city?).* THUC. I, 25. Ἐσκόπουν ὅπως κάλλιστ' ἐνέγκαιμ' αὐτόν, *I looked to see how I could best endure him (i. e. I asked, πῶς ἐνέγκω αὐτόν; how can I endure him?).* EUR. Hipp. 393. Διεσιώπησε σκοπῶν ὃ τι ἀποκρίναιτο, *he continued silent, thinking what he should answer (i. e. thinking, τί ἀποκρίνωμαι;).* XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 10.

REMARK. Examples of the Aorist Optative representing the Aorist Subjunctive in a dependent clause of the direct discourse, to which the same principles apply, may be found under § 74, 1. The Aorist Indicative is, however, generally retained in dependent clauses of indirect quotations: see § 74, 2, with N. 1.

NOTE 1. It will be seen by a comparison of the examples under (a) and (b), as in § 13, 2, Note 1, that an ambiguity may sometimes arise from uncertainty whether the Aorist Optative stands for the Aorist Indicative, or for the Aorist Subjunctive in a question of doubt. Thus, ἡγνόουν ὃ τι ποιήσκειαν might mean, *they knew not what they had done* (the Optative representing τί ἐποίησαμεν; *what did we do?*), or *they knew not what they should do* (the Optative representing τί ποιήσωμεν; *what shall we do?*). The context must decide in each case; but in most cases the latter construction is intended. (For the manner of avoiding a similar ambiguity, see § 74, 2, N. 1.)

§ 22. The Aorist Imperative refers to a momentary or single action in *future time*; as εἰπέ μοι, *tell me*; δότε μοι τοῦτο, *give me this*.

§ 23. The Aorist Infinitive has two distinct uses, corresponding to the first two uses of the Present Infinitive (§ 15):—

1. First, in its *ordinary* use (either with or without the article), whenever it is not in indirect discourse, it denotes a momentary or single action *without regard to time*, unless its time is especially defined by the context. E. g.

Πόλεώς ἐστι θάνατος ἀνάστατον γενέσθαι, *it is death for a city to be laid waste.* LYCURG. in Leocr. p. 155, 35. § 61. Ὡσπερ τῶν

ἀνδρῶν τοῖς καλοῖς καγαθοῖς αἰρετώτερόν ἐστι καλῶς ἀποθανεῖν ἢ ζῆν αἰσχροῶς, οὕτω καὶ τῶν πόλεων ταῖς ὑπερεχούσαις λυσιτελεῖν (ἡγοῦντο) ἐξ ἀνθρώπων ἀφανισθῆναι μᾶλλον ἢ δούλαις ὀφθῆναι γενομέναις, as it is preferable for honorable men to die (Aor.) nobly rather than to continue living (Pres.) in disgrace, so also they thought that it was better (Pres.) for the pre-eminent among states to be (at once) made to disappear from the earth, than to be (once) seen to have fallen into slavery. ISOC. Paneg. p. 60 C. § 95. Πέμπουσιν ἐς τὴν Κέρκυραν πρέσβεις, δέόμενοι μὴ σφᾶς περιορᾶν φθειρομένους, ἀλλὰ τοὺς τε φεύγοντας ξυναλλάξαι σφίσι καὶ τὸν τῶν βαρβάρων πόλεμον καταλῦσαι, asking them not to allow them to be destroyed, but to bring about a reconciliation . . . and to put an end to the war. THUC. I, 24. Τὸ γὰρ γνῶναι ἐπιστήμην που λαβεῖν ἐστίν, to learn is to acquire knowledge. PLAT. Theaet. 209 E. Πάντες τὸ καταλιπεῖν αὐτὰ πάντων μάλιστα φεύγομεν, we all try most of all to avoid leaving them behind. XEN. Mem. II, 2, 3. Οὐ γὰρ τὸ μὴ λαβεῖν τὰγαθὰ οὕτω γε χαλεπὸν ὥσπερ τὸ λαβόντα στερηθῆναι λυπηρόν. XEN. Cyr. VII, 5, 82. Τοῦ πιεῖν ἐπιθυμία, the desire of obtaining drink. THUC. VII, 84. Κελεύει αὐτὸν ἐλθεῖν, he commands him to go. Ἐκέλευσεν αὐτὸν ἐλθεῖν, he commanded him to go. Κελεύσει αὐτὸν ἐλθεῖν, he will command him to go. Πρὸς τῷ μηδὲν ἐκ τῆς πρεσβείας λαβεῖν, τοὺς αἰχμαλώτους ἐλύσατο, besides receiving nothing from the embassy, he ransomed the captives. DEM. F. L. 412, 21. Εἰ πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς Φωκέας ἀπολέσθαι ψηφίσαισθε βοηθεῖν, if before the destruction of the Phocians you should vote to go to their assistance. DEM. Cor. 236, 20. Τὰς αἰτίας προῦγραψα, τοῦ μὴ τινα ζητῆσαί ποτε ἐξ ὅτου τοσούτος πόλεμος κατέστη, that no one may ever ask the reason, why, &c. THUC. I, 23. Cf. DEM. Cor. 295, 13; EUR. Orest. 1529.

REMARK. The Remark which follows § 15, 1 applies also to the Aorist Infinitive.

NOTE 1. For a discussion of the time denoted by the Infinitive when it has the article and also a subject, see Appendix, II.

NOTE 2. Χράω, ἀναίρέω, θεσπίζω, and other verbs signifying to give an oracular response, are sometimes followed by the Aorist (as well as by the Present) Infinitive, which expresses the command, advice, or warning given by the oracle. These verbs here simply take the ordinary construction of verbs of commanding and advising. E. g.

Χρωμένω δὲ τῷ Κύλῳ ἀνεῖλεν ὁ θεός, ἐν τῇ τοῦ Διὸς τῇ μεγίστῃ ἑορτῇ καταλαβεῖν τὴν Ἀθηναίων ἀκρόπολιν, that he should seize. THUC. I, 126. But we find ἀνεῖλεν ἔσσεσθαι in THUC. I, 118. Ἐκέχρητο γὰρ τοῖσι Σπαρτιάτῃσι, ἢ Λακεδαιμόνα ἀνάστατον γενέσθαι, ἢ τὸν βασιλέα σφέν ἀπολέσθαι. HDT. VII, 220. Ἐθέσπισε κομίσαι . . . καὶ εἰσιδεῖν. EUR. Iph. Taur. 1014. So Ἐεῖπέ οἱ . . . νοῦσῳ ὑπ' ἀργαλῇ φθίσθαι . . . ἢ ὑπὸ Τρώεσσι διαιμῆναι, the diviner told him that he must either die in

painful disease at home, or perish at the hands of the Trojans. Π. XIII, 667. So after *χρησμός*, PLAT. Rep. III, 415 C.

For the Present see § 15, 1. N. 2.

NOTE 3. The Present of *αἰτιός εἰμι*, *I am the cause*, is often used with reference to the past, where logically a past tense should be used; as *αἰτιός ἐστι τοῦτω θανεῖν*, *he is the cause of his death*, instead of *αἰτιος ἦν τοῦτω θανεῖν*, *he was the cause of his death*. This often gives an ordinary Aorist Infinitive after this form the appearance of a verb of past time, like the Aorist Infinitive in indirect discourse. This will be explained in each case by mentally substituting a past tense for the present. E. g.

Αἴτιοι οὖν εἰσι καὶ ὑμῖν πολλῶν ἤδη ψευσθῆναι καὶ δὴ ἀδίκως γέ τινας ἀπολέσθαι, *they are the cause why you were deceived and some even perished* (i. e. *they caused you to be deceived and some even to perish*). LYS. de Arist. Bon. 156, 28. § 51. *Τεθνᾶσιν οἱ δὲ ζῶντες αἴτιοι θανεῖν*. SOPH. Ant. 1173. *Ἦ μοι μητρὶ μὲν θανεῖν μόνῃ μεταίτιος*. SOPH. Trach. 1233.

For the construction of the Infinitive see § 92, 1, Note 2 (end).

2. Secondly, the Aorist Infinitive in indirect discourse is used to represent an Aorist Indicative of the direct discourse, and therefore denotes a momentary or single action, which is *past* relatively to the leading verb. E. g.

Φησὶν τοῦτο ποιῆσαι, *he says that he did this* (i. e. *he says τοῦτο ἐποίησα*). **Εφη τοῦτο ποιῆσαι*, *he said that he had done this* (i. e. *he said τοῦτο ἐποίησα*). *Φήσει τοῦτο ποιῆσαι*, *he will say that he did this* (i. e. *he will say τοῦτο ἐποίησα*). **Ο Κῦρος λέγεται γενέσθαι Καμβύσειω*, *Cyrus is said to have been the son of Cambyses*. XEN. Cyr. I, 2, 1. *Παλαιότατοι λέγονται ἐν μέρει τινὶ τῆς χώρας Κύκλωπες οἰκῆσαι*, *they are said to have settled*. THUC. VI, 2. **Ἦσαν ὑπόπτοι αὐτοῖς μὴ προθύμως σφίσι πέμψαι ἃ ἔπεμψαν*, *they were suspected of not having sent them with alacrity what they did send*. THUC. VI, 75.

NOTE 1. The principle stated in § 15, 2, N. 1, will decide in doubtful cases whether the Infinitive stands in indirect discourse or in the construction of § 23, 1.

NOTE 2. Verbs and expressions signifying *to hope*, *to expect*, *to promise*, and the like, after which the Infinitive in indirect discourse would naturally be in the Future (§ 27, N. 3), as representing a Future Indicative of the direct discourse, sometimes take the Aorist (as well as the Present) Infinitive. (See § 15, 2, N. 2.) E. g.

**Ἐέλπετο κῦδος ἀρέσθαι*, *he was hoping to obtain glory*. Π. XII,

407. Πάλιν ἔμολ' ἃ πάρος οὐποτε ἤλπισεν παθεῖν. EUR. Here. F. 746. Εἰ γὰρ κρατήσκειαν τῷ ναυτικῷ, τὸ ῥήγιον ἤλπιζον ῥαδίως χειρώσασθαι, *they hoped to subdue Rhegium*. THUC. IV, 24. Οὐδ' ἂν ἐλπίς ἦν αὐτὰ βελτίω γενέσθαι, *there would not be even a hope of their becoming better*. DEM. Phil. I, 40, 18. Ἐκ μὲν τοῦ κακῶς πράττειν τὰς πόλεις μεταβολῆς τυχεῖν ἐπὶ τὸ βέλτιον εἰκός ἐστίν, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ παντάπασι γενέσθαι ἀνάστατον καὶ τῶν κοινῶν ἐλπίδων στερηθῆναι. LYCURG. in LEOCR. p. 155, 30. § 60. (Cf. below, ἐλπίς ἐκ τοῦ κακῶς πράξει μεταπεσεῖν.) Ὑποσχόμενος μὴ πρόσθεν παύσασθαι, πρὶν αὐτοὺς καταγάγοι οἴκαδε, *having promised not to stop until he had restored them to their homes*. XEN. An. I, 2, 2. Ὑπέσχετό μοι βουλεύσασθαι. Id. II, 3, 20. Ἠπέιλησαν ἀποκτείνειν ἅπαντας τοὺς ἐν τῇ οἰκίᾳ. XEN. Hell. V, 4, 7.

NOTE 3. In all the cases which belong under Note 2, the leading verb by its own signification refers to the future, so that the expression is seldom ambiguous: thus ὑπέσχετο ποιῆσαι can never mean anything but *he promised to do*, although the Aorist Infinitive appears to represent a Future Indicative of the direct discourse, contrary to § 15, 2, N. 1. The case is different, however, when the Aorist Infinitive follows verbs whose signification has no reference to the future, like νομίζω, οἶμαι, or even φημί, and still appears to represent a Future Indicative; e. g. where in ARIST. Nub. 1141* δικάσασθαι φασὶ μοι is said to mean, *they say they will bring an action against me*, while just below, vs. 1180, θήσιν τὰ πρυτανεῖα φασὶ μοι means, *they say they will deposit the Prytaneia*. Still, unless we decide to correct a large number of passages, against the authority of the Mss. (which is actually done by many critics, especially Madvig), we must admit even this anomalous construction; although it is to be considered strictly exceptional, and is, moreover, very rare in comparison with the regular one with the Future or the Aorist with ἂν. E. g.

Φάτο γὰρ τίσασθαι ἀλείτας, *for he said that he should punish the offenders*. Od. XX, 121. (In Il. III, 28, we have in most Mss. and editions φάτο γὰρ τίσεσθαι ἀλείτην, in precisely the same sense. Cf. Il. III, 366.) Καὶ αὐτῷ οὐ μέμψασθαι Ἀπρίην (sc. ἀπεκρίνατο)· παρέσεσθαι γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς καὶ ἄλλους ἄξειν, *and (he answered) that Apries should not blame him; for he would not only be present himself, but would bring others*. HDT. II, 162. (Notice the strange transition from the Aorist (?) to the two Futures.) Φησὶν οὐδὲ τὴν Διὸς ἔριν πέδω σκῆψασαν ἐμποδὼν σχεθεῖν. AESCH. Sept. 429. Οἶμαι γὰρ νῦν ἰκετεῦσαι τάδε, *I think of imploring*. EUR. Iph. Aul. 462. (Here Hermann reads ἰκετεύσειν, by conjecture.) Ἐνόμιον ἐπιθέμενοι ῥαδίως κρατῆσαι, *they thought they should gain the victory*. THUC. II, 3. Νομίζω, ἦν ἱππεὺς γένωμαι, ἄνθρωπος πτηνὸς γενέσθαι. XEN. Cyr. IV, 3, 15. Τοῦτο δὲ οἶται οἱ μάλιστα γενέσθαι, εἰ σοὶ συγγένοιτο, *and he thinks that this would be most likely to happen to him if he should join himself with you*. PLAT. Prot. 316 C. (Here we should expect γενέσθαι ἂν, to correspond to εἰ συγγένοιτο.)

I find δικάσεσθαι here in Cod. Par. 2712, and by correction in 2820. (1872.)

NOTE 4. Verbs like λέγω or εἶπον, when they signify *to command*, can be followed by the Aorist (as well as the Present) Infinitive in its ordinary sense, referring to the future; as has been stated in § 15, 2, N. 3. E. g.

Ω φίλοι, ἤδη μὲν κεν ἐγὼν εἶποιμι καὶ ἄμμιν μνηστήρων ἐς ὄμιλον ἀκοντίσαι, now *I would command you to join me in hurling*, &c. Od. XXII, 262. Παραδοῦναι λέγει, *he tells us to give her up*. ARIST. AV. 1679.

§ 24. The Aorist Participle regularly refers to a momentary or single action, which is *past* with reference to the time of the leading verb. E. g.

Ταῦτα ποιήσαντες ἀπελθεῖν βούλονται, *having done this, they wish to go away*. Ταῦτα εἰπόντες ἀπῆλθον, *having said this, they went away*. Οὐ πολλοὶ φαίνονται ξυνελθόντες, *not many appear to have joined in the expedition*. THUC. I, 10. Βοιωτοὶ οἱ ἐξ Ἀρνης ἀναστάντες τὴν Βοιωτίαν ᾤκησαν, *Boeotians who had been driven from Arne settled Boeotia*. THUC. I, 12. Ἀφίκετο δεῦρο τὸ πλοῖον, γνόντων τῶν Κεφαλλήνων, ἀντιπράττοντος τούτου, . . . καταπλεῖν, *the Cephallenians having determined to sail in, although this man opposed it*. DEM. in Zenoth. 886, 1. (Here γνόντων denotes time *past* relatively to ἀφίκετο, and ἀντιπράττοντος time *present* relatively to γνόντων, which is its leading verb. See § 16, 1.)

NOTE 1. When the Aorist Participle is used to contain the leading idea of the expression, with λανθάνω, *to escape the notice of*, τυγχάνω, *to happen*, and φθάνω, *to get the start of* (§ 112, 2), it does not denote time past with reference to the verb, but coincides with it in time. Thus ἐλαθον ἀπελθόντες means *they went away secretly*; οὐκ ἔφθησαν ἀπελθόντες, *no sooner were they gone*; ἔτυχον εἰσελθόντες, *they came in by chance*, or *they happened to come in*. E. g.

Οὐδ' ἄρα Κίρκην ἐλθόντες ἐλήθομεν, *nor did we come without Circe's knowledge*. Od. XII, 17. Ἐλαθεν [αὐτὴν] ἀφθέντα πάντα καὶ καταφλεχθέντα, *everything took fire and was consumed before she knew it*. THUC. IV, 133. Ἐφθη ὀρεξάμενος, *he aimed at a blow first*. Il. XVI, 322. Οὐ γὰρ ἔφθη μοι συμβᾶσα ἡ ἀτυχία, καὶ ἐπεχείρησαν, *for no sooner did this misfortune come upon me, than they undertook*, &c. DEM. Eubul. 1319, 8. Στρατιὰ οὐ πολλὴ ἔτυχε μέχρι Ἴσθμου παρελθοῦσα, *an army of no great size had by chance marched as far as the Isthmus*. THUC. VI, 61. Ἐτυχε δὲ κατὰ τοῦτο τοῦ καιροῦ ἐλθών, *and he happened to come just at that nick of time*. Id. VII, 2. Ὀλίγα πρὸς τὰ μέλλοντα τυχεῖν πράξαντες (sc. ἡγοῦνται), *they think they have chanced to accomplish only a little in comparison with their expectations*. Id. I, 70.

Βουλοίμην ἂν λαθεῖν αὐτὸν ἀπελθών, *I should like to get away without his knowing it.* XEN. AN. I, 3, 17. Τοὺς ἀνθρώπους λήσομεν ἐπιπεσόντες. Id. VII, 3, 43. Εὐλαβεῖσθαι παρακελεύεσθε ἀλλήλους. μὴ πέρα τοῦ δέοντος σοφώτεροι γενόμενοι λήσετε διαφθαρέντες, *lest, having become wiser than is proper, you shall become corrupted before you know it.* PLAT. Gorg. 487 D. (Here γενόμενοι is an ordinary Aorist, past with reference to the phrase λήσετε διαφθαρέντες.) Ὅπποτέρὸς κε φθῇσιν ὁρξάμενος χρὸς καλόν, *whichever shall first hit, &c.* II. XXIII, 805.

The last four examples show that this use of the Participle was allowed even when the whole expression referred to the future.

NOTE 2. A use of the Aorist Participle similar to that noticed in Note 1 is found after περιοράω and ἐφοράω (περιείδον and ἐπέιδον) *to allow*, and occasionally after other verbs which take the Participle in the sense of the Infinitive (§ 112, 1). In this construction the Aorist Participle seems to express merely a momentary action, the time being the same that the Aorist Infinitive would denote if it were used in its place (§ 23, 1). E. g.

Προσδεχόμενος τοὺς Ἀθηναίους κατοκνήσειν περιδεῖν αὐτὴν [τὴν γῆν] τμηθεῖσαν, ἀνέιχεν, *expecting that they would be unwilling to allow their land to be ravaged, &c.* THUC. II, 18. But in II, 20, we find the Aorist Infinitive, ἤλπιζεν τὴν γῆν οὐκ ἂν περιδεῖν τμηθῆναι, referring to precisely the same thing. Μὴ περιῖδτε ἡμέας διαφθαρέντας, *do not allow us to be destroyed.* HDT. IV, 118. Οὐ μὴ σ' ἐγὼ περιόψομαι ἀπελθόντα, *I will by no means let you go.* ARIST. Ran. 509. Ἐτλησαν ἐπιδεῖν . . . ἐρήμην μὲν τὴν πόλιν γενομένην, τὴν δὲ χώραν πορθουμένην, . . . ἅπαντα δὲ τὸν πόλεμον περὶ τὴν πατρίδα τὴν αὐτῶν γιγνόμενον. ISOC. Pan. p. 60 D. § 96. (Here the Aorist Participle denotes the *laying waste* of the city (as a single act), while the Presents denote the continuous *ravaging* of the country, and the gradual *coming on* of a state of war. This is precisely the difference that there would be between the Present and Aorist Infinitive in a similar construction. See note on the passage, added to Felton's 3d ed. p. 99.) So πραθέντα τλῆναι, *endured to be sold.* AESCH. Agam. 1041; and σπείρας ἔτλα, Sept. 754.

Instances occur of the Aorist Participle in this sense even with other verbs, denoting that in which the action of the verb consists; as εὖ γ' ἐποίησας ἀναμνήσας με, *you did well in reminding me.* PLAT. Phaed. 60 C. So καταψηφισάμενοι, Apol. 30 D.

REMARK. If a reference to the past is required in the Participle with the verbs mentioned in Notes 1 and 2, the Perfect is used. The Present can of course be used to denote a continued action or state. E. g.

Ἐτύχανον ἄρτι παρειληφότες τὴν ἀρχήν, *they happened to have*

just received their authority. THUC. VI, 96. Ἐάν τις ἡδίκηκώς τι τυγχάνη τὴν πόλιν. DEM. Cor. 268, 23. Ἐλάβομεν ἡμῶς αὐτοὺς παίδων οὐδέν διαφέροντες. PLAT. Crit. 49 B.

NOTE 3. In such passages as ὠμολόγησαν τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις τεῖχην τε περιελόντες καὶ ναῦς παραδόντες φόρον τε ταξάμενοι, THUC. I, 108, the Aorist Participle is used in its ordinary sense, being past with reference to the time of the *beginning* of the peace to which ὠμολόγησαν refers. The meaning is, *they obtained terms of peace, on condition that they should first* (i. e. *before the peace began*) *tear down their walls, &c.* (Such passages are THUC. I, 101, 108, 115, 117. See Krüger's Note on I, 108, and Madvig's *Bemerkungen*, p. 46.)

NOTE 4. For the use of the Aorist Infinitive and Participle with ἄν, see § 41, 3. For the Aorist Participle with ἔχω, as a circumlocution for the Perfect, as θανύσας ἔχω, see § 112, N. 7. For the rare use of the Aorist Participle with ἔσομαι as a circumlocution for the Future Perfect, see § 29, N. 4.

FUTURE.

§ 25. 1. The Future denotes that an action *will* take place in time to come; as γράψω, *I shall write*, or *I shall be writing*.

NOTE 1. The action of the Future is sometimes continued, and sometimes momentary: thus ἔξω may mean either *I shall have*, or *I shall obtain*; ἄρξω, *I shall rule*, or *I shall obtain power*. E. g.

Πραγματεύονται ὅπως ἄρξουσιν, *they take trouble to gain power*. XEN. Rep. Laced. XIV, 5. Διαιρετέον οὔτινες ἄρξουσιν τε καὶ ἄρξονται, *we must distinguish between those who are to rule and those who are to be ruled*. PLAT. Rep. III, 412 B.

NOTE 2. The Future is sometimes used in a *gnomic* sense, to denote that something *will always* happen when an occasion offers. E. g.

Ἀνὴρ ὁ φεύγων καὶ πάλιν μαχήσεται. MENAND. Monost. 45.

NOTE 3. The Future is sometimes used to express what will hereafter be proved or recognized as a truth. This is analogous to the use of the Imperfect, § 11, N. 6. E. g.

Φιλόσοφος ἡμῖν ἔσται ὁ μέλλων καλὸς κάγαθός ἔσεσθαι φύλαξ, *he will prove to be a philosopher*. PLAT. Rep. II, 376 C. See Od. II. 270.

NOTE 4. The Future is sometimes used in *questions of doubt*, where the Subjunctive is more common (§ 88). E. g.

Τί δῆτα δρῶμεν; μητέρ' ἢ φονεύσομεν; *what can we do? shall we kill our mother?* EUR. EL. 967. Ποῖ τις τρέψεται; *whither shall one turn?* Δέξεσθε, ἢ ἀπίωμεν; *will you receive him, or shall we go away?* PLAT. Symp. 212 E. Εἴτ' ἐγὼ σου φείσομαι; ARIST. Acharn. 312. Τί οὖν ποιήσομεν; πότερον εἰς τὴν πόλιν πάντας τούτους παραδεξόμεθα; *what then shall we do? Are we to receive all these into the state?* PLAT. Rep. III, §97 D.

NOTE 5. (a.) The second person of the Future may express a concession, permission, or obligation, and is often a mild form of imperative. E. g.

Πρὸς ταῦτα πράξεις οἷον ἂν θέλῃς, *you may act as you please.* SOPH. O. C. 956. Πάντως δὲ τοῦτο δράσεις, *but by all means do this.* ARIST. Nub. 1352. So in the common imprecations, ἀπολείσθε, οἰμώξεσθε, *may you perish, &c.* Χεῖρὶ δ' οὐ ψαύσεις ποτέ. EUR. Med. 1320.

(b.) A few instances occur in which the Future Indicative with *μή* expresses a prohibition, like the Imperative or Subjunctive with *μή* (§ 86). E. g.

Ταύτην, ἂν μοι χρῆσθε συμβούλῳ, φυλάξτε τὴν πίστιν, καὶ μὴ βουλήσεσθε εἰδέναι, κ. τ. λ., *if you follow my advice, keep this faith, and do not wish to know, &c.* DEM. Aristoc. 659, 15. Ἐὰν δὲ εἰ φρονῆτε, καὶ νυνὶ τοῦτο φανερόν ποιήσετε, καὶ μηδεμίαν αὐτοῖς ἄδειαν δώσετε. LYS. Phil. § 13. (In the preceding examples φυλάξτε and ποιήσετε belong under a.) Καὶ τὰμὰ τεύχη μήτ' ἀγωνάρχαι τινὲς θήσουσ' Ἀχαιοῖς, μήθ' ὁ λυμεὼν ἐμός. SOPH. Aj. 572. Ξένον ἀδικήσεις μηδέποτε καιρὸν λαβών. MENAN. Mon. 397. So perhaps μηδὲν τῶνδ' ἐρεῖς κατὰ πτόλιν. AESCH. Sept. 250.

These examples are sometimes explained by supposing an ellipsis of ὅπως from the common construction ὅπως μὴ τοῦτο ἐρεῖς (sc. σκόπει). See § 45, N. 7.

REMARK. The use of the Future stated in Note 5 gives the most satisfactory explanation of the Future with οὐ μὴ in prohibitions, especially in such expressions as οὐ μὴ λαλήσεις, ἀλλ' ἀκολουθήσεις ἐμέ, *do not prate, but follow me*, and οὐ μὴ προσοίσεις χεῖρα. μήδ' ἄψει πέπλων, *do not bring your hand near me, nor touch my garments.* See § 89, 2, with Notes.

NOTE 6. The Future sometimes denotes a present intention, expectation, or necessity that something shall be done, in which sense the periphrastic form with μέλλω is more common. E. g.

Τί διαφέρουσι τῶν ἐξ ἀνάγκης κακοπαθούντων, εἴ γε πεινῆσουσι καὶ διψήσουσι καὶ ῥιγήσουσι καὶ ἀγρυπνήσουσιν; i. e. *if they are to endure hunger and thirst, &c.* XEN. Mem. II, 1, 17. (Here εἰ μέλλουσι πεινῆν καὶ διψῆν, &c. would be more common, as in the last example under § 25, 2.) Λῖρε πλήκτρον. εἰ μαχεῖ, *raise your spur, if you are going to fight.* ARIST. Av. 759. The impor

tance of this distinction will be seen when we come to conditional sentences. (See § 49, 1, N. 3.)

A still more emphatic reference to a present intention is found in the question τί λέξεις; *what dost thou mean to say?* often found in tragedy; as ὦμοι, τί λέξεις; ἦ γὰρ ἐγγύς ἐστί που; EUR. Elec. 1124.

NOTE 7. For the Future Indicative and Infinitive with ἄν, see § 37, 2, and § 41, 4. For the Future Indicative in protasis, see § 50, 1, N. 1; in relative clauses expressing a purpose, &c., see § 65, 1 and 2; with οὐ μή, see § 89.

2. A periphrastic Future is formed by μέλλω and the Present or Future (seldom the Aorist) Infinitive. This form sometimes denotes mere futurity, and sometimes intention, expectation, or necessity. E. g.

Μέλλει τοῦτο πράττειν (or πράξειν), *he is about to do this, or he intends to do this.* So in Latin, *facturus est* for *faciet*. Μέλλω ὑμᾶς διδάξειν, ὅθεν μοι ἡ διαβολὴ γέγονε. PLAT. Apol. 21 B. Δεήσει τοῦ τοιοῦτου τινὸς ἀεὶ ἐπιστάτου, εἰ μέλλει ἡ πολιτεία σωζέσθαι, *if the constitution is to be preserved.* PLAT. Rep. III, 412 A.

NOTE 1. The Future Infinitive after μέλλω forms the only regular exception to the general principle of the use of that tense. (See § 27, N. 1.) The Future and the Present seem to be used indiscriminately.

NOTE 2. The Imperfect (seldom the Aorist) of μέλλω is used to express a *past* intention or expectation. E. g.

Κύκλωψ, οὐκ ἄρ' ἔμελλες ἀνάλκιδος ἀνδρὸς ἐταίρους ἔδμεναι ἐν σπῆι γλαφυρῷ, *you surely were not intending to eat, &c.* Od. IX, 475. Ἐμελλόν σ' ἄρα κινήσειν ἐγώ, *I thought I should start you off.* ARIST. Nub. 1301. See II. II. 36.

§ 26. The Future Optative in classic Greek is used only in indirect discourse after secondary tenses, to represent a Future Indicative of the direct discourse. Even here the Future Indicative is very often retained in the indirect discourse. (See § 69.) E. g.

Ἵππειὼν τᾶλλα ὅτι αὐτὸς τὰκεῖ πράξοι, ὥχετο, *having suggested as to what remained, that he would himself attend to the affairs there, he departed.* THUC. I, 90. (Here πράξοι represents πράξω of the direct discourse, which might have been expressed by πράξει in the indirect quotation. See in the same chapter of Thucydides, ἐποκρινάμενοι ὅτι πέμψουσιν, *having replied that they would send,*

where *πέμψοιεν* might have been used.) *Εἰ τινα φεύγοντα λήψοιτο, προηγόρευεν ὅτι ὥς πολεμίῳ χρήσοιτο.* XEN. Cyr. III, 1, 3. (Here the announcement was *εἰ τινα λήψομαι, ὥς πολεμίῳ χρήσομαι.*) *Ἐλεγεν ὅτι ἔτοιμος εἴη ἡγεῖσθαι αὐτοῖς εἰς τὸ Δέλτα, ἔνθα πολλὰ λήψοιντο.* XEN. An. VII, 1, 33. *Λίρεθέντες ἐφ' ᾧτε ξυγγράψαι νόμους, καθ' οὓσιντας πολιτεύσοιντο, having been chosen for the purpose of making a code of laws, by which they were to govern.* XEN. Hell. II, 3, 11. (Here we have an indirect expression of the idea of the persons who chose them, of which the direct form is found just before (II, 3, 2), *ἔδουξε τριάκοντα ἀνδρας ἐλίσθαι, οἱ τοὺς πατρίους νόμους ξυγγράψουσι, καθ' οὓς πολιτεύσουσι.*)

REMARK. The term *indirect discourse* here, as elsewhere, must be understood to include, not only all cases of ordinary *indirect quotation*, introduced by *ὅτι* or *ὥς* or by the Accusative and the Infinitive, after verbs of *saying* and *thinking*, but also all dependent clauses, in any sentence, which indirectly express the thoughts of any other person than the writer or speaker, or even former thoughts of the speaker himself. (See § 68.)

NOTE 1. The Future Optative is sometimes used in final and object clauses after secondary tenses; but regularly only with *ὅπως* or *ὅπως μὴ* after verbs of *striving*, &c., occasionally with *μὴ* (or *ὅπως μὴ*) after verbs of *fearing*, and very rarely (if ever) in pure final clauses. As these clauses express the purpose or fear of some *person*, they are in indirect discourse according to the Remark above. (See § 44, 2.)

(a.) The most common case of the Future Optative in sentences of this class is with *ὅπως* or *ὅπως μὴ* after secondary tenses of verbs signifying *to strive*, *to take care*, and the like; the Future Indicative in this case being the most common form in the construction after primary tenses, which here corresponds to the direct discourse. Thus, if any one ever said or thought, *σκοπῶ ὅπως τοῦτο γενήσεται, I am taking care that this shall happen*, we can now say, referring to that thought, *ἐσκόπει ὅπως τοῦτο γενήσοιτο, he was taking care that this should happen*, changing the Future Indicative to the Future Optative (§ 77). E. g.

Ἐσκόπει ὁ Μενεκλῆς ὅπως μὴ ἔσοιτο ἅπαις, ἀλλ' ἔσοιτο αὐτῷ ὅστις ζῶντά τε γηροτροφήσοι καὶ τελευτήσαντα θάψοι αὐτόν, καὶ εἰς τὸν ἔπειτα χρόνον τὰ νομιζόμενα αὐτῷ ποιήσοι. ISAE. de Menecl. Hered. § 10 (11). *Ἐμμηχανώμεθα ὅπως μηδεὶς . . . γνώσοιτο, νομιοῦσι δὲ πάντες, κ. τ. λ., we were striving that no one should know, &c., but that all should think, &c.* PLAT. Tim. 18 C (Here the second verb, *νομιοῦσι*, is retained in the Future Indica

tive, while the other, *γνώσεται*, is changed to the Optative.) See also PLAT. Tim. 18 E. *Μηδὲν οἶον ἄλλο μηχανᾶσθαι, ἢ ὅπως . . . δέξονται*. PLAT. Rep. IV, 430 A. (See § 15, 3.) *Ἐπεμελείτο δὲ ὅπως μῆτε ἄντιοι μῆτε ἄποτοί ποτε ἔσονται*. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 1, 43. Other examples are PLAT. Apol. 36 C; XEN. Cyr. VIII, 1, 10, Hell. VII, 5, 3; ISAE. de Philoct. Hered. p. 59, 41. § 35.

In this construction the Future Indicative is generally retained, even after secondary tenses. See § 45.

(b.) The Future Optative is seldom found with *μή* or *ὅπως μή* after secondary tenses of verbs of *fearing*, as here the Future Indicative is not common after primary tenses. E. g.

Οὐ μόνον περὶ τῆς βυσάνου καὶ τῆς δίκης ἐδεδοίκει, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ τοῦ γραμματείου, ὅπως μὴ ὑπὸ τοῦ Μενεξένου συλληφθήσονται. ISOC. Trapez. p. 363 B. § 22. (Here the fear was expressed originally by ὅπως μὴ συλληφθήσεται.) Κατέβαλε τὸ Ἑρακλεωτῶν τείχος, οὐ τοῦτο φοβούμενος, μὴ τινες . . . πορεύσονται ἐπὶ τὴν ἐκείνου δύναμιν. XEN. Hell. VI, 4, 27. Ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς θεοὺς ἂν ἔδεισας παρακινδυνεύειν, μὴ οὐκ ὀρθῶς αὐτὸ ποιήσῃς. PLAT. Euthyphr. 15 D.

Here the Present or Aorist Optative, corresponding to the same tenses of the Subjunctive after primary tenses, is generally used. See § 46.

(c.) In *pure* Final clauses (§ 44, 1) it would be difficult to find an example of *ὅπως* with the Future Optative, in which the weight of Mss. authority did not favor some other reading. Such is the case in XEN. Cyr. V, 4, 17, and in DEM. Phaenipp. 1040, 20. Still, there can be little doubt of the propriety of such a construction, as the Future Indicative with *ὅπως* was in use (though rare) after primary tenses. (§ 44, 1, N. 1.)

The single example cited for the use of the simple *μή* with the Future Optative in a pure final clause is PLAT. Rep. III, 393 E: Ἀγαμέμνων ἡγρίαιεν, ἐντελλόμενος νῦν τε ἀπιέναι καὶ αὖθις μὴ ἐλθεῖν, μὴ αὐτῷ τό τε σκήπτρον καὶ τὰ τοῦ θεοῦ στέμματα οὐκ ἐπαρκέσῃ. (Here there is another reading, ἐπαρκέσειεν, of inferior authority, which is adopted by Bekker.) If the reading ἐπαρκέσῃ is retained (as it is by most editors), it can be explained only by assuming that Plato had in his mind as the direct discourse *μή οὐκ ἐπαρκέσει*. We must remember that Plato is here paraphrasing Homer (Il. I, 25-28), and by no means literally. The Homeric line is *Μὴ νύ τοι οὐ χραίσμῃ σκήπτρον καὶ στέμμα θεοῖο*.

The other final particles, *ἵνα* and *ὥς*, which seem never to take the Future Indicative, of course do not allow the Future Optative. (See § 44, 1, N. 1.)

NOTE 2. Many authors, especially Thucydides, show a decided preference for the Future Indicative, even where the Future Optative might be used. As the tense was restricted to indirect dis-

course, it was a less common form than the Present and Aorist, and for that reason often avoided even when it was allowed.

§ 27. The Future Infinitive denotes an action which is future with reference to the leading verb. E. g.

*Εσεσθαί φησι, *he says that he will be*; ἔσεσθαί ἔφη, *he said that he would be*; ἔσεσθαί φήσκει, *he will say that he will be*. Πολλοὺς γε ἔσεσθαι ἔλεγον τοὺς ἐθελήσοντας, *they said that there would be many who would be willing*. XEN. Cyr. III, 2, 26.

NOTE 1. The most common use of the Future Infinitive is in indirect discourse, after verbs of *saying, thinking, &c.*, to represent a Future Indicative of the direct discourse. (See the examples above.) In other constructions, the Present and Aorist Infinitive, being indefinite in their time, can always refer to the future if the context requires it (§§ 15, 1; 23, 1); so that it is seldom necessary to use the Future, unless emphasis is particularly required.

Therefore, after verbs and expressions whose signification refers a dependent Infinitive to the future, but which yet do not introduce indirect discourse, as verbs of *commanding, wishing, &c.* (§ 15, 2, N. 1), the Present or Aorist Infinitive (not the Future) is regularly used. Thus the Greek would express *they wish to do this* not by βούλονται τοῦτο ποιήσκειν, but by βούλονται τοῦτο ποιεῖν (or ποιῆσαι). See examples under §§ 15, 1 and 23, 1. So, when the Infinitive follows ὥστε and other particles which refer it to the future, or is used to denote a *purpose* without any particle (§ 97),—and when it is used as a noun with the article, even if it refers to future time,—it is generally in the Present or Aorist, unless it is intended to make the reference to the future especially emphatic. See examples in Chapter V.

A single regular exception to this principle is found in the Future Infinitive after μέλλω (§ 25, 2).

NOTE 2. On the other hand, when it was desired to make the reference to the future especially prominent, the Future Infinitive could be used in the cases mentioned in Note 1, contrary to the general principle.

(a.) Thus we sometimes find the Future Infinitive after

verbs and expressions signifying *to wish, to be unwilling, to intend, to ask, to be able*, and the like, where we should expect the Present or Aorist. This was particularly a favorite construction with Thucydides. E. g.

Ἐδεήθησαν δὲ καὶ τῶν Μεγαρέων ναυσὶ σφῶς ξυμπροπέμψειν. THUC. I, 27. Ἐβούλοντο προτιμωρῆσεσθαι. Id. VI, 57. Τὸ στόμα αὐτοῦ διενουῶντο κλήσειν. Id. VII, 56. Ἐφιέμενοι μὲν τῆς πάσης ἄρξειν, βοηθεῖν δὲ ἅμα εὐπρεπῶς βουλόμενοι τοῖς ἑαυτῶν ξυγγενέσι καὶ ξυμμάχοις. Id. VI, 6. (Here βοηθεῖν follows the rule.) Τοῦ ταῖς ναυσὶ μὴ ἀθυμεῖν ἐπιχειρήσειν, *to prevent them from being without spirit to attack them in ships*. Id. VII, 21. Οὐτ' ἀποκωλύσειν δύναται ὄντες. Id. III, 28. Εἴ τις εἰς τοῖτο ἀναβάλλεται ποιῆσειν τὰ δέοντα, *if any one postpones doing his duty as far as this*. DEM. Ol. III, 31, 1. (The ordinary construction would be ἀναβάλλεται ποιεῖν or ποιῆσαι.) Οὔτε τῶν προγόνων μεμνήσθαι [δεῖ] οὔτε τῶν λεγόντων ἀνέχεσθαι, νόμον τε θῆσειν καὶ γράψειν, κ. τ. λ. DEM. F. L. 345, 27. (Here we have δεῖ θῆσειν.) Πολλοῦ δέω ἑμαυτόν γε ἀδικήσειν καὶ κατ' ἑμαυτοῦ ἐρεῖν αὐτός. PLAT. Apol. 37 B. In ARIST. Nub. 1130 we find, ἵσως βουλήσεται κὰν ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ τυχεῖν ὧν μᾶλλον ἢ κρίναι κακῶς, *perhaps he will wish that he might (if possible) find himself by some chance in Egypt, rather than wish to judge unfairly*. (Here τυχεῖν ἂν is used in nearly the same sense as the Future in the second example. In this example and some others here given there seems to be an approach to the construction of indirect discourse.)

See also THUC. IV, 115 and 121; V, 35; VII, 11; VIII, 55 and 74. In several of these passages the Mss. vary between the Future and Aorist, although the weight of authority is for the Future. See Krüger's Note on THUC. I, 27, where the passages of Thucydides are collected.

(b.) In like manner, the Future Infinitive is occasionally used for the Present or Aorist, after ὥστε and in the other constructions mentioned in Note 1, to make the idea of futurity more prominent. E. g.

Προκαλεσάμενος ἐς λόγους Ἰππίαν, ὥστε ἦν μηδὲν ἀρέσκον λέγειν, πάλιν αὐτὸν καταστήσειν ἐς τὸ τεῖχος. *on condition that he would in that case restore him*. THUC. III, 34. Τοὺς ὁμήρους παρέδωσαν τῷ Ἀργείων δήμῳ διὰ ταῦτα διαχρήσεσθαι, *that they might put them to death*. THUC. VI, 61. So πεύσεσθαι, III, 26. Ἐλπίδι τὸ ἀφανὲς τοῦ κατορθώσειν ἐπιτρέψαντες, *having committed to hope what was uncertain in the prospect of success*. THUC. II, 42. (Here κατορθώσειν is more explicit than the Present κατορθοῦν would be: τὸ ἀφανὲς τοῦ κατορθοῦν would mean simply *what was uncertain in regard to success*.) Τὸ μὲν οὖν ἐξελέγξειν αὐτὸν θαρρῶ καὶ πάνυ πιστεύω, *I have courage and great confidence as to my convicting him*. DEM. F. L. 342, 2. (Here most of the ordinary Mss. read ἐξελέγχειν.)

NOTE 3. The Future Infinitive is the regular form after verbs of *hoping, expecting, promising, &c.*, since it stands here in indirect discourse (§ 15, 2, N. 1). E. g.

Τρωσὶν δ' ἔλπετο θυμὸς νῆας ἐνιπρήσειν κτενέειν θ' ἥρωας Ἀχαιοῦς. II. XV, 701. Ὑπό τ' ἔσχετο καὶ κατένευσεν δωσέμεναι. II. XIII, 368 Παιδὰ τε σὸν προσδόκα τοι ἀπονοστήσειν. II. I, 42. Καὶ προσδοκᾶν χρὴ δεσπύσειν Ζηνὸς τινα; AESCH. PROM. 930. Ἦλπιζεν γὰρ μάχην ἔσεσθαι. THUC. IV, 71. Ἐν ἐλπίδι ὦν τὰ τεῖχη αἰρήσειν. Id. VII, 46. Τὸν στρατηγὸν προσδοκῶ ταῦτα πράξειν. XEN. AN. III, 1, 14. Ἐκ τούτου ὑπέσχετο μηχανὴν παρέξειν. Id. Cyr. VI, 1, 21. Σὺ γὰρ ὑπέσχου ζητήσῃς. PLAT. REP. IV, 427 E. So δῶμοτοι ἦ μὴν ἄξειν, SOPH. Phil. 594.

Yet all of these verbs can take the Aorist or Present Infinitive without apparent change of meaning. They form an intermediate class between verbs which take the Infinitive in indirect discourse and those which do not. For examples of the Present and Aorist, see § 15, 2, N. 2; and § 23, 2, N. 2.

§ 28. The Future Participle denotes an action which is future with reference to the leading verb. E. g.

Τοῦτο ποιήσων ἔρχεται, ἦλθεν or ἐλεύσεται, *he comes, went, or will come, for the purpose of doing this.* Οἶδα αὐτὸν τοῦτο ποιήσοντα, *I know that he will do this: οἶδα τοῦτο ποιήσων, I know that I shall do this.* So ᾔδειν αὐτὸν τοῦτο ποιήσοντα, *I knew that he would do this.*

NOTE. For the various uses of the Future Participle, and examples, see Chapter VI.

FUTURE PERFECT.

§ 29. The Future Perfect denotes that an action will be *already finished* at some future time. It is thus a Perfect transferred to the future. E. g.

Καί με ἐὰν ἐξελέγξης, οὐκ ἀχθeshήσομαί σοι, ἀλλὰ μέγιστος εὐεργέτης παρ' ἐμοὶ ἀναγεγραψέει, *you will have been enrolled as the greatest benefactor.* PLAT. Gorg. 506 C. Ἦν δὲ μὴ γένηται, μάτην ἐμοὶ κεκλαύσεται, σὺ δ' ἐγχανὼν τεθνῇξῃς. *I shall then have had my whipping for nothing, and you will have died.* ARIST. Nub. 1435

NOTE 1. The Future Perfect often denotes the contin-

uance of an action, or the permanence of its results, in future time. E. g.

Τῆς δυνάμεως ἐς αἰδίδιον τοῖς ἐπιγυγνομένοις μνήμη καταλελείψεται, *the memory of our power will be left to our posterity forever.* ΤΗΥC. II, 64. (Compare § 18, 2.)

NOTE 2. The Future Perfect sometimes denotes the certainty or likelihood that an action will *immediately* take place, which idea is still more vividly expressed by the Perfect (§ 17, Note 6). E. g.

Εἰ δὲ παρελθὼν εἰς ὅστισιν δύναιτο διδάξαι, πᾶς ὁ παρὼν φόβος λελύσεται, *all the present fear will be at once dispelled.* DEM. Symmor. 178, 17. (Here the inferior Mss. have λελύται, which would have the same force, like ὄλωλα quoted in § 17, N. 6.) Φράξε, καὶ πεπράξεται, *speak, and it shall be no sooner said than done.* ARIST. Plut. 1027. Εὐθύς Ἀρταίος ἀφεστήξει, ὥστε φίλος ἡμῖν οὐδεὶς λελείψεται. XEN. An. II, 4, 5.

NOTE 3. The Future Perfect can be expressed by the Perfect Participle and ἔσομαι. In the active voice this compound form is the only one in use, except in a few verbs. E. g.

* Ἄν ταῦτ' εἰδῶμεν, καὶ τὰ δέοντα ἐσόμεθα ἐγνώκοτες καὶ λόγων ματαίων ἀπηλλαγμένοι, *we shall have already resolved to do our duty and shall have been freed from vain reports.* DEM. Phil. I, 54, 22. (See § 17, N. 2).

NOTE 4. A circumlocution with the Aorist Participle and ἔσομαι is sometimes found, especially in the poets. E. g.

Οὐ σιωπήσας ἔσει; SOPH. O. T. 1146. Λυπηθεὶς ἔσει. SOPH. O. C. 816.

NOTE 5. (a.) When the Perfect is used in the sense of a Present (§ 17, N. 3), the Future Perfect is the regular Future of that tense. E. g.

Κεκλήσομαι, μεμνήσομαι, ἀφεστήξω, *I shall be named, I shall remember, I shall withdraw, &c.*

(b.) With many other verbs, the Future Perfect differs very slightly, if at all, from an ordinary Future. Thus, πεπράσομαι is the regular Future Passive of πιπράσκω. Still, where there is another form, the Future Perfect is generally more emphatic, and may be explained by Note 1 or Note 2.

NOTE 6. The Future Perfect of the dependent moods is rare, except in the verbs referred to in Note 5. When it occurs, it presents no peculiarity, as it bears the same relation to the Indicative which the corresponding forms of the Future would bear. E. g.

Ταῦτα (φησί) πεπράξεσθαι δυοῖν ἢ τριῶν ἡμερῶν, *he says that these things will have been accomplished within two or three days.* DEM. F. L. 364, 18. (Here the direct discourse was πεπράξεται.)

REMARK. It must be remembered that, in most cases in which the Latin or the English would use a Future Perfect, the Greeks use an Aorist or even Perfect Subjunctive. (See § 18, 1, and § 20, N. 1, with the examples.)

Subj.

GNOMIC AND ITERATIVE TENSES.

§ 30. 1. The Aorist and sometimes the Perfect Indicative are used in animated language to express *general truths*. These are called the *gnomic Aorist* and the *gnomic Perfect*, and are usually to be translated by our Present.

These tenses give a more vivid statement of general truths, by employing a *distinct* case or several distinct cases in past time to represent (as it were) *all possible* cases, and implying that what has occurred *will occur* again under similar circumstances. E. g.

Κάτθαν' ὁμῶς ὃ τ' ἀεργὸς ἀνὴρ ὃ τε πολλὰ ἐργῶς, *both alike must die.* IL. IX, 320. "Οστε καὶ ἄλκιμον ἄνδρα φοβεῖ καὶ ἀφείλετο νίκην, *who terrifies, and snatches away.* IL. XVII, 177. (See Note 2.) Βία δὲ καὶ μέγανυχον ἔσφαλεν ἐν χρόνῳ. PIND. Pyth. VIII, 20. Σοφοὶ δὲ μέλλοντα τριταῖον ἄνεμον ἔμαθον, οἷδ' ὑπὸ κέρδει βλάβειν. PIND. Nem. VII, 25. Καὶ δὴ φίλον τις ἔκταν' ἀγνοίας ὑπο. AESCH. Supp. 499. Ἀλλὰ τὰ τοιαῦτα εἰς μὲν ἅπαξ καὶ βραχὺν χρόνον ἀντέχει, καὶ σφόδρα γε ἤνθησεν ἐπὶ ταῖς ἐλπίσιν, ἂν τύχη. τῷ χρόνῳ δὲ φωρᾶται καὶ περὶ αὐτὰ καταρρεῖ. DEM. Ol. II, 21, 1. (See Note 2.) *Ὦν ἄρα . . . σφαλῶσιν, ἀντελπίσαντες ἄλλα ἐπλήρωσαν τὴν χρεῖαν, *they supply the deficiency (as often as one occurs).* THUC. I, 70. *Ὦν δέ τις τούτων τι παραβαίνει, ζημίαν αὐτοῖς ἐπέθεσαν, i. e. *they impose a penalty upon every one who transgresses.* XEN. Cyr. I, 2, 2. Δεινὼν τ' ἄημα πνευμάτων ἐκοίμισε στένοντα πόντον. SOPH. Aj. 674. Μὴ ἡμέρα τὸν μὲν καθείλεν ὑψόθεν, τὸν δ' ἦρ' ἄνω. EUR. Iono Fr. 424. "Οταν ὁ Ἔρως ἐγκρατέστερος γένηται, διαφθείρει τε πολλὰ καὶ ἡδίκησεν. PLAT. Symp. 188 A. "Οταν τις ὥσπερ οὗτος ἰσχύσῃ, ἡ πρώτη πρόφασις καὶ μικρὸν πταῖσμα ἅπαντα ἀνεχαίτισε καὶ διέλυσεν. DEM. Ol. II, 20, 27. Ἐπειδὴν τις παρ' ἐμοῦ μάθῃ, ἐάν μὲν βούληται, ἀποδεδωκεν ὃ ἐγὼ πρῶτομαι ἀργύριον, εἴαν δὲ μὴ, ἐλθὼν εἰς ἱερὸν ὁμόσας, ὅσον ἂν φῇ ἄξια εἶναι τὰ μαθήματα, τοσοῦτον κατέθηκεν. PLAT. Prot. 328 B. (Here the Perfect and Aorist are used together, in nearly the same sense, *he says.*) Πολλοὶ διὰ δόξαν καὶ πολιτικὴν δύναμιν μεγάλα κακὰ πεπύονθα-

σιν, i. e. *many always have suffered, and many do suffer*. XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 35. Τὸ δὲ μὴ ἐμποδῶν ἀνανταγωνίστῳ εὐνοία τετίμηται. THUC. II, 45.

REMARK. The gnomic *Perfect* is not found in Homer.

NOTE 1. The sense, as well as the origin of the construction, is often made clearer by the addition of such words as *πολλάκις*, *ἤδη*, or *οὕπω*. Such examples as these form a simple transition from the common to the gnomic use of these tenses:—

Πολλὰ στρατόπεδα ἤδη ἔπescen ὑπ' ἐλασσόνων, i. e. *many cases have already arisen, implying, it often happens*. THUC. II, 89. Μέλων γ' ἱατρὸς, τῇ νόσῳ διδοὺς χρόνον, ἰάσατ' ἤδη μάλλον ἢ τεμὼν χροά. EUR. Frag. 1057. Πολλάκις ἔχων τις οὐδὲ ταναγκαῖα νῦν αὖριον ἐπλούτησ', ὥστε χατέρους τρέφειν, i. e. *cases have often occurred in which such a man has become rich the next day, &c.* PHILEM. Fr. Inc. 29. Ἀθυμοῦντες ἄνδρες οὕπω τρόπαιον ἔστησαν. PLAT. Crit. 108 C. Οὐδεὶς ἐπλούτησεν ταχέως δίκαιος ὢν. MENAND. Col. Fr. 6. (Krüger, § 53, 10, A. 2.)

NOTE 2. General truths are more commonly expressed in Greek, as in English, by the Present. (See § 10, N. 1.) Examples of the Present and Aorist, used in nearly the same sense in the same sentence, are given under § 30, 1. The gnomic Aorist is, however, commonly distinguished from the Present, either by being more vivid, or by referring to an action which is (by its own nature) momentary or sudden, while the Present (as usual) implies duration. See the second and sixth examples under § 30, 1.

NOTE 3. An Aorist resembling the gnomic Aorist is very common in Homer, in *similes* depending on past tenses, where it seems to stand by assimilation to the leading verb. It is usually to be translated by the Present. E. g.

Ἦριπε δ' ὥς ὅτε τις δρῦς ἦριπεν, *and he fell, as when an oak falls* (literally, *as when an oak once fell*). II. XVI, 389.

NOTE 4. It is very doubtful whether the Imperfect was ever used in a gnomic sense, so as to be translated by the Present.

NOTE 5. An instance of the gnomic Aorist in the Infinitive is found in SOPH. Aj. 1082:—

Ὅπου δ' ὑβρίζειν δρᾶν θ', ἃ βούλεται, παρῇ,
Ταύτην νόμιξε τὴν πόλιν χρόνῳ ποτὲ
Ἐξ οὐρίων δραμοῦσαν ἐς βυθὸν πεσεῖν.

Here *πεσεῖν* represents *ἔπεσεν* in the direct discourse: the sense being, *believe that that city must at some time fall*. (See Schneide-
win's note.) So probably in *PLAT. Phaedr.* 232 B: *ἡγουμένῳ . . . διαφορᾶς γενομένης κοινὴν ἀμφοτέροις καταστήναι τὴν συμφορὰν*.

Even the Aorist Participle seems to be occasionally used in the same sense; as in *THUC.* VI, 16: *οἶδα τοὺς τοιοῦτους ἐν μὲν τῷ κατ' αὐτοὺς βίῳ λυπηροὺς ὄντας, τῶν δὲ ἔπειτα ἀνθρώπων προσποίησιν ξυγγενείας τισὶ καὶ μὴ οὔσαν καταλιπόντας*, *I know that such men, although in their own lifetimes they are offensive, yet often leave to some who come after them a desire to claim connexion with them, even where there is no ground for it*.

NOTE 6. The gnomic Perfect is found in the Infinitive in *DEM.* Ol. II, 23, 14: *εἰ δέ τις σώφρων ἢ δίκαιος, . . . παρεῶσθαι καὶ ἐν οὐδενὸς εἶναι μέρει τὸν τοιοῦτον (φησὶν)*, *such a man is always thrust aside, and is of no account*.

2. The Imperfect and Aorist are sometimes used with the particle *ἄν* to denote a *customary action*, being equivalent to our phrase in narration, "*he would often do this*," or "*he used to do it*." E. g.

Διηρώτων ἄν αὐτοὺς τί λέγοιεν, *I used to ask them (I would ask them) what they said*. *PLAT. Apol.* 22 B. *Εἴ τινες ἰδοῖεν πῇ τοὺς σφετέρους ἐπικρατοῦντας, ἀνεθάρσυσαν ἄν*, *whenever any saw their friends in any way victorious, they would be encouraged* (i. e. *they were encouraged in all such cases*). *THUC.* VII, 71. *Πολλάκις ἤκουσαμεν ἄν τι κακῶς ὑμᾶς βουλευσάμενους μέγα πρᾶγμα*, *we used very often to hear you, &c.* *ARIST. Lysist.* 511. *Εἴ τις αὐτῷ περὶ τοῦ ἀντιλέγει μὴδὲν ἔχων σαφὲς λέγειν, ἐπὶ τὴν ὑπόθεσιν ἐπανῆγεν ἄν πάντα τὸν λόγον*, *he always brought the whole discussion back to the main point*. *XEN. Mem.* IV, 6, 13. *Ὅποτε προσβλέψει τις τῶν ἐν ταῖς τάξεσι, τότε μὲν εἶπεν ἄν, ὦ ἄνδρες, κ. τ. λ. . . . τότε δ' αὖ ἐν ἄλλοις ἄν ἔλεξεν*. *XEN. Cyr.* VII, 1, 10.

This construction must be carefully distinguished from that with *ἄν* in ordinary apodosis (§ 49, 2). For the iterative Imperfect transferred to the Infinitive, see § 41, N. 3.

NOTE 1. (a.) The Ionic *iterative* Aorist in *-σκον* and *-σκόμην* expresses the repetition of a *momentary* action; the Imperfect with the same endings expresses the repetition of a *continued* action. E. g.

"Ἄλλους μὲν γὰρ παῖδας ἐμοὺς πόδας ὥκους Ἀχιλλεὺς πέρνασχ', ὅν τιν' ἔλεσκε. *II. XXIV*, 751. *"Ὅκως ἔλθοι ὁ Νεῖλος ἐπὶ ὀκτῶ πήχεας, ἄρδεσκε Αἴγυπτον τὴν ἐνερθε Μέμφιος*. *HER.* II, 13.

(b.) In Homer, however, the iterative forms are sometimes used in nearly or quite the same sense as the ordinary forms; thus *ἔσκε* in Homer does not differ from *ἦν*. E. g.

Δαήρ αὐτ' ἐμὸς ἔσκε κυνώπιδος, εἴ ποτ' ἔην γε. Π. ΠΙ, 180. Ὅς οἱ πλησίον ἔξε, μάλιστα δέ μιν φιλέεσκεν. Οἰ. VII, 171.

NOTE 2. Herodotus sometimes uses the iterative forms in -σκον and -σκόμην with ἄν, in the construction of § 30, 2. (He uses the iterative *Aorist* in only two passages, in both with ἄν.) E. g.

Φοιτέουσα κλαίεσκε ἄν καὶ ὀδυρέσκετο. ΗΔΤ. ΠΙ, 119. Ἐς τούτους ὅκως ἔλθοι ὁ Σκύλης. τὴν μὲν στρατὴν καταλείπεσκε ἐν τῷ προαστείῳ, αὐτὸς δὲ ὅκως ἔλθοι ἐς τὸ τεῖχος . . . λάβεσκε ἄν Ἑλληνίδα ἐσθῆτα. ΗΔΤ. ΙV, 78.

DEPENDENCE OF MOODS AND TENSES.

§ 31. 1. In dependent sentences, where the construction allows either a Subjunctive or an Optative, the Subjunctive is used if the leading verb is *primary*, and the Optative if it is *secondary*. (See § 8, 2.) E. g.

Πράττουσιν ἃ ἂν βούλωνται, *they do whatever they please*: but ἔπραττον ἃ βούλοιντο, *they did whatever they pleased*.

2. In like manner, where the construction allows either an Indicative or an Optative, the Indicative follows *primary*, and the Optative follows *secondary* tenses. E. g.

Λέγουσιν ὅτι τοῦτο βούλονται, *they say that they wish for this*, ἔλεξαν ὅτι τοῦτο βούλοιντο, *they said that they wished for this*.

NOTE 1. To these fundamental rules we find one special exception:—

In indirect discourse of all kinds (including sentences denoting a *purpose* or *object* after ἵνα, μή, &c.), either an Indicative or a Subjunctive may depend upon a secondary tense, in order that the mood and tense actually used by the speaker may be retained in the indirect discourse. (See § 69.) E. g.

Εἶπεν ὅτι βούλεται, for εἶπεν ὅτι βούλοιτο, *he said that he wished* (i. e. *he said βούλωμαι*). Ἐφοβέιτο μὴ τοῦτο γένηται, for ἐφοβέιτο μὴ τοῦτο γένοιτο, *he feared lest it should happen* (i. e. *he thought, φοβοῦμαι μὴ γένηται*). (See § 44, 2.)

NOTE 2. An only apparent exception to these rules occurs when either an apodosis with ἄν, or a verb expressing a wish, stands in a dependent sentence. In both these cases the form which would

have been required in the apodosis or in the wish, if it had been independent, is retained without regard to the leading verb. It will be obvious from the principles of such sentences (Chapter IV), that a change of mood would in most cases change the whole nature of the apodosis or wish. E. g.

Ἐγὼ οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως ἂν τις σαφέστερον ἐπιδείξειεν, *I do not know how any one could show this more clearly.* DEM. Aph. I, 828, 23. Δεῖ γὰρ ἐκείνῳ τοῦτο ἐν τῇ γνώμῃ παραστήσαι, ὥς ὑμεῖς ἐκ τῆς ἀμελείας ταύτης . . . ἴσως ἂν ὀρμήσαιτε. DEM. Phil. I, 44, 25. Εἰ δ' ὑμεῖς ἄλλο τι γνώσεσθε, ὃ μὴ γένοιτο, τίνα οἴεσθε αὐτὴν ψυχὴν ἔξεν; DEM. Aph. II, 842, 14.

The learner needs only to be warned not to attempt to apply the rules § 31, 1, 2 to such cases as these. See § 44, 1, N. 3 (b).

NOTE 3. A few other unimportant exceptions will be noticed as they occur. See, for example, § 44, 2, Note 2.

REMARK. It is therefore of the highest importance to ascertain which tenses (in all the moods) are to be considered *primary*, and which *secondary*; that is, which are to be followed, in dependent sentences, by the Indicative or Subjunctive, and which by the Optative, where the rules of § 31 are applied. The general principle, stated in § 8, 2, applies chiefly to the Indicative, and even there not without some important modifications.

§ 32. 1. In the Indicative the general rule holds, that the Present, Perfect, Future, and Future Perfect are *primary* tenses, and the Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist are *secondary* tenses.

2. But the historical Present is a secondary tense, as it refers to the past; and the gnomic Aorist is a primary tense, as it refers to the present.

See the first example under § 10, 2, where an historical Present is followed by the Optative; and the sixth, seventh, and eighth examples under § 30, 1, where gnomic Aorists are followed by the Subjunctive.

3. (a.) The Imperfect Indicative in protasis or apodosis denoting the non-fulfilment of a condition (§ 49, 2), when it refers to *present* time, is a primary tense. E. g.

Ἐγγραφὸν ἂν ἤλικά ὑμῶς εὖ ποιήσω. εἰ εὖ ᾔδειν, *I would tell you in my letter how great services I would render you, if I knew, &c.* DEM. F. L. 353, 24. Πάνν ἂν ἐφοβούμην, μὴ ἀπορήσωσι λόγων. PLAT. Symp. 193 E. Ἐφοβούμην ἂν σφόδρα λέγειν, μὴ δόξω; κ. τ. λ., *I should be very much afraid to speak, lest I should seem, &c.* PLAT. Theaet. 143 E. Ταῦτ' ἂν ᾔδη λέγειν ἐπεχείρουν, ἵν' εἰδῇτε. DEM. Aristocr. 623, 11.

(b.) On the other hand, the Aorist Indicative in the same sense in protasis and apodosis, and also the Imperfect when it refers to the *past*, are secondary tenses. E. g.

Ἄλλα καὶ τοὺς θεοὺς ἂν ἔδεισας παρακινδυνεύειν, μὴ οἷκ ὀρθῶς αὐτὸ ποιήσοις. PLAT. Euthyph. 15 D. Ἄλλ' οἷδὲ μετὰ πολλῶν μαρτύρων ἀποδιδούς εἰκῇ τις ἂν ἐπίστευεν, ὣν εἴ τις γίγνοιτο διαφορά, κομίσασθαι ῥαδίως παρ' ὑμῖν δύνηται. DEM. Onet. I, 869, 18. (Here the Subjunctive δύνηται will be explained by § 44, 2, but the Optative shows that the leading verb is secondary.)*

§ 33. All the tenses of the Subjunctive and Imperative are *primary*, as they refer to present or future time. E. g.

Ἔπεσθ' ὅπῃ ἂν τις ἡγήται, follow whithersoever any one leads the way. THUC. II, 11.

§ 34. As the Optative refers sometimes to the *future* and sometimes to the *past*, it exerts upon a dependent verb sometimes the force of a *primary*, and sometimes that of a *secondary* tense.

When it refers to the *past*, as in general suppositions after *εἰ* and relatives, depending on past tenses (§§ 51 and 62), it is of course *secondary*, like any other form which refers to past time.

When it refers to the *future*, it is properly to be considered *primary*. In many cases, however, a double construction is allowed: on the principle of *assimilation* the Greeks preferred the Optative to the Subjunctive in certain clauses depending

* It is difficult to determine the question whether the secondary tenses of the Indicative in this construction (§ 32, 3) are primary or secondary in their effect on the dependent verb, as sentences of nearly every class depending upon them take by assimilation a secondary tense of the Indicative. (So in most final clauses, § 44, 3; in protasis after *εἰ*, § 49, 2; and after relatives, § 64.) There remain only indirect quotations, and the few cases of final clauses that do not take the Indicative by assimilation; but both of these have the peculiarity of allowing the Indicative and Subjunctive, when the writer pleases, to stand as they were in the direct discourse, instead of being changed to the Optative. Madvig (*Bemerkungen*, p. 20) classes them all as primary forms, considering the two examples of the Optative after the Aorist, quoted above, § 32, 3 (b), as exceptions. But these cannot be accounted for on the supposition that both Aorist and Imperfect are primary: they are, however, perfectly regular, if we consider the present forms primary and the past forms secondary (as in other cases); while the other examples in which the Indicative or Subjunctive follows the *past* forms may all be explained on the principle of § 31, Note 1.

on an Optative, the dependent verb referring to the future like the leading verb, and differing little from a Subjunctive in such a position. This assimilation takes place *regularly* in protasis and conditional relative clauses depending on an Optative; but *seldom* in final and object clauses after *ἵνα*, *ὅπως*, *μή*, &c., and *very rarely* in indirect quotations or questions.

The three classes of sentences which may depend on an Optative referring to the future are treated separately:—

1. (a.) In protasis and in conditional relative sentences depending upon an Optative which *refers to the future*, the Optative is regularly used to express a future condition, rather than the Subjunctive. E. g.

Εἴης φορητὸς οὐκ ἂν, εἰ πράσσοις καλῶς, *you would be unendurable, if you should ever prosper.* AESCH. Prom. 979. Ἄνδρὶ δέ κ' οὐκ εἴξειε μέγας Τελαμώνιος Αἴας, ὃς θνητὸς τ' εἶη καὶ ἔδοι Δημήτερος ἀκτὴν. II. XIII, 321. Πῶς γὰρ ἂν τις, ἃ γε μὴ ἐπίσταίτο, ταῦτα σοφὸς εἶη; *for how should any one be wise in those things which he did not understand?* XEN. Mem. IV, 6, 7. Δέοιτο ἂν αὐτοῦ μένειν, ἔστε σὺ ἀπέλθοις. XEN. Cyr. V, 3, 13. Εἰ ἀποθνήσκοι μὲν πάντα, ὅσα τοῦ ζῆν μεταλάβοι, ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀποθάνοι, μένοι ἐν τούτῳ . . . ἄρ' οὐ πολλὴ ἀνάγκη τελευτῶντα πάντα τεθνάναι; PLAT. Phaed. 72 C. Ὡς ἀπόλοιτο καὶ ἄλλος, ὃ τις τοιαῦτά γε ῥέξοι, *may any other man likewise perish, who shall do such things.* Od. I, 47. Τεθναίνην, ὅτε μοι μηκέτι ταῦτα μέλοι, *may I die, when I (shall) no longer care for these!* MIMN. Fr. I, 2. (Here ὅταν μηκέτι μέλη might be used without change of meaning. But ὅτε μέλει, found in the passage as quoted by Plutarch, would refer to the present in classic Greek.)

(b.) On the other hand, the dependent verb is sometimes in the Subjunctive (or Future Indicative with εἰ), on the ground that it follows a tense of future time. This happens especially after the Optative with ἂν used in its sense approaching that of the Future Indicative (§ 52, 2, N; § 54, 1, b.) E. g.

*Ὦν οὖν μάθης μοι τοῦτον, οὐκ ἂν ἀποδοίην, *if then you should (shall) learn this for me, I would not pay, &c.* ARIST. Nub. 116. Ὦν σε ἀφέλωμαι, κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην. Id. Ran. 586. Ἐγὼ δὲ ταύτην μὲν τὴν εἰρήνην, ἕως ἂν εἰς Ἀθηναίων λείπηται, οἰδέποτ' ἂν συμβουλεύσαιμι ποιήσασθαι τῇ πόλει, *I would never advise the city to make this peace, as long as a single Athenian shall be left.* DEM. F. L. 315, 14. (Here ἕως λείποιτο would be the common form.) Ὡςπερ ἂν ἰμῶν ἕκαστος αἰσχυνθείη τὴν τάξιν λιπεῖν ἣν ἂν ταχθῇ ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ, *as each one of you would be ashamed to leave the post at which he might be placed in war.* AESCHIN. Cor. § 7. (Here ἣν ταχθείη would be the more common expression.) Τῶν ἀτοποτάτων ἂν εἶη, εἰ ταῦτα δυσηθεῖς μὴ πράξει. DEM. Ol. I, 16, 25. Many such examples may be explained equally well by § 54, 1 (a).

NOTE. It will be understood that no assimilation to the Optative can take place when the protasis (after *εἰ* or a relative) consists of a present or past tense of the indicative, as in this case a change to the Optative would involve a change of time. See § 64, Rem 2.

2. In final and object clauses after *ἵνα*, *ὅπως*, *μή*, &c., the Subjunctive (or Future Indicative) is generally used when the leading verb is an Optative referring to the future; the Optative, however, sometimes occurs. The preference for the Subjunctive here can be explained on the general principle (§ 31, Note 1, and § 44, 2). E. g.

(Subj.) Ὀκνοῖν ἂν εἰς τὰ πλοῖα ἐμβαίνειν. μή καταδίση· φοβοίμην δ' ἂν τῷ ἡγεμόνι ἔπεσθαι, μή ἡμᾶς ἀγάγη ὅθεν οὐχ οἶόν τε ἔσται ἐξελθεῖν. XEN. AN. 1, 3, 17. Οἶομαι ἂν ὑμᾶς μέγα ὀνῆσαι τὸ στρατεύμα, εἰ ἐπιμεληθείητε ὅπως ἀντὶ τῶν ἀπολωλότων ὡς τάχιστα στρατηγοὶ καὶ λοχαγοὶ ἀντικατασταθῶσιν. XEN. AN. III, 1, 38.

(Opt.) Πειρώμην ἂν μὴ πρόσω ὑμῶν εἶναι. ἵνα, εἴ που καιρὸς εἴη, ἐπιφανείην. XEN. CYR. II, 4, 17. Ἡ φυλακὴ γελοία τις ἂν φαίνοιτο, εἰ μὴ σύγε ἐπιμελοῖο ὅπως ἔξωθέν τι εἰσφέρειοιτο. XEN. OECOM. VII, 39. Other examples of the Optative are AESCH. EUMEN. 298 (ἔλθοι, ὅπως γένοιτο); SOPH. AJ. 1221 (ὅπως προσείποιμεν); SOPH. PHIL. 325; EUR. HEC. 839; XEN. CYR. I, 6, 22.

NOTE. In relative sentences expressing a purpose the Future Indicative is regularly retained, even after past tenses of the Indicative. For exceptional cases of the Optative in this construction, depending on the Optative with *ἂν*, see § 65, 1, Notes 1 and 3.

3. In indirect quotations and questions, depending upon an Optative which refers to the future, the Indicative is the only form regularly used to represent an *Indicative* of the direct discourse. But in indirect questions the Optative is sometimes found representing a *dubitative Subjunctive* of the direct question (§ 88). E. g.

Οὐδ' ἂν εἰς ἀντίποι ὧς οὐ συμφέρει τῇ πόλει. DEM. MEGAL. 202, 24. Εἰ οὖν νῦν ἀποδειχθείη τίνα χρὴ ἡγεῖσθαι, . . . οὐκ ἂν ὁπότε οἱ πολέμιοι ἔλθοιεν βουλευέσθαι ἡμᾶς δέοι. XEN. AN. III, 2, 36.

Οὐκ ἂν ἔχοις ἐξελθὼν ὃ τι χρῶο σαυτῷ, if you should withdraw, you would not know what to do with yourself. PLAT. CRIT. 45 B. Οὐκ ἂν ἔχοις ὃ τι χρήσαιο σαυτῷ, ἀλλ' ἰλιγγιῶς ἂν καὶ χασμός οὐκ ἔχων ὃ τι εἴποις. Id. GORG. 486 B. The direct questions here were τι χρώμαι; — τί χρήσωμαι; — τί εἶπω; The Subjunctive can always be retained in this construction, even after past tenses. See § 71.

NOTE. In DEM. MEGAL. 203, 12, we find a case of the Optative in an indirect quotation: Οὐ γὰρ ἐκείνὸ γ' ἂν εἶπομεν, ὡς ἀνταλλάξασθαι βουλοίμεθ' ἀντιπάλους Λακεδαιμονίους ἀντὶ Θηβαίων. There are

no other readings; and it is doubtful whether we must consider it as an exceptional case of assimilation (*we could not say this, that we wished, &c.*), or emend it, either by reading βουλόμεθα (as proposed by Madvig, *Bemerk.* p. 21), or by inserting ἄν, which may easily have been omitted in the Mss. before either ἀνταλλάξυσθαι or ἀντιπάλους. In PLAT. Rep. VII, 515 D, we find in the best Mss. Τί ἂν οἶε αὐτὸν εἰπεῖν, εἴ τις αὐτῷ λέγοι ὅτι τότε μὲν ἑώρα φλυαρίως, νῦν δὲ ὀρθότερα βλέπει, *what do you think he would say, if any one should tell him that all that time he had been seeing foolish phantoms, but that now he sees more correctly?* Some Mss. read βλέπει.

In II. V, 85, Τυδεΐδην οὐκ ἂν γνοίης ποτέροισι μετείη, the Optative in the indirect question represents μέτεστιν, but οὐκ ἂν γνοίης here refers to the past, meaning *you would not have known.* (See § 49, 2, N. 6.)

§ 35. 1. The Present, Perfect, and the Futures in the Infinitive and Participle regularly denote time which is merely *relative* to that of the leading verb of the sentence. They are therefore *primary* when that is primary, and *secondary* when that is secondary. E. g.

Βούλεται λέγειν τί τοῦτό ἐστιν, *he wishes to tell what this is.*
Ἐβούλετο λέγειν τί τοῦτο εἶη, *he wished to tell what this was.* Φησὶν ἀκηκοέναι τί ἐστιν, *he says he has heard what it is.* Ἐφη ἀκηκοέναι τί εἶη, *he said he had heard what it was.* Φησὶ ποιήσειν ὅ τι ἂν βούλησθε, *he says he will do whatever you shall wish.* Ἐφη ποιήσειν ὅ τι βούλοισθε, *he said he would do whatever you should wish.*

Μένουσιν βουλόμενοι εἰδέναι τί ἐστι. Ἐμενον βουλόμενοι εἰδέναι τί εἶη. Μένουσιν ἀκηκοότες τί ἐστιν. Ἐμενον ἀκηκοότες τί εἶη. Μένουσιν ἀκουσόμενοι τί ἐστιν. Ἐμενον ἀκουσόμενοι τί εἶη.

NOTE. When the Present Infinitive and Participle represent the Imperfect (without ἄν) they are secondary without regard to the leading verb. E. g.

Πῶς γὰρ οἴεσθε δυσχερῶς ἀκούειν, εἴ τις τι λέγοι; *how unwillingly do you think they heard it, when any one said anything?* See this and the other examples under § 15, 3, and § 73, 2.

2. When the Aorist Infinitive *in itself* does not refer to any definite time, it takes its time from the leading verb (like the Present), and may be either primary or secondary. But when it refers to time *absolutely* past, it is always a secondary tense. E. g.

Βούλεται γινῶναι τί τοῦτό ἐστιν, *he wishes to learn what this is.*
Ἐβούλετο γινῶναι τί τοῦτο εἶη, *he wished to learn what this was.* (§ 23, 1.)

But φησὶ γινῶναι τί τοῦτο εἶη, *he says that he learned what this*

was (§ 23, 2). Ἐφη γινῶναι τί τοῦτο εἶη, *he said that he had learned what this was.* (Γινῶναι has the force of a primary tense in the first example, that of a secondary tense in the others.)

3. The Aorist Participle refers to time past relatively to the leading verb. It is therefore secondary when the leading verb is past or present and the Participle refers to time *absolutely* past; but it may be primary when the leading verb is future, if the Participle refers to time *absolutely* future. E. g.

*Ἰστε ἡμᾶς ἐλθόντας ἵνα τοῦτο ἴδοιμεν, *you know that we came that we might see this.* Ὑπειπὼν τᾶλλα ὅτι αὐτὸς τὰκεῖ πράξοι, ὥχeto. THUC. I, 90. Τῇ μάστιγι τυπτέσθω πληγὰς ὑπὸ κήρυκος ἐν ἀγορᾷ. κηρύξαντος ὧν ἕνεκα μέλλει τύπτεσθαι. PLAT. Leg. XI, 917 E. Ψήφων δείσας μὴ δεηθείη . . . τρέφει. ARIST. Vesp. 109.

4. The tenses of the Infinitive and Participle with ἄν are followed, in dependent clauses, by those constructions that would have followed the finite moods which they represent, in the same position. See § 41, § 32, 3, and § 34.

CHAPTER III.

THE PARTICLE "AN.

§ 36. The adverb ἄν (Epic κέ, Doric κά) has two uses, which must be carefully distinguished.

1. In one use, it denotes that the action of the verb to which it is joined is dependent upon some condition, expressed or implied. This is its force with the secondary tenses of the Indicative, and with the Optative, Infinitive, and Participle: with these it forms an apodosis, and belongs strictly to the *verb*.

2. In its other use, it is joined regularly to εἰ, *if*, and to all relatives and temporal particles, (and occasionally to the final particles ὥς, ὅπως, and ὅφρα,) when these

words are followed by the *Subjunctive*. Here it seems to belong entirely to the relative or particle, with which it often coalesces, as in *ἐάν, ὅταν, ἐπειδάν*.

REMARK 1. The rules, § 36, 1, 2, include only the constructions which are in good use in Attic Greek. For the Epic use of *άν* with the Subjunctive in apodosis, see § 38, 2; for *άν* with the Future Indicative, see § 37, 2.

REMARK 2. There is no word or expression in English which can be used to translate *άν*. In its first use (§ 36, 1) we express it by the mood of the verb which we use; as *βούλοίτο άν*, *he would wish*. In its second use, with the Subjunctive, it has no force that can be made perceptible in English. Its peculiar use can be understood only by a study of the various constructions in which it occurs. These are enumerated below, with references (when it is necessary) to the more full explanation of each in Chapter IV.

§ 37. 1. The Present and Perfect Indicative are never used with *άν*.

When this seems to occur, there is always a mixture of constructions. Thus in PLAT. Leg. IV, 712 E, *ἐγὼ δὲ οὕτω νῦν ἐξαίφνης άν ἐρωτηθεὶς ὄντως, ὅπερ εἶπον, οὐκ ἔχω εἰπεῖν, άν* was used with a view to *οὐκ άν εἴποιμι* or some such construction, for which *οὐκ ἔχω εἰπεῖν* was substituted: the meaning is, *if I should suddenly be asked, I could not say, &c.* So in PLAT. Men. 72 C, *κάν εἰ πολλαὶ . . . εἰσιν, ἔν γέ τι εἶδος ταῦτόν πάσαι ἔχουσι*, i. e. *even if they are many, still (it would seem to follow that) they all have, &c.* Examples like the last are very common in Aristotle, who seems to use *κάν εἰ* almost like *καὶ εἰ*, without regard to the mood of the leading verb.

REMARK. Constructions like those mentioned in § 42, 2, Note, must not be referred to this head. For *οὐκ άν μοι δοκεῖ εἶναι* is never *it would not seem to me to be*; but always, *it does not seem to me that it would be*; *άν* belonging to *εἶναι*.

2. The Future Indicative is often used with *άν* or *κέ* by the early poets, especially Homer. The addition of *άν* makes the Future more contingent than that tense naturally is, giving it a force approaching that of the Optative with *άν* in apodosis (§ 39). E. g.

Ἄλλ' ἴθ', ἐγὼ δέ κέ τοι Χαρίτων μίαν ὀπλοτεράων δώσω, ὀπιέμεναι καὶ σὴν κεκλήσθαι ἄκοιτιν. Il. XIV, 267. Καί κέ τις ὧδ' ἐρέει Τρώων ὑπερηνορέοντων, *perhaps some one will thus speak*. Il. IV, 176. Ὅ δέ κεν κεχολώσεται, ὃν κεν ἔκωμαι, *and he will perhaps be angry to whom I come*. Il. I, 139. Εἰ δ' ἄγε, τοὺς άν ἐγὼν ἐπιόψομαι· οἳ δὲ πιθέσθων. Il. IX, 167. Παρ' ἔμοιγε καὶ ἄλλοι, οἳ κέ με τιμή-

σουσι, i. e. *who will honor me when occasion offers*. Π. I, 174. Εἰ δ' Ὀδυσσεὺς ἔλθοι καὶ ἔκοιτ' ἐς πατρίδα γαῖαν, αἰψὰ κε σὺν ᾧ παιδὶ βίας ἀποτίσεται ἀνδρῶν. Od. XVII, 539. (In this passage the Future with *κέ* is used nearly in the sense of the Optative, corresponding to the Optatives in the Protasis. Ἀποτίσεται may also be Aorist Subjunctive, by § 38, 2.) Μαθὼν δέ τις ἂν ἐρεῖ. PIND. Nem. VII, 68. Κἂν ἔτ' ἔτι φόβιον ὀψομαι αἶμα. EUR. Elect. 484. (So the Mss.)

NOTE 1. The use of *ἂν* with the Future Indicative in Attic Greek is absolutely denied by many critics, and the number of the examples cited in support of it have been greatly diminished by the more careful revision of the texts of the Attic writers. Still several passages remain, even in the best prose, where we must either emend the text against the authority of the Mss., or admit the construction as a rare exception to the general rule. E. g.

Αἰγυπτίους δέ . . . οὐχ ὁρῶ ποῖα δυνάμει συμμάχῳ χρησάμενοι μάλλον ἂν κολάσσεσθε τῆς νῦν σὺν ἐμοὶ οὔσης. XEN. An. II, 5, 13. Ἀπισχυρισάμενοι δέ σαφὲς ἂν [καταστήσετε] αὐτοῖς ἀπὸ τοῦ ἴσου ὑμῖν μάλλον προσφέρεισθαι. THUC. I, 140. (Here most editors read *καταστήσατε*, on the authority of inferior Mss.) Ἐφη οὖν τὸν ἐρωτώμενον εἰπεῖν, οὐχ ἦκει. φάναι, οἶδ' ἂν ἦξει δεῖρο, *nor will he be likely to come hither*. PLAT. Rep. X, 615 D. (Here the only other reading is *ἦξοι*, which is of course corrupt.) Ἐφη . . . λέγων πρὸς ὑμᾶς ὥς, εἰ διαφενδοίμην, ἥδη ἂν ὑμῶν οἱ νείεις . . . πάντες παντάπασι διαφθαρήσονται. Id. Apol. 29 C.

The construction is perhaps less suspicious in the dramatic chorus, which belongs to lyric poetry. See the last example under § 37, 2.

See § 41, 4, on the Future Infinitive and Participle with *ἂν*.

NOTE 2. The form *κέ* is much more common with the Future in Homer than the form *ἂν*.

3. The most common use of *ἂν* with the Indicative is with the *secondary* tenses, generally the Imperfect and Aorist, in apodosis. It here denotes that the condition upon which the action of the verb depends *is not* or *was not* fulfilled. See § 49, 2.

NOTE. The Imperfect and Aorist are sometimes used with *ἂν* in an iterative sense; which construction must not be confounded with that just mentioned. See § 30, 2.

§ 38. 1. In Attic Greek the Subjunctive is used with *ἂν* only in the cases mentioned in § 36, 2; never in independent sentences. See § 47, 2, § 50, 1, § 51,

and § 60, 3. For the occasional use of $\alpha\upsilon$ in final clauses, see § 44, 1, Note 2.

2. In Epic poetry, when the Subjunctive is used nearly in the sense of the Future Indicative (§ 87), it sometimes takes $\kappa\acute{\epsilon}$ or $\alpha\upsilon$. The combination forms an apodosis, with a protasis expressed or understood, and is nearly or quite equivalent to the Future Indicative with $\alpha\upsilon$. E. g.

Εἰ δέ κε μὴ δώωσιν, ἐγὼ δέ κεν αὐτὸς ἑλωμαι, *and if they do not give her up, I will take her myself.* Il. I, 137. (Cf. Il. I, 324.)

See § 50, 1, Note 2 (a).

NOTE. This Epic use of $\kappa\acute{\epsilon}$ or $\alpha\upsilon$ with the Subjunctive must not be confounded with the ordinary construction of § 38, 1. In the latter the $\alpha\upsilon$ is closely connected with the particle or relative, while in the former it is joined with the verb, as it is with the Indicative or Optative. It in fact bears more resemblance to the ordinary Optative with $\alpha\upsilon$ in apodosis in Attic Greek, than to any other Attic construction.

§ 39. The Optative with $\alpha\upsilon$ always forms an apodosis, to which a protasis must be either expressed or implied. It denotes what *would happen*, if the condition (expressed or implied) upon which the action of the verb depends *should ever be fulfilled*. See § 50, 2, and § 52, 2.

REMARK. Such constructions as are explained in § 44, 1, N. 3, a, and § 74, 1, N. 2, are no exception to this rule, as there $\alpha\upsilon$ does not belong to the verb.

NOTE. The Future Optative is not used with $\alpha\upsilon$. See § 26.

§ 40. The Imperative is never used with $\alpha\upsilon$.

NOTE. All passages formerly cited for $\alpha\upsilon$ with the Imperative are now emended on Mss. authority, or otherwise satisfactorily explained. See Passow, or Liddell and Scott, s. v. $\alpha\upsilon$.

§ 41. The Infinitive and Participle can be used with $\alpha\upsilon$ in all cases in which a finite verb, if it stood in their place, would be accompanied with $\alpha\upsilon$. This com-

bination always forms an *apodosis* (except in its iterative sense, Note 3): it can never form a *protasis*, as the finite verb never has ἄν joined to itself in protasis. (See § 36, 2.)

Each tense of the Infinitive and Participle with ἄν forms the same kind of apodosis which the *corresponding tense* of the Indicative or Optative would form in its place. The context must decide whether the Indicative or the Optative is represented in each case.

1. The Present Infinitive and Participle (which represent also the Imperfect, by § 15, 3, and § 16, 2), when they are used with ἄν, may be equivalent either to the Imperfect Indicative with ἄν or to the Present Optative with ἄν. They can represent no other form, as no other form of the Present is used with ἄν in apodosis in the finite moods. E. g.

Φησὶν αὐτοὺς ἐλευθέρους ἄν εἶναι, εἰ τοῦτο ἔπραξαν, *he says that they would (now) be free, if they had done this* (εἶναι ἄν representing ἦσαν ἄν, § 37, 3). Φησὶν αὐτοὺς ἐλευθέρους ἄν εἶναι, εἰ τοῦτο πράξειαν, *he says that they would (hereafter) be free, if they should do this* (εἶναι ἄν representing εἴησαν ἄν, § 39). Οἷσθε γὰρ τὸν πατέρα οὐκ ἄν φυλάττειν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν λαμβάνειν τῶν ξύλων; *do you think he would not (have) taken care and (have) received the pay?* DEM. Timoth. 1194, 20. (Here the direct discourse would be ἐφύλαττεν ἄν καὶ ἐλάμβανεν.) Οἶμαι γὰρ ἄν οὐκ ἀχαρίστως μοι ἔχειν, *for I think it would not be a thankless labor; i. e. οὐκ ἂν ἔχοι.* XEN. An. II, 3, 18. Μαρτυρίῳ ἐχρῶντο, μὴ ἄν ξυστρατεύειν, εἰ μὴ τι ἡδίκουν οἷς ἐπήεσαν, *that they would not join in expeditions, unless those against whom they were marching had wronged them.* THUC. III, 11. (Here ξυστρατεύειν ἄν represents ξυνεστράτεον ἄν.)

Οἶδα αὐτοὺς ἐλευθέρους ἄν ὄντας, εἰ τοῦτο ἔπραξαν, *I know they would (now) be free, if they had done this.* Οἶδα αὐτοὺς ἐλευθέρους ἄν ὄντας, εἰ τοῦτο πράξειαν, *I know they would (hereafter) be free, if they should do this* (In the former ὄντας ἄν represents ἦσαν ἄν, in the latter εἴησαν ἄν.) Τῶν λαμβανόντων δίκην ὄντες ἄν δικαίως (i. e. ἦμεν ἄν), *whereas we should justly be among those who inflict punishment.* DEM. Eubul. 1300, 8. Ὅπερ ἔσχε μὴ . . . τὴν Πελοπόννησον πορθεῖν, ἀδυνάτων ἄν ὄντων (ὕμῶν) ἐπιβοηθεῖν, *when you would have been unable to bring aid (sc. if he had done it).* THUC. I, 73. Πόλλ' ἄν ἔχων ἔτερ' εἰπεῖν περὶ αὐτῆς παραλείπω, *although I might be able (if I should wish) to say many other things about it, I omit them.* DEM. Cor. 313, 4 Ἀπὸ παντὸς ἄν φέρων λόγου δικαίως μηχανήματα ποικίλον (i. e. ὅς ἂν φέροις), *thou who wouldst derive, &c* SOPH. O. C. 761.

2. The Perfect Infinitive and Participle (which represent also the Pluperfect, by § 18, 3, Rem.), when they are used with ἄν, may be equivalent either to the Pluperfect Indicative with ἄν or to the Perfect Optative with ἄν. E. g.

Εἰ μὴ τὰς ἀρετὰς ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν ἐκείνας οἱ Μαραθῶνι καὶ Σαλαμῖνι παρέρσχοντο, πάντα ταῦθ' ὑπὸ τῶν βαρβάρων ἄν ἐαλωκέναι (sc. φήσειεν ἄν τις), *if those at Marathon and Salamis had not exhibited those deeds of valor in their behalf, any one would say that all these would have been captured by the barbarians.* DEM. F. L. 441, 21. Here ἐαλωκέναι ἄν represents ἐαλώκεσαν ἄν, Plup. Ind.) 'Αλλ' οὐκ ἄν ἡγοῦμαι αὐτοὺς δίκην ἀξίαν δεδωκέναι, εἰ ἀκροασάμενοι αὐτῶν καταψηφίσασθε, *but I do not believe they would (then) have suffered sufficient punishment, if you after hearing them should condemn them.* LYS. XXVII, § 9. (Here the protasis in the Optative shows that δεδωκέναι ἄν represents δεδωκότες ἄν εἶεν (§ 18, 1); but if the protasis had been εἰ κατεψηφίσασθε, *if you had condemned them, δεδωκέναι ἄν would have represented ἐδεδώκεσαν ἄν, they would have suffered.*) See also, in § 8 of the same oration, οὐκ ἄν ἀπολωλέναι ἀλλὰ δεδωκέναι. Ἀνδραποδώεις ἄν δικαίως κεκλήσθαι ἡγείτο. XEN. Mem. I, 1, 16. (Here κεκλήσθαι ἄν represents κεκλημένοι ἄν εἶεν.)

These constructions are of course rare, as the forms of the finite moods here represented themselves seldom occur.

3. The Aorist Infinitive and Participle with ἄν may be equivalent either to the Aorist Indicative with ἄν or to the Aorist Optative with ἄν. E. g.

Οὐκ ἄν ἡγείσθ' αὐτὸν καὶ ἐπιδραμεῖν; *do you not believe that (if this had been so) he would even have run thither?* i. e. οὐκ ἄν ἐπέδραμεν; DEM. Aph. I, 831, 10. Ἄνευ δὲ σεισμοῦ οὐκ ἄν μοι δοκεῖ τὸ τοιοῦτο ξυμβῆναι γενέσθαι (οὐκ ἄν ξυμβῆναι representing οὐκ ἄν ξυνέβη), *but unless there had been an earthquake, it does not seem to me that such a thing could have by any chance happened.* THUC. III, 89. Τοὺς Ἀθηναίους ἡλπιζεν ἴσως ἄν ἐπεξέλθειν καὶ τὴν γῆν οὐκ ἄν περιιδεῖν τμηθῆναι (i. e. ἴσως ἄν ἐπεξέλθοιεν καὶ οὐκ ἄν περιδοίεν). Id. II, 20. Οὐδ' ἄν κρατῆσαι αὐτοὺς τῆς γῆς ἡγοῦμαι (i. e. κρατήσειαν ἄν). Id. VI, 37.

Ἀλλὰ ῥαδίως ἄν ἀφεθείς. εἰ καὶ μετρίως τι τούτων ἐποίησε, προείλετο ἀποθανεῖν, *whereas he might easily have been acquitted, &c.* XEN. Mem. IV, 4, 4. Καὶ εἰ ἀπήχθησθε ὥσπερ ἡμεῖς, εὖ ἴσμεν μὴ ἄν ἦσσαν ὑμᾶς λυπηροὺς γενομένους τοῖς ξυμμάχοις, καὶ ἀναγκασθέντας ἄν ἡ ἄρχειν, κ. τ. λ. (i. e. οὐκ ἄν ἐγένεσθε, καὶ ἡναγκάσθητε ἄν), *if you had become odious as we have, we are sure that you would have been no less oppressive to your allies, and that you would have been forced, &c.* THUC. I, 16. Ὅρῶν τὸ παρατείχισμα ἀπλοῦν ὄν. καὶ ἰ ἐπικρατήσεί τις ῥαδίως ἄν αὐτὸ ληφθῆν (i. e. ῥαδίως ἄν αὐτὸ ληφθείη), *seeing that it would easily be taken, &c.* Id. VII, 42

οὔτε ὄντα οὔτε ἄν γενόμενα λογοποιῶσιν, *they relate things which are not real, and which never could happen* (i. e. οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο). Id. VI, 38.

4. The Future Infinitive and Participle with ἄν would be equivalent to the Homeric construction of ἄν with the Future Indicative (§ 37, 2). As, however, ἄν is not found in Homer with either the Future Infinitive or the Future Participle (see below, Note 2), this construction rests chiefly on the authority of passages in Attic writers, and is subject to the same doubt and suspicion as that of the Future Indicative with ἄν in those writers. (See § 37, 2, Note 1.) In the following passages it is still retained in the best editions, with strong support from Mss.

Νομίζοντες, εἰ ταύτην πρώτην λάβοιεν, ῥαδίως ἂν σφίσι τᾶλλα προσχωρήσειν. THUC. II, 80. (Here the direct discourse would regularly have been either in the Fut. Ind. without ἄν, or in the Aor. Opt. with ἄν.) The same may be said of THUC. V, 82, νομίζων μέγιστον ἂν σφᾶς ὠφελήσειν. (Here one Ms. reads by correction ὠφελῆσαι.) See also THUC. VI, 66; VIII, 25 and 71. Σχολῇ ποθ' ἤξιεν δεῖρ' ἂν ἐξηύχουν ἐγώ, *I declared that I should be very slow to come hither again*. SOPH. Ant. 390. Ἀφίετε ἢ μὴ ἀφίετε, ὥς ἐμοῦ οὐκ ἂν ποιήσουντος ἄλλα, οὐδ' εἰ μέλλω πολλάκις τεθνάναι. Plat. Apol. 30 C. Τοὺς ὅτιοῦν ἂν ἐκείνῳ ποιήσουντας ἀννηρηκότες ἐκ τῆς πόλεως ἔσεσθε. DEM. F. L. 450, 27. (Here Cod. A. reads ποιήσαντας.)

NOTE 1. As the Future Optative is never used with ἄν (§ 39, Note), this can never be represented by the Future Infinitive or Participle with ἄν.

NOTE 2. The Participle with ἄν or κέ is not found in Homer or Pindar. The Infinitive with ἄν occurs in these poets very seldom, and only in indirect discourse. E. g.

Καὶ δ' ἂν τοῖς ἄλλοισιν ἔφη παραμυθήσασθαι. Il. IX, 684. (The direct discourse is given in the words of Achilles in vs. 417, καὶ δ' ἂν . . . παραμυθησαίμην.)

NOTE 3. The Infinitive with ἄν sometimes represents an *iterative* Imperfect or Aorist Indicative with ἄν (§ 30, 2). This must be carefully distinguished from an apodosis. E. g.

Ἀκούω Λακεδαιμονίους τότε ἐμβαλόντας ἂν καὶ κακώσαντας τὴν χώραν ἀναχωρεῖν ἐπ' οἶκον πάλιν, *I hear that the Lacedaemonians at that time, after invading and ravaging the country, used to return home again*. DEM. Phil. III, 123, 16. (Here ἀναχωρεῖν ἄν represents ἀνεχώρουν ἄν in its iterative sense, *they used to return*.)

NOTE 4. The Infinitive with *ἄν* commonly stands in indirect discourse after a verb of *saying* or *thinking*, as in most of the examples given above. Occasionally, however, it is found in other constructions, where the simple Present or Aorist Infinitive is regularly used. E. g.

Τὰ δὲ ἐντὸς οὕτως ἐκαίετο, ὥστε ἡδιστα ἄν ἐς ὕδωρ ψυχρὸν σφᾶς αὐτοὺς ῥίπτειν, so that they would most gladly have thrown themselves into cold water. THUC. II, 49. (ὥστε ῥίπτειν would be the ordinary expression here: with *ἄν* it represents an Imperfect Indicative, ἔρριπτον ἄν.) Ἐκείνους ἀπεστερηκέναι, εἰ καὶ κρατοῖεν, μὴ ἄν ἔτι σφᾶς ἀποτειχίσαι, to have deprived them of the power of ever again walling them in, even if they should be victorious. Id. VII, 6. See § 27, N. 2 (a), for an example of βούλομαι and the Infinitive with *ἄν*. We have given examples of verbs of *hoping*, &c. with the Present, Aorist, and Future Infinitive; they sometimes take the Infinitive with *ἄν*, as a slight change from the Future; as in THUC. VII, 61: τὸ τῆς τύχης καὶ μὲθ' ἡμῶν ἐλπίσαντες στήναι. (See § 27, N. 3.) Ελπίζω is found also with ὥς and the Future Optative in THUC. VI, 30; and with ὥς and the Aorist Optative with *ἄν* in V, 9.

NOTE 5. The Participle with *ἄν* can never represent a *protasis*, because there is no form of *protasis* in the finite moods in which *ἄν* is joined with the *verb itself*. For examples of apparent violations of this principle, incorrectly explained by Mitthiac and others as cases of the Participle with *ἄν* in *protasis*, see § 42, 3, Note 1.

§ 42. 1. When *ἄν* is used with the Subjunctive, if it does not coalesce with the relative or particle into one word (as in *εἰάν, ὅταν*), it is separated from it only by such words as *μέν, δέ, τέ, γάρ, &c.* See examples under § 62.

2. When *ἄν* stands in *apodosis* with any verbal form, it may be either placed near the verb, or attached to some more emphatic word in the sentence.

Particularly, it is very often placed directly after interrogatives, negatives, adverbs of *time, place, &c.*, and other words which especially affect the sense of the sentence. E. g.

Ἀλλὰ τίς δὴ θεῶν θεραπεία εἴη ἄν ἡ ὀσιότης; PLAT. Euthyph. 13 D. Ἀλλ' ὁμῶς τὸ κεφάλαιον αὐτῶν ῥαδίως ἄν εἴποις. Id. 14 A. Οὐκ ἄν δὴ τόνδ' ἄνδρα μάχης ἐρύσαιο μετελθὼν, Τυδεΐδην, ὃς νῦν γε ἄν καὶ Διὶ πατρὶ μάχοιτο. II. V, 456. Πῶς ἄν τὸν αἰμυλώτατον, ἐχθρὸν ἄλημα, τοὺς τε δισσάρχας ὀλέσσας βασιλῆς, τέλος θάνοιμι καὶ τός. SOPH. Aj. 389. Τάχιστ' ἄν τε πόλιν οἱ τοιοῦτοι ἐτέρους πείσαντες ἀπολέσειαν. THUC. II, 63.

NOTE. For the sake of emphasis, *ἄν* is often separated from its verb by such words as *οἶομαι, δοκέω, φημί, οἶδι, &c.* In

such cases care must be taken to connect the *ἄν* with the verb to which it really belongs. E. g.

Καὶ νῦν ἡδέως ἄν μοι δοκῶ κοινωνῶνῃσαι, and now I think I should gladly take part (*ἄν* belonging to κοινωνῶνῃσαι). XEN. Cyr. VIII, 7, 25. Οὐδ' ἄν ὑμεῖς οἴδ' ὅτι ἐπαύσασθε πολεμοῦντες, nor would you (I am sure) have ceased fighting. DEM. Phil. II, 72, 25. Τί οὖν ἄν, ἔφη, εἴη ὁ Ἔρως; PLAT. Symp. 202 D. This is especially irregular in the expression οὐκ οἶδα ἄν εἶ, or οὐκ ἄν οἶδα εἶ, followed by an Optative to which the *ἄν* belongs; as οὐκ οἶδ' ἄν εἰ πείσαιμι, I do not know whether I could persuade him (sc. if I should try). EUR. Med. 941. The more regular form would be οὐκ οἶδα εἰ πείσαιμι ἄν. See Elmsley *ad loc.* (vs. 911).

3. Ἄν is sometimes used twice, or even three times, with the same verb. This may be done in a long sentence, to make the conditional force felt through the whole, especially when the connection is broken by intermediate clauses. It may also be done in order to emphasize particular words with which it is joined, and to make them prominent as being affected by the contingency. E. g.

Ὡστ' ἄν, εἰ σθένος λάβοιμι, δηλώσαιμ' ἄν οἱ αἰτοῖς φρονῶ. SOPH. El. 333. Οὐκ ἄν ἡγείσθ' αὐτὸν κἂν ἐπιδραμεῖν. DEM. Aph. I, 831, 10. Οὗτ' ἄν ἐλόντες αὖθις ἀνθαλοῖεν ἄν. AESCH. Ag. 340. Ἄλλους γ' ἄν οὖν οἰόμεθα τὰ ἡμέτερα λαβόντας δεῖξαι ἄν μάλιστα εἴ τι μετριάζομεν. THUC. I, 76. (See § 42, 2, N.) Οὗτ' ἄν κελεύσαιμ', οὗτ' ἄν, εἰ θέλοις ἔτι πράσσειν, ἐμοῦ γ' ἄν ἡδέως δρόγῃς μέτα. SOPH. Ant. 69. Λέγω καθ' ἑκάστον δοκεῖν ἄν μοι τὸν αὐτὸν ἄνδρα παρ' ἡμῶν ἐπὶ πλείστ' ἄν εἶδη καὶ μετὰ χαρίτων μάλιστ' ἄν εἰτραπέλως τὸ σῶμα αὐταρκες παρέχεσθαι. THUC. II, 41. (Here ἄν is used three times, belonging to παρέχεσθαι.) Ὑμῶν δὲ ἔρρημος ὢν οὐκ ἄν ἱκανὸς οἶμαι εἶναι οὗτ' ἄν φίλον ὠφελεῖσθαι οὗτ' ἄν ἐχθρὸν ἀλέξασθαι. XEN. An. I, 3, 6. (Here ἄν is used three times, belonging to εἶναι.)

NOTE 1. This principle, taken in connection with that stated in § 42, 2, by showing that *ἄν* can be joined to any word in the sentence which it is important to emphasize, as well as to its own verb, and even to both, explains many cases in which *ἄν* and a Participle appear to form a *protasis*. (See § 41, Note 5.) If a Participle takes the place of a *protasis*, it is of course one of the most important words in the sentence, and one to which the particle *ἄν* is especially likely to be attached. The *ἄν*, however, does not *qualify* such a Participle, any more than it does a negative or in-

terrogrative with which it is connected for the same purpose; but it always belongs to the principal verb of the apodosis. E. g.

Νομίσατε τό τε φαῦλον καὶ τὸ μέσον καὶ τὸ πᾶν ἀκριβὲς ἂν ξυγκριθέν μάλιστ' ἂν ἰσχύειν, *believe that these, if they should be united, would be especially strong.* THUC. VI, 18. (Here ξυγκραθέν alone (not with ἂν) is equivalent to εἰ ξυγκραθείη (§ 52, 1), and the ἂν is placed before it merely to emphasize it, as containing the protasis to the verb ἰσχύειν, to which this ἂν, as well as the other, belongs.) Ἀγῶνας ἂν τίς μοι δοκεῖ, ἔφη, ᾧ πάτερ, προειπὼν ἐκάστοις καὶ ἄθλα προτιθεὶς μάλιστ' ἂν ποιεῖν εὖ ἀσκεῖσθαι, *it seems to me, saith he, father, that if any one should proclaim contests, &c., he would cause, &c.* XEN. Cyr. I, 6, 18. (Here the protasis implied in the Participles is merely emphasized by ἂν, which belongs to ποιεῖν.) Λέγοντος ἂν τινος πιστεῦσαι οἴεσθε; (i. e. εἴ τίς ἔλεγεν, ἐπίστευσαν ἂν;) *do you think they would have believed it, if any one had told them?* DEM. Phil. II, 71, 4. (Here too the ἂν stands near λέγοντος only to point it out as the protasis, to which its own verb πιστεῦσαι is the apodosis.)

In these cases, the protasis expressed by the Participle is affected by the ἂν, only as the ordinary protasis with εἰ is affected in the example from SOPH. El. 333, quoted above, under § 42, 3.

NOTE 2. Ἄν is sometimes used elliptically without a verb, when one can be supplied from the context. E. g.

Οἱ οἰκέται ῥέγκουσιν· ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν πρὸ τοῦ (sc. ἔρρεγκον), *the slaves are snoring; but they would n't have done so once.* ARIST. Nub. 5. Ὡς οὐτ' ἂν ἀστῶν τῶνδ' ἂν ἐξείποιμί τω, οὐτ' ἂν τέκνοισι τοῖς ἐμοῖς, στέργων ὁμως. SOPH. O. C. 1528. Σὺ πῶς γὰρ ἂν (sc. εἴη); *how could it?* πῶς οὐκ ἂν; and similar phrases; especially ὥσπερ ἂν εἰ (also written as one word, ὥσπερανεί), in which the ἂν belongs to the verb that is understood after εἰ; as φοβούμενος ὥσπερ ἂν εἰ παῖς, *fearing like a child* (i. e. φοβούμενος ὥσπερ ἂν ἐφοβήθη εἰ παῖς ἦν). PLAT. Gorg. 479 A. (See § 53, N. 3.)

In like manner ἂν may be used with εἰ in protasis, or with a conditional relative, the verb being understood; as in XEN. An. I, 3, 6: ὥς ἐμοῦ οὖν ἰόντος ὅπῃ ἂν καὶ ὑμεῖς, οὕτω τὴν γνώμην ἔχετε. (That is, ὅπῃ ἂν καὶ ὑμεῖς ἦτε.)

NOTE 3. Repetition of *κέ* is rare; yet it sometimes occurs. E. g.

Τῷ κε μάλ' ἢ κε ν ἔμεινε καὶ ἐσσύμενός περ ὁδοῖο,
Ἥ κέ με τεθνηῖαν ἐνὶ μεγάροισιν ἔλειπεν. OD. IV, 733.

On the other hand, Homer sometimes joins ἂν and κέ in the same sentence for emphasis. E. g.

Καρτερὰ, ἄς οὐτ' ἂν κε ν Ἀρης ὀνόσαιτο μετελθῶν,
Οὔτε κ' Ἀθηναίη λαοσσόος. IL. XIII, 127.

4. When an apodosis consists of several co-ordinate clauses

with the same mood, ἄν is generally used only in the first, and understood in the others; unless it is repeated for emphasis, or for some other special reason. E. g.

Οὐδ' ἄν ἐμέ, ἥνίκα δεῦρο ἀποπλεῖν ἐβουλόμην, κατεκώλυεν, οἱ δὲ τοιαῦτα λέγειν τούτῳ προσέταπτεν, ἐξ ὧν ἤκισθ' ἡμεῖς ἐμέλλετ' ἐξίέναι. DEM. F. L. 357, 3. (Here ἄν is understood with προσέταπτεν.) Οὕτω δὲ δρῶν οὐδὲν ἄν διάφορον τοῦ ἐτέρου ποιοῖ, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ ταῦτόν ἀμφοτέροι ἴοιεν. PLAT. Rep. II, 360 C. Οἰκοῦν κ' ἄν, εἰ πρὸς αὐτὸ τὸ φῶς ἀναγκάζοι αὐτὸν βλέπειν, ἀλγεῖν τε ἄν τὰ ὄμματα καὶ φεύγειν ἀποστρεφόμενον (οἶει); Id. VII, 515 E. (This example illustrates also the principle of § 42, 3, κ' ἄν belonging to the Infinitives.) See also XEN. An. II, 5, 14. Πάντα ἥρει ὁ Φίλιππος, πολλὰ λέγοντος ἐμοῦ καὶ θρυλοῦντος αἰ, τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ὡς ἄν εἰς κοινὸν γνῶμην ἀποφαινομένου, μετὰ ταῦτα δ' ὡς ἀγνοοῦντας διδάσκοντος, τελειώοντος δὲ ὡς ἄν πρὸς πεπρακότας αὐτοὺς καὶ ἀνοσιωτάτους ἀνθρώπους οὐδὲν ὑποστελλομένου. DEM. F. L. 390, 5. (The first ἄν belongs to ἀπεφαινόμην implied, as *I should have declared it, if I had been speaking to inform my colleagues*; in the following clause the same tense (ἐδίδασκον) is implied, and ἄν is not repeated; in the third clause, on the contrary, an Optative is implied, ὡς ἄν λέγοιμι, and therefore the ἄν again appears.) In PLAT. Rep. III, 398 A, we find ἄν used with two co-ordinate Optatives, understood with a third, and repeated again with a fourth to avoid confusion with a dependent Optative. Ἄν may be understood with an Optative even in a separate sentence, if the construction is continued from a sentence in which ἄν is used with the Optative; as in PLAT. Rep. I, 352 E:—"Εσθ' ὅτῳ ἄν ἄλλῳ ἰδοῖς ἢ ὀφθαλμοῖς; Οὐ δῆτα. Τί δέ; ἀκούσας ἄλλῳ ἢ ὥσιν; So with πράττοι, Id. IV, 439 B.

NOTE. The Adverb τάχα, in the sense of *perhaps*, is often joined with ἄν, in which case the phrase τάχ' ἄν is nearly equivalent to ἵσως. This, however, cannot be used unless the ἄν would form an apodosis with the verb of the sentence, if the τάχα were not joined with it. Thus τάχ' ἄν γένοιτο means *it might perhaps happen*. So τάχ' ἄν ἐγένετο means *it would perhaps have happened*; but it can never (like ἵσως ἐγένετο) mean *perhaps it happened*.

CHAPTER IV.

USE OF THE MOODS.

THIS chapter includes all those constructions which require any other form of the finite verb than the simple Indicative expressing an absolute assertion (§ 2). The Infinitive and Participle are included here only so far as they are used in indirect discourse, or in Protasis and Apodosis.

These constructions are divided into the following classes : —

- I. Final and Object Clauses after *ἵνα*, *ὥς*, *ὅπως*, *ὅφρα*, and *μή*.
- II. Conditional Sentences.
- III. Relative and Temporal Sentences.
- IV. Indirect Discourse, including Indirect Quotations and Questions.
- V. Causal Sentences.
- VI. Expressions of a Wish.
- VII. Imperative and Subjunctive in Commands, Exhortations, and Prohibitions.
- VIII. Subjunctive (like the Future Indicative) in Independent Sentences. — Interrogative Subjunctive. — *Ὅ μή* with the Subjunctive or Future Indicative.

SECTION I.

FINAL AND OBJECT CLAUSES AFTER *ἵνα*, *ὥς*, *ὅπως*, *ὅφρα*,
AND *μή*.

§ 43. The clauses which depend upon the so-called *final* particles, *ἵνα*, *ὥς*, *ὅπως*, *ὅφρα*, *that*, *in*

order that, and μή, lest, that not, may be divided into three classes:—

A. Pure final clauses, in which the end, purpose, or motive of the action of any verb may be expressed, after any one of the final particles; as ἔρχεται ἵνα τοῦτο ἴδῃ, *he is coming that he may see this*; ἀπέρχεται μὴ τοῦτο ἴδῃ, *he is departing that he may not see this*.

B. Object clauses with ὅπως or ὅπως μή after verbs of striving, &c.; as σκόπει ὅπως γενήσεται, *see that it happens*; σκόπει ὅπως μὴ γενήσεται, *see that it does not happen*. These clauses express the direct object of the verb of striving, &c., so that they may stand in apposition to an object accusative like τοῦτο; as σκόπει τοῦτο ὅπως μή σε ὄψεται, *see to this, viz., that he does not see you*. They also imply the end or purpose of the action of the leading verb, and to this extent they partake of the nature of final clauses.

C. Object clauses with μή after verbs of fearing, &c.; as φοβοῦμαι μὴ γένηται, *I fear lest it may happen*; φοβοῦμαι μὴ τοῦτο ἐγένετο, *I fear lest this happened*. These express simply the object of fear, without even implying any purpose to prevent that object from being realized. Thus if we say φοβοῦμαι τοῦτο, μὴ κακῶς πράξω, *I fear this, lest I may fall into misfortune*, the clause with μή merely explains τοῦτο, the direct object of φοβοῦμαι.

REMARK. Although the object clauses of the class B partake slightly of the nature of final clauses, so that they sometimes allow the same construction (the Subjunctive for the Future Indicative, § 45), still the distinction between these two classes is very strongly marked. An object clause, as we have seen, can stand in apposition to a preceding τοῦτο; whereas a final clause could stand in apposition to τοῦτοι

ἐνεκα, as ἔρχεται τούτου ἐνεκα. ἵνα ἡμῖν βοηθήσῃ, *he comes for this purpose, viz., that he may assist us.* The two can be combined in one sentence; as σπουδάζει ὅπως πλουτήσῃ, ἵνα τοὺς φίλους εὖ ποιῇ, *he is eager to be rich, that he may benefit his friends.*

Care must be taken not to mistake the nature of an object clause, when its subject is attracted by the leading verb; as σκοπεῖν τὴν πόλιν ὅπως σωθήσεται for σκοπεῖν ὅπως ἡ πόλις σωθήσεται, *to see that the city is saved.*

NOTE 1. Ὅφρα is found only in Epic and Lyric poetry.

NOTE 2. The regular negative adverb after ἵνα, ὥς, ὅπως, *this is* and ὅφρα is μή; but after μή, *lest*, οὐ is used. E. g.

Ἀπέρχεται, ἵνα μὴ τοῦτο ἴδῃ, *he is departing that he may not see this.* Φοβέεται μὴ οὐ τοῦτο γένηται, *he is afraid lest this may not happen.* This use of οὐ as the negative after μή seems to have no other object than to avoid repetition of μή. Where, however, the sentence is so long that this repetition would not be noticed, we find μή repeated; as in XEN. Mem. I, 2, 7: ἐθαύμαζε δ' εἴ τις . . . φοβοίτο μὴ ὁ γινόμενος καλὸς κάγαθος τῷ τὰ μέγιστα εὐεργετήσαντι μὴ τὴν μεγίστην χάριν ἔξοι.

A. Pure Final Clauses.

§ 44. 1. In *pure final clauses* the Subjunctive is regularly used, if the leading verb is *primary*; and the Optative, if the leading verb is *secondary*. E. g.

Νῦν δ' ἔρχεσθ' ἐπὶ δεῖπνον, ἵνα ξυνάγωμεν Ἄρηα. II. II, 381. Σοὶ δ' ὧδε μνηστήρες ὑποκρίνονται, ἵν' εἰδῆς αὐτὸς σῶ θυμῷ, εἰδῶσι δὲ πάντες Ἀχαιοί. Od. II, 111. Εἶπω τι δῆτα καὶ ἄλλ', ἵν' ὀργίξῃ πλέον; SOPH. O. R. 364. Καὶ γὰρ βασιλεὺς αἰρεῖται, οὐχ ἵνα ἑαυτοῦ καλῶς ἐπιμελῇται, ἀλλ' ἵνα καὶ οἱ ἐλόμενοι δι' αὐτὸν εὖ πράττωσι. XEN. Mem. III, 2, 3. Δοκεῖ μοι κατακαῖσαι τὰς ἀμάξας, ἵνα μὴ τὰ ζεύγη ἡμῶν στρατηγῇ. XEN. An. III, 2, 27. Ὄρνυθ', ἵν' ἀθανάτοισι φῶως φέροι ἡδὲ βροτοῖσιν. Od. V, 2. Φίλος ἐβούλετο εἶναι τοῖς μέγιστα δυναμένοις, ἵνα ἀδικῶν μὴ διδοίῃ δίκην. XEN. An. II, 6, 21.

Βουλὴν δ' Ἀργείοις ὑποθητόμεθ', ἥ τις ὀνήσει, ὥς μὴ πάντες ὄλωνται ὁδυσσαμένοιο τεοῖο. II. VIII, 36. Διανοεῖται τὴν γέφυραν λῦσαι, ὥς μὴ διαβῇ τε, ἀλλ' ἐν μέσῳ ἀποληφθῇ τε. XEN. An. II, 4, 17. Πέφνε δ' Εὐρυτον, ὥς Ἀγέαν λάτριον μισθὸν πρᾶσσοιτο. PIND. Ol. XI (X), 31. Τούτου ἐνεκα φίλων ᾤετο δεῖσθαι, ὥς συνεργούς ἔχοι. XEN. An. I, 9, 21.

Τὸν δὲ μνηστῆρες λοχῶσιν, ὅπως ἀπὸ φίλον ὀληται|ἐξ Ἰθάκης. Od. XIV¹, 181. Μέθες τόδ' ἄγγος νῦν, ὅπως τὸ πᾶν μάθῃς. SOPH. El. 1205. Εἰς καιρὸν ἦκεις, ὅπως τῆς δίκης ἀκούσῃς. XEN. Cyr. III, 1, 8. Παρακαλεῖς ἱατροὺς, ὅπως μὴ ἀποθάνῃ. XEN. Mem. II, 10, 2. Οἶμαι δὲ ταῦτα γίγνεσθαι, οὐχ ὅπως τοῖς αὐτοῖς χρόνοις κρίνωσιν οἱ πολῖται, οὐδ' ὅπως τοὺς αὐτοὺς αὐλητὰς ἐπαινῶσιν, οὐδ' ὅπως τοὺς αὐτοὺς ποιητὰς αἰρῶνται, οὐδ' ἵνα τοῖς αὐτοῖς ἡδωνται, ἀλλ' ἵνα τοῖς νόμοις περὶ θῶνται. XEN. Mem. IV, 1, 16. Ἐν χεῖρεσσιν ἔθηκεν, ὅπως ἔτι πῆμα φύγοιμι. Od. XIV, 312. Ἐπρεσβεύοντο ἐγκλήματα ποιούμενοι, ὅπως σφίσιν ὅτι μεγίστη πρόφασις εἴη τοῦ πολεμεῖν. THUC. I, 126.

Κεφαλῇ κατανέυσομαι, ὅφρα πεποίθῃς. II, I, 524. Ὅρσοο δὴ νῦν, ξείνε, πόλινδ' ἵμεν ὅφρα σε πέμψω. Od. VI, 255. Δάμον Φερσεφύνας ἔλθε, ὅφρ' ἰδοῖς υἱὸν εἴπῃς. PIND. Ol. XIV, 30. Αὐτὰρ ἐμοὶ γέρας αὐτίχ' ἐτοιμάσατ', ὅφρα μὴ οἷος Ἀργείων ἀγέραςτος ἔω. II, I, 118. Ὡς ὁ μὲν ἐνθα κατέσχετ' ἐπειγόμενός περ ὁδοῖο, ὅφρ' ἔταρον θάπτοι καὶ ἐπὶ κτέρεα κτερίσειεν. Od. III, 284.

Ἄλλὰ σὺ μὲν νῦν αἷτις ἀπόστιχε, μὴ τι νοήσῃ Ἥρῃ· ἐμοὶ δέ κε ταῦτα μελήσεται, ὅφρα τελέσω. II, I, 522. Οὐ δῆτ' αὐτὸν ἄξεις δεῦρο, μὴ τις ἀναρπάσῃ; SOPH. Aj. 986. Λυσitteλεί ἔασαι ἐν τῷ παρόντι, μὴ καὶ τοῦτον πολέμιον προσθώμεθα. XEN. Cyr. II, 4, 12. Δέγεται εἰπεῖν ὅτι ἀπιέναι βούλοιο, μὴ ὁ πατήρ τι ἄχθοιο καὶ ἡ πόλις μέμφοιτο. XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 25.

NOTE 1. The Future Indicative sometimes (though rarely) takes the place of the Subjunctive in *pure* final clauses, after ὅπως and ὅφρα (ὅπως μὴ, ὅφρα μὴ); — *never* after ἵνα or ὥς, and very seldom after the simple μὴ. (Μὴ with the Future is commonly found only after verbs of the next two classes; §§ 45, 46.) Here, as well as after verbs of *striving* and of *fearing*, the Future differs from the Subjunctive only by being a more *vivid* form of statement. E. g.

Αἰεὶ δὲ μαλακοῖσι καὶ αἰμυλίοισι λόγοισι θέλγει, ὅπως Ἰθάκης ἐπιλήσεται. Od. I, 56. Οὐδὲ δι' ἐν ἄλλο τρέφονται ἢ ὅπως μαχοῦνται ὑπὲρ τῶν τρεφόντων. XEN. Cyr. II, 1, 21. Χρὴ ἀναβιβάζειν ἐπὶ τὸν τροχὸν τοὺς ἀναγραφέντας, ὅπως μὴ πρότερον νῦξ ἔσται πρὶν πυθέσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας ἀπαντας. ANDOC. de Myst. I, p. 6, 38. § 43. Ἐπ' αὐτοὺς τοὺς προλόγους σου τρέψομαι, ὅπως τὸ πρῶτον τῆς τραγωδίας μέρος πρώτιστον βᾶσανιῶ. ARIST. Ran. 1120. In Nub. 1466, ὅπως ἀπολείς μετελθὼν (not μετ' ἐμοῦ ἔλθ') is to be explained by § 45, N. 7. Θάρσυνον δὲ οἱ ἥτορ ἐνὶ φρεσὶν, ὅφρα καὶ Ἐκτωρ εἴσεται. II. XVI, 212. So Od. IV, 163. Ὡστ' εἰκὸς ἡμᾶς μὴ βημαδύνειν ἐστί, μὴ καὶ τις ὄψεται χημῶν ἴσως κατείπῃ. ARIST. Eccles. 495. So μὴ κεχολώσεται, II. XX, 301. This construction is very rare in Attic prose.

NOTE 2. The Particle *an* (κέ) is sometimes joined with

ὥς, ὅπως, and ὅφρα, before the Subjunctive in final clauses; especially with ὥς and ὅφρα in Homer. The *ἄν* here is always joined to the particle, and (as in protasis-) it adds nothing to the sense which can be made perceptible in English. E. g.

Πείθεο, ὥς ἄν μοι τιμὴν μεγάλην καὶ κῦδος ἄρῃαι, *obey, that thou mayest gain for me great honor and glory.* II. XVI, 84. (Here ὥς ἄν ἄρῃαι seems to be merely a weaker form of expression than ὥς ἄρῃαι would have been.) 'Αλλ' ἴθι, μὴ μ' ἐρέθιζε, σαώτερος ὥς κεν νέηαι, *that thou mayest go the more safely.* II. I, 32. 'Αλλ' οὗτος μὲν νῦν σοὶ ἅμ' ἔψεται, ὅφρα κεν εὖδῃ σοῖσιν ἐνὶ μεγάροισιν. Od. III, 359. Προσδεόμεθα . . . συμπέψαι ἡμῖν, ὥς ἄν μιν ἐξέλωμεν ἐκ τῆς χώρας. Hdt. I, 36. 'Αλλ' εἰσώμεν, φίλοι, ἐκχλον αὐτὸν, ὥς ἄν εἰς ὕπνον πέσῃ. SOPH. Phil. 825. Τοῦτ' λαβὼν μου τὸ σκιάδειον ὑπέρεχε ἄνθρωπον, ὥς ἄν μὴ μ' ὀρώσιν οἱ θεοί. ARIST. Av. 1508. Καὶ φατε αὐτὸν τοιοῦτον εἶναι, ὅπως ἄν φαίνεται ὥς κάλλιστος καὶ ἄριστος. PLAT. Symp. 199 A. "Αν γέ τις ἀποπτεύῃ ἐλεύθερα φρονήματα ἔχοντας μὴ ἐπιτρέψῃ αὐτῷ ἄρχειν, (πολέμους κινεῖ) ὅπως ἄν τούτους μετὰ προφάσεως ἀπολλύῃ, *that he may destroy them.* PLAT. Rep. VIII, 567 A. 'Ὡς ἄν μάθῃς, ἀντάκουσον. XEN. An. II, 5, 16. See also An. VII, 4, 2; AESCH. Prom. 10 (ὥς ἄν), 824 (ὅπως ἄν), Eumen. 573 (ὅπως ἄν).

NOTE 3. (a.) Homer and Herodotus sometimes use *ἄν* or *κέ* in final clauses with the same particles before the Optative, with no apparent effect upon the verb. E. g.

Καὶ μιν μακρότερον καὶ πάσσονα θῆκεν ιδέσθαι, ὥς κεν Φαίηκεσσι φίλος πάντεσσι γένοιτο. Od. VIII, 20. 'Εννήμαρ δ' ἐς τείχος ἴει ῥῶον· ἔε δ' ἄρα Ζεὺς συνεχὲς, ὅφρα κε θάσσον ἀλίπλοα τέχαι θείῃ. II. XII, 25. Σὺ δέ με προίεις ἐς πατέρα, ὅφρ' ἄν ἐλοίμην δῶρα. Od. XXIV, 334. Διώρυχα (ὠρυσσεν), ὅπως ἄν τὸ στρατόπεδον ἰδρυμένον κατὰ νότον λάβοι. Hdt. I, 75. Ταῦτα δὲ περὶ ἐωυτὸν ἐσέμνυε τῶνδε εἵνεκεν, ὅπως ἄν μὴ ὀρέοντες οἱ ὁμήλικες λυπεοῖατο καὶ ἐπιβουλεύοιεν, ἀλλ' ἑτεροῖός σφι δοκέει εἶναι μὴ ὀρέωσι, *in order that his companions might not be offended by seeing him and plot against him, but that he might appear to them to be of another nature by their not seeing him.* Id. I, 99.

(b.) Apart from this use, however, the Optative can be regularly joined with *ἄν* in any final clause, if it forms an *apodosis* with the verb, to which there is a protasis expressed or distinctly understood. Such Optative with *ἄν* can follow primary as well as secondary tenses. (§ 31, N. 2.) E. g.

'Ηγείσθω ὀρχηθμοῖο, ὥς κέν τις φαίῃ γάμον ἔμμεναι ἐκτὸς ἀκούων, *let him lead off the dance, so that any one who should hear without would say there was a marriage.* Od. XXIII, 134. 'Ὡς δ' ἄν ἡδίστα ταῦτα φαίνοιτο, αὐτὸς τις αὐτῷ ταῦτα παρασκευάσει, *lit. but each one must acquire these things for himself, to cause that they would appear most agreeable (if any one should experience them).* XEN. Cyr.

VII, 5, 81. Ἐδωκε χρήματα Ἀνταλκίδα, ὅπως ἂν, πληρωθέντος ναυτικοῦ ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων, οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι μᾶλλον τῆς εἰρήνης προσιδέοντο. XEN. Hell. IV, 8, 16. (Here πληρωθέντος ναυτικοῦ, *if the navy should be manned*, stands as a *prolasis* to the Optative προσδέοντο ἂν.)

Such sentences as DEM. Phil. II, 66, 15, ὥς δὲ κωλύσαιτ' ἂν ἐκείνον πράττειν ταῦτα. παντελῶς ἀργῶς ἔχετε, *but as to any measures by which you could prevent him from doing these things, you are wholly inactive*, are not final clauses, but relative sentences with an antecedent implied. See § 65, 1, N. 4.

REMARK. Μή, *lest*, can be followed by a verb with ἂν only in a regular apodosis after verbs of *fearing*, &c. (See § 46, N. 3.) ἵνα is never used with ἂν, except when it means *where*. A single case of ἵνα with κέ in a final clause occurs, Od. XII, 156:—Ἄλλ' ἐρέω μὲν ἐγὼν, ἵνα εἰδότες ἥ κε θάνωμεν, ἥ κεν ἀλευάμενοι θάνατον καὶ κῆρα φύγωμεν. But here the κέ belongs not to ἵνα, but to the Subjunctives: see § 87, Note.

NOTE 4. A purpose can be expressed by a relative and the Future Indicative (§ 65, 1), or by the Future Participle (§ 109, 5). For the use of ὥστε to express a purpose, see § 98, 2.

2. As all final clauses express the purpose or motive of *some person*, they admit of the double construction of indirect discourse (§ 77, 2); so that, instead of the Optative after secondary tenses, we can have the *mood* and *tense* which the person himself might have used when he conceived the purpose in his own mind. That is, we can say either ἦλθεν ἵνα ἴδοι, *he came that he might see* (by § 44, 1); or ἦλθεν ἵνα ἴδῃ, *because the person himself would have said ἔρχομαι ἵνα ἴδω, I come that I may see*.

Hence the Subjunctive in final clauses after secondary tenses is nearly as common as the more regular Optative. E. g.

Ἐπεκλώσαντο δ' ὄλεθρον ἀνθρώποις, ἵνα ᾗσι καὶ ἐσσομένοισιν αἰοιδῇ. Od. VIII, 579. Καὶ ἐπίτηδές σε οὐκ ἡγείρον, ἵνα ὥς ἡδιστα διάγῃς. PLAT. Crit. 43 B. Πλοία κατέκαυσεν ἵνα μὴ Κῦρος διαβῇ. XEN. An. I, 4, 18. Ἀχλὺν δ' αὖ τοι ἀπ' ὀφθαλμῶν ἔλουν, ἥ πρὶν ἐπῆεν, ὅφρ' εὖ γιγνώσκῃς ἡμὲν θεὸν ἡδὲ καὶ ἄνδρα. Il. V, 127. Ἀριστεὺς ξυμβούλευεν ἐκπλεῦσαι, ὅπως ἐπὶ πλείον ὁ σῖτος ἀντίσχη. THUC. I, 65. Ἦλθον πρεσβευσόμενοι, ὅπως μὴ σφίσι τὸ Ἀττικὸν (ναυτικὸν) προσγενόμενον ἐμπόδιον γένηται. THUC. I, 31. Ἐχώρουν ἐκ τῶν οἰκιῶν, ὅπως μὴ κατὰ φῶς προσφέρωνται καὶ σφίσιν ἐκ τοῦ ἴσου γίγνωνται, ἀλλ' . . . ἥσσους ὦσι. THUC.

II, 3. Ταύτας ἵνα κωλύηθ' οἱ νόμοι συνήγαγον ὑμᾶς, οὐχ ἵνα κυρίας τοῖς ἀδικοῦσι ποιῇτε. DEM. F. L. 341, 12. Καὶ περὶ ταύτων ἐμνήσθην, ἵνα μὴ ταῦτά πάθῃτε. DEM. Ol. III, 30, 10. (Here the purpose was conceived in the form, ἵνα μὴ ταῦτά πάθωσιν.)

REMARK. This principle applies equally well to the clauses which follow ὅπως and ὅπως μὴ after verbs of *striving* (§ 45), and μὴ after verbs of *fearing*, &c. (§ 46).

This is a favorite construction with certain authors, as Thucydides; who also, on the same principle, prefer the Indicative to the Optative in ordinary indirect quotations after secondary tenses. See § 70, 2, Remark 2.*

NOTE 1. This use of the Subjunctive instead of the Optative makes the language more vivid, by introducing as nearly as possible the exact words or thoughts of the person whose purpose is thus stated.

As the two forms are equally correct (the only difference being that just stated), we find them both in the same sentence, as we find the Indicative and Optative interchanged in indirect quotations. (See § 70, 2, Remark 1.) E. g.

Ἐξακοσίους λογάδας ἐξέκριναν, ὅπως τῶν τε Ἐπιπολῶν εἶησαι φύλακες, καὶ ἦν ἐς ἄλλο τι δέη, ταχὺ ξυνεστῶτες παραγίγνονται, *they selected them, that they might be guards of Epipolæ, and that they might be on hand if they should be needed for anything else.* THUC. VI, 96. Παρανίσχον δὲ φρυκτοῦς, ὅπως ἀσαφῆ τὰ σημεῖα τοῖς πολεμίοις ἦ καὶ μὴ βοηθοῖεν, *they raised fire-signals at the same time, in order that the enemy's signals might be unintelligible to them, and that they (the enemy) might not bring aid.* THUC. III, 22.

The ordinary interpretation of the latter and similar passages, proposed by Arnold, viz. "that the Subjunctive mood indicates the immediate, and the Optative the remote consequence of the action contained in the principal verbs, the second being a consequence of the first," manifestly cannot apply to the first example.

NOTE 2. (a.) The use of the Optative for the Subjunctive in final clauses after *primary* tenses is, on the other hand, very rare, and is to be viewed as a mere irregularity of construction. It occurs chiefly in Homer. E. g.

"Ἀξω τῇλ' Ἰθάκης, ἵνα μοι βίοντον πολὺν ἄλφοι. Od. XVII, 250. So Il. I, 344, ὅπως μαχέοντο.

* Madvig remarks (*Bemerkungen*, p. 12) that he finds in the first two books of Thucydides *no example* of the Optative after ὅπως or μὴ depending on a secondary tense of a verb of *striving* or *fearing*; although he cites *fifteen* examples of the Subjunctive from the same books. In pure final clauses after secondary tenses, the usage in Thucydides is nearly equally divided between the Subjunctive and the Optative. Xenophon, on the other hand, generally follows the rule, § 44, 1.

(b.) Sometimes the Optative is used because the leading verb implies a reference to the past as well as the present. E. g.

Τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον ὁ νόμος, ἵνα μηδὲ πεισθῆναι μηδ' ἐξαπατηθῆναι γένοιτ' ἐπὶ τῷ δήμῳ. DEM. Androt. 596, 17. (Here ἔχει implies also the past existence of the law; the idea being, *the law was made as it is, so that it might not be possible, &c.*)

3. The *secondary* tenses of the *Indicative* are used in final clauses after ἵνα, sometimes after ὥς or ὅπως, to denote that the end or object is dependent upon some *unfulfilled* condition, and therefore *is not* or *was not attained*. This construction is peculiar to Attic Greek.

These tenses of the Indicative have here the same difference in meaning as in conditional sentences (§ 49, 2), the Imperfect referring to present time or to continued or repeated action in past time, the Aorist and Pluperfect to past time. Thus ἵνα τοῦτο ἔπραττεν means *in order that he might be doing this (but he is not doing it), or that he might have been doing this (but he was not)*; ἵνα τοῦτο ἔπραξεν means *that he might have done this (but he did not)*; ἵνα τοῦτο ἐπεπράχει means *that he might have done this (but he has not)*. E. g.

Οὐκ ἂν ἐσχόμην, κ.τ.λ., ἵν' ἢ τυφλὸς τε καὶ κλύων μηδέν, *in that case I should not have forborne (to destroy my hearing), so that I should be both blind and devoid of hearing (implying that really he is not so)*. SOPH. O. T. 1387. Φεῦ, φεῦ, τὸ μὴ τὰ πράγματ' ἀνθρώποις ἔχειν φωνήν, ἵν' ἦσαν μηδὲν οἱ δεινοὶ λόγοι, *Alas! alas! that the facts have no voice for men, so that words of eloquence might be as nothing*. EUR. Hippol. Frag. 442. Ἐχρῆν εἰσκαλέσαντας μάρτυρας πολλοὺς παρασημνᾶσθαι κελεῦσαι τὰς διαθήκας, ἵν', εἴ τι ἐγίγνετο ἀμφισβητήσιμον, ἦν εἰς τὰ γράμματα ταῦτ' ἐπανελθεῖν. DEM. Aph. II, 837, 11. (This implies that they *did not* have the will thus sealed, so that it is *not now possible* to refer to it in case of dispute.) Ἐχρῆν αὐτοὺς ζητεῖν, ἵνα ἀπηλλάγμεθα τούτου τοῦ δημαγωγοῦ, *they ought to have made an investigation, in order that we might have been already freed from this demagogue (but we have not been freed from him)*. DINARCH. in Demosth. p. 91, 24. Ἐζήτησεν ἅμ' ἐμὲ τὸν παῖδα, ἵν' εἰ μὴ παρεδίδουν μηδὲν δίκαιον λέγειν ἐδόκουν. DEM. Aph. III, 849, 24. Τί μ' οὐ λαβὼν ἔκτεινας εὐθὺς, ὥς ἔδειξα μήποτε ἐμὰντὸν ἀνθρώποισιν ἔνθεν ἢ γεγώς; *that I might never have shown as I have done*. SOPH. O. T. 1391. Εἰ γάρ μ' ὑπὸ γῆν ἦκεν, ὥς μήτε θεὸς μήτε τις ἄλλος τοῖσδ' ἐγεγήθει, *would that he had sent me under the earth, so that neither any God nor any one else should have rejoiced at these things (as they have done)*. AESCH. Prom. 152. (If we read ἐπεγέθει, we must translate, *might be rejoicing, as they*

are.) Τί δὴτ' . . . οὐκ ἔρριψ' ἐμαυτὴν τῇσδ' ἀπὸ πέτρας, ὅπως τῶν πάντων πόνων ἀπηλλάγην; *why did I not throw myself from this rock, that I might have been freed from all my toils?* Id. 747.

REMARK. This construction is especially common when a final clause depends either upon an apodosis which contains a secondary tense of the Indicative (§ 49, 2) implying the non-fulfilment of the condition, as is the case in examples 1, 3, 4, and 5, above, or upon a verb expressing an unfulfilled wish, as in examples 2 and 7. In these cases the Indicative seems to be used by a sort of assimilation.

NOTE 1. The particle ἄν is very rarely joined with the secondary tenses of the Indicative in final clauses. When it is used, it denotes that the sentence is an apodosis (as well as a final clause), with a protasis expressed or understood. E. g.

Ζῶντι ἔδει βοηθεῖν, ὅπως ὅτι δικαιοτάτος ᾖν καὶ ὀσιώτατος ἔζη τε ζῶν καὶ τελευτήσας ἀτιμώρητος ἂν κακῶν ἀμαρτημάτων ἐγίγνετο, i. e. *that he might be exempt from punishment after death (as he would be, if he had so lived)*. PLAT. Leg. XII, 959 B.

NOTE 2. The Indicative can never be used in this construction, unless it is distinctly implied that the result is *not* (or *was not*) attained, that is, unless the final clause refers either to the present or to the past (as in the examples given above): if it refers to the future, it must be expressed in the ordinary way by the Subjunctive or Optative, even although it depends on one of the class of verbs mentioned above (Remark). Both constructions may occur in the same sentence. E. g.

Οὓς (τῶν νέων τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς) ἡμεῖς ἂν ἐφυλάττομεν ἐν ἀκροπόλει, ἵνα μηδεὶς αὐτοὺς διέφθειρεν, ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ ἀφίκοντο εἰς τὴν ἡλικίαν, χρήσιμοι γίνονται ταῖς πόλεσιν, *we should have kept them (in that case) in the Acropolis, that no one might corrupt them (as they are now corrupted), and that when (in the future) they should become of age they might become useful to their states*. PLAT. Men. 89 B. Ταῦτ' ἂν ἤδη λέγειν πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐπεχείρουν, ἵν' εἰδῇτε, κ.τ.λ., *I should (if that were so) be now undertaking to say this to you, that you might (hereafter) know, &c.* DEM. Aristocr. 623, 11. See also the examples under § 32, 3 (b.).

B. Object Clauses with ὅπως and ὅπως μή after Verbs of Striving, &c.

§ 45. After verbs signifying *to strive, to take care, to effect*, and the like, the Future Indicative is regularly used with ὅπως and ὅπως μή, if the leading verb is *primary*. The Subjunctive also occurs, but much less frequently than the Future.

Hy. 24. 8. e.
• never future

ὅπως ἔκ. never first sign. with main verb

If the leading verb is secondary, the Future Optative may be used, to correspond to the Future Indicative after primary tenses; but generally the Future Indicative is employed here also, on the principle of § 44, 2. The other tenses of the Optative are sometimes used, to correspond to the same tenses of the Subjunctive after primary tenses; or the Subjunctive itself may be employed (§ 44, 2). E. g.

Ἐπιμελείται ὅπως (or ὅπως μὴ) γενήσεται or γένηται, *he takes care that it may (or may not) happen.* Ἐπεμελείτο ὅπως γενήσεται, γενήσοιτο, or γένοιτο, *he took care that it should happen.*

(Fut.) Ὡςπερ τὸν ποιμένα δεῖ ἐπιμελίσθαι ὅπως σῶαί τε ἔσονται αἱ οἶες καὶ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἔξουσιν. οὔτω καὶ τὸν στρατηγὸν ἐπιμελίσθαι δεῖ ὅπως σῶαί τε οἱ στρατιῶται ἔσονται καὶ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἔξουσιν, καὶ, οὐ ἔνεκα στρατεύονται, τοῦτο ἔσται. XEN. Mem. III, 2, 1. Καλὸν τὸ παρασκευάζειν ὅπως ὡς βέλτισται ἔσονται τῶν πολιτῶν αἱ ψυχαί. PLAT. Gorg. 503 A. Μίκραν πρόνοιαν ἔχειν δοκεῖ ὅπως ὁ νόμος κυρίως ἔσται καὶ μήτε συγχυθῇσεται μήτ' αὐτὴ μεταποιηθῇσεται; DEM. Aristot. 610, 10. Καλῶς δὲ δημαγωγῆσεις, ἣν σκοπῆς ὅπως οἱ βέλτιστοι μὲν τὰς τιμὰς ἔξουσιν, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι μηδὲν ἀδικήσονται. ISOC. Nicocl. p. 18 A. § 16. Ὅρα ὅπως μὴ ἀποστήσονται. HDT. III, 36. Σοὶ μελέτω ὅπως μὴ σε ὀψεται. HDT. I, 9. Φρόντιζ' ὅπως μηδὲν ἀνάξιον τῆς τιμῆς ταύτης πράξεις. ISOC. Nicocl. p. 22 B. § 37. Τί μάλιστα ἐν ἡμασι διεσπνύδασται τοῖς νόμοις; ὅπως μὴ γενήσονται οἱ περὶ ἀλλήλους φόνοι. DEM. Lept. 505, 9. Δεῖ εὐλαβεῖσθαι, μάλιστα μὲν ὅπως μὴ ἐγγενήσεσθον, ἀνδρῶν δὲ ἐγγένεσθον, ὅπως ὅτι τάχιστα ἐκτετμήσεσθον. PLAT. Rep. VIII, 564 C. (For the force of the Future Perfect, see § 29, N. 1.)

(Subj.) Ἄλλου του ἐπιμελήσει, ἢ ὅπως ὅτι βέλτιστοι πολῖται ὦμεν; PLAT. Gorg. 515 B. Παρασκευάζεσθαι ὅπως σὺν θεῷ ἀγωνιζώμεθα. XEN. Cyr. I, 5, 14. Οὐ γὰρ ὅπως πλείονος ἀξίος γένηται ἐπιμελείται, ἀλλ' ὅπως αὐτὸς ὅτι πλείστα ὥραία καρπώσεται. (Subj. and Fut. combined.) XEN. Symp. VIII, 25.

(Fut. Opt.) Ἐξὴ ὑπὸ πολλῆς ἐπιμελείας ὅπως ὡς ἐλάχιστα μὲν ὀψοίτο, ἐλάχιστα δ' ἀκούσοιτο, ἐλάχιστα δ' ἔροιτο. XEN. Oecon. VII, 5. (Here the construction after a primary tense would be, ὅπως ὀψεται . . . ἀκούσεται . . . ἔρηται.) Ἐπεμελείτο ὅπως μὴ ἄσπιτοι ποτε ἔσονται. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 1, 43. See the other examples of the Future Optative under § 26, Note 1 (a).

(Fut. Ind. after Secondary Tenses.) Ἐπρασσον ὅπως τις βοήθεια ἦξει. THUC. III, 4. Προθυμηθέντος ἐνὸς ἐκάστου ὅπως ἡ ναὺς προέξει. THUC. VI, 31. Εὐλαβεῖσθαι παρεκελεύεσθε ἀλλήλοις, ὅπως μὴ λήσεται διαφθαρέντες. PLAT. Gorg. 487 D. Οὐδ' ὅπως ὀρθῇ πλεύσεται προείδετο, ἀλλὰ καθ' αὐτὸν ὅπως ἐπὶ τοῖς ἐχθροῖς ἔσται παρεσκεύασεν. DEM. F. L. 419, 28.

(Pres. or Aor. Opt.) Ἐπεμέλετο αὐτῶν, ὅπως αἰεὶ ἀνδράποδα δια-

τελοῖεν. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 1, 41. Ἀπεκρίνατο, ὅτι αὐτῷ μέλοι ὅπως καλῶς ἔχῃσι. XEN. An. I, 8, 13. Ἐμεμελήκει δὲ αὐτοῖς ὅπως ὁ ἱππαγρέτης εἰδείη οὓς δέοι πέμπειν. XEN. Hell. III, 3, 9.

(Subj. after Secondary Tenses.) Ἐπρασσεν ὅπως πόλεμος γένηται. THUC. I, 57. Ἐπρασσον ὅπως ἀποστῇσωσιν Ἀθηναίων τὴν πόλιν. Id. III, 70. Ὠνεῖται παρ' αὐτῶν ὅπως μὴ ἀπίωμεν ἐκ Μακεδοῖας, *he bribed them to effect that we should not leave Macedonia.* DEM. Cor. 236, 12. (Subj. after Historic Present.)

It will thus be seen that the Future Indicative is the most common construction in these sentences, *after both primary and secondary tenses*; the Future Optative, which is theoretically the regular form after secondary tenses, being rarely used, for the reason stated in § 26, Note 2.

For the distinction between these object clauses and final clauses, see § 43, Remark.

REMARK. Ὅπως (like ὥς) is originally a relative adverb, meaning *as*. See THUC. VII, 67, οὕτως, ὅπως δύνανται, *as they can*. Then it is used in indirect questions, in the sense of ὅτῳ τρόπῳ, *how, in what way*, and is followed by the Future Indicative; as σκοπεῖν ὅπως ἡ πόλις σωθήσεται, *to see how the city shall be saved*. So τοῖς πονηροῖς, ὅπως μὴ δώσουσι δίκην, ὁδὸν δείκνυσι, *he shows them how they can avoid suffering punishment (ὅτῳ τρόπῳ μὴ δώσουσι)*. DEM. Timoc. 733, 20. (See below, Note 2.) Then, by a slight modification in sense, it may denote *also* the object to which the striving, &c., is directed; so that σκοπεῖν (or σκοπεῖν τοῦτο) ὅπως ἡ πόλις σωθήσεται may mean *to see (to this, viz.) that the city shall be saved*. Here, however, the Subjunctive is sometimes allowed, as the interrogative force of ὅπως is lost sight of, and its force as a final particle, meaning *in order that*, begins to appear. E. g.

Σκόπει ὅπως μὴ ἕξαρνος ἔσει ἂ νῦν λέγεις, *see that you do not deny what you now say*. PLAT. Euthyd. 283 C. Σκεπτέον ἐστὶν ὅπως ἐλάχιστα μὲν τραύματα λάβωμεν, ὥς ἐλάχιστα δὲ σώματα ἀνδρῶν ἀποβάλωμεν, *we must see that we receive as few wounds as possible, and that we lose as few men's lives as possible*. XEN. An. IV, 6, 10.*

From this it becomes established as a final particle, and denotes the *purpose* in ordinary final clauses. From the original force of ὅπως as a relative, used in indirect questions in the sense of *how*, we

* Compare DEM. Megal. 207, 5, σκοπεῖν ἐξ ὅτου τρόπου μὴ γενήσονται (φίλοι), *to see in what way they can be prevented from becoming friends*; and THUC. I, 65, ἔπρασσεν ὅπῃ ὠφελία τις γενήσεται, *he was effecting that, &c.*; quoted by Madvig. *Syntax*, p. 125, whose views in the main are given in the text, above. See also THUC. IV, 128, ἔπρασσεν ὅτῳ τρόπῳ τάχιστα τοῖς μὲν ξυμβήσεται τοῖς δὲ ἀπαλλάξεσται.

κλ. 54. 8.
comp. 24
personal
- 64 B

must explain its occasional use in indirect quotations in the sense of *ὥς* or *ὅτι* (§ 78). See also § 65, 1, Rem.

NOTE 1. *Ὅπως* in this construction sometimes (though rarely) takes the particle *ἄν* when it is followed by the Subjunctive; never, when it is followed by the Future Indicative. Its use is the same as in ordinary final clauses (§ 44, 1, N. 2).

When *ἄν* is used with the Optative after a verb of *striving*, it denotes an ordinary apodosis, as in § 44, 1, N. 3 (*b*), and *ὅπως* is simply interrogative. E. g.

Ἡ ἄλλου ἐφιέμενοι δικάσουσιν ἢ τούτου. ὅπως ἂν ἕκαστοι μὴτ' ἔχωσι τὰλλότρια μῆτε τῶν αὐτῶν στέρωνται; PLAT. Rep. IV, 433 E. Ἐὰν δ' ἔλθῃ, μηχανητέον, ὅπως ἂν διαφύγῃ καὶ μὴ δῶ δίκην ὁ ἐχθρός. Id. Gorg. 481 A. Μᾶλλον ἢ πρόσθεν εἰσῆι αὐτοὺς ὅπως ἂν καὶ ἔχοντές τι οἴκαδε ἀφίκωνται. XEN. An. VI, 1, 17. (Here *ἐπιμέλεια* or some such word is understood as the subject of *εἰσῆι*.) Σκοπῶ, ὅπως ἂν ὥς ῥᾶστα διάγοιεν, ἡμεῖς δ' ἂν μάλιστα ἂν εὐφραϊνοίμεθα θεώμενοι αὐτοίς, *I try to see how they might (if they should choose) live the easiest lives, &c.* XEN. Symp. VII, 2. So *ἐπιμελεῖσθαι ὅπως ἂν γένοιτο*, Id. Cyr. I, 6, 7.

NOTE 2. (*a*.) The Homeric construction which most resembles that of § 45 is found after such verbs as *φράζομαι*, *βουλεύω*, *λείσσω*, or *μερμηρίζω*, to *consider*, and *πειράω*, to *try*. Here *ὅπως* or *ὥς* is used with the Subjunctive (sometimes with *κέ*) after primary tenses, and with the Optative after secondary tenses. E. g.

Αὐτοὶ δὲ φραζόμεθ' ὅπως ὅχ' ἄριστα γένηται, *let us ourselves consider how the very best things may be done.* Od. XIII, 365. Φραζόμεθ' (imperf.) Ἀργείοισιν ὅπως ὅχ' ἄριστα γένοιτο. Od. III, 129. Φράζεσθαι ὅπως κε μνηστήρας κτείνῃς. Od. I. 295. Περιφραζόμεθα πάντες νόστον, ὅπως ἔλθῃσιν, i. e. *how he may come.* Od. I, 76. Φράσσεται ὥς κε νήηται, ἐπεὶ πολυμήχανός ἐστιν. Od. I, 205. Ἀμα πρόσσω καὶ ὀπίσσω λεύσσει, ὅπως ὅχ' ἄριστα γένηται, i. e. *he looks to see how, &c.* Il. III, 110. Μερμήριζεν ὅπως ἀπολοίαιτο πᾶσαι νῆες. Od. IX. 554. Μερμήριξε κατὰ φρένα ὥς Ἀχιλλῆα τιμήσῃ (or *τιμήσει*), i. e. *how he might honor Achilles.* Il. II, 3. Βούλεον ὅπως ὅχ' ἄριστα γένοιτο. Od. IX. 420. Πείρα ὅπως κεν δῇ σὴν πατρίδα γαίαν ἱκηαι, i. e. *try to find means by which you may go, &c.* Od. IV. 545. Πειρᾷ ὥς κε Τρῶες ὑπερφίαιοι ἀπόλωνται. Il. XXI, 459.

In some of these examples *ὅπως* or *ὥς* seems to be used as an interrogative, meaning *how*, the Subjunctive of the direct question being the common Homeric form explained in § 87. (For the Subjunctive with *κέ*, see § 87, Note.) But in other examples, especially those with *πειράω*, there is a nearer approach to the construction of § 45. The two following examples will further illustrate the Homeric usage:—*ἦδη γάρ μοι θυμὸς ἐπέσσυται, ὅφρ' ἐφασμύνω*

Τρώεσσι, Π. VI, 361; φραζέσθω μή τίς οἱ ἀμείνων σείω μάχεται, Π. V, 411. See also Od. VI. 113.

(b.) In Homer ὅπως takes the Future Indicative chiefly when it is used merely as an indirect interrogative, with no reference to a purpose, as in Π. II, 252, οὐδέ τί πω σάφα ἴδμεν ὅπως ἔσται τάδε ἔργα, *we do not yet even know certainly how these things are to be*; or in Od. XIII, 376, φράξεν ὅπως μνηστήρσιν ἀναιδέσι χεῖρας ἐφήσεις, *consider how you will lay hands on the shameless suitors*. It may take the Future (as well as other tenses) when it is used as a simple relative; as in Π. I, 136, ὅπως ἀντάξιον ἔσται, *as shall be an equivalent*. (See Remark, above.) Occasionally also we find the Future after ὅπως in final clauses (§ 44, 1, N. 1).

NOTE 3. As many verbs of this class imply *caution*, they may be followed by the simple μή (without ὅπως), like verbs of *caution* and *fearing* (§ 46). See especially ὀρώ and σκοπῶ. Here, as elsewhere, μή takes the Subjunctive more frequently than the Future Indicative. E. g.

Σκοπεῖ δὴ μή τούτοις αὐτὸν ἐξαιτήσεται καὶ καταγέλασθ. DEM. Mid. 563, 26. Ὅρα οὖν μή τι καὶ νῦν ἐργάσεται. PLAT. Symp. 213 D. Ὅρα μή πολλῶν ἐκάστω ἡμῶν χειρῶν δεήσει. XEN. Cyr. IV, 1, 18. Σκόπει, μή σοι πρόνοι' ἢ τοῦ θεοῦ φυλακτέα. SOPH. O. C. 1180. Ὅρα σὺν, μή νῦν μὲν τις εὐχερὴς παρήσ. SOPH. Phil. 519.

(See the corresponding use of ὅπως μή, instead of μή, after verbs of *fearing*, &c., § 46, Note 2. It is often difficult to draw the line between the two constructions of § 45 and § 46.)

NOTE 4. Ὅς is sometimes, though rarely, used instead of ὅπως after verbs of *striving*. Here the Subjunctive is more common than the Future Indicative. E. g.

Ἐπιμελοῦνται ὡς ἔχῃ οὗτως. XEN. Oecon. XX, 8. Ὅς δὲ καλῶς ἔξει τὰ ὑμέτερα, ἐμοὶ μελήσει. XEN. Cyr. III, 2, 13. Ἐπεμελήθη ὡς τύχοιεν πάντων τῶν καλῶν. Id. VII, 3, 17. Σπεύδοντες ὡς Ζεὺς μήποτ' ἄρξειεν θεῶν. AESCH. Prom. 203. Occasionally we find the Subjunctive with ἄν: τὸ ὅσα ἄν γινῶ ἀγαθὰ εἶναι ἐπιμελεῖσθαι ὡς ἄν πραχθῇ. . . . Οὐ φέρει καρπὸν, ἣν μή τις ἐπιμελήται ὡς ἄν ταῦτα περαίνηται. XEN. Hipparch. IX, 2. (See above, N. 1.)

NOTE 5. Some verbs which are regularly followed by an Infinitive of the object occasionally take an object clause with ὅπως (rarely with other particles), in nearly or quite the same sense:—

(a.) Verbs of *exhorting*, *entreating*, and *commanding* are sometimes followed by ὅπως, and those of *forbidding* by ὅπως μή, after the analogy of verbs of *striving*. E. g.

ἀίσσεσθαι δέ μιν αὐτὸς ὅπως νημερτέα εἶπῃ, *and implore him thyself to speak the truth.* Od. III, 19. (Compare the regular construction, οὐδὲ σε λίσσομαι μένειν, Il. I. 174.) *Λίσσέτο δ' αἰεὶ Ἥφαιστον κλυτοεργὸν ὅπως λύσειεν Ἄρηα, he implored him to liberate Ares.* Od. VIII, 344. *Κεῖνω τ' ἐμὴν ἀγγείλατ' ἐντολὴν, ὅπως τὸν παῖδα δείξει.* SOPH. Aj. 567. *Διακελεύονται ὅπως τιμωρήσεται πάντας τοὺς τοιούτους.* PLAT. Rep. VIII. 549 E. *So παραγγέλλει ὅπως μὴ ἔσονται,* Id. III. 415 B. *Ἔμοιγε ἀπηγόρευες ὅπως μὴ τοῦτο ἀποκρινοίμην.* (Fut. Opt.) Id. I. 339 A. *Ἀπειρημένον αὐτῷ ὅπως μηδὲν ἐρεῖ ὧν ἡγείται, when he is forbidden to say a word of what he believes.* Id. I, 337 E. See SOPH. Trach. 604.

In Od. XVII, 362, we find ὥτρυνεν ὡς ἂν πύρνα κατὰ μνηστήρας ἀγείροι, where the ἂν is used with the particle as in the examples under § 44, 1, N. 3 (a).

(b.) Ἴνα is used in the same sense in a single passage of the Odyssey, III, 327:—*Λίσσεσθαι δέ μιν αὐτὸς ἵνα νημερτὲς ἐνίσπῃ, and implore him yourself to speak the truth.*

This use of ἵνα is not found in Attic Greek, but it reappears in the later language. E. g.

Ἐντολὴν καινὴν δίδωμι ὑμῖν, ἵνα ἀγαπᾶτε ἀλλήλους, a new commandment I give unto you, that ye love one another. JOHN. Evang. XIII, 34. *So ἐδέχθη ἵνα ἐκβάλλωσιν,* LUC. IX, 40. So in Latin, *rogat ut liceat.* *Joseph. Herodotus.*

(c.) A case of ὡς and the Subjunctive (instead of an object Infinitive) after a verb implying a promise is found in Il. I, 558:—

Τῇ σ' οἶω κατανεῦσαι ἐτήτυμον, ὡς Ἀχιλλῆα τιμὴς ἡσ, ὀλέσῃς δὲ πολέας ἐπὶ νηυσὶν Ἀχαιῶν, that you promised by your nod to honor Achilles, &c.

NOTE 6. (a.) On the other hand, some verbs which regularly take ὅπως are occasionally found with an Infinitive of the object, sometimes with the article. (See § 46, N. 8.) E. g.

Ἀεὶ τινα ἐπεμέλοντο σφῶν αὐτῶν ἐν ταῖς ἀρχαῖς εἶναι, they always took care that one of their own number should be in the offices (where we should expect ὅπως τις ἔσται or ἔσοιτο). THUC. VI, 54. *Οὐδ' ἐπεμελήθη τὸν διδασκαλὸν μοί τινα γενέσθαι τῶν ἐπισταμένων.* XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 4. So the Infinitive with τό, Mem. IV, 3, 1.

(b.) Verbs of this class can be followed by an indirect question introduced by εἰ, *whether.* E. g.

Εἰ ξυμποιήσεις καὶ ξυνεργάσει σκόπει, see whether thou wilt assist me, &c. SOPH. Ant. 41. (See § 46, Note 6, c.)

NOTE 7. (a.) Both ὅπως and ὅπως μὴ are sometimes used elliptically with the Future Indicative in *exhortations* and *prohibitions*, depending on some Imperative like σκόπει, *take care*, understood. *Ὅπως μὴ* allows also the Subjunctive. E. g.

Ὅπως ἀνὴρ ἔσει (sc. σκόπει), prove thyself a man. Ὅπως μοι,

ὦ ἄνθρωπε, μὴ ἐρεῖς ὅτι ἔστι τὰ δώδεκα δις ἑξ, *see that thou do not tell me that twice six are twelve.* PLAT. Rep. I, 337 A. "Ὅπως οὖν ἔσεσθε ἄνδρες ἄξιοι τῆς ἐλευθερίας (sc. σκοπεῖτε), *make yourselves men worthy of freedom.* XEN. An. I, 7, 3. "Ὅπως γε, ἂν τι τούτων γίγνηται, τούτους ἐπαινέσεις τε καὶ τιμήσετε καὶ στεφανώσετε, ἐμὲ δὲ μὴ· καὶ μέντοι κἂν τι τῶν ἐναντίων, ὅπως τοῖτοισι ὀργιείσθε. DEM. F. L. 355, 17. "Ὅπως τοῖνυν περὶ τοῦ πολέμου μηδὲν ἐρεῖς, *see therefore that you say nothing about the war.* Id. 370, 22. "Ὅπως γε μὴ ἐξαπατήσῃ ἡμᾶς. PLAT. Prot. 313 C. (See § 46, N. 4.)

(b.) We occasionally find the Future Indicative with *μή* in an independent sentence, expressing a *prohibition*. This may be explained by supposing an ellipsis of *ὅπως* from the construction just mentioned (*ὅπως μὴ τοῦτο ἐρεῖς* becoming *μὴ τοῦτο ἐρεῖς*); but it seems more natural to consider it as an independent construction. See § 25, 1, N. 5 (b), and the examples.

REMARK. The construction of Note 7 (a) is confined almost exclusively to the *second person* of the verb; yet the first and third persons are sometimes found. E. g.

Καὶ ὅπως, ὥσπερ ἐρωτῶσι προθύμως, οὕτω καὶ ποιεῖν ἐθέλῃ-σουσιν. DEM. Chers. 99, 14. "Ὅπως δὲ τὸ σύμβολον λαβόντες ἔπειτα πλῆσιόν καθεδούμεθα. ARIST. Eccles. 297.

NOTE 8. When an Aorist Subjunctive active or middle was to be used with *ὅπως* or *ὅπως μὴ* after a verb of *striving*, the *second* Aorist was preferred to the *first*, if both forms were in use. This arose from the great similarity in form between these first Aorists and the Future Indicative (as *βουλεύσῃ* and *βουλεύσει. βουλεύσεται* and *βουλεύσεται*): this made it natural for a writer, if he intended to use the Subjunctive at all, to avoid those forms of it which were nearly identical with the more regular Future Indicative. This of course did not apply to the first Aorist Subjunctive passive, which has no resemblance to the Future Indicative. The same remark applies to the Subjunctive after *οὐ μὴ*, in the construction described in § 89. In both constructions the Subjunctive differs from the Future only by being a less vivid form of expression.*

* The general rule, first laid down by Dawes (Misc. Crit. pp. 222 and 228), which declared the first Aorist Subjunctive active and middle a solecism after *ὅπως μὴ* and *οὐ μὴ*, was extended by others so as to include *ὅπως* (without *μή*), and the Greek authors were emended to conform to it. As this rule has no other foundation than the accidental circumstance mentioned in Note 8, it naturally fails in many cases, in some of which even emendation is impossible. Thus in the example, *κελεύουσι προστατεῦσαι ὅπως ἐκπλεύσῃ ἡ στρατιά*, XEN. An. V, 6, 22, *ἐκπλεύσῃ* cannot be a mistake for *ἐκπλεύσει*, as the Attic Future is *ἐκπλεύσομαι* or *ἐκπλευσοῦμαι*. So in SOPH. Phil. 381, *οὐ μὴ ποτ' ἐς τὴν Σκῦρον ἐκπλεύσῃς*, no emendation is possible. See also PLAT. Rep. X, 609 B. *οὐ μὴ ἀπολέσῃ*, where the Future would be *ἀπολεῖ*. The rule of

C. Object Clauses with μή after Verbs of Fearing, &c.

§ 46. After verbs and phrases which express or imply *fear, caution, or danger*, μή, *lest* or *that*, is used with the Subjunctive if the leading verb is *primary*, and with the Optative if the leading verb is *secondary*. By the principle of § 44, 2, the Subjunctive can also follow secondary tenses, in order that the mood in which the object of the fear *originally* occurred to the mind may be retained.

Μή (like the Latin *ne*) denotes fear that something *may happen* which is *not desired*; μὴ οὐ (*ut* = *ne non*) denotes fear that something *may not happen* which is *desired*. E. g.

Φοβοῦμαι μὴ γένηται (*vereor ne accidat*), *I fear that it may happen*: φοβοῦμαι μὴ οὐ γένηται (*vereor ut accidat*), *I fear that it may not happen*. Νῦν δ' αἰνῶς δέϊδον κατὰ φρένα μὴ σε παρείπῃ. II. I, 555. Δεῖδω μὴ θήρεσσιν ἔλωρ καὶ κύρμα γένωμαι. Od. V, 473. Οὐ φοβῆ μὴ σ' Ἄργος ὥσπερ κἄμ' ἀποκτείναι θέλῃ. EUR. Or. 770. Ποῖον ἔθνος οὐ δοκεῖ φοβούμενον μὴ τι πάθῃ; XEN. Cyr. I, 6, 10. Φροντίζω μὴ κράτιστον ἢ μοι σιγᾶν. XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 39. Φυλατόμενος μὴ δόξῃ μανθάνειν τι. Id. IV, 2, 3. Δέδοικα μὴ οἷδ' ὅσιον ἢ ἀπαγορεύειν. PLAT. Rep. II, 368 B. Τὰ περὶ τῆς ψυχῆς πολλὰ

Dawes is now generally abandoned; but most editors still hold to it (at least in practice) so far as to exclude the prohibited forms with ὅπως when it follows verbs of *striving*, &c., and with οὐ μή. Even here, however, the rule is maintained only by changing many passages against Mss. authority. Thus in DEM. Ol. I, p. 9, 17, all Mss. except one read παρασκευάσασθαι ὅπως ἐνθένδε βοηθήσῃτε, καὶ μὴ πάθῃτε ταυτόν, while nearly all editions have βοηθήσετε. In the Third Philippic of Demosthenes two similar examples occur: p. 128, 25, ὅπως μηδεὶς ἀναγρέψει, τοῦτο σκοπεῖσθαι, where all Mss. have ἀνατρέψῃ, which Schaefer emended to ἀνατρέψει; and p. 125, 10, ὅπως μὴ δουλεύσουσιν πράττοντες, where the weight of Mss. authority decidedly favors δουλεύσωσιν. For οὐ μή, see § 89, 2, Rem. 2.

Whatever view is taken of these last examples, there certainly seems to be no reason for extending the rule of Dawes to ὅπως in *pure* final clauses, as in these the Future is used only by exception (§ 44, 1, N. 1). There is no objection, therefore, to such sentences as these:—ὃν ἕνεκα ἐπιταθῆναι, ὅπως ἀπολαύσωμεν καὶ ὅπως γενώμεθα, XEN. Cyr. VII, 5, 82; and ἐκκλησίαν ξυνήγαγον, ὅπως ὑπομνήσω καὶ μέμψωμαι, THUC. II. 60. So with ὅπως ἀριστοποιήσονται. THUC. VII, 39. In elliptical prohibitions with ὅπως μή (§ 45, N. 7) the Subjunctive seems to be allowed from the analogy of ordinary prohibitions (§ 86).

ἀπιστίαν παρέχει τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, μὴ ἐπειδὴν ἀπαλλαγῇ τοῦ σώματος οὐδαμοῦ ἔτι ἢ, ἀλλὰ διαφθείρηται τε καὶ ἀπολλύηται. PLAT. Phaed. 70 A. Οὐκοῦν νῦν καὶ τοῦτο κίνδυνος, μὴ λάβωσι προστάτας αὐτῶν τινὰς τούτων, *there is danger of this, that they may take, &c.* XEN. An. VII, 7, 31. Κίνδυνός ἐστι, μὴ μεταβάλλωνται καὶ γέ- νωνται μετὰ τῶν πολεμίων. ISOC. Plataic. p. 303 E. § 38. Ὀκνῶ μὴ μοι ὁ Λυσίας ταπεινὸς φανῇ. PLAT. Phaedr. 257 C. Εὐλαβοῦ δὲ μὴ φανῇς κακὸς γεγώς. SOPH. Trach. 1129. Οὐδὲν δεινοὶ ἐσονται μὴ βοηθέωσι ταύτῃ. HDT. VII, 235. Ὑποπτεύομεν καὶ ὑμᾶς μὴ οὐ κοινοὶ ἀποβῇτε. THUC. III, 53. Αἰσχυρόμενος μὴ φορτικῶς σκο- πῶμεν. PLAT. Theaet. 183 E.

Δείσας μὴ πῶς οἱ ἐρυσαιάτο νεκρὸν Ἀχαιοί. IL. V, 298. Ἀζετο γὰρ μὴ Νυκτὶ θεῇ ἀποθύμια ἔρδοι. IL. XIV, 261. Ἐγὼ γὰρ ἤμην ἐκπεπληγμένη φόβῳ, μὴ μοι τὸ κάλλος ἄλγος ἐξεύροι ποτέ. SOPH. Trach. 25. Ἔδεισαν οἱ Ἕλληνες μὴ προσάγοιεν πρὸς τὸ κέρας καὶ αὐτοὺς κατακόψειαν. XEN. An. I, 10, 9. Οὐκέτι ἐπετίθεντο, δεδοικότες μὴ ἀποτμηθείησαν. Id. III, 4, 29. Ἔδεισαν μὴ λῦττα τις ὥσπερ κυσὶν ἡμῖν ἐμπεπτῶκοι. Id. V, 7, 26. Ὑποπτεύσας μὴ τὴν θυγατέρα λέγοι, ἤρετο, *having suspected that he might mention his daughter.* XEN. Cyr. V, 2, 9. Ἠθύμηνσάν τινας, ἐννοοῦμενοι μὴ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια οὐκ ἔχουεν ὁπόθεν λαμβάνουεν. XEN. An. III, 5, 3. Οὐδεὶς γὰρ κίνδυνος ἐδόκει εἶναι, μὴ τις ἄνω πορευομένων ἐκ τοῦ ὀπισθεν ἐπί- σποιτο. Id. IV, 1, 6.

Οἱ Φωκαῖες τὰς νήσους οὐκ ἐβούλοντο πωλέειν, δειμαίνοντες μὴ ἐμπόριον γένωνται. HDT. I, 165. Τῷ γὰρ δεδιέναι μὴ λόγοις ἡσ- σους ὧσι, τολμηρῶς πρὸς τὰ ἔργα ἐχώρουν. THUC. III, 83. Περιδεὴς γενόμενος μὴ ἐπιπλεύσωσιν αἱ νῆες. THUC. III, 80. Ἔδεια μὴ Τροίαν ἀθροίσῃ καὶ ξυνοικήσῃ πάλιν. EUR. Hec. 1138. Οἱ θεώμενοι ἐφοβοῦντο μὴ τι πάθῃ. XEN. Symp. II, 11. Δῆλος ἦν πᾶσιν (Κῦρος) ὅτι ὑπερεφοβεῖτο μὴ οἱ ὁ πάππος ἀποθάνῃ. XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 2.

It will be seen by the examples that the construction with μὴ is very often used when the leading verb only *implies* the fear, cau- tion, or danger, as after ὑποπτεύω and ὀκνῶ. On this principle we must explain passages like IL. X, 100; οὐδέ τι ἴδμεν, μὴ πῶς καὶ διὰ νύκτα μενοινήσωσι μάχεσθαι, where the idea is *we know of no security against their deciding to fight during the night*, — implying *we fear lest they may*.

REMARK. These clauses with μὴ, when they follow verbs of *caution* like φυλάττομαι, εὐλαβοῦμαι, &c., partake of the nature of final clauses to the same extent with the construction of § 45. since they imply the *end* or *purpose* of the *caution*. (See § 43, Remark.) On the other hand, when they follow φοβοῦμαι and other verbs expressing *fear* or *danger*, no *purpose* is expressed or implied, but there is merely an *apprehension* that something *will* happen, or, in some cases, that something *is now* taking place or *has already* happened. We should hence expect that these verbs would follow the analogy of verbs of *thinking*, &c., and take either the Indicative with ὥς or the Infinitive, to denote the *object* of the fear. (This

actually happens in a few cases; as οὐ φοβούμεθα ἐλασσωσέσθαι, THUC. V, 105; μὴ φοβοῦ ὡς ἀπορήσεις, XEN. Cyr. V, 2, 12. See below, Note 6.) Still, verbs of *fearing*, when the object of the fear is *future*, as it commonly is, are closely connected in sense with those like φυλάττομαι; as they imply at least a *desire* (though not a *purpose*) to prevent the result. The Greeks generally apply to both the same construction, and as they say φυλάττομαι μὴ γένηται, they say also φοβοῦμαι μὴ γένηται. When the object of the fear is already *past* or actually *present*, so that no desire of *preventing a result* can be implied, verbs of *fearing* are still followed by μὴ as before; but now all analogy to final clauses disappears, and the dependent verb is put in the proper tense of the Indicative, as in ordinary object clauses after ὅτι and ὡς. (See below, Note 5.)

NOTE 1. Sometimes, though seldom, μὴ takes the Future Indicative after verbs of *fearing*, &c. E. g.

Φοβοῦμαι δὲ, μὴ τινὰς ἡδονὰς ἡδοναῖς εὐρήσομεν ἐναντίας. PLAT. Phil. 13 A. Φοβερόν καὶ σφαλερόν, μὴ σφαλῆς κείσομαι. PLAT. Rep. V, 451 A.

The Future seems to differ from the Subjunctive in these cases, as in final clauses, only by expressing the result more vividly and marking its *futurity* more strongly. Thus φοβοῦμαι μὴ εὐρωμεν would not differ from φοβοῦμαι μὴ εὐρήσομεν quoted above, except in the manner of expression; just as εἰ μὴ εὐρωμεν would differ from εἰ μὴ εὐρήσομεν. (See § 44, 1, N. 1.) For the rare use of the Future Optative after verbs of *fearing*, &c., see § 26, Note 1 (b).

NOTE 2. Verbs denoting *fear* and *caution* are sometimes followed by ὅπως μὴ, with the Future Indicative or the Subjunctive after primary tenses and the Optative after secondary tenses, like verbs of *striving*, &c. Many verbs (like ὀρῶ and σκοπῶ) belong equally well to both classes (§§ 45, 46). It will be noticed, that ὅπως μὴ here is exactly equivalent to μὴ, so that φοβοῦμαι ὅπως μὴ γενήσεται (or γένηται) means *I fear that it will happen* (not, *I fear that it will not happen*). (See Note 6, a.) E. g.

Τοῦ δαίμονος δέδοιχ' ὅπως μὴ τεύξομαι κακοδαίμονος. ARIST. Eq. 112. Εὐλαβούμενοι ὅπως μὴ οἰχήσομαι. PLAT. Phaed. 91 C. Δέδοικα ὅπως μὴ ἀνάγκη γένηται, *I fear that there may be a necessity*. DEM. Phil. III, 130, 14. Οὐ φοβεῖ, ὅπως μὴ ἀνόσιον πρᾶγμα τυγχάνῃς πράττων; PLAT. Euthyphr. 4 E. Φυλάττου, ὅπως μὴ εἰς γούναϊν τῶν ἐλθῇς. XEN. Mem. III, 6, 16. Ἠδέως ἂν (θρέψαιμι τὸν ἄνδρα), εἰ μὴ φοβοίμην ὅπως μὴ ἐπ' αὐτόν με τράνοιοτο. XEN. Mem. II, 9, 2.

NOTE 3. The particle ἄν is never used with μὴ and the Sub

junctive. It is sometimes joined with an Optative depending upon *μή* after verbs of *fearing*, in which case it always forms an apodosis with the Optative. Such an Optative with *ἄν* can of course follow primary as well as secondary tenses, by § 31, Note 2. (See § 44, 1, N. 3, Rem.) E. g.

Δέδοικα γὰρ μὴ πρὶς λέγοις ἄν τὸν πόθον τὸν ἐξ ἐμοῦ, *I fear that you might tell (if you should have an opportunity)*. SOPH. Trach. 631. (Cf. Philoct. 493.) Οὔτε προσδοκία οὐδεμία ἦν μὴ ἄν ποτε οἱ πολέμιοι ἐπιπλεύσειαν. THUC. II, 93. Ἐκείνο ἐννοῶ μὴ λίαν ἄν ταχύ σωφρονισθείην, *lest (in that case) I should be very soon brought to my senses*. XEN. An. VI, 1, 28.

NOTE 4. *Μῆ* with the Subjunctive, and *ὅπως μή* with the Future Indicative (seldom the Subjunctive), are sometimes used elliptically, depending upon some verb of *fear* or *caution* understood. (See § 45, N. 7, a.) This expresses an apprehension or anxiety, sometimes a mere suspicion. It is especially common in Plato. E. g.

Ἄλλὰ μὴ οὐ τοῦτ' ἢ χαλεπὸν, θάνατον φυγεῖν, *but (I fear) lest this may not be the difficult thing, to avoid death*. PLAT. Apol. 39 A. Μὴ ἀγροικότερον ἢ τὸ ἀληθὲς εἰπεῖν, *(I fear) lest it may be too rude to speak the truth*. PLAT. Gorg. 462 E. Ἄλλὰ μὴ οὐχ οὕτως ἔχῃ, ἀλλ' ἀναγκαῖον ἢ εἰδὸτα τίθεσθαι τὸν τιθέμενον τὰ ὀνόματα. PLAT. Crat. 436 B. Οἱμοὶ τάλας, ὁ Ζεὺς ὅπως μή μ' ὄψεται. ARIST. Av. 1494. (This example belongs equally well under § 45, N. 7, a.) Ὅπως οὖν μὴ ἀπολεῖ μαστιγούμενος. XEN. Cyr. I, 3, 18. Ἄλλ' ὅπως μὴ ἐν τοῖς ζωγραφήμασιν ἢ ταῦτο, τὸ μὴ ὀρθῶς διανέμειν, ἐπὶ δὲ τοῖς ὀνόμασιν οὐ, ἀλλ' ἀναγκαῖον ἢ αἰεὶ ὀρθῶς. PLAT. Crat. 430 E.

In XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 12, μὴ οὖν, ἔφη, οὐ δύναμαι ἐγὼ τὰ τῆς δικαιοσύνης ἐξηγήσασθαι, *(I fear) that I am not able to explain, &c.*, the Present Indicative belongs under Note 5 (a.)

NOTE 5. Verbs of *fearing* may refer to objects of fear which are *past* or *immediately present*; so that no desire or even possibility of preventing the result can be implied. (See Rem. before Note 1.) Here, therefore, all analogy to final clauses disappears, and *μή* is followed by the present and past tenses of the Indicative, as *ὅτι* or *ὡς* would be in indirect quotations. The following cases occur:—

(a.) *Μῆ* with the Present Indicative expresses a fear that something *is now going on*. E. g.

Ὅρῳμεν μὴ Νικίας οἷται τι λέγειν, *let us be cautious lest Nicias is thinking that he says something*. PLAT. Lach. 196 C. (Here the Subjunctive *οἷται* would have meant *lest Nicias may think*, referring to the future.) Δέδοικα μὴ πληγῶν δέει. ARIST. Nub. 493

Φοβεῖσθε, μή δυσκολώτερόν τι νῦν διάκειμαι ἢ ἐν τῷ πρόσθεν βίῳ, *you are afraid lest I am now in a more peevish state of mind. &c.* (where the Subjunctive would have referred to the future, *lest I may be*). PLAT. Phaed. 84 E. Ἐπίσχε, ὥς ἂν προῖξερεινῶσω στίξον, μή τις πολιτῶν ἐν τρίβῳ φαντάζεται, καὶ μὲν ἔλθῃ φαῦλος ὡς δούλω ψόγος. EUR. Phoen. 92. (Here μή φαντάζεται means *lest any one is now to be seen*; and μή ἔλθῃ, *lest any report may come hereafter*.) Ἄναξ, ἐμοί τοι, μή τι καὶ θεήλατον τοῦργον τόδ', ἣ ξύνοια βουλεύει πάλαι. SOPH. Ant. 278. (The idea is, *my mind has long been anxious, lest this is the work of the Gods, ἐστὶν being understood after μή*.) Ὅρα μή ἐκείνον κωλύει. PLAT. Charm. 163 A. Ἄλλ' εἰσόμεσθα, μή τι καὶ κατάσχετον κρυφῇ καλύπτει καρδία θυμουμένη, δόμους παραστέιχοντες. SOPH. Ant. 1253. (The idea is, *we shall learn the result of our anxiety lest she is concealing, &c.*†)

(b.) Μή with the Perfect Indicative expresses a fear lest something *has already happened*. The difference between this and the Perfect Subjunctive is often very slight, the latter expressing rather a fear that something may hereafter prove to have happened. (See examples, § 18. 1.) E. g.

Νῦν δὲ φοβούμεθα, μή ἀμφοτέρων ἅμα ἡμαρτήκαμεν, *but now we fear lest we have missed both at once*. THUC. III, 53. (The Perfect Subjunctive here would strictly have meant *lest it may hereafter prove that we have missed*.) Δέδοικα μή λελήθαμεν [τὴν εἰρήνην] ἐπὶ πολλῷ ἄγοντες, *I fear that we have been unconsciously enjoying a peace borrowed at high interest*. DEM. F. L. 372, 1. Φοβούμαι μή λόγους τισὶ ψευδέσιν ἐντετυχήκαμεν. PLAT. Lys. 218 D.

* That this is the correct explanation of the passage, SOPH. Ant. 278, and that we need not emend it with Nauck, so as to read τοῦργον τόδ' ἦ, ξύνοια βουλεύει πάλαι, is evident from the Scholion on the passage: Ἢ σύννοια μοι βουλεύεται καὶ οἶται μή καὶ θεήλατόν ἐστι τὸ πρᾶγμα. So perhaps we should read μή ἐλαύνει in DEM. Phil. III, 124, 25.

† In this passage, if anywhere, it would seem necessary to admit the *interrogative* force usually ascribed to μή, which would make it equivalent to εἰ οὐ, *whether not*. But here, as in the other passages quoted, it is plain that the dependent clause after μή expresses the object of an apprehension. To establish a purely *interrogative* force in μή, it would seem necessary to find examples in which μή not only follows a verb like οἶδα, but also is followed by a clause in which no object of apprehension is contained (Such a sentence would be εἰσόμεσθα μή οἱ φίλοι ζῶσιν, *we shall learn whether our friends are not living*; but no such example can be found, at least in any classic writer. The Greeks would have said εἰσόμεσθα εἰ οἱ ζῶσιν or εἰ ζῶσιν.) The use of εἰ, *whether*, after verbs of *fearing* (Note 6, c), usually adduced as an argument to prove the interrogative force of μή, rather seems to show that, when the Greeks wished to introduce an indirect question after verbs of *fearing*, they had recourse to εἰ, as in other cases.

(c.) Μή can be used with the Imperfect or the Aorist Indicative, to express fear lest something *happened in past time*. This can be expressed only in this way, as the Subjunctive and Optative would refer to (relatively) future time. E. g.

Δεῖδω μὴ δὴ πάντα θεὰ νημερτέα εἶπεν, *I fear that all that the Goddess said was true*. Od. V, 300. Ἄλλ' ὅρα μὴ παίζων ἔλεγεν, *but be very careful lest he was speaking in jest*. PLAT. Theaet. 145 B. (This implies a fear that he *was speaking* in jest.)

NOTE 6. (a.) As verbs of *fearing*, &c., imply thought, they sometimes take the construction of ordinary indirect discourse. Here ὥς (and even ὅπως), *that*, may be used to introduce the object of the fear, thus taking the place of μή in the common construction. ("Ὅτι seems to be used only in the case mentioned in Note 7.) E. g.

Ἄνδρὸς μὴ φοβοῦ ὥς ἀπορήσεις ἀξίου, *do not fear that you will be at a loss*. XEN. Cyr. V, 2, 12. (Here the direct discourse would be ἀπορήσω, *I shall be at a loss*.) Μὴ δείσητε ὥς οὐχ ἡδέως καθευδήσετε, *do not fear that you will not sleep sweetly*. Id. VI, 2, 30. (Here μὴ οὐχ would be the ordinary expression.) Μὴ τρέσης, ὅπως σέ τις ἀποσπάσει βία, *lest any one shall tear you away by force*. EUR. Heracl. 248. Μὴ φοβεῦ μήτε ἐμὲ, [ὥς σεο πειρώμενος λέγω λόγον τόνδε, μήτε γυναῖκα τὴν ἐμὴν, μὴ τί τοι ἐξ αὐτῆς γένηται] βλάβος, *do not fear either that I am saying this to try you (ὥς λέγω), or lest any harm shall come (μὴ γένηται)*. IDOT. I, 9. (Here the two constructions after φοβεῦ make the principle especially clear.)

In all these cases μή or ὅπως μή would have been more regular, and exactly equivalent to ὥς and ὅπως here. (See Note 2.)

(b.) We also find the Future Infinitive after verbs of *fearing*, standing in indirect discourse to represent a Future Indicative of the direct discourse. (See § 73, 1, Rem.) E. g.

Οὐ φοβούμεθα ἐλασσωσέσθαι, *we are not afraid that we shall be defeated*. THUC. V, 105. (Here μή with the Subjunctive or the Future Indicative would have been more regular.)

(c.) Verbs of *fearing* may also be followed by an indirect question introduced by εἰ, *whether*, or by some other interrogative particle. Ὅπως used interrogatively in such sentences is not to be confounded with ὅπως in its use explained above (a). E. g.

Οὐ δέδοικα εἰ Φίλιππος ἤν, ἀλλ' εἰ τῆς πόλεως τέθνηκε τὸ τοῖς ἀδικοῦντας μισεῖν καὶ τιμωρεῖσθαι, *I have no fear (on the question)*

whether Philip is alive; but I have fear (about this), whether the custom of the city to hate and punish evil-doers is extinct. DEM. F. L. 434, 6. Φόβος εἴ μοι ζῶσιν οὗς ἐγὼ θέλω. EUR. Heracl. 791. Φέρονσά σοι νέους ἢ καὶ λόγους. φόβῳ μὲν εἴ τις δεσποτῶν αἰσθήσεται, through fear whether any one will perceive it (where μὴ αἰσθήσεται or αἰσθῆται might have been used, meaning *lest any one shall perceive it*). EUR. Androm. 61. Φοβούνται ὅποι ποτὲ προβήσεται ἡ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς δύναμις. XEN. Hell. VI. 1, 14. (The direct question would be ποῖ προβήσεται;) Τὴν θεὸν δ' ὅπως λάθω δέδοικα, I am in fear (about the question) how I shall escape the Goddess. EUR. Iph. T. 995. (The direct question was πῶς λάθω; § 88.)

NOTE 7. Verbs of *fearing* may be followed by ὅτι, *because*, and an ordinary causal sentence with the Indicative (§ 81). E. g.

Οὐκ ἄξιον διὰ τοῦτο φοβεῖσθαι τοὺς πολεμίους, ὅτι πολλοὶ τυγχάνουσιν ὄντες, *to fear them, because they happen to be many*. ISOC. Archid. p. 128 C. § 60. Φοβουμένης τῆς μητρὸς, ὅτι τὸ χωρίον ἐπυνθάνετο νοσῶδες εἶναι. ISOC. Aegin. p. 388 D. § 22. Ὅτι δὲ πολλῶν ἄρχουσι, μὴ φοβηθῆτε, ἀλλὰ πολὺ μᾶλλον διὰ τοῦτο θαρρεῖτε, *do not be afraid because they rule many, &c.* XEN. Hell. III, 5, 10. (Μὴ ἄρχουσιν φοβεῖσθαι would mean *to fear lest they rule*.) Φοβοίμενος τὸ κίεσθαι καὶ τὸ τέμνεσθαι, ὅτι ἀλγεινόν, *fearing them, on the ground that they are painful*. PLAT. Gorg. 479 A. (But for the analogy of the preceding examples, we might be inclined to translate this *fearing that they are painful*, like μὴ ἀλγεινόν.) Ἐφοβείτο. ὅτι ὀφθήσεσθαι ἔμελλε τὰ βασιλεία οἰκοδομεῖν ἀρχόμενος, *he was afraid, because he was about to be seen beginning to build the palace*. XEN. Cyr. III, 1, 1.

NOTE 8. (a.) Verbs of *fearing* may be followed by an Infinitive (without μὴ), which is sometimes preceded by the article. Such an Infinitive denotes the *direct object* of the fear, as in English, *I fear to go*. E. g.

Φοβοῦμαι οὖν διελέγχειν σε, μὴ ὑπολάβῃς, κ.τ.λ., *I am afraid to refute you, lest you may suspect, &c.* PLAT. Gorg. 457 E. (Here both constructions occur.) Φοβήσεται ἀδικεῖν, *he will be afraid to do wrong*. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 7, 15. (But φοβήσεται μὴ ἀδικῆ, *he will fear that he may do wrong*.) Πέφρικα Ἐρινὺν τελέσαι τὰς κατάρας, *I shudder at the idea of the Fury fulfilling the curses*. AESCH. Sept. 720. (But in 790, τρέω μὴ τελέσῃ means *I tremble lest she may fulfil them*.) See also XEN. An. I, 3, 17. Τὸ ἀποθνήσκειν οὐδεὶς φοβεῖται, τὸ δὲ ἀδικεῖν φοβεῖται. PLAT. Gorg. 522 E.

See § 92, 1, Remark 2, and Note 3.

(b.) Verbs of *caution* may be followed by an Infinitive (with or without μὴ), which is sometimes preceded by the article; the Infinitive having the same meaning as a clause with μὴ and the Subjunctive or Optative. E. g.

Πῶς οὐκ ἄξιον αὐτόν γε φυλάσθαι τοιοῦτον γενέσθαι; *why*

ought he not to guard against becoming such a man himself? XEN. Mem. I, 5, 3. (Here γενέσθαι is equivalent to μὴ γένηται.) Φυλαττόμενος τὸ λυπῆσαί τινα, taking care to offend no one. DEM. Cor. 313, 6. Φυλάσσειν μηδένα περαιουῖσθαι. THUC. VII, 17. Φυλαττόμενον καὶ προορώμενον μὴ καταισχυῖναι ταύτην. [DEM.] Aristog. I, 773, 1. (For μὴ see § 95, 2, N. 1.) In THUC. VII, 77, 5, we find the Infinitive with ὥστε after φυλάσσω.

(c.) Κίνδυνός ἐστι, the principal expression denoting *danger* which takes μὴ and a finite verb, is quite as regularly followed by the Infinitive (*without μή*). E. g.

Οὐ σμικρὸς κίνδυνός ἐστιν ἐξαπατηθῆναι, there is no little danger of their being deceived. PLAT. Crat. 436 B.

Κινδυνεύω is regularly followed by the Infinitive, by § 92, 1.

REMARK. All the Infinitives referred to in Note 8 belong regularly under the rule, § 92, 1. For the article before such Infinitives, see § 92, 1, Note 3.

SECTION II.

CONDITIONAL SENTENCES.

§ 47. 1. In conditional sentences the clause containing the condition is called the *protasis*, and that containing the conclusion is called the *apodosis*. The *protasis* is regularly introduced by the particle εἰ, *if*, negatively εἰ μὴ.

NOTE. Αἰ is the Doric form for εἰ. It is sometimes used also in Epic poetry, but only when κέ immediately follows. = εἰάν

2. The adverb ἄν (Epic κέ or κέν, Doric κά) is regularly joined with εἰ in the *protasis*, when the verb is in the *Subjunctive* (§ 50, 1); εἰ with ἄν (ᾶ) forming the compound εἰάν, ἄν (ᾱ), or ἥν. See § 38, 1.) The simple εἰ is used in the *protasis* with the Indicative and the Optative.

The same adverb ἄν is regularly used in the *apodosis* with the Optative (§ 50, 2), and also with the *secondary*

tenses of the Indicative in the construction explained in § 49, 2. (See § 37, 3, and § 39.)

3. The negative particle of the protasis is regularly *μή*, that of the apodosis is *οὐ*.

NOTE. When the last rule is violated, and *οὐ* is found in a protasis, it is always closely connected with a particular word (generally the verb), with which it forms a single negative expression; so that its negative force does not (like that of *μή*) affect the protasis *as a whole*. E. g.

Πάντως δῆπου (οὕτως ἔχει), εἰάν τε σὺ καὶ Ἄνυτος οὐ φῆτε εἰάν τε φῆτε, *if you deny it, as well as if you admit it*. PLAT. Apol. 25 B. (Here εἰάν μὴ φῆτε would mean *unless you admit it*.) Εἰ μὲν οὐ πολλοὶ ἦσαν, καθ' ἕκαστον ἂν περὶ τούτων ἡκούετε, *if there were only a few, &c.* LYS. Agorat. p. 135; § 62. Cf. p. 137; § 76. (Here οὐ πολλοὶ are used together in the sense of *ὀλίγοι*.) Τῶνδε μὲν οὐδέν ἴσον ἔστιν, εἴγε ἀφ' ἡμῶν γε τῶν ἐν μέσῳ οὐδέ τις οὐδέποτε ἄρξε-ται, *there is no fairness in this, if (it is the plan, that) no one is ever to begin with us*. XEN. Cyr. II, 2, 3.

The following example makes the difference between *οὐ* and *μή* particularly clear, *οὐ* affecting merely the verb, and *μή* affecting the whole (including the *οὐ*): εἰ μὴ (Πρόξενον οὐχ ὑπέδεξαντο, ἐσώθησαν ἂν, *if it had not been that they did not receive Proxenus, they would have been saved*. DEM. F. L. 364, 11.

When several clauses, introduced by *μέν* and *δέ*, depend upon a single *εἰ* which precedes them all, *οὐ* is used even more frequently than *μή*; as such clauses have their own construction independently of the *εἰ*, which merely introduces each of them *as a whole*, not affecting the construction of particular words. E. g.

Δεινὸν ἂν εἴη, εἰ οἱ μὲν ἐκείνων ξύμμαχοι ἐπὶ δουλείᾳ τῇ αὐτῶν φεροντες οὐκ ἀπεροῦσιν, ἡμεῖς δ' ἐπὶ τῷ αὐτοῖ σῶζεσθαι οὐκ ἄρα δαπανήσομεν, *it would be a hard thing, if (it is a fact that) their allies will not refuse, &c., while we will not contribute*. THUC. I, 121. Εἴτ' οὐκ αἰσχρὸν, εἰ τὸ μὲν Ἀργείων πλῆθος οὐκ ἐφοβήθη τὴν Λακεδαιμονίων ἀρχὴν, ὑμεῖς δὲ βάρβαρον φοβήσεσθε; *is it not then disgraceful, if (it is true, that) the Argive people did not fear, &c.* DEM. Rhod. 197, 9.

Classification of Conditional Sentences.

§ 48. The supposition contained in a protasis may be either *particular* or *general*. A *particular* supposi-

tion refers to a *definite* act or a definite series of acts. A *general* supposition refers to *any one* of a class of acts, which may occur (or may have occurred) on *any one* of a series of possible occasions, — *if* having the force of *if ever* or *whenever*.

The following examples contain *particular* suppositions : —

If he is (now) able to do this, he is doing it, εἰ τοῦτο ποιεῖν δύναται, ποιεῖ. If he was able to do this, he did it, εἰ τοῦτο ποιεῖν ἐδύνατο, ἐποίησεν. If he (shall) be able to do this, he will do it, ἐὰν τοῦτο ποιεῖν δύνῃται, ποιήσει. If he should be able to do this, he would do it, εἰ τῷτο ποιεῖν δύναίτο, ποιοίη ἂν.

The following contain *general* suppositions : —

If he is (ever) able to do this, he (always) does it, ἐὰν τοῦτο ποιεῖν δύνῃται, ποιεῖ. If any one (ever) wishes to go, it is (always) permitted, ἐάν τις βούληται ἵνα, ἔξεστιν. If he was (ever) able to do this, he (always) did it, εἰ τοῦτο ποιεῖν δύναίτο, ἐποίησεν. If any one (ever) wished to go, it was (always) permitted, εἴ τις βούλοίτο ἵνα, ἔξῃ. If any one shall (ever) wish to go, it will (always) be permitted, ἐὰν τις ἵνα βούληται, ἀεὶ ἔξέσται. If any one should (ever) wish to go, it would (always) be permitted, εἴ τις ἵνα βούλοίτο, ἀεὶ ἂν ἔξείη.

Although this distinction can logically apply to all suppositions (present, past, and future), yet the Greek distinguishes the two classes *in construction* only in *present and past* conditions, even here excepting those which imply non-fulfilment of the condition. Therefore all the classes under I., except A. 1, include both particular and general suppositions.

I. Excluding from A. 1 the past and present general suppositions, which have a peculiar construction, we have *four forms* of ordinary conditional sentences : —

A. If the protasis refers to the *present* or the *past*, the question as to the fulfilment of the condition which it expresses has been already decided (*in point of fact*) either affirmatively or negatively ; the speaker, however, either may or may not wish to imply by his form of statement *how* that question has been decided. He will, therefore, state such a condition in one of two ways : —

1. If he refers to a present or past condition, expressing no opinion as to its fulfilment, he may say *if he is doing this*, εἰ τοῦτο πράσσει, — *if he was doing it*, εἰ ἔπρασσεν, — *if he did it*, εἰ ἔπραξεν, — *if he has done it*, εἰ πέπραχεν, — *if he had already done it*, εἰ ἐπεπράχει. The apodosis, expressing the result of the fulfilment of such a condition, may refer to the *present*, the *past*, or the *future*. Thus we may say,

Εἰ πράττει τοῦτο, καλῶς ἔχει, *if he is doing this, it is well.*

Εἰ πράσσει τοῦτο, ἡμάρτηκεν, *if he is doing this, he has erred.*

Εἰ πράσσει τοῦτο, καλῶς ἔξει, *if he is doing this, it will be well.*

Εἰ ἔπραξε τοῦτο, καλῶς ἔχει (εἶχεν, ἔσχεν, or ἔξει), *if he did this, it is (was or will be) well*; and so with the other tenses in the protasis. (See § 49, 1.)

So in Latin, *Si hoc facit, bene est*; *Si hoc fecit, bene erit*.

10. 3.
inger.

2. If, on the other hand, he refers to a present or past condition, wishing to imply that it *is not* or *was not* fulfilled, he may say *if he were now doing this*, εἰ τοῦτο ἔπρασσεν; or *if he had done this (although he did not do it)*, εἰ ἔπραξεν. The apodosis here denotes what *would be* or *would have been* the result, if the false supposition in the protasis were a valid one. The apodosis here contains the adverb ἂν, which distinguishes it from those forms of apodosis belonging under 1 in which past tenses are used. Thus we may say,

Εἰ ἔπρασσε τοῦτο, καλῶς ἂν εἶχεν, *if he were (now) doing this, it would be well.* Εἰ ἔπρασσε τοῦτο, καλῶς ἂν εἶχεν may also mean *if he had been doing this, it would have been well.*

Εἰ ἔπραξε τοῦτο, καλῶς ἂν ἔσχεν (or ἂν εἶχεν), *if he had done this, it would have been well (or it would now be well).* On the other hand, εἰ ἔπραξε τοῦτο, καλῶς ἔσχεν (without ἂν) would mean *if he did this, it was well.* (See § 49, 2.)

In Latin: *Si hoc faceret, bene esset*; *Si hoc fecisset, bene fuisset*.

REMARK 1. The Greek has thus a special form (A, 2) implying that a condition *is not* or *was not* fulfilled, and another (A, 1) implying *nothing whatever* as to its fulfilment. There is no special form implying that the condition *is* or *was* fulfilled, — a force often erroneously assigned to the form A, 1. If this is to be expressed at all, it must be done by the context, not by the form of the verb.

B. If the protasis refers to the *future*, the question as to the fulfilment of the condition is, of course, at present *undecided*, and a speaker may state such a condition in either of two ways (B, 1 and 2), which differ more in the form of statement than in their meaning:—

1. He may say *if he shall do this*, ἐὰν πράσῃ τοῦτο (or, still more vividly, εἰ πράξει τοῦτο), making a distinct supposition of a future case. The natural apodosis to such a protasis expresses what *will be* the result, if the condition shall be fulfilled. We may therefore say,

Ἐὰν πράσῃ τοῦτο, καλῶς ἔξει, *if he do this, it will be well*; or εἰ πράξει τοῦτο, καλῶς ἔξει, *if he shall do this, it will be well*. (See § 50, 1.) In Latin: *Si hoc faciet* (more frequently *si hoc fecerit*), *bene erit*; rarely *si hoc faciat, bene erit*.

2. He may also say, *if he should do this*, εἰ πράσσοι τοῦτο, still supposing a case in the future, but less distinctly and vividly than before. The natural apodosis to such a protasis is a similar indefinite expression, *it would be*. We can therefore say,

Εἰ πράσσοι τοῦτο, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι, *if he should do this, it would be well*. (See § 50, 2.) In Latin: *Si hoc faciat, bene sit*.

REMARK 2. The two forms of protasis which the Greek expresses by the Subjunctive (ἐὰν πράσῃ τοῦτο) and the Optative (εἰ πράσσοι τοῦτο) have only one equivalent form in Latin; *si hoc faciat* meaning *if he shall do this* (ἐὰν τοῦτο πράσῃ), as well as *if he should do this* (εἰ τοῦτο πράσσοι). (See § 50, 2, Rem. b) But in the former sense the Latin commonly employs the Future Indicative, *si hoc faciet* (corresponding to εἰ τοῦτο πράξει, *if he shall do this*), or the Future Perfect, *si hoc fecerit*, leaving the form *si hoc faciat* to represent the Greek εἰ τοῦτο πράσσοι, *if he should do this*.

II. In *general* suppositions the two following classes are distinguished in construction from the corresponding *particular* suppositions (I. A, 1).

A. First, when the apodosis contains a verb of present time, expressing a customary or repeated action or a general truth, and the protasis refers to indefinite time represented in English as present. We may then say,

Ἐάν τις τοῦτο πράσῃ, καλῶς ἔχει, *if any one (ever) does this, it is (in all such cases) well*. Ἐάν τοῦτο ποιεῖν δύνηται, ποιεῖ, *if he is (ever) able to this, he (in all such cases) does it*. Ἐάν τις τούτου πίῃ, ἀποθνήσκει, *if any one (ever) drinks of this, he dies*.

B. Secondly, when the apodosis expresses a customary or repeated action or a general truth in past time, and the protasis refers to indefinite past time. We may then say,

Εἴ τις τοῦτο πράσσοι, καλῶς εἶχεν, *if any one (ever) did this, it was (in all such cases) well*. Εἴ τοῦτο ποιεῖν δύναίτο, ἐποίει, *if he was (ever) able to do this, he (always) did it*. Εἴ τις τούτου πίῃ, ἀπέθνησκεν, *if any one (ever) drank of this, he died*.

REMARK 1. General suppositions referring to the future (see p. 89), as well as those referring to the present or past with non-fulfilment of the condition implied, not being distinguished by their form from particular suppositions, are included under the rules of § 49, 2 and § 50, 1 and 2.

REMARK 2. Although the Latin occasionally agrees with the Greek in the construction of *general* conditional sentences, — using *si faciat* and *si faceret* like *ἐὰν πράσῃ* and *εἰ πράσσοι* above, — it commonly agrees with the English in not distinguishing this class from I, A, 1.

I. Four Forms of Ordinary Conditional Sentences.

A. Present and Past Conditions.

§ 49. 1. (*Particular Suppositions.*) When the protasis in a particular supposition *simply states* a present or a past condition, implying nothing as to the truth of the supposition, the verb is in one of the *present* or *past* tenses of the Indicative, after *εἰ*. Any tense of the Indicative may be used in the apodosis, to express the result of the fulfilment of the condition. E. g.

Εἰ ἐβρόντησε, καὶ ἤστραψεν, *if it thundered, it also lightened.* (This does not imply that the speaker has any knowledge or opinion on the question whether it *actually* thundered.) Εἰ δ' οὕτω τοῦτο ἐστίν, ἐμοὶ μέλλει φίλον εἶναι. II. I, 564. See I, 178. Εἰ τότε κούρος ἔα, νῦν αὐτὲ με γῆρας ὀπάσει. II. IV, 321. Εἰ θεοὶ τι δρώσιν αἰσχρὸν, οὐκ εἰσὶν θεοί. EUR. Beller. Fr. 294. Εἰ ἐγὼ Φαῖδρον ἀγνοῶ, καὶ ἑμαντοῦ ἐπιλέλησμαι· ἀλλὰ γὰρ οὐδέτερά ἐστι τούτων, *if I do not know Phaedrus, I have forgotten myself; but neither of these is the case.* PLAT. Phaedr. 228 A. (See § 48, I, A, Rem. 1.) Εἰ μὲν (Ἀσκληπιὸς) θεοῦ ἦν, οὐκ ἦν αἰσχροκερδής, εἰ δ' αἰσχροκερδής, οὐκ ἦν θεοῦ. PLAT. Rep. III, 408 C. Εἰ μηδὲν τούτων πεποίηκας, τί φοβήσῃ;

NOTE 1. The Imperative, the Subjunctive in *exhortations* or *prohibitions*, or the Optative in *wishes*, may stand in the apodosis. E. g.

'Αλλ' εἰ δοκεῖ σοι, στείχε, *if thou art resolved, go.* SOPH. Ant. 98. (Here *ἐὰν δοκῇ* would refer to the future, while *εἰ δοκεῖ* is strictly present in its time. Cf. Antig. 76.) 'Αλλ' εἰ δοκεῖ, πλέωμεν, ὁρμάσθω ταχύς. SOPH. Phil. 526. 'Αλλ' εἴ που πτωχῶν γε θεοὶ καὶ ἐρινύες εἰσὶν, Ἀντίνοον πρὸ γάμοιο τέλος θανάτοιο κιχέει γ. Od. XVII, 475. 'Αλλ' εἰ δοκεῖ σοι ταῦθ', ὑπαί τις ἀρβύλας λύοι τάχος, *but if this pleases you, let some one quickly loose the shoes, &c.*

ÆSCH. Agam. 914. Κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην. Ξανθίαν εἰ μὴ φιλῶ.
ARIST. Ran. 579. In the last three examples the Optative expresses a wish (§ 82), and must not be confounded with the Optative with ἄν in apodosis (§ 50, 2).

REMARK. A protasis of this class may also be followed by an apodosis in the Optative with ἄν, as εἰ τοῦτ' ἀληθές ἐστιν, ἡδέως ἄν ἀπέλθοιμι, *if this is true, I should be glad to depart*. But such an apodosis always implies a protasis with an Optative, which is independent of the one expressed; so that these examples belong under the mixed constructions of § 54. See § 54, 1 (a).

NOTE 2. Under this head belong all cases of *particular* suppositions referring to the present or the past in which the non-fulfilment of the condition is not implied. We must be careful not to include in this class the *general* suppositions explained in § 51; which require a Subjunctive or Optative in the protasis, although we commonly translate them in English by the simple Indicative.

NOTE 3. The *condition* may still be *present*, even when the Future Indicative stands in the protasis, if that tense expresses merely a *present* intention or necessity that something shall happen hereafter; as when εἰ τοῦτο ποιήσει means *if he is (now) about to do this*, and not (as it does in an ordinary future condition) *if he shall do this*. E. g.

Αἶρε πλῆκτρον, εἰ μαχεῖ, *raise your spur, if you are going to fight*.
ARIST. Av. 761. (Εἰ μαχεῖ in protasis commonly means *if you shall fight*, being equivalent to ἐὰν μάχη.) Οἱ εἰς τὴν βασιλικὴν τέχνην παιδευόμενοι . . . τί διαφέρουσι τῶν ἐξ ἀνάγκης κακοπαθόντων, εἰ γε πεινῆσουσι καὶ διψήσουσι καὶ ῥιγώσουσι καὶ ἀγρυπνήσουσι καὶ τῦλλα πάντα μοχθήσουσιν ἐόντες; *how do they differ, &c., if they are to suffer hunger, thirst, &c. (i. e. if it is necessary that they should suffer)?* XEN. Mem. II, 1, 17. Ἡ νῦν ἐγὼ μὲν οὐκ ἀνὴρ, αὕτη δ' ἀνὴρ, εἰ ταῦτ' ἀνατεῖ τῇδε κείσεται κράτη, *if this is to pass unpunished*. SOPH. Ant. 484. So εἰ πόλεμος δαμά, II, I, 61; and εἰ διαβληθήσομαι, EUR. Hec. 863.

This use of the Future must be carefully distinguished from that found in *future* conditions, where it is equivalent to the Subjunctive. (§ 50, 1, Note 1). The periphrastic form of the Future with μέλλω and the Infinitive (§ 25, 2) is more common in this construction. Here the tense of μέλλω (as in εἰ μέλλουσι τοῦτο ποιεῖν for εἰ τοῦτο ποιήσουσιν) shows that the condition is really present and not future. So with the Latin periphrastic Future, *si hoc facturus est*.

2. In sentences containing present or past conditions, when it is implied that the condition of the protasis *is not or was not fulfilled*, and when the apodosis expresses

what *would be* (or *would have been*) the result if that condition were (or had been) fulfilled, the *secondary* tenses of the *Indicative* are used in both protasis and apodosis. The *apodosis* regularly contains the adverb *ἂν*.

The Imperfect here refers to *present* time or to a *continued* or *repeated* action in *past* time, the Aorist to an action *simply occurring* in *past* time, and the (rare) Pluperfect to an action *completed* in *past* or *present* time. E. g.

Εἰ τοῦτο ἔπρασσε, καλῶς ἂν εἶχεν, *if he were (now) doing this, it would be well* (implying that he *is not* doing it). This may also mean *if he had been doing this, it would have been well* (implying that he *was not* doing it). The context must decide, in each case, to which time the Imperfect refers. Εἰ τοῦτο ἔπραξε, καλῶς ἂν ἔσχευεν, *if he had done this, it would have been well* (implying that he *did not* do it). Εἰ τοῦτο ἐπεπράχει, καλῶς ἂν εἶχεν, *if he had finished doing this (now, or at any past time), it would be well* (implying either he *has not*, or he *had not finished* it).

Ταῦτα οὐκ ἂν ἐδύναντο ποιεῖν, εἰ μὴ καὶ διαίτη μετρία ἐχρῶντο, *they would not be able to do this, if they did not lead an abstemious life*. XEN. Cyr. I, 2, 16. Πολὺν ἂν θαυμαστότερον ἦν, εἰ ἐτιμῶντο, *it would be much more wonderful, if they were honored*. PLAT. Rep. VI, 489 B. Οἷχ οὕτω δ' ἂν προθύμως ἐπὶ τὸν πόλεμον ὑμᾶς παρεκάλουν, εἰ μὴ τὴν εἰρήνην ἐώρων αἰσχροὺς ἐσομένην, *I should not exhort you, did I not see (as I do), &c.* ISOC. Archid. p. 134 A. § 87. Λέγουσι πάντα ἣ ἔχει· καὶ τοι εἰ μὴ ἐτύγχανεν αὐτοῖς ἐπιστήμη ἐνούσα, οὐκ ἂν οἶδ' ἥσαν τοῦτο ποιήσιν, *they tell everything as it is: and yet if knowledge did not chance to be in them, they could not do this*. PLAT. Phaed. 73 A. Εὖ ἴσθ' ὅτι εἰ τι ἐμοῦ ἐκήδου, οὐδενὸς ἂν οὕτω με ἀποστερεῖν ἐφυλάττου ὥς ἀξιώματος καὶ τιμῆς, *if you cared for me at all, you would take care, &c.* XEN. Cyr. V, 5, 34. Εἰ μὴ ἀπληστός τε ἔας χρημάτων καὶ αἰσχροκερδῆς, οὐκ ἂν νεκρῶν θήκας ἀνέωγες. HDT. I, 187. (This implies *ἀπληστος εἶ, thou art insatiable, and ἀνέωγες, thou didst open.*)

Οὐκ ἂν νήσων ἐκράτει, εἰ μὴ τι καὶ ναυτικὸν εἶχεν, *he would not have been master of the islands, if he had not had also some naval force* (implying *ναυτικὸν εἶχεν* and *νήσων ἐκράτει*, he *had a navy*, and he *was master of the islands*). THUC. I, 9. (Ταῦτα) οὐκ ἂν προέλεγεν, εἰ μὴ ἐπίστευεν ἀληθεύσειν, *he would not have declared these things* (referring to several), *had he not been confident that he should speak the truth*. XEN. Mem. I, 1, 5. Εἰ ἦσαν ἄνδρες ἀγαθοὶ, ὥς σὺ φῆς, οὐκ ἂν ποτε ταῦτα ἔπασχον, *if they had been good men, as you say, they would never have suffered these things* (referring to several cases) PLAT. Gorg. 516 E.

Καί νύ κ' ἔτι πλέονας Λυκίων κτάνε δῖος Ὀδυσσεύς. εἰ μὴ ἄρ' ἄξὺ νόησε μέγας κορυθαίολος Ἑκτωρ, ἰ. e. *Ulysses would have killed still more, had not Hector perceived him.* II. V. 679. Καί νύ κεν ἦμα πάντα κατέφθιτο καὶ μέν' ἀνδρῶν, εἰ μὴ τίς με θεῶν ὀλοφύρατο καὶ μ' ἐσάωσε. Od. IV, 363. Καὶ ἴσως ἂν διὰ ταῦτ' ἀπέθανον, εἰ μὴ ἡ ἀρχὴ διὰ ταχέων κατελύθη. PLAT. Apol. 32 D. Τί ποτ' ἂν ἔπαθον ὑπ' αὐτῶν, εἰ πλείω χρόνον ἐπετροπεύθην; . . . εἰ κατελείφθην μὲν ἐνιαύσιος, ἐξ ἔτη δὲ προσεπετροπεύθην ὑπ' αὐτῶν, οὐδ' ἂν τὰ μικρὰ ταῦτα παρ' αὐτῶν ἀπέλαβον. DEM. Aph. I, 833, 12-19. Εἰ τοίνυν ὁ Φίλιππος τότε ταύτην ἔσχε τὴν γνώμην, οὐδὲν ἂν ὦν νυνὶ πεποίηκεν ἔπραξεν, οὐδὲ τοσαύτην ἐκτίσασατο δύναμιν. DEM. Phil. I, 41, 18. (See below, Remark *b*; and § 42, 4.)

Εἰ μὴ ὑμεῖς ἦλθετε, ἐπορευόμεθα ἂν ἐπὶ βασιλέα, *if you had not come, we should (now) be on our way to the King.* (Aor. and Imperf.) XEN. An. II, 1, 4. Εἰ γὰρ ἐκ τοῦ παρεληλυθότος χρόνου τὰ δέοντα οὗτοι συνεβούλευσαν, οὐδὲν ἂν ὑμᾶς νῦν ἔδει βουλευέσθαι, *if they had given the necessary advice in time past, there would now be no need of your deliberating.* DEM. Phil. I, 40, 9. Τοῦτο εἰ ἀπεκρίνω, ἱκανῶς ἂν ἦδη παρὰ σοῦ τὴν ὁσιότητα ἐμε μαθήκη, *if you had answered this, I should have already learned, &c.* (implying ἀλλ' οὐ μεμάθηκα, *but now I have not learned*). PLAT. Euthyph. 14 C. Λοιπὸν δ' ἂν ἦν ἡμῖν ἔτι περὶ τῆς πόλεως διαλεχθῆναι τῆς ἡμετέρας, εἰ μὴ προτέρα τῶν ἄλλων τὴν εἰρήνην ἐπεποίητο. (This implies ἀλλὰ τὴν εἰρήνην προτέρα πεποίηται.) ISOC. Phil. p. 93 C. § 56. Τῶν ἀδικημάτων ἂν ἐμέμνητο τῶν αὐτοῦ, εἴ τι περὶ ἐμοῦ γ' ἔγραφεν. DEM. Cor. 251, 28.

Different tenses can of course be used in the protasis and apodosis, if the sense requires it. See especially the example quoted above from Dem. Phil. I, p. 40, 9, and the preceding one.

This construction is the exact equivalent of the Latin Imperfect and Pluperfect Subjunctive in protasis and apodosis. With regard to the tenses, the Latin Imperfect Subjunctive represents the Greek Imperfect Indicative referring to present time; while the Latin Pluperfect Subjunctive represents the Greek Aorist and Pluperfect Indicative, and also the Imperfect referring to past time.

REMARK. (*a.*) It will be seen by the examples, that this construction usually implies, not merely that the condition of the protasis is not (or was not) fulfilled, but *also* that the action of the apodosis does not (or did not) take place: thus, εἰ τοῦτο ἔπραξεν, ἐσώθη ἂν, *if he had done this, he would have been saved*, implies not merely that he *did not do this*, but also that he *was not saved*. The denial of the apodosis is not, however, inferred as a *necessary consequence* from the denial of the protasis, which would often be an illogical inference; for (in the example above) the person might have been saved in *some*

other way, even if he *did not* do the thing referred to. Indeed, where it is not implied that the action of the apodosis depends as a *result* upon that of the protasis as its condition, the action of the apodosis is not denied: this happens when the protasis expresses a *concession*, introduced by καὶ εἰ, *even if, although*, or οὐδ' εἰ, *not even if*; as καὶ εἰ τοῦτο ἔπραξεν, ἐσώθη ἄν, *even if he had done this, he would have been saved*, where it may be implied that he *was saved*.

(b.) In this form of conditional sentence, therefore, the verb of the protasis *always* (and the verb of the apodosis *generally*) implies its *opposite*; the Imperfect always implying a Present or Imperfect, the Aorist an Aorist, and the Pluperfect usually a Perfect or Pluperfect. Thus εἰ ἔπρασσε, when it means *if he were doing*, implies ἀλλ' οὐ πράσσει, *but really he is not doing*; when it means *if he had been doing*, it implies ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔπρασσε, *but really he was not doing*: εἰ μὴ ἔπραξεν, *if he had not done*, implies ἀλλ' ἔπραξεν, *but really he did do*: εἰ ἐπεποιήκει τοῦτο, *if he had already done this*, implies either ἀλλ' οὐ πεποίηκεν, *but really he has not done it*, or ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐπεποιήκει, *but really he had not done it*, according to the context. This principle will show which tense of the Indicative is to be used in any given case, in writing Greek.

The Aorist, however, is very often used here, as elsewhere, where the Pluperfect would express the time intended more exactly (§ 19, N. 4); as in the sentence above quoted, οὐδὲν ἂν ὧν νυνὶ πεποίηκεν ἔπραξεν, where the Perfect πεποίηκεν shows that the Pluperfect might have been used for ἔπραξεν.

NOTE 1. In Homer, the Imperfect in this construction refers to *past* time, and is to be translated by our Pluperfect. E. g.

Ἦνθα κε λοιγὸς ἔην καὶ ἀμήχανα ἔργα γέγοντο, εἰ μὴ ἄρ' ὁξὺ νόησε πατὴρ ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε, *then there would have been, &c.* II. VIII, 130. Εἰ γὰρ ἐγὼ τὰδε ἦδε' ἐνὶ φρεσὶ πευκαλίμῃσιν, οὐκ ἂν ὑπεξέφυγε Στυγὸς ὕδατος αἰπὰ ῥέεθρα, *if I had known, &c.* Id. 366.

NOTE 2. Sometimes ἄν is omitted in the apodosis, although the non-fulfilment of the condition is still implied. This merely gives a more emphatic expression, as when we say *it had been* for *it would have been*. The ἄν can be omitted only when the

context shows conclusively that the construction is not that of § 49, 1. E. g.

Ναὶ μὰ Δία ἢ σχυρόμην μέντοι, εἰ ὑπὸ πολεμίου γε ὄντος ἐξηπατήθην, *yes, by Zeus, I were indeed ashamed, if I had been deceived,* &c. XEN. AN. VII, 6, 21. Καλὸν ἦν αὐτῷ, εἰ οὐκ ἐγεννήθη ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἐκείνος, *it had been good for that man, if he had not been born.* MATTH. EVANG. XXVI, 24. *decebat, oportebat, fuisse*

Compare VERG. Aen. XI, 115: *Aequius huic Turnum fuerat se opponere morti, it had been more just, &c., where fuisset would have been the regular form.*

NOTE 3. (a.) An apodosis without *αν*, but implying the non-fulfilment of a condition, is often formed by an Infinitive depending on the Imperfect of a verb denoting *necessity, obligation, propriety, possibility, or the like*. This combination merely expresses in other words what might have been expressed by the verb of the Infinitive in a past tense of the Indicative with *αν*. Thus *ἔδει σε τοῦτον φιλεῖν* means *you ought to love him* (or *ought to have loved him*), — implying, *but you do not love him* (or *did not love him*), — and is equivalent to *τοῦτον αν ἐφίλεις, εἰ τὰ δέοντα ἐποίεις, you would love him* (or *would have loved him*), *if you did* (or *had done*) *what you ought*. So *εἰκὸς ἦν σε τοῦτο ποιῆσαι* means *you would properly have done this* (but you did not), being equivalent to *εἰκότως τοῦτ' αν ἐποίησας*. *the purely element, no obligation really not by the realization the proto*

This construction occurs chiefly after the impersonal Imperfects *χρῆν* or *ἐχρῆν*, *ἔδει*, *ἐξῆν*, *ἐνῆν*, *προσῆκεν*, *εἰκὸς ἦν*, *ἤρμοττεν* (*decebat*), *ἦν* or *ὑπῆρχεν* (*it was possible*), and *ἦν* with nouns and adjectives expressing *necessity, propriety, &c.* So when *ἦν* is used with the verbal in *-τέον* (equivalent to *ἔδει* with the Infinitive). When the Present Infinitive is used, the construction refers to present time or to continued or repeated action in past time; when the Aorist Infinitive is used, it refers to a single or momentary action in past time. E. g.

Εἰ ἐπ' ἡμέας μούνοὺς ἐστρατηλάτεις ὁ Πέρσης, *χρῆν αὐτὸν πάντων τῶν ἄλλων ἀπεχόμενον οὕτω ἵέναι ἐπὶ τὴν ἡμετέραν· καὶ αν ἐδήλου πᾶσι ὡς ἐπὶ Σκύθας ἐλαύνει, if the Persian were making his expedition against us alone, he ought, letting alone all others, to be marching directly into our country; and then he would show that he was marching against Scythians.* HDT. IV, 118. Here *χρῆν ἵέναι* means *he would be marching into our country* (like *ἦεν αν*), *if he were doing what would be expected under such circumstances,* implying that this

condition is not fulfilled. (See below, Rem. 1.) Ἐχρῆν μὲν οὖν καὶ δίκαιον ἦν τοὺς τὸν στέφανον οἰομένους δεῖν λαβεῖν αὐτοὺς ἀξίους ἐπιδελκνύναι τούτου. μὴ ἐμέ κακῶς λέγειν· ἐπειδὴ δὲ τοῦτο παρέν-
 τες ἐκείνῳ ποιοῦσιν, κ.τ.λ., i. e. *those who think they ought to receive the crown would (if they did what is right and just) be showing that they deserve it themselves, and not be abusing me; but since now they have neglected the former and do the latter, &c.* DEM. Cor. Trier. 1228.
 28. Εἰ γὰρ ὑπ' ὀδόντος τοι εἶπε τελευτήσειν με, χρῆν δὴ σε ποιεῖν τὰ ποιεῖς· νῦν δὲ ὑπ' αἰχμῆς, *if he had said that I was to be killed by a tooth, then you would have to do as you now do.* HDT. I, 39. (See below, Rem. 1.) Ἐδεῖ μὲν τοὺς λέγοντας ἅπαντας μίτη πρὸς ἔχθραν ποιεῖσθαι λόγον μηδὲνα μίτη πρὸς χάριν, i. e. *the speakers ought not to say a word out of regard either to enmity or to favor (and yet they do so).* DEM. Chers. 90, 1. Πότερον αὐτὴν ἐχρῆν ἐν τῇ Θετταλῶν καὶ Δολόπων τάξει συγκατακτᾶσθαι Φιλίππῳ τὴν τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἀρχήν; i. e. *ought she to have helped Philip acquire his dominion over the Greeks (sc. as she would have done by your policy)?* DEM. Cor. 246, 1. Ἐμέ εἰ μὲν ἐν ἄλλαις τισὶν ἡμέραις ἡδίκησέ τι τούτων ἰδιώτην ὄντα, ἰδία καὶ δίκην προσήκεν αὐτῷ διδόναι, i. e. *he would properly have given satisfaction by a private suit (as if he had said προσσηκόντως ἰδία δίκην ἂν ἐδίδου).* DEM. Mid. 525, 3. Καὶ πολλοῖς δόξω, ὥς οἷός τ' ὦν σε σώζειν εἰ ἤθελον ἀναλίσκειν χρήματα, ἀμελῆσαι, i. e. *whereas I might have saved you, if I had been willing to spend money, &c.* PLAT. Crit. 44 C. Οὐ γὰρ ἐνῆν μὴ παρακρουσθέντων ὑμῶν μείναι Φιλίππῳ, *for Philip could not have remained, unless you had been deceived (implying he did remain).* DEM. F. L. 379, 2. (See § 52, 1.) Καὶ μάλιστα εἰκὸς ἦν ὑμᾶς προορᾶσθαι αὐτὰ καὶ μὴ μαλακῶς, ὥσπερ νῦν, *ξυμμαχεῖν.* THUC. VI, 78. (The orator adds, ἀλλ οὐθ' ὑμεῖς νῦν γέ πω οὐθ' οἱ ἄλλοι ἐπὶ ταῦτα ὥρμησθε.) Εἰ μὲν τοίνυν αἰσχροὶ τι ἐμέλλον ἐργάσεισθαι, θάνατον ἀντ' αὐτοῦ προαιρετέον ἦν (i. e. *προαιρεῖσθαι* ἔδει). XEN. Mem. II, 7, 10. So in Latin: *Quem patris loco, si ulla in te pietas esset, colere debebas.* CIC. Phil. II, 38.

(b.) The Aorist and Imperfect of ὀφείλω (ὀφείλλω) are sometimes used with the Infinitive like *χρῆν, ἔδει, &c.*; as in II. I, 353, *τιμὴν πέρι μοι ὀφείλλεν Ὀλύμπιος ἐγγυαλίξαι Ζεὺς ὑψιβρεμέτης· νῦν δ' οὐδέ με τυτθὸν ἔτισεν*, i. e. *Zeus ought to have secured me honor; but now he has not honored me even a little.* From this comes the common use of this form in expressions of a wish; as *ὤφελε Κῦρος ζῆν*, *would that Cyrus were living* (lit. *Cyrus ought to be living*). This is an apodosis, implying as a protasis *if it were possible*, or something similar. See § 83, 2.

(c.) Similar to this is the occasional use of *ἐβουλόμην* (with-
 out ἄν) to express what some one *wishes were now true* (but which is not true). E. g.

Ἐβουλόμην μὲν οὖν καὶ τὴν βουλήν καὶ τὰς ἐκκλησίας ὁρθεῶς

διοικεῖσθαι καὶ τοὺς νόμους ἰσχύειν, *would that both the Senate and the assemblies were rightly managed, and that the laws were in force* (implying the opposite of ὀρθῶς διοικεῖσθαι and ἰσχύειν). This is analogous to ὥφελεν εἶναι, *would that it were*, and ἔδει εἶναι, *it ought to be (but is not)*. AESCHIN. Cor. § 2. Ἐβουλόμην μὲν οὐκ ἐρίζειν ἐνθάδε, *would that I were not contending here (as I am)*. ARIST. Ran. 866. See below, Rem. 2.

(d.) Κινδυνεύω is used with the Infinitive, as a periphrasis for the verb of the Infinitive with ἄν. E. g.

Ἡ πόλις ἐκινδύνευσε πᾶσα διαφθαρῆναι, εἰ ἄνεμος ἐπεγενετο, *the city was in danger of being utterly destroyed, if a wind had arisen*. THUC. III, 74. Εἰ μὴ ἐξεφύγομεν εἰς Δελφοὺς, ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν ἀπολέσθαι, *if we had not escaped to Delphi, we were in danger of perishing (or there was danger that we should perish)*. AESCHIN. Cor. § 123. (If the meaning had been that there would have been danger, we should have had ἐκινδυνεύσαμεν ἄν.)

(e.) The Imperfect of μέλλω is sometimes used to express a past intention or likelihood which was not realized. E. g.

Μέλλεν μὲν ποτε οἶκος ὄδ' ἀφνειὸς καὶ ἀμύμων ἔμμεναι· νῦν δ' ἐτέπως ἐβόλοντο θεοί, *this house was destined to be rich and faultless; but now the Gods have willed it otherwise*. Od. I, 232. Ἀλλὰ τὰ μὲν που μέλλεν ἀγάσσεσθαι θεὸς αὐτός, *but even a God might have grudged us this* (lit. *was likely to grudge us this*), *if we had obtained it*. Od. IV, 181. So ἔφην in Od. IV, 171: Καί μιν ἔφην ἐλθόντα φιλήσεμεν ἔξοχον ἄλλων Ἀργείων, εἰ νῶϊν ὑπεῖρ ἅλα νόστον ἔδωκεν (Ζεὺς), i. e. *I intended to love him (had Zeus allowed us to return)*. See DEM. F. L. 391, 11: οὐ συστρατεύσειν ἔμελλον, *they would not have joined him*. So in Latin: Hoc facturi erant, nisi venisset, *they were intending to do this (and would have done it)*, *had he not come*.

REMARK 1. It will be seen that in the construction of Note 3 a protasis is implied with the apodosis; ἔδει σε τοῦτον φιλεῖν being strictly equivalent to τοῦτον ἂν ἐφίλησας εἰ τὰ δέοντα ἐποίησας, *you would have loved him, if you had done what you ought, or simply you should have loved him*. (See § 52, 1.) This form therefore commonly stands as an apodosis with no other protasis expressed; and even if another is added (as in the first example under a), the implied protasis always remains the prominent one. Especially, this implied protasis expresses the condition, the non-fulfilment of which prevents the action of the apodosis from taking place. The whole expression χρῆν τοῦτο ποιεῖν, &c. thus becomes the apodosis to the expressed protasis, if one is added. In the third example under a (Hbr. I, 39), the real apodosis may be *you would then do from necessity what you now do* (implying that *now you do not do it from necessity*); or we may perhaps explain χρῆν better by Note 2.

In this construction the Infinitive (of course modified by the leading verb, as shown above) contains the main idea of the apodosis.

When the main idea is contained in the verb of *necessity*, &c., so that the non-fulfilment of the condition of the protasis affects this rather than the infinitive, we have *χρῆν ἄν, ἔδει ἄν, προσήκεν ἄν, &c.*, forming an ordinary apodosis (§ 49, 2). Thus *εἰ τὰ δέοντα οὗτοι συνεβούλευσαν, οὐδὲν ἄν ὑμᾶς νῦν ἔδει βουλευέσθαι*, *if these men had given the necessary advice, there would now be no need of your deliberating*, implies *but now there is need of your deliberating*. Occasionally both constructions can be used to express essentially the same apodosis: thus in LYS. in Erat. § 32, we find, *χρῆν δέ σε, εἴπερ ἦσθα χρηστός, τοῖς μέλλουσιν ἀδίκως ἀποθανείσθαι μηνυτὴν γενέσθαι*, *if you had been an honest man, you ought to have become an informer in behalf of those who were about to suffer death unjustly* (implying *but you did not do so, οὐκ ἐγένου μηνυτής*); but in § 48, referring to the same thing, the orator says, *εἴπερ ἦν ἀνὴρ ἀγαθός, ἐχρῆν ἄν πρῶτον μὲν μὴ παρανόμως ἄρχειν, ἔπειτα τῇ βουλῇ μηνυτὴν γενέσθαι*, κ.τ.λ., *if he had been a good man, it would have been his duty, &c.* (implying *οὐκ ἐχρῆν*). The latter construction, however, is very rare where the former would be admissible.

The distinction between *ἔδει σε τοῦτον φιλεῖν* and *ἔδει ἄν σε τοῦτον φιλεῖν* would be expressed in Latin by *te oportebat hunc amare* and *te oporteret hunc amare*.

REMARK 2. The greatest difficulty in understanding the forms explained in Note 3 is caused by the defect in the English verb *ought*, which makes it impossible to translate them accurately. Thus we translate *οὐκ ἔδει σε τοῦτο ποιῆσαι* (or *ποιεῖν*), *non oportuit te hoc facere, you ought not to have done this*, expressing the past time by the tense of the Infinitive, which we should express by the past tense of *ought* if there were one. (*You oughted not to do this* would represent the Greek and Latin idiom. The vulgar expression *you had n't ought* comes very near it.)

A further trouble appears when such phrases as *οὐκ ἔδει σε τοῦτο ποιεῖν* refer to present time, meaning *you ought not to be doing this (as you are)*. The Imperfect here refers to present time, as it does in the ordinary construction of § 49, 2. The Latin has the same idiom, *non oportebat te hoc facere*. But in English, owing to the defect in the verb *ought*, we are obliged to use the simple present; so that we cannot distinguish in translation between *ἔδει σε φιλεῖν*, *oportebat te amare*, and *δεῖ σε φιλεῖν*, *oportet te amare*, — both being expressed by *you ought to love*, although the former implies *but you do not love*, while the latter implies no condition.

It needs perhaps to be added, that the tenses of the infinitive here express no time of themselves, but are used in the ordinary constructions of § 15, 1, and § 23, 1. The equivalent Latin forms (*facere* representing both *ποιεῖν* and *ποιῆσαι*) will make this clear.

REMARK 3. It must not be understood that the Imperfects *ἐχρῆν, ἔδει, &c.* with the Infinitive are always used in the construction of Note 3. Thus *ἔδει δὲ μένειν*, in DEM. F. L. 379, 14, means simply *but he was obliged to remain (and did remain)*.

NOTE 4. (a.) In II. XXIII, 526 *κέ* is used with a secondary tense of the Indicative in protasis, apparently adding nothing to the sense. (See § 50, 2. N. 2, b.)

Εἰ δέ κ' ἔτι προτέρω γένετο δρόμος ἀμφοτέροισιν,
Τῷ κέν μιν παρέλασ' οὐδ' ἀμφήριστον ἔθηκεν.

(b.) When *ἄν* stands in the protasis with a secondary tense of the Indicative in Attic Greek, the expression is so obviously an apodosis at the same time, as to present no difficulty. *Ἄν* can never coalesce with *εἰ* to form *εἰάν* in these sentences, as it always belongs to the verb. E. g.

Εἰ τοίνυν τοῦτο ἰσχυρὸν ἦν ἂν τούτῳ τεκμήριον, κἀμοὶ γενέσθω τεκμήριον, κ.τ.λ., *if then this would have been a strong proof for him* (sc. *had he had it to bring forward*), *so let it be also a proof for me, &c.* DEM. Timoth. 1201, 19. (This sentence properly belongs to the class of § 49, 1; for the protasis really is *if it is true that this would have been a proof*, to which the apodosis in the Imperative corresponds.) In DEM. Cor. 260, 2, καὶ τίς οὐκ ἂν ἀπέκτεινέ με δικαίως, εἴ τι τῶν ὑπαρχόντων τῇ πόλει καλῶν λόγῳ μόνον κατασχύνειν ἐπεχείρησ' ἄν; — if we retain the final *ἄν* (which is strongly supported by Mss. authority), we must translate *εἰ ἐπεχείρησ' ἄν if it is true that I would (under any circumstances) have undertaken, &c., and not simply if I had undertaken (εἰ ἐπεχείρησα).* See § 50, 2, Note 2, a; and § 63, 2.

NOTE 5. In some cases the Aorist is found in the apodosis referring to *present* time, after a protasis in the Imperfect; it always denotes, however, a *momentary* or *sudden* occurrence, or some other idea which the Imperfect would not express so well. E. g.

Εἰ μὲν οὖν σύ με ἡρώτας τι τῶν νῦν δὴ, εἶπον ἂν, κ.τ.λ., *if then you were asking me any one of the questions before us, I should (at once) say, &c.* PLAT. Euthyph. 12 D. Εἰ ἐπεθύμεις ταύτης (τῆς σοφίας), καὶ ἐγὼ σε ἐτύγχανον ἀνερωτῶν, κ.τ.λ., τί ἂν μοι ἀπεκρίνω; *if you desired this kind of wisdom, and I happened to be asking you, &c., what should you reply?* [PLAT.] Theag. 123 B. See also PLAT. Prot. 313 A; Gorg. 447 D; Symp. 199 D.

NOTE 6. (a.) In a very few passages in Homer we find the Optative with *κέ* in the apodosis referring to the past, where we should expect a secondary tense of the Indicative. E. g.

Καὶ νύ κεν ἐνθ' ἀπόλοιτο ἄναξ ἀνδρῶν Αἰνείας, εἰ μὴ ἄρ' ὀξὺ νόησε Δῖος θυγάτηρ Ἀφροδίτη, *Aeneas would have perished, had not Aphrodite quickly perceived him.* II. V, 311. Καὶ νύ κεν ἐνθ' ἀπόλοιτο Ἄρης ἄτος πολέμοιο, εἰ μὴ Ἡερμιοία Ἑρμείᾳ ἐξήγγειλεν. II. V, 388. (In both these cases ἀπόλειτο would be the regular form, in Homeric as well as in Attic Greek.) So II. XVII, 70, ἐνθα κε φέροι is used for ἐνθα κ' ἔφερεν, *he would have carried.* So II. V, 85, Τυδείδην δ' οὐκ ἂν γυνοίης ποτέρουσι μετείη, *you would not have known to which army he belonged:* for the dependent Optative, see § 34, 3, Note.

(b.) The Imperfect Indicative is not used in Homer in the construction of § 49, 2 referring to present time. (See Note 1.) In a few cases where the Attic Greek would use that form, we find the present Optative in Homer. E. g.

Εἰ μὲν τις τὸν ὄνειρον Ἀχαιῶν ἄλλος ἔνισπε, ψευδὺς κεν φαίμεν, καὶ νοσφιζοίμεθα μᾶλλον, i. e. *if any other one had told it, we should call it a falsehood, and should rather turn away from it.* Il. II, 80. In Il. XXIII, 274, we find the Optative in both protasis and apodosis, where the Attic Greek would use the Imperfect Indicative: εἰ νῦν ἐπὶ ἄλλῳ ἀθλεύοιμεν, ἥ τ' ἂν ἐγὼ τὰ πρῶτα λαβὼν κλισίῃνδε φεροίμην, i. e. *if we were now contending in honor of another (than Patroclus), I should take the first prize and bear it to my tent.* The present Optative in Homer is used also in its regular sense, referring to the Future (See § 50, 2.) The constructions included in this note seem to be a relic of an ancient use of the Optative in conditional sentences like that of the secondary tenses of the Latin Subjunctive. (See Appendix I.) For the similar Homeric use of the Present Optative in expressions of a wish, see § 82, Rem. 2.

B. Future Conditions. *Anticipatory. J.*

54. 12.

§ 50. 1. When a supposed future case is stated distinctly and vividly (as in English, *if I shall go*), the *protasis* takes the *Subjunctive* with ἐάν, ἂν (*ā*), or ἥν (Epic εἴ κε or αἶ κε).

The *apodosis* denotes what *will be* the result, if the condition of the *Protasis* shall be fulfilled. It therefore takes the *Future Indicative*, or some other future form, like the Imperative. E. g.

Ἐάν τι λάβω, δώσω σοι, *if I (shall) receive anything, I will give it to you.* Ἐάν τι λάβῃς, δός μοι, *if you receive anything, give it to me.* Εἰ δέ κεν ὡς ἔρῃς καὶ τοι πεῖθωνται Ἀχαιοὶ, γνώσῃ ἔπειθ' ὅς θ' ἡγεμόνων κακὸς ὅς τέ νυ λαῶν, *but if you shall do thus and the Achaeans obey you, you will then learn both which of the leaders and which of the soldiers is bad.* Il. II, 364. (For εἴ κε see § 47, 2.) Αἶ κ' αὐτὸν γυνώω νημερτέα πάντ' ἐνέποντα, ἔσσω μιν χλαῖνάν τε χιτῶνά τε. εἴματα καλά. Od. XVII, 549. So αἶ κε δώσι, Il. I, 128. (See § 47, 1, Note.) Εἰ μὲν κεν Μενέλαον Ἀλέξανδρος καταπέφνη, αὐτὸς ἔπειθ' Ἐλένην ἐχέτω καὶ κτήματα πάντα, ἡμεῖς δ' ἐν νῆσσι νεώμεθα πομπόροισιν· εἰ δέ κ' Ἀλέξανδρον κτείνῃ ξανθὸς Μενέλαος, Τρώας ἔπειθ' Ἐλένην καὶ κτήματα πάντ' ἀποδοῦναι. Il. III, 281. Here ἐχέτω, νεώμεθα (Subj. in exhortation), and ἀποδοῦναι (Infin. for Imperative) are in the *apodosis*. Αἶ κα τῆνος ἔλῃ κεραὸν τράγον, αἶγα τὸ λαψῇ. THEOC. I, 4. *Ἄν δέ τις ἀνθιστήται, σὶν ὑμῖν πειρα-

σόμεθα χειροῦσθαι, *if any one shall stand opposed to us, we will try to overcome him.* XEN. AN. VII, 3, 11. **Ἄν μὴ νῦν ἐθέλωμεν ἐκεῖ πολεμεῖν αὐτῷ. ἐνθάδ' ἴσως ἀναγκασθῇ σόμεθα τοῦτο ποιεῖν, if we shall not now be willing to fight him there, we shall perhaps be forced to do so here.* DEM. Phil. I, 54, 20. Here νῦν refers to time immediately following the present: if Dem. had meant *if we are not now willing*, he would have said εἰ μὴ νῦν ἐθέλωμεν (§ 49, 1). **Ἦν γὰρ ταῦτα καλῶς ὀρίσώμεθα, ἤμεινον βουλευσόμεθα καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων.* ISOC. PAC. p. 162 D. § 18. **Ἦν δὲ τὴν εἰρήνην ποιησώμεθα, καὶ τοιούτους ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς παράσχωμεν, μετὰ πολλῆς ἀσφαλείας τὴν πόλιν οἰκήσομεν.* Ib. p. 163 A. § 20. **Ἐὰν οὖν ἴης νῦν, πότε ἔσει οἴκοι;* XEN. Cyr. V, 3, 27. *Καὶ χρῶ αὐτοῖς, ἐὰν δέη τι, and use them, if there shall be any need.* Ib. V, 4, 30. **Ἦν μὲν πόλεμον αἰρῆσθε, μηκέτι ἤκετε δεῦρο ἄνευ ὅπλων, εἰ σωφρονεῖτε· ἦν δὲ εἰρήνης δοκῆτε δεῖσθαι, ἄνευ ὅπλων ἤκετε· ὥς δὲ καλῶς ἔξει τὰ ὑμέτερα, ἦν φίλοι γένησθε, ἐμοὶ μελήσει.* Ib. III, 2, 13. **Ἐὰν γάρ τί σε φανῶ κακὸν πεποιηκῶς, ὁμολογῶ ἀδικεῖν· ἐὰν μέντοι μηδὲν φαίνωμαι κακὸν πεποιηκῶς μηδὲ βουλευθεῖς. οὐ καὶ σὺ αὖ ὁμολογήσεις μηδὲν ὑπ' ἐμοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι;* Ib. V, 5, 13. (Here ὁμολογῶ must be understood as referring to the future, like ὁμολογήσεις. § 10, 1, N. 7.) **Ἐὰν μὴ ἢ οἱ φιλόσοφοι βασιλεύσωσιν ἢ οἱ βασιλεῖς φιλοσοφήσωσιν, οὐκ ἔστι κακῶν παῦλα ταῖς πόλεσιν, unless either the philosophers shall become kings or the kings philosophers, there is no escape from troubles for states.* PLAT. Rep. V, 473 D. *Δίδωσ' ἐκὼν κτείνειν ἑαυτὸν, ἣν τάδε ψευσθῇ λέγων.* SOPH. Phil. 1342. **Ἐὰν μὴ ἡμῖν βεβοηθηκότες ὦσιν, οὐ δεῖ ἡμᾶς αὐτοῖς βοηθεῖν, if they shall not have assisted us, there is no need of our assisting them.* **Ἦν σε τοῦ λοιποῦ ποτ' ἀφέλωμαι χρόνου, κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην, i. e. may I perish, if I ever take you again.* ARIST. Ran. 586. (See § 34, 1.)

REMARK 1. It will be seen that the apodosis in this construction may take any form of the verb that refers to the *future*,—the Future Indicative, the Imperative, the Subjunctive in exhortations and prohibitions, the Infinitive in any future sense, or the Optative in *wishes*. It may also contain a Present Indicative including a reference to the future (like *χρή* or *δεῖ*) or a Present merely used emphatically for the Future, like *ὁμολογῶ* above quoted from XEN. Cyr. V, 5, 13, or *παῦλά ἐστι* from PLAT. Rep. 473 D.

REMARK 2. The English (especially the colloquial language) seldom expresses the important distinction between this form of protasis and that of § 49, 1. Thus modern usage allows us to use the inexact expression *if he wishes*, not merely for *εἰ βούλεται* (*if he now wishes*), but also for *ἐὰν βούληται* (*if he shall wish*). The sense, however, generally makes the distinction clear.

NOTE 1. The Future Indicative with *εἰ* is very often used in the *protasis* in the same sense as the Subjunctive with *ἐὰν*, sometimes alternating with it in the same sentence. This is

εἰ c. Fut. Ind. in disjunctives
Indicatives

merely a more vivid form of expression than the Subjunctive, both corresponding to the English *if I shall do this*, &c. E. g.

Εἰ γὰρ Ἀχιλλεύς οἶός ἐπὶ Τρώεσσι μαχεῖται, οὐδὲ μίνυνθ' ἔξουσι ποδώκεα Πηλείωνα. *if Achilles shall fight*, &c. Il. XX. 26. Εἰ δὲ σύ γ' ἐς πόλεμον πωλήσῃς, ἢ τέ σ' οἶώ ριγῆσειν πόλεμόν γε, καὶ εἴ χ' ἐτέρωθι πύθῃαι. Il. V. 350. Εἰ δὲ πρὸς τούτοις ἐτι τελευτήσῃ τὸν βίον εὖ, οὗτος ἐκείνος τὸν σὺ ζητεῖς δλβιος κεκλησθαι ἄξιός ἐστι. HDT. I, 32. (See Rem. 1.) Εἰ μὴ καθέξῃς γλῶσσαν, ἔσται σοι κακά. EUR. Aeg. Frag. 5. Εἰ δὲ μὴ τοῦτ' ἐπιδείξει, πῶς χρὴ ταύτῃ τῇ προκλήσει προσέχειν ὑμῖς τὸν νοῦν; DEM. Aph. I. 829, 28. Εἰ δ' ὑμεῖς ἄλλο τι γνώσεσθε, ὃ μὴ γένοιτο, τίνα οἴεσθε αἰτὴν ψυχὴν ἔχειν; DEM. Aph. II, 842, 15. (Referring to the same thing, p. 834, 24, Demosthenes had said ἂν γὰρ ἀποφύγῃ με οὗτος, ὃ μὴ γένοιτο, τὴν ἐπωβελίαν ὀφλήσω.) *Ἦν ἐθέλωμεν ἀποθνήσκειν ὑπὲρ τῶν δικαίων, εὐδοκίμησομεν· εἰ δὲ φοβησόμεθα τοὺς κινδύνους, εἰς πολλὰς ταραχὰς καταστήσομεν ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς. ISOC. Archid. p. 138 A. § 107.

This use of the Future must not be confounded with its less common use in *present* conditions, § 49, 1, N. 3, where it is not equivalent to the Subjunctive.

NOTE 2. In the Homeric language the following peculiarities appear in this construction:—

(a.) The Subjunctive with *κέ* is sometimes used in the *apodosis* instead of the Future Indicative, thus making the *apodosis* correspond in form to the *protasis*. E. g.

Εἰ δέ κε μὴ δώῃσιν, ἐγὼ δέ *κεν* αὐτὸς ἑλωμαι, *and if he do not give her up, I will take her myself*. Il. I, 324. (Compare I, 137.) This gives a form of sentence analogous to that in which the Optative is used in both *protasis* and *apodosis*. See § 87, Note. (For the use of *δέ* in *apodosis*, see below, § 57.)

(b.) *Ἦν* is the only contraction of *εἰ ἄν* found in Homer. The most common Homeric form is, however, *εἴ κε* (sometimes *εἰ δέ κε*). *Εἰ ἄν* is rarely found, as Il. III, 288.

(c.) *Εἴ κε* or *αἴ κε* is sometimes found even with the Future Indicative in Homer. E. g.

Αἴ *κεν* ἄνευ ἐμέθεν . . . Ἰλίου πεφιδήσεται, οὐδ' ἐθέλησε ἐκπέσαι, ἵστω τοῦτο. Il. XV, 213.

For *κέ* (and even *ἄν*) with the Future in *apodosis*, see § 37, 2.

(d.) The simple *εἰ* (without *ἄν* or *κέ*) is often used with the Subjunctive in Homer, apparently in the same sense as *εἴ κε* or the Attic *εἰάν*. E. g.

Εἰ δ' αὖ τις ραίῃσι θεῶν ἐνὶ οἴνοπι πόντῳ, τλήσομαι ἐν στήθεσιν ἔχων ταλαπενθεῖα θυμῶν. Od. V, 221. Οὐδὲ πόλινδε ἔρχομαι, εἰ μὴ πού τι περίφηρων Πηνελόπεια ἐλθέμεν ὀτρύνῃσιν. Od. XIV, 372.

NOTE 3. (a.) The Homeric use of the simple εἰ with the Subjunctive continues in lyric poetry, and is found in the chorus of the Attic drama, and even in some passages of the ordinary dialogue. E. g.

Εἰ γὰρ θάνῃς καὶ τελευτήσας ἀφ᾽ ἧς. SOPH. Aj. 496. Δυστάλαινα τὰρ' ἐγὼ, εἴ σου στερηθῶ. SOPH. O. C. 1442. Εἰ μὴ σ' ἐκφάγω ἐκ τῆσδε τῆς γῆς, οὐδέποτε βιώσομαι. ARIST. Eq. 698. Εἴ τις ἐὺ πάσχων λόγον ἐσλὸν ἀκούσῃ. PIND. Isth. IV, 16.

(b.) In Attic prose, this construction is extremely rare, and its existence is denied by many high authorities; if we follow the Mss., however, we must admit it in a few passages, as THUC. VI, 21: Οὐ ναυτικῆς στρατιᾶς μόνον δεῖ, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ πολὺν ξυμπλεῖν, ἄλλως τε καὶ εἰ ξυστῶσιν αἱ πόλεις φοβηθεῖσαι. (Here only a few of the worst Mss. read ἤν for εἰ.)

NOTE 4. For the change from the Subjunctive to the Optative after secondary tenses in indirect discourse, see § 74, 1.

2. When a supposed future case is stated less distinctly and vividly than it would be stated by the Subjunctive (as in English, *if I should go*), the protasis takes the Optative with εἰ.

The apodosis here denotes what *would* be the result if the condition of the protasis should be fulfilled, and takes the Optative with ἄν. E. g.

Εἰ ἔλθοι, πάντ' ἂν ἴδοι, *if he should go, he would see all*. Εἴ σ' οὕτως ἐθέλοι φιλέειν κήδοιτό τε θυμῷ, τῷ κέν τις κείνων γε καὶ ἐκκλεάθοιτο γάμοιο, *if she should be willing thus to love you, &c* Od. III, 223. Ἦ κεν γηθήσαι Πρίαμος Πριάμοιό τε παῖδες, ἄλλοι τε Τρῶες μέγα κεν κεχαροῖατο θυμῷ, εἰ σφῶν τάδε πάντα πνθοῖατο μαρναμένοι. Il. I, 255. (See § 47, 2.) Ἄλλ' εἴ μοί τι πίθοιο, τό κεν πολὺ κέρδιον εἴη. Il. VII, 28. Εἴης φορητὸς οὐκ ἂν, εἰ πράσσοις καλῶς. AESCH. Prom. 979. Εἰ δέ τις τοὺς κρατοῦντας τοῦ πλήθους ἐπ' ἀρετὴν προτρέψειεν, ἀμφοτέρους ἂν ὀνήσειε. ISOC. ad Niccol. p. 16 C. § 8. Εἴ τις τῶν σοι συνόντων ἐπαρθείη ποιεῖν ἃ σὺ τυγχάνεις εὐλογῶν, πῶς οὐκ ἂν ἀθλιώτατος εἴη; ISOC. Busir. p. 230 C. § 47. Οὐδὲ γὰρ ἂν Μήδοκός με ὁ βασιλεὺς ἐπαινοίη, εἰ ἐξελαύνοιμι τοὺς εὐεργέτας. XEN. An. VII, 7, 11. Εἰ μὴ δυνατόν ὑπ' αὐτῶν εἶη σωθῆναι, ἀποκτείναιμ' ἂν ἑμαυτόν. DEM. Eubul. 1320, 25. Οὐδ' εἰ πάντες ἔλθοιεν Πέρσαι, πλήθει γε οὐχ ὑπερβαλοίμεθ' ἂν τοὺς πολεμίους. XEN. Cyr. II, 1, 8. Οὐ πολλὴ ἂν ἀλογία εἴη, εἰ φοβοῖτο τὸν θάνατον ὁ τοιοῦτος; PLAT. Phaed. 68 B. Οἶκος δ' αὐτὸς, εἰ φθογγὴν λάβοι, σαφέστατ' ἂν λέξειεν. AESCH. Ag. 37. Πῶς οὖν οἶκ' ἂν οἰκτρότατα πάντων ἐγὼ πεπονθῶς

εἴην, εἰ ἐμὲ ψηφίσαιντο εἶναι ξένον; *how then should I not have suffered* (lit. *be in the condition of having suffered*) *the most pitiable of all things, if they should vote me a foreigner?* DEM. *Eubul.* 1312, 17. (See § 18, 1, and examples of the Perfect Optative there quoted.)

REMARK. (a.) This form of the conditional sentence must be especially distinguished from that of § 49, 2; the more so, as we often translate both εἴη ἄν and ἦν ἄν by the same English expression, *it would be*; although the latter implies that the supposition of the protasis is a *false* one, while the former implies *no opinion* of the speaker as to the truth of the supposition.

(b.) On the other hand, the distinction between this form and that of § 50, 1 is less marked, and it is often of slight importance which of the two is used in a particular case. Thus it is often nearly indifferent in English whether we say *if we shall go* (or *if we go*), *it will be well*, or *if we should go*, *it would be well*; in Greek, the former is εἰ ἂν ἔλθωμεν, καλῶς ἔξει, and the latter is εἰ ἔλθοιμεν, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι. (See § 48, I, B, Rem. 2.) In writing Greek, this distinction can generally be made, by first observing the form of the *apodosis* in English; if that is expressed by *would*, it should be translated by the Greek Optative with ἄν; if it is expressed by *will*, it should be translated by the Future Indicative. (Other forms of the *apodosis*, as the Imperative, will present no difficulty.) The form to be used in the *protasis* will then appear from the rules for dependence of Moods (§ 32 and § 34); the Optative will require another Optative with εἰ in the dependent protasis (i. e. the form of § 50, 2, εἰ ἔλθοιμεν, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι); while the future Indicative or any other *primary* form will require a Subjunctive with εἰ ἂν, or a Future Indicative with εἰ (i. e. the form of § 50, 1, εἰ ἂν ἔλθωμεν, καλῶς ἔξει, or εἰ ἐλευσόμεθα, καλῶς ἔξει).

In indirect discourse we often find an Optative in protasis, which merely represents the same tense of the Subjunctive or Indicative in the direct discourse. See § 69, 1; § 74, 1; and § 77.

NOTE 1. Cases of the omission of ἄν in an *apodosis* of this class are rare; they occur chiefly in Homer, less frequently in the Attic poets (even then chiefly in questions, and after such expressions as οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως), and seldom or never in Attic prose where the text is beyond suspicion on other grounds. E. g.

Ὁ δὲ χερμάδιον λάβε χειρὶ Τυδείδης, μέγα ἔργον, δ' οὐ δύο γ' ἄνδρες φέροιεν, *which two men could not lift (if they should try)*. IL. V, 303. (See § 52, 2.) Τέαν, Ζεῦ, δύνασιν τίς ἀνδρῶν ὑπερβασία κατὰ σχοι; SOPH. *Ant.* 605. Ἄλλ' ὑπέρτολμον ἀνδρὸς φρόνημα τίς λέγοι; AESCH. *Choeph.* 594. Ἔστ' οὖν ὅπως Ἀλκηστis ἐς γῆρας μύλοι; EUR. *Alc.* 52. Οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως λέξαιμι τὰ ψευδῆ καλά. AESCH. *Ag.* 620. Οὐκ ἔστιν ὅτ' αὖ μείζονα μοῖραν νείμαιμι ἢ σοί. AESCH. *Prom.* 292. Πῶς οὖν τάδ', ὥς εἴποι τις, ἐξημάρτανες; i. e. *as one might say*. EUR. *Andr.* 929. Ὡσπερ εἴποι τις τόπος, *as one would say τόπος*. (?) ARIST. *Av.* 180.

NOTE 2. (a.) The adverb *ἂν* is sometimes used with the Optative in the *protasis*, but only when the protasis is itself at the same time an *apodosis*, with another protasis expressed or implied. This is, of course, no exception to the general rule (§ 39); and it is to be noticed that the *ἂν* in this case always belongs strictly to the verb, and never joins the *εἰ* to form *εἰάν*. E. g.

Οὔτοι παντελῶς, οὐδ' εἰ μὴ ποιήσaiτ' ἂν τοῦτο, εὐκαταφρόνητόν ἐστιν, *it is not wholly to be despised, even if you would not do this (if an opportunity should occur)*. DEM. Phil. I, 44, 30. Καὶ ἐγὼ, εἴπερ ἄλλῳ τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ πειθοίμην ἂν, καὶ σοὶ πείθομαι, *if I would trust any other man (if he should give me his word), I trust you*. PLAT. Prot. 329 B. Εἴ γε μηδὲ δούλον ἀκρατῇ δεξαίμεθ' ἂν, πῶς οὐκ ἄξιον αὐτὸν γε φυλάσασθαι τοιοῦτον γενέσθαι; *if we would not take even a slave who was intemperate (sc. if one should be offered)*, &c. XEN. Mem. I, 5, 3. (Such conditional sentences as the three preceding belong properly under § 49, 1. Compare the last example under § 54, Rem.) See § 49, 2, N. 4, b.

So occasionally in Homer; as Il. V, 273, εἰ τοῦτω κε λάβοιμεν, ἀροίμεθά κε κλέος ἐσθλόν, *if we could (in any case) obtain these, we should gain great glory*; and Il. I, 60, Εἴ κεν θάνατόν γε φύγοιμεν, *if we would escape death* (where εἰ θάνατον φύγοιμεν would mean *if we should ever escape death*).

(b.) Commonly, however, when εἴ κε occurs in Homer, *κέ* belongs to the *εἰ*, and no force of an *apodosis* is perceptible. Here, as in final clauses (§ 44, 1, N. 3, a), the *κέ* adds nothing to the sense that can be expressed in English. E. g.

Πῶς ἂν ἐγὼ δέοιμι μετ' ἀθανάτοισι θεοῖσιν, εἴ κεν Ἄρης οἴχοιτο χρέος καὶ δεσμόν ἀλύξας. Od. VIII, 352. Τῶν κέν τοι χάρισαιτο πατὴρ ἀπερείσι' ἄποινα, εἴ κεν ἐμέ ζῶν πεπύθοιτ' ἐπὶ νηυσὶν Ἀχαιῶν. Il. VI, 49.

But if the *κέ* is separated from the *εἰ* (except by *μέν*, *δέ*, *τέ*, *γάρ*, &c.), or if the sense shows clearly that it belongs to the verb, it is the sign of an *apodosis*, as in the Homeric examples under (a). See § 49, 2, N. 4, a.

NOTE 3. It follows from § 26, that the *Future Optative* cannot be used in *protasis* or *apodosis*, except in indirect discourse to represent a *Future Indicative* of the direct discourse.

NOTE 4. For a rare Homeric use of the Optative for the Imperfect or Aorist Indicative, see § 49, 2, N. 6.

II. Present and Past General Suppositions.

§ 51. A present or past supposition is said to be *general*, when the *protasis* refers *indefinitely* to *any one* of a series or class of acts, and not to a definite act or

a definite series of acts. The apodosis must express a *customary* or *repeated* action or a *general truth*.

Here the *protasis* takes the Subjunctive with *ἐάν* after primary tenses, and the Optative with *εἰ* after secondary tenses. The *apodosis* may take the Present or Imperfect Indicative, or any other form which implies repetition. E. g.

"Ὦν ποτε δασμὸς ἴκηται, σοὶ τὸ γέρας πολὺ μείζον (sc. ἐστίν), *if ever a division comes, your prize is always much greater*. II. I, 166. "Ὦν ἐγγὺς ἔλθῃ θάνατος, οἷδεῖς βούλεται θνήσκειν, *if (or when) death comes near, no one is (ever) willing to die*. EUR. Alc. 671. "Ἄπας λόγος, ἂν ἀπῇ τὰ πράγματα, μάταιόν τι φαίνεται καὶ κενόν, *all speech, if deeds are wanting, appears mere emptiness and vanity*. DEM. Ol. II, 21, 20. Διατελεῖ μισῶν, οὐκ ἦν τίς τι αὐτὸν ἀδικῇ, ἀλλ' ἐάν τινα ὑποπτεύσῃ βελτίονα ἑαυτοῦ εἶναι, *he continues to hate, not if any one wrongs him, but if he ever suspects that any one is better than himself*. XEN. Cyr. V, 4, 35. Εἰλαβού τὰς διαβολὰς, καὶ ψευδεῖς ὥσιν, *beware of slanders, even when they are false*. ISOC. Demon. p. 5 C. § 17.

Εἰ δέ τις θορυβούμενος αἰσθοίτο, τὸ αἴτιον τούτου σκοπῶν κατασβεννύναι τὴν ταραχὴν ἐπειράτο, *whenever he saw any making a disturbance, he always tried, &c.* XEN. Cyr. V, 3, 55. Οὐκ ἀπελείπετο ἔτι αὐτοῦ, εἰ μὴ τι ἀναγκαῖον εἴη, *he never left him, unless there was some necessity for it*. XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 40. Εἴ τις ἀντείποι, εὐθὺς τεθνήκει, *if any one refused, he was immediately put to death*. THUC. VIII, 66. "Ὦν τοῖς μὲν ὀφθαλμοῖς ἐπικόρημα τῆς χιῶνος, εἴ τις μέλαν τι ἔχων πρὸ τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν πορεύοιτο, τῶν δὲ ποδῶν εἴ τις κινεοῖτο. XEN. An. IV, 5, 13. "Ἄλλ' εἴ τι μὴ φέροιμεν, ὥτρυνεν φέρειν. EUR. Alc. 771. "Ἐπειδὴ δὲ εἶδον αὐτὸν τάχιστα, συλλαβόντες ἄγουσιν ἀντικρυς ὡς ἀποκτενοῦντες, οὐπερ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἀπέσφαττον, *if any had been in the habit of killing any others whom they took*. LYS. Agor. p. 137, § 78.

The Optative in these examples, referring to past time, must be especially distinguished from the Optative in ordinary protasis (§ 50, 2), referring to the future. Εἰ and ἐάν in this construction are almost equivalent to ὅτε or ὅταν (which are the more common expressions), and the protasis has precisely the same construction as the relative sentences of § 62.

The *Present* and *Aorist* Subjunctive and Optative here do not differ except as explained in Remark before § 12.

REMARK. The *gnomic Aorist*, and the other *gnomic* and *iterative* tenses of § 30, can be used in the apodosis of these general propositions. The *gnomic Aorist*, as usual, is considered a *primary* tense (§ 32, 2). E. g.

**Ἦν σφαλῶσιν, ἀντελπίσαντες ἄλλα ἐπλήρωσαν τὴν χρεῖαν, if they fail, they always supply the deficiency, &c.* THUC. I, 70. **Ἦν δέ τις τούτων τι παραβαίνῃ, ζημίαν αὐτοῖς ἐπέθεσαν, they (always) impose a penalty upon every one who transgresses.* XEN. Cyr. I, 2, 2. *Εἴ τις ἰδοιέν πῃ τοὺς σφετέρους ἐπικρατοῦντας, ἀνεθάρσυσαν ἄν, whenever any saw their friends in any way victorious, they would be encouraged (i. e. they were encouraged in all such cases).* THUC. VII, 71. (See § 30, 2, and XEN. Mem. IV, 6, 13, there quoted.)

NOTE 1. The Optative in this construction is not found in Homer, although it is very common in the equivalent relative sentences (§ 62).

NOTE 2. Here, as in ordinary protasis, the poets sometimes use the simple *εἰ* with the Subjunctive instead of *εἰάν*. (See § 50, 1, N. 3.) E. g.

*Ἐπερ γάρ τε χόλον γε καὶ αὐτῆμαρ καταπέψῃ,
'Αλλά γε καὶ μετόπισθεν ἔχει κότον, ὄφρα τελέσῃ.* II. I, 81.

Εἰ δὲ φύγῃ μὲν κῆρα ταηλεγέος θανάτοιο,

Νικήσας δ' αἰχμῆς ἀγλαὸν εὖχος ἔλῃ,

Πάντες μιν τιμῶσιν ὁμῶς νέοι ἡδὲ παλαιοί,

Πολλὰ δὲ τερπνὰ παθὼν ἔρχεται εἰς Αἶδην. TYRT. XII, 35.

'Αλλ' ἄνδρα, κεῖ τις ἦ σοφός, τὸ μανθάνειν

Πόλλ' αἰσχρὸν οὐδὲν καὶ τὸ μὴ τείνειν ἄγαν. SOPH. Ant. 710.

NOTE 3. The Indicative is sometimes found in the place of the Subjunctive or Optative in a *general* protasis of this kind. Here the speaker merely refers to one of the many cases in which the event may occur, as if it were the only case, — that is, he states the supposition as if it were *particular*, and not *general*. E. g.

Εἴ τις δύο ἢ καὶ πλείους τις ἡμέρας λογίζεται, μάταιός ἐστιν, if any one counts upon two or even more days, he is a fool. SOPH. Trach. 914. *'Ελευθέρως πολιτεύομεν, οὐδὲ ὀργῆς τὸν πέλας, εἰ καθ' ἡδονὴν τι δρᾷ, ἔχοντες, i. e. not (having a habit of) being angry with our neighbor, if he acts in any case as he pleases.* THUC. II, 37. (Here the Indicative *δρᾷ* is used as if some particular act of some one neighbor, and not any act of any neighbor, were in the speaker's mind.) *Εἴ τις τι ἐπηρώτα, ἀπεκρίνοντο, if any one asked anything, they replied (to all such).* THUC. VII, 10. *'Εμίσει οὐκ εἴ τις κακῶς πάσχων ἡμύνετο, ἀλλ' εἴ τις εὐεργετούμενος ἀχάριστος φαίνοιτο.* XEN. Ages. XI, 3. (Here, without any apparent reason, the writer changes from the Indicative to the Optative.) See § 62. N. 1.

This use of the Indicative is exceptional in Greek, but it is the regular construction in Latin and English. See § 48, II Rem. 2.

Ellipsis and Substitution in Protasis or Apodosis.

§ 52. 1. Very often the protasis is not expressed in its regular form with *εἰ* or *ἐάν*, but is either *implied* in something that precedes or follows, or *expressed* in a participle, a preposition with its case, an adverb like *οὕτως*, or some other part of the sentence.

When a participle takes the place of a protasis, it is always in the same *tense* in which the finite verb which it represents would itself have stood after *εἰ* or *ἐάν*, in the Indicative, Subjunctive, or Optative. (See § 109, 6.) The Present participle stands for both Present and Imperfect, and the Perfect for both Perfect and Pluperfect. (See § 16, 2; § 18, 3, Rem.) E. g.

Οὐτε ἐσθίουσι πλείω ἢ δύνανται φέρειν, διαρραγεῖεν γὰρ ἂν· οὐτ' ἀμφιέννυνται πλείω ἢ δύνανται φέρειν, ἀποπνιγεῖεν γὰρ ἂν, *they do not eat more than they can bear, for (if they should) they would burst, &c.* XEN. Cyr. VIII, 2, 21. Αὐτοὶ ἂν ἐπορεύθησαν ἢ οἱ ἄλλοι· τὰ δ' ὑποζύγια οὐκ ἦν ἄλλη ἢ ταύτῃ ἐκβῆναι, *they would have gone themselves where the others went; but the animals could not go otherwise than as they did.* XEN. An. IV, 2, 10. So ἦ γὰρ ἂν λωβήσαιτο, II. I. 232.

Τοῦτο ποιῶντες εὖ πράξουσιν (i. e. ἐὰν ποιῶσιν), *if they shall do this (habitually), they will prosper.* Τοῦτο ποιήσαντες εὖ πράξουσιν (i. e. ἐὰν ποιήσωσιν), *if they shall (once) do this, they will prosper.* Τοῦτο ποιούντες εὖ ἂν πράττοιεν (i. e. εἰ ποιοῖεν), *if they should do this (habitually), they would prosper.* Τοῦτο ποιήσαντες εὖ ἂν πράττοιεν (i. e. εἰ ποιήσαιεν), *if they should (once) do this, they would prosper.* Τοῦτο ποιῶντες εὖ ἂν ἔπραττον (i. e. εἰ ἐποίουν), *if they were doing this (or if they had been doing this), they would be in prosperity.* Τοῦτο ποιήσαντες εὖ ἂν ἔπραττον (i. e. εἰ ἐποίησαν), *if they had done this, they would be in prosperity.*

Πῶς δῆτα δίκης οὐσης ὁ Ζεὺς οὐκ ἀπόλωλεν τὸν πατέρ' αὐτοῦ δήσας; i. e. *how is it that Zeus has not been destroyed, if Justice exists?* ARIST. Nub. 904. (Here δίκης οὐσης represents εἰ δίκη ἐστίν.) Ἄλλ' εἰσόμεσθα δόμονς παρὰ στεῖχοντες (i. e. ἐὰν παραστείχωμεν), *but we shall know, if we shall enter the house* SOPH. Ant. 1255. Σὺ δὲ κλύων εἴσει τάχα (i. e. ἐὰν κλύῃς), *but you will soon know, if you listen.* ARIST. Av. 1375. So μὴ μαθὼν, *unless I learn, for ἐὰν μὴ μάθω, Nub. 792. Καί κεν τοῦτ' ἐθέλοιμι Διὸς γε διδόντος ἀρέσθαι, and this I should like to obtain, if Zeus would only give it.* OL. I, 390. (Here Διὸς διδόντος = εἰ Ζεὺς διδοίη) Τοιαυτὰ τὰν γυναιξὶ συνναίωv ἔχοις (i. e. εἰ συνναίωις), *such things would you suffer, if you should*

live with women. AESCH. Sept. 195. Οὐδ' ἂν σιωπήσαιμι τὴν αἶτην ὁρῶν στείχουσιν ἀστοῖς (i. e. εἰ ὁρῶμι). SOPH. Ant. 185. Ἀθηναίων δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τοῦτο παθόντων, διπλάσιαν ἂν τὴν δύναμιν εἰκάζεσθαι (οἶμαι), but if the Athenians should ever suffer this (παθόντων = εἰ πάθοιεν), I think it would be inferred that their power was twice as great. THUC. I, 10. (Here nothing but the context shows that παθόντων does not represent εἰ ἔπαθον, if they had ever suffered.) Μαρμῶν δ' ἂν αἰτήσαντος ἡκόν σοι φέρων ἂν ἄρτον, and if you ever asked for something to eat, I used to come bringing you bread. ARIST. Nub. 1383. (Here αἰτήσαντος represents εἰ αἰτίσειας in a general supposition, § 51. For ἡκόν ἂν see § 30, 2, and § 42, 3.) Πρὶν γενέσθαι ἠπίστησεν ἂν τις ἀκούσας (i. e. εἰ ἤκουσεν), before it happened, any one would have disbelieved such a thing, if he had heard it. THUC. VII, 28. Οὐ γὰρ ἂν μεταπίθειν ὑμᾶς ἐξήτει μὴ τοιαύτης οὔσης τῆς ὑπαρχούσης ὑπολήψεως, for he would not be seeking to change your minds, if such were not the prevailing opinion (i. e. εἰ μὴ τοιαύτη ἦν). DEM. Cor. 304, 1. Μὴ κατηγορήσαντος Αἰσχίνου μηδὲν ἔξω τῆς γραφῆς οὐδ' ἂν ἐγὼ λόγον οὐδένα ἐπιούμην ἕτερον (i. e. εἰ μὴ κατηγορήσεν). Ib. 236, 28. Τὰ αὐτὰ ἂν ἔπραξε καὶ πρώτη λαχοῦσα (i. e. εἰ πρώτη ἔλαχεν), it (the soul) would have done the same, even if it had been the first to draw the lot. PLAT. Rep. X. 620 D. So THUC. VII, 13, 1.

Τὸ μὲν ἐπ' ἐκείνῳ πολλάκις ἂν διελύθησαν, if it had depended on him, they often would have been disbanded. ISOC. Pan. p. 70 B. § 112. Διὰ γὰρ ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς πάλαι ἂν ἀπολώλειτε, if it had depended on yourselves, you would long ago have been ruined. DEM. Cor. 242, 10. (So καθ' ὑμᾶς.) Πάλαι γὰρ ἂν ἔνεκά γε ψηφισμάτων ἐδεδώκει δίκην, for, if decrees were of any avail, he would long ago have suffered punishment. DEM. Ol. III, 32, 16. (Here the protasis is implied in ἔνεκα ψηφισμάτων.) Οὕτω γὰρ οὐκέτι τοῦ λοιποῦ πάσχομεν ἂν κακῶς, for in that case we should no longer suffer. DEM. Phil. I, 44, 12. So ὡς οὕτω περιγεγόμενος ἂν, XEN. An. I, 1, 10. Οὐδ' ἂν δικαίως ἐς κακὸν πέσοιμί τι. SOPH. Ant. 240.

In these cases the form of the apodosis will generally show what form of protasis is implied. When the apodosis is itself expressed by an Infinitive or Participle (§ 53), as in THUC. I, 10, the form of the protasis is shown only by the general sense of the passage.

REMARK. The Future participle is not used in protasis to represent the Future Indicative, as it would denote time future relatively to the time of the apodosis (§ 28), which the Future Indicative in protasis does not do. The Present and Aorist participles, representing the Present and Aorist Subjunctive, express future conditions, thus making the Future participle unnecessary. The Aorist participle in protasis can always represent an Aorist Subjunctive in the sense explained § 20, N. 1.

NOTE 1. An ellipsis of the verb of the protasis takes place in the Homeric εἰ δ' ἄγε, for εἰ δὲ βούλει, ἄγε. and in such expressions as εἰ μὴ διὰ τοῦτο, had it not been for this. E. g.

Εἰ δ' ἄγε, τοι κεφαλῇ καταεύσομαι. Π. Ι, 524. Εἰ δ' ἄγε μὴν, πείρησαι. ἵνα γνῶσι καὶ οἶδε, *but if you wish, come now, try it.* Π. Ι, 302. Καὶ εἰ μὴ διὰ τὸν πρύτανιν, ἐνέπεσεν ἄν, *and, had it not been for the Prytanis, he would have been thrown in.* PLAT. Gorg. 516 E. (Compare διὰ γε ὑμᾶς, DEM. Cor. 242, 10, quoted § 52, 1.) Οὐ γὰρ ὥς εἰ μὴ διὰ Λακεδαιμονίους, οὐδ' ὥς εἰ μὴ Πρύξενον οἷχ' ὑπεδέξαντο, οὐδ' ὥς εἰ μὴ δι' Ἑγήσιππον, οὐδ' ὥς εἰ μὴ διὰ τὸ καὶ τὸ, ἐσώθησαν ἄν οἱ Φωκεῖς, οὐχ οὕτω τότε ἀπήγγειλεν, *for he did not then report that, if it had not been for the Lacedaemonians, — or if they had not refused to receive Proxenus, — or if it had not been for Hegesippus, — or if it had not been for this and that, — the Phocians would have been saved.* DEM. F. L. 364, 12. So εἰ μὴ κρεμάσας, *had I not done it by hanging up, &c.* ARIST. Nub. 229. So in alternatives: see Note 2. (Cf. § 53, Note 1.)

NOTE 2. In *alternatives*, εἰ δὲ μὴ, *otherwise*, regularly introduces the latter clause, even when the former clause is negative. Εἰ δὲ μὴ is much more common than εἰάν δὲ μὴ, even when εἰάν μὲν with the Subjunctive precedes. E. g.

Πρὸς ταῦτα μὴ τύπτ'· εἰ δὲ μὴ, σαυτὸν ποτ' αἰτιάσει, *therefore do not beat me; but if you do, you will have yourself to blame for it.* ARIST. Nub. 1433. Πόλεμον οὐκ εἶων ποιεῖν· εἰ δὲ μὴ, καὶ αὐτοὶ ἀναγκασθήσεσθαι ἔφασαν φίλους ποιεῖσθαι οὓς οὐ βούλονται, *they said that otherwise (εἰ δὲ μὴ) they should be obliged, &c.* THUC. I, 28. Εἶπον (Παυσανίᾳ) τοῦ κήρυκος μὴ λείπεσθαι· εἰ δὲ μὴ, πόλεμον αἰτῶ Σπαρτιάτας προαγορεύειν, *they ordered him not to be left behind by the herald: and if he should be (εἰ δὲ μὴ), (they told him) that the Spartans declared war against him.* Id. I, 131. Ἐὰν μὲν τι ὑμῖν δοκῶ λέγειν ἀληθές, ξυνομολογήσατε· εἰ δὲ μὴ, παντὶ λόγῳ ἀντιτείνετε. PLAT. Phaed. 91 C. So in DEM. Phil. III, p. 129, 14, εἰάν μὲν πείσητε, . . . εἰ δὲ μὴ, κ. τ. λ.

2. The protasis is often altogether suppressed, leaving only an Optative with ἄν or an Indicative with ἄν as an apodosis. Here some indefinite or general protasis is always implied; as *if he pleased, if he could, if an opportunity should offer, if it were necessary, if it were true, if we should consider, if what is natural should happen, &c.* E. g.

Ἴσως ἄν οὖν τις ἐπιτιμήσειε τοῖς εἰρημένοις, *perhaps some one might (if he pleased) find fault with what has been said.* ISOC. Arcop. p. 146 E. § 36. Τῷ οὐκ ἄν βασιλῆας ἀνὰ στόμ' ἔχων ἀγορεύοις, *therefore you should not take kings upon your tongue and talk (i. e. you would not, if you should do as you ought).* Π. II, 250. Τοῦτο οὐτ' ἄν οὗτος ἔχοι λέγειν οὐδ' ὑμεῖς πεισθείητε, *neither would he be*

able to say this (if he should try), nor would you believe it. DEM. Andr. 598, 20. 'Ἡδέως δ' ἂν ἔγωγ' ἐροίμην Λεπτίνην, but I would gladly ask Leptines (if an opportunity should offer). Id. Lept. 496, 8. Δειξάτω ὥς οἱ Θετταλοὶ νῦν οὐκ ἂν ἐλευθεροὶ γένοιντο ἄσμενοι. let him show that they would not now gladly become free (if they could). Id. Ol. II, 20, 18. Βασιλεία οἰκοδομεῖν ἤρχετο, ὥς ἂν ἱκανὰ ἀπομάχῃσθαι εἴη, so that it might be strong enough to fight from (if it should be necessary). XEN. Cyr. III, 1, 1.

Οὐ γὰρ ἦν ὅ τι ἂν ἐποιεῖτε, for there was nothing that you could have done (if you had tried). DEM. Cor. 210, 15. Ποίων δ' ἂν ἔργων ἢ πόνων ἢ κινδύνων ἀπέστησαν; and from what acts, &c., would they have shrunk (i. e. if they had been required)? ISOC. Pan. p. 57 C. § 83. Πολλοὺ γὰρ ἂν ἦν ἄξια, for they would be worth much (if that were true). PLAT. Rep. II, 374 D. So βουλόμην ἂν (velim), I could wish (in a certain future case); ἐβουλόμην ἂν (vellem), I should now wish (on a certain condition, not fulfilled).

NOTE. The Optative with ἂν, used in this way, often has the force of a mild command or exhortation, and sometimes a sense approaching that of the Future Indicative. E. g.

Λέγοις ἂν, you may speak (lit. you could speak, if you should desire it), implying εἰ βούλοιο. Σὺ μὲν κομίζοις ἂν σεαυτὸν ἢ θέλεις, you may take yourself off whither you please. SOPH. Antig. 444. (This is merely a milder expression than κόμιζε.) Κλύοις ἂν ἤδη, Φοῖβε προστατήριε, i. e. hear now. Id. El. 637. Χωροῖς ἂν εἴσω. Id. Phil. 674. So Antig. 1339. Ποῖ οὖν, ἔφην ἐγώ, τραποίμεθ' ἂν ἔτι; in what other direction then, said I, shall we turn (lit. should we turn, if we should wish)? PLAT. Enthyd. 290 A. Οὐκ ἂν μεθεῖμήν τοῦ θρόνου, I will not give up the throne. ARIST. Ran. 830.

REMARK. In such examples as IIPT. I, 2, 'Ελλήνων τινὰς φασὶ ἀρπάσαι Εὐρώπην· εἴησαν δ' ἂν οἵτοι Κρήτες, there is no exceptional use of the Optative with ἂν referring to the past; but the meaning is these would prove to be Cretans (if we should examine the case). So αὗται δὲ οὐκ ἂν πολλαὶ εἴησαν, these would not prove (on investigation) to have been many. THUC. I, 9.

§ 53. The apodosis may be expressed by an Infinitive or Participle, where the construction of the sentence requires it; each tense of the Infinitive or Participle representing its own tenses of the Indicative or Optative. (The Present includes also the Imperfect, and the Perfect also the Pluperfect.)

If a finite verb in the apodosis would have taken ἂν, that particle is joined with the Infinitive or Participle. The Present Infinitive or Participle with ἂν represents

either an *Imperfect Indicative* with ἄν, or a *Present Optative* with ᾗν; the *Perfect*, either a *Pluperfect Indicative* or a *Perfect Optative*; and the *Aorist*, either an *Aorist Indicative* or an *Aorist Optative*. (See § 41.) The context must decide in each case, whether an Infinitive or Participle with ἄν represents the Indicative or the Optative. E. g.

Ἑγοῦμαι, εἰ τοῦτο ποιεῖτε, πάντα καλῶς ἔχειν, *I believe that, if you are doing this, all is well.* Ἑγοῦμαι, εἰ τοῦτο ποιῆτε, πάντα καλῶς ἔξειν, *I believe that, if you (shall) do this, all will be well.* Ἑγοῦμαι, εἰ τοῦτο ποιοῖτε, πάντα καλῶς ἂν ἔχειν, *I believe that, if you should do this, all would be well.* Ἑγοῦμαι, εἰ τοῦτο ἐποίησατε, πάντα καλῶς ἂν ἔχειν, *I believe that, if you had done this, all would (now) be well.* Οἶδα ὑμᾶς, εἰ τοῦτο ποιῆτε, εἰ πράξοντα^ς, *I know that, if you do this, you will prosper.* Σκέμματα τῶν ῥαδίως ἀποκτινύντων καὶ ἀναβιωσκομένων γ' ἂν, εἰ οἳοί τε ἦσαν, *considerations for those who readily put men to death, and who would bring them to life again too, if they could.* PLAT. Crit. 48 C. (ἀναβιωσκομένων ἂν = ἀνεβιώσκοντο ἂν.) See the examples of each tense of the Infinitive and Participle with ἄν, under § 41 and § 73.

Πῶς γὰρ οἴεσθε δυσχερῶς ἀκούειν Ὀλυνθίους, εἴ τίς τι λέγοι κατὰ Φιλίππου κατ' ἐκείνους τοὺς χρόνους; *how unwillingly do you think they heard it, if any one said anything against Philip in those times?* DEM. Phil. II, 70, 25. (Here ἀκούειν represents the Imperfect ἤκουον, § 15, 3.) For an example of the Perfect Infinitive with ἄν, representing the Pluperfect, see § 41, 2.

NOTE 1. The apodosis is sometimes omitted for effect, when some such expression as *it is well* can be supplied, or some other apodosis at once occurs to the reader. E. g.

Ἄλλ' εἰ μὲν δώσουσι γέρας μεγάθυμοι Ἀχαιοὶ, ἄρσαντες κατὰ θυμόν, ὅπως ἀντάξιον ἔσται, — εἰ δέ κε μὴ δώωσιν, ἐγὼ δέ κεν αὐτὸς ἔλωμαι. II. I. 135. (Here we must understand εὖ ἔξει, *it will be well*, or something similar, after ἔσται.) Εἰ περ γὰρ κ' ἐθέλησιν Ὀλύμπιος ἀστεροπητῆς ἐξ ἐδάων στυφελίξαι. — ὁ γὰρ πολὺ φέρτατός ἐστιν. II. I. 580. (Here we must understand *he can do it* after the protasis. The following γάρ refers to this suppressed apodosis.) Εἰ μὲν ἐγὼ ὑμᾶς ἱκανῶς διδάσκω οἷους δεῖ πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἶναι. — εἰ δέ μή, καὶ παρὰ τῶν προγεγενημένων μανθάνετε. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 7, 23. Compare AESCH. Prom. 835.

NOTE 2. Very often the apodosis is not directly expressed by the verb on which the protasis depends, but is merely *implied* in the context. Here the form of the protasis is determined by the implied apodosis. In such sentences εἰ or εἰάν

may generally be translated by ^{if}supposing that, or ^{in case}in case that. E. g.

Τούνεκα νῦν τὰ σὰ γούναθ' ^{if}ικάνομαι, αἶ κ' ^{in case}ἐθέλῃσθα κείνου λυγρόν ^{= ind. 9th}ὄλεθρον ἐνισπείν, *therefore I am now come to your knees, in case you shall be willing to tell me of his sad death* (i. e. that you may tell me, in case you shall be willing). *Od.* III, 92. See *Od.* I. 91. (Here *ικάνομαι* does not contain the apodosis to αἶ κ' ἐθέλῃσθα, which is rather implied in what follows.) Τῶν νῦν μιν μῆσασα παρέξο καὶ λαβέ γούνων, αἶ κεν ^{if}πῶς ἐθέλῃσιν ἐπὶ Τρώεσσιν ἀρῆξαι, *grasp his knees, in case he shall be willing to assist the Trojans* (i. e. that you may cause him to assist them, if he shall be willing). *Il.* I, 408. So αἶ κέν πῶς βούλεται (often explained as an indirect question), *Il.* I, 66. Οἷ κοῦν ἔτι ἐλλείπεται τὸ ἦν πείσωμεν ὑμᾶς ὥς χρὴ ἡμᾶς ἀφείναι; *is not this then still left to us,—in case we shall persuade you that you must let us go* (sc. to have you do this)? i. e. to have you let us go, if we shall persuade you that you must? *PLAT.* *Rep.* I, 327 C. Ἄκουσον καὶ ἐμοῦ, ἐάν σοι ταῦτα δοκῇ, *hear me also, in case the same shall please you*, i. e. that then you may assent to it. *Ib.* II. 358 B. Ἔτι καὶ νῦν ἀρὰς ποιοῦνται, εἴ τις ἐπικηρυκεύεται Πέρσαις, *even to this day they invoke curses, if there is any one who sends heralds to the Persians*. *Isoc.* *Pan.* p. 73 D. § 157. Ἰκέται πρὸς σέ δ' εὖρ' ἀφίγμεθα. εἴ τινα πόλιν φράσειας ἡμῖν εὔερον, *we are come hither as suppliants to you, in case you should tell us of some fleecy city* (implying thinking that we might go and live in such a city, if you should tell us of one). *ARIST.* *Av.* 120. Οἱ δ' ὥκτειρον, εἰ ἁλώσοιντο, *and others pitied them, in case they should be captured* (i. e. thinking what they would suffer if they should be captured). *XEN.* *An.* I. 4. 7. Πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, εἰ ἐπιβοηθοῖεν, ἐχώρου, *they marched towards the city, in case they (the citizens) should rush out* (i. e. that they might meet them, if they should rush out). *THUC.* VI, 100. Οὐδ' ἦν τοῦ πολέμου πέρασ οὐδ' ἀπαλλαγὴ Φιλίππων. εἰ μὴ Θηβαίους καὶ Θετταλοὺς ἐχθροὺς ποιήσειε τῇ πόλει, i. e. *Philip saw that he could neither end nor escape the war, unless he should make the Thebans and Thessalians hostile to the city*. *DEM.* *Cor.* 276, 1. See *SOPH.* *O. C.* 1770; *PLAT.* *R.* p. IV, 434 A.

In the examples from Homer and Plato the protasis belongs under § 50, 1, the implied apodosis referring to the future; in the example from Isocrates the protasis belongs under § 49, 1; in that from Aristophanes, under § 50, 2, the implied apodosis being in the Optitive with *ἂν* or some equivalent form; while in the next three the protasis has been changed (on the principle of indirect discourse) from εἰ ἁλώσονται, ἐάν ἐπιβοηθῶσιν, and ἐάν μὴ ποιήσω of the direct discourse, on account of the past tense of the leading verb. For a further explanation of this construction, and other examples, see § 77, 1. c. See also § 71, N. 1, and the examples, which are to be explained on the principle of this note.

NOTE 3. Sometimes the adverb *ἂν* stands alone to represent the apodosis, when the verb to which it belongs can be easily supplied from the context. In like manner εἰ alone may represent the pro-

tasis The expression ὥσπερ ἂν εἰ (sometimes written as one word, ὥσπερ αὖτε, *quasi*) includes both cases. See § 42, 3, N. 2, with the examples.

"Ὡσπερ with the participle (§ 109, N. 9) generally belongs to an apodosis understood. So in such expressions as ὥσπερ εἰ λέγοις, *as (it would be) if you should say*.

NOTE 4. When πλὴν εἰ is used for εἰ μὴ, *unless*, there is an ellipsis of an apodosis after πλὴν. E. g.

Οὐδὲ τὰ ὀνόματα οἷόν τε αὐτῶν εἰδέναι, πλὴν εἴ τις κωμωδοποιὸς τυγχάνει ὧν, *it is not possible to know even their names, except (it is possible) in case one happens to be a comedian*. PLAT. Apol. 18 C.

REMARK. Expressions of a wish like εἰ γὰρ γένοιτο, *O that it might be*, and εἰ γὰρ ἐγένετο, *O that it had been*, are protases with the apodosis suppressed. See Rem. at the end of Sect. VI.

Mixed Constructions.—Irregularities in Protasis or Apodosis.

§ 54. The regular forms of protasis and apodosis explained above (§§ 49, 50, 51) include by far the greater number of the examples found in the classic authors. Many cases remain, however, in which the protasis and apodosis do not belong to the same form. These admit of various explanations:—

1. (a.) When an Indicative in the protasis (in either of the constructions of § 49, 1 or 2) is followed by an Optative with ἂν in the apodosis, the latter properly belongs to an *implied* protasis in the Optative (on the principle of § 52, 2). Thus, in the sentence εἰ ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχει, οὐκ ἂν δικάως κολάζοιτο, *if this is so, he would not justly be punished*, κολάζοιτο ἂν belongs to a protasis in the Optative, *if justice should be done*, implied in δικάως; while the protasis εἰ . . . ἔχει belongs as a condition to the expressed apodosis *with* its implied protasis. The sense therefore is, *if this is so, (the result is that) he would not be punished if justice should be done*. The same principle applies to a primary tense of the Indicative in protasis, followed by a secondary tense with ἂν in apodosis.

This is sometimes the meaning, when a Subjunctive or Future Indicative (§ 50, 1) is in the protasis, with an Optative with ἂν in the apodosis. (See the last two examples.). E. g.

Εἰ δέ τις ἀθαιάτων γε κατ' οὐρανοῦ εἰληήλουθας, οὐκ ἂν ἔγωγε θεοῖσιν ἐπουρανόισι μαχοίμην, *but if thou art one of the immortals come from heaven, I would not fight against the Gods of heaven.* II. VI, 128. (Here the principal protasis to μαχοίμην ἂν is implied, *if I should have my choice.*) Πολλή γὰρ ἂν εἰδαιμονία εἴη περὶ τοὺς νέους, εἰ εἷς μὲν μόνος αὐτοὺς διαφθείρει, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι ὠφελούσιν, *for there would (naturally) be great happiness, &c.* PLAT. Apol. 25 B. "Ωστ' εἴ μοι καὶ μέσως ἡγούμενοι μᾶλλον ἑτέρων προσεῖναι αὐτὰ πολεμεῖν ἐπείσθητε, οὐκ ἂν εἰκότως νῦν τοῦ γε ἀδικεῖν αἰτίαν φεροίμην, *if you were persuaded to make war by thinking, &c., I should not now justly be charged with injustice.* THUC. II, 60. (Here a protasis to φεροίμην ἂν is implied in εἰκότως.) Εἰ γὰρ οἱτοι ὀρθῶς ἀπέστησαν, ὑμεῖς ἂν οὐ χρεὼν ἄρχοιτε, *for if these had a right to secede, it would follow that your dominion is unjust.* Id. III, 40. Εἰ νῦν γε δυστυχοῦμεν, πῶς τάναντί ἂν πράττοντες οὐ σωζοίμεθ' ἂν; *if now we are unfortunate, how should we not be safe if we should do the opposite?* ARIST. Ran. 1449. (Here πράττοντες = εἰ πράττομεν is the principal protasis to which the optative refers.) Εἰ τοῦτ' ἐπεχείρουν λέγειν, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅστις οὐκ ἂν εἰκότως ἐπιτιμήσειέ μοι, *if I were undertaking to say this (§ 49, 2), every one would censure me with reason (i. e. εἰ τὰ εἰκότα ποιήσειεν).* DEM. Cor. 296, 24. (Here many Mss., and Dion. Hal. p. 1051, read ἐπιτίμησε, the ordinary apodosis.) Εἰ μηδένα τῶν ἄλλων ἱππεύειν εἴασαν, οὐκ ἂν δικαίως ὀργίζοισθε αὐτοῖς. LYS. Alcib. II, § 8.

Καίτοι τότε τὸν Ὑπερείδην, εἴπερ ἀληθῇ μου νῦν κατηγορεῖ, μᾶλλον ἂν εἰκότως ἢ τόνδ' ἐδίωκεν, *and yet, if he is now making true charges against me, he would then have prosecuted Hyperéides with much more reason than this man.* DEM. Cor. 302, 24. (Here ἐδίωκεν ἂν refers chiefly to the implied protasis, *if he had done what was more reasonable.*) Such examples seldom occur.

Οὐδὲ γὰρ ἂν πολλαὶ γέφυραι ὄσιν, ἔχοιμεν ἂν ὅποι φυγόντες ἡμεῖς σωθῶμεν. XEN. An. II, 4, 19. (Here the implied protasis is *if we should wish to escape.*) See An. V, 1, 9.

Φρούριον εἰ ποιήσονται, τῆς μὲν γῆς βλάβπτειν ἂν τι μέρος, οὐ μέντοι ἱκανόν γε ἔσται κωλύειν ἡμᾶς, κ.τ.λ., *if they shall build a fort, they might (under favorable circumstances) injure some part of our land; but it will not be sufficient to prevent us, &c.* THUC. I, 142.

(b.) A Subjunctive or Future Indicative in the protasis sometimes depends on an Optative with ἂν in the apodosis, when no other protasis can readily be supplied. This expresses the protasis more vividly than the regular Optative. (See § 50, 2, Rem. b, and § 34, 1, b.) It must be remembered also that the Optative with ἂν is sometimes merely a softened expression for the Future Indicative (§ 52, Note). E. g.

Ἐὰν τοῦτο ποιήσω, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι, *if I do this, it would be well.* (Here the irregularity is the same in English as in Greek: the regu-

lar form in both would be either *ἐὰν τοῦτο ποιήσω, καλῶς ἔξει*, *if I do this, it will be well*; or *εἰ τοῦτο ποιήσαιμι, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι*, *if I should do this, it would be well*.) Καὶ οὕτως ἂν δεινότατα πάντων πάθοιεν, εἰ οὗτοι ὁμόψηφοι κατ' ἐκείνων τῶν ἀνδρῶν τοῖς τριάκοντα γενήσονται. Lys. Agor. p. 139, 6, § 94. (Here we should expect *εἰ γένοιτο*.) Τῶν ἀποποτάτων μέντ' ἂν εἶη, εἰ, ἃ νῦν ἀνοίαν ὀφλισκάνων ὁμῶς ἐκλαλεῖ, ταῦτα δυνηθεῖς μὴ πράξει. DEM. OL. I, 16, 25. **Ἦν οὖν μάθης μοι τὸν ἄδικον τοῦτον λόγον, οἷκ' ἂν ἀποδοίην οἷδ' ἂν ὀβολὸν οὐδενί, if you shall learn this for me, I will not (or I would not) pay even an obol to any one*. ARIST. Nub. 116. (This and many other examples might be explained equally well on either principle, *a* or *b*.)

2. (*a*.) An Optative in the protasis sometimes depends upon a primary tense of the Indicative or an Imperative in the apodosis. This arises from the slight distinction between the Subjunctive and Optative in protasis, as *ἐὰν ἔχη* and *εἰ ἔχοι*, for which the Latin has but one form, *si habeat*. (See § 48, I, B, Rem. 2.) In fact, the irregularity in *εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο, πάντα καλῶς ἔξει*, is precisely the same as in the English *if this should happen, all will be well*, where the more regular apodosis would be *all would be well*, as in Greek, *πάντα καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι*. E. g.

'*Ἄλλ' εἴ τις μοι ἀνὴρ ἄμ' ἔποιτο καὶ ἄλλος, μᾶλλον θαλπωρὴ καὶ θαρσαλέωτερον ἔσται*. II. X, 222. *Εἰ θέλοιμεν σκοπεῖν τὰς φύσεις τὰς τῶν ἀνθρώπων, εὐρήσομεν, κ.τ.λ.* ISOC. ad Nicocl. p. 23 D. § 45. *Εἴ τις τάδε παραβαίνοι, ἐναγῆς ἔστω*. AESCHIN. Cor. § 110. In such cases the Optative is a less animated form of expression than the regular Subjunctive.

(*b*.) The Optative sometimes stands in the protasis, when the apodosis contains a primary tense of a verb denoting *necessity, obligation, propriety, possibility, &c.*, with an Infinitive, the two forming an expression that is nearly equivalent in sense to an Optative with *ἄν*. E. g.

Εἰ γὰρ εἴησαν δύο τινὲς ἐναντίοι νόμοι, οὐκ ἀμφοτέροις ἔνι δῆπον ψηφίσασθαι, for if there should be two laws opposed to each other, you could not surely vote for both. DEM. Timocr. 711, 8. (See § 63, 4, *b*.) This is analogous to the use of the Imperfect of the same verbs, explained in § 49, 2, Note 3. There, for example, *ἐνῆν αὐτῷ ἐλθεῖν*, *he could have gone*, is nearly equivalent to *ἔλθεν ἄν*, and here *ἐνεστικν αὐτῷ ἐλθεῖν*, *he could go*, is nearly equivalent to *ἔλθοι ἄν*.

3. A few irregular constructions remain, which can be explained only as cases of *anacoluthon*, in which the speaker adapts his apodosis to a form of protasis different from that which he has actually used. E. g.

Ἐγὼ μὲν ἂν, εἰ ἔχοιμι, ὥς τάχιστα ὄπλα ἐποιούμην πᾶσι Πέρσαις. XEN. Cyr. II, 1, 9. (Here ἐποιούμην ἂν is used as if εἰ εἶχον, if I were able, had preceded. We should expect ποιοίμην ἂν, which is found in one Ms.) Εἰ μὲν γὰρ εἰς γυναῖκα σωφρονεστέραν ξίφος μεθεῖμεν, δυσκλεῆς ἂν ἦν φόνος. EUR. Orest. 1132. (Here we should expect εἴη.)

REMARK. The same apodosis, in either the Indicative or Optative, may take one protasis in the Indicative referring to *present* or *past* time, and another in the Optative referring to a supposed *future* case. E. g.

Ἐγὼ οὖν δεινὰ ἂν εἶην εἰργασμένος, εἰ, ὅτε μὲν με οἱ ἄρχοντες ἔτατον, τότε μὲν ἔμενον, τοῦ δὲ θεοῦ τάττοντος, λίσσοιμι τὴν τάξιν. I should therefore (prove to) have behaved outrageously, if when the state authorities stationed me I stood my ground, but if now when God stations me I should desert my post. PLAT. Apol. 28 E. (Here the combination of the two acts is the future condition on which the apodosis depends.) Ἐπεύχομαι πᾶσι τούτοις, εἰ ἀληθὴ πρὸς ἐμᾶς εἴποιμι καὶ εἶπον καὶ τότ' εὐθὺς ἐν τῷ δήμῳ, εὐτυχίαν μοι δοῦναι, i. e. if I should speak the truth and did speak it then, &c. DEM. Cor. 274, 28. Εἰ δὲ μήτ' ἔστι μήτε ἦν μήτ' ἂν εἰπεῖν ἔχοι μηδεὶς μηδέπω καὶ τήμερον, τί τὸν σύμβουλον ἐχρῆν ποιεῖν; but if there neither is nor was (any such thing), and if no man yet even at this day could possibly tell of any, what ought the statesman to have done (which was not done)? Ib. 291, 28. (See § 50, 2, N. 2, and § 49, 2, N. 3.)

§ 55. 1. Two or more protases, not co-ordinate, may belong to one apodosis. E. g.

Καὶ γὰρ ἂν οὗτός τι πάθῃ, ταχέως ὑμεῖς ἕτερον Φίλιππον ποιήσετε, ἅνπερ οὕτω προσέχητε τοῖς πράγμασι τὸν νοῦν. DEM. Phil. I, 43, 12. Εἰ δ' ἦμεν νέοι δις καὶ γέροντες, εἴ τις ἐξημάρτανε, διπλοῦ βίου λαχόντες ἐξωρθούμεθ' ἅν. EUR. Suppl. 1084. Εἴ τίς σε ἀνέροιο τοῦτο, τί ἐστι σχῆμα; εἰ αὐτῷ εἶπες ὅτι στρογγυλότης, εἴ σοι εἶπεν ἅπερ ἐγὼ, εἶπες δῆπου ἂν ὅτι σχῆμά τι. PLAT. Men. 74 B.

2. It sometimes happens, that the apodosis is itself in a *dependent* sentence (as in a final clause), which determines its mood without reference to the preceding rules. In this case, if the leading verb is in a secondary tense, so that the apodosis takes the Optative, the protasis also takes the Optative by the general rule (§ 31, 1), even if it would otherwise have the Subjunctive. E. g.

Ταῦτα δ' εἶπεν, ἵν' εἰ μὲν καὶ νῦν προσδοκῆσαιμι αὐτὸν εἶναι, ἀπολογούμενος περὶ αὐτῶν διατρίβοιμι, εἰ δὲ παραλίποιμι, νῦν αὐτὸς εἴποι, and he said this, in order that, if on the one hand I should still expect him to tell it, I should waste time about it in my defence; but if on the other hand I should omit it, he might now tell it

himself. DEM. Aph. I, 830, 8. (If a *primary* tense stood for εἶπεν, we should have, e. g. ταῦτα λέγει, ὅν' ἐάν μὲν προσδοκήσω αὐτὸν εἶναι, διατρίβω, ἐάν δὲ παραλίπω, νῦν εἴπη.)

REMARK. For the forms assumed by such sentences when constructed on the principle of indirect discourse, see § 77, 1.

§ 56. After many verbs expressing *wonder*, *delight*, *contentment*, *indignation*, *disappointment*, and similar ideas, a protasis with εἰ may be used where a causal sentence would seem more natural. Such verbs are especially θαυμάζω, αἰσχύνομαι, ἀγαπάω, and ἀγανακτέω. E. g.

Θαυμάζω δ' ἔγωγε εἰ μηδεὶς ὑμῶν μήτ' ἐνθυμείται μήτ' ὀργίζεται, ὀρῶν, κ.τ.λ., *I wonder that no one of you is either concerned or angry, when he sees, &c.* (lit. *if no one is either concerned or angry, I wonder*). DEM. Phil. I, 52, 17. (See Rem. below.) 'Αλλ' ἐκεῖνο θαυμάζω, εἰ Λακεδαιμονίοις μὲν ποτε ἀντήρατε, νυνὶ δ' ὀκνεῖτε ἐξίναί καὶ μέλλετε εἰσφέρειν, *but I wonder at this, that you once opposed the Lacedaemonians, but now are unwilling, &c.* Id. Ol. II, 25, 2. (The literal meaning is, *if (it is true that) you once opposed, &c., then I wonder*.) Οὐκ ἀγαπᾷ εἰ μὴ δίκην ἔδωκεν, ἀλλ' εἰ μὴ καὶ χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ στεφανωθήσεται ἀγανακτεῖ, *he is not content if he was not punished; but if he is not also to be crowned with a golden crown, he is indignant.* AESCHIN. Cor. § 147. (Here the former protasis belongs under § 49, 1, and the latter under § 49, 1, N. 3.)

Καὶ ὡς ἀληθῶς ἀγανακτῶ, εἰ οὕτως ἂν νοῶ μὴ οἷός τ' εἰμὶ εἰπεῖν, *I am indignant that (or if) I am not able, &c.* PLAT. Lach. 194 A. Οὐ δὴ θαυμαστόν ἐστιν, εἰ στρατευόμενος καὶ πονῶν ἐκεῖνος αὐτὸς ὑμῶν μελλόντων καὶ ψηφισομένων καὶ πυνθανομένων περιγίγνεται, *it is no wonder that he gets the advantage of you, &c.* DEM. Ol. II, 24, 23. Μηδὲ μέντοι τοῦτο μείον δόξητε ἔχειν, εἰ οἱ Κυρῆοι πρόσθεν σὺν ἡμῖν παττόμενοι νῦν ἀφεστήκουσιν, i. e. *do not be discontented, if (or that) the Cypraeans have now withdrawn.* XEN. An. III, 2, 17.

These verbs may also be followed by ὅτι and a causal sentence, as in PLAT. Theaet. 142 A, ἐθαύμαζον ὅτι οὐχ οἷός τ' ἦ εὐρεῖν. The construction with εἰ gives a milder or more polite form of expression, putting the object of the *wonder*, &c. into the form of a supposition, instead of stating it as a fact, as we should do in English. The forms of protasis quoted above belong under § 49, 1. For the form sometimes assumed by these sentences on the principle of indirect discourse, see § 77, 1, c.

REMARK. This construction must not be mistaken for that in which εἰ is used in the sense of *whether*, to introduce an indirect question; as, ἐπύθετο εἰ σὺ παρῆς, *he asked whether you were present*. For this see § 68, 3, and § 70.

§ 57. The apodosis is sometimes introduced by the conjunction δέ, as if the apodosis formed a sentence *co-ordinate* with the protasis, instead of being (as it is) the leading sentence. This is especially common in Homer and Herodotus, and rare in Attic prose. It occurs when the apodosis is to be emphatically opposed to the protasis. Instead of δέ we sometimes find ἀλλά or αὐτάρ. E. g.

Εἰ δέ κε μὴ δώωσιν, ἐγὼ δέ κεν αὐτὸς ἔλωμαι, *but if they do not give it up, then I will take it myself.* Il. I, 137. Ἀλλά is found in Il. I, 82, quoted § 51, N. 2. Εἰ περ γάρ τ' ἄλλοι γε περικτεινόμεθα πάντες νηυσὶν ἐπ' Ἀργείων, σοὶ δ' οὐ δέος ἔστ' ἀπολέσθαι. Il. XII, 245. Εἰ δὲ θανόντων περ καταλήθοντ' εἰν Ἀΐδου, αὐτὰρ ἐγὼ καὶ κείθι φίλου μεμνήσομ' ἐταίρου. Il. XXII, 389. Εἰ ὑμῖν ἐστι τοῦτο μὴ δυνατόν ποιῆσαι, ὑμεῖς δὲ ἔτι καὶ νῦν ἐκ τοῦ μέσου ἡμῖν ἔξεσθε. Il. VIII, 22. Ἀλλ' εἰ μὴδὲ τοῦτο βούλει ἀποκρίνασθαι, σὺ δὲ τοῦντεῦθεν λέγε. XEN. Cyr. V, 5, 21.

This δέ in apodosis cannot be expressed in English; as our adverbs *then, yet, still, &c.*, necessarily fail to give the force of the Greek δέ, which is always a conjunction.

REMARK. Δέ may be used in the same way to introduce the sentence upon which a relative clause depends. See Remark before § 65.

SECTION III.

RELATIVE AND TEMPORAL SENTENCES.

§ 58. 1. Relative sentences may be introduced not only by relative pronouns and pronominal adjectives, but also by relative adverbs of *time, place, or manner*. They include therefore all *temporal* clauses, except those introduced by πρίν and other particles meaning *until*, which are treated separately (§ 66 and § 67).

2. Relative sentences may be divided into two classes:—

First, those in which the *antecedent* of the relative is *definite*; that is, in which the relative pronouns refer to definite persons or things, and the relative adverbs to definite points of time, place, &c.

Secondly, those in which the *antecedent* is *indefinite*, that is, in which no such definite persons, things, times, or places are referred to.

Both the definite and the indefinite antecedent may be either expressed or understood. E. g.

(Definite Antecedents). Ταῦτα ἃ ἔχω ὁράς. *you see these things which I have*; or ἃ ἔχω ὁράς. "Ὅτε ἐβούλετο ἦλθεν, (*once*) *when he wished, he came*.

(Indefinite Antecedents). Πάντα ἃ ἂν βούλωνται ἔξουσιν, *they will have everything which they may want*; or ἃ ἂν βούλωνται ἔξουσιν, *they will have whatever they may want*. "Ὅτε βούλοιτο ἦρχετο, *when-ever he wished, he came*.

3. When the antecedent is indefinite, the negative particle of the relative clause is μή; when the antecedent is definite, οὐ is regularly used, unless the general construction requires μή, as in *prohibitions, wishes, &c.* (See § 59, Note 1.)

never doing

which is the only way to do it

A. Relative with a Definite Antecedent.

§ 59. When the relative refers to a *definite* antecedent, expressed or understood, it has no effect upon the mood of the following verb; and it therefore takes the Indicative, unless the general sense of the passage requires some other construction. E. g.

Λέγω ἃ οἶδα. Λέγω ἃ ἤκουσα. Λέξω ἃ ἀκήκοα. Ἐλέξαν ἃ ἤκουσαν Πάντα λέγει ἃ γενήσεται. Πράσσουσιν ἃ βούλονται (or ὡς βούλονται), *they are doing what they please*. (On the other hand, πράσσουσιν ἃ ἂν βούλωνται (or ὡς ἂν βούλωνται.) *they always do whatever they please*; the antecedent being indefinite.) Λέγω ἃ οὐκ ἄγνοω, *I am saying that of which I am not ignorant*.

Ἄλλ' ὅτε δὴ ῥ' ἐκ τοιοῦτο δυωδεκάτῃ γένετ' ἡὼς, καὶ τότε δὴ πρὸς Ὀλυμπον ἴσαν θεοὶ αἰὲν ἑόντες. II. I, 493. Τίς ἔσθ' ὁ χῶρος δὴτ', ἐν ᾧ βεβήκαμεν. SOPH. O. C. 52. Ἔως ἐστὶ καιρὸς, ἀντιλάβεσθε τῶν πραγμάτων, i. e. *now, while there is an opportunity, &c.* DEM. OL. I, 15, 6. (If the exhortation had been general, he might have said ἔως ἂν ᾗ καιρός, (*on all occasions*) *so long as there is an opportunity*, § 62.) Ὁ δὲ ἀναβάς, ἔως μὲν βάσιμα ἦν. ἐπὶ τοῦ ἵππου ἦγεν· ἐπεὶ δὲ ἄβασα ἦν, καταλιπὼν τὸν ἵππον ἔσπευδε περὶ. XEN. AN. III, 4, 49. So II. I, 193, ἔως ὥρμαινε. Οἵπερ δὲ καὶ τῶν ἀποβαινόντων τὸ πλεόν τῆς αἰτίας ἔξομεν, οὔτοι καὶ καθ' ἡσυχίαν τι αὐτῶν προΐδμεν, *we*

who are to bear the greater part of the blame, &c. ΤΗΙ C. I, 83. "Οθεν δ' οὖν ῥάστα μαθήσεσθε περὶ αὐτῶν, ἐντεῦθεν ὑμᾶς καὶ ἐγὼ πρῶτον πειράσσομαι διδάσκειν. DEM. Aph. I, 814, 4. (Here ἐντεῦθεν refers to a particular point, at which he intends to begin.) Compare the first example under Note 1. Ἡ δὲ λοιγία ἔργ', ὅτε μ' ἐχθυδοπήσῃαι ἐφήσεις Ἡρη, ὅτ' ἂν μ' ἐρέθῃσιν ὀνειδείοις ἐπέεσσιν, surely there will be said work, when you shall impel me, &c. II. I, 518. (Here ὅτε refers to some time conceived as definite by the speaker; whereas ὅτ' ἂν ἐρέθῃσιν, whenever she shall provoke me, is indefinite, and belongs under § 61, 3.) Νῦξ δ' ἔσται ὅτε δὴ στυγερὸς γάμος ἀντιβολήσῃ οἰλομένης ἐμέθεν, τῆς τε Ζεὺς ὄλβον ἀπηύρα. Od. XVIII, 272.

NOTE 1. When the sense requires it, these clauses admit all the constructions allowed in independent sentences. E. g.

"Αρξομαι δ' ἐντεῦθεν ὅθεν καὶ ὑμεῖς ῥᾶστ' ἂν μάθοιτε καὶ γὰρ τάχιστ' ἂν διδάξαιμι. DEM. Aph. III, 846, 15. (Here the relative clause contains an apodosis with ἂν, with a protasis εἰ ἀρξαίμην implied. This must not be confounded with the use of the Optative without ἂν, in the other class of relative sentences. See § 61, 4.) Νῦν δὲ τοῦτο οὐκ ἐποίησεν, ἐν ᾧ τὸν δῆμον ἐτίμησεν ἂν, but he did not do this, in which he would have honored the people (if he had done it). Id. Mid. 536, 25. Εἰς καλὸν ὑμῖν "Ανυτος ὁδε παρεκαθίζετο. ᾧ μεταδῶμεν τῆς ζητήσεως. PLAT. Men. 89 E. (Subjunctive in an exhortation.) Οὔκουν ἄξιον τοῖς τῶν κατηγορῶν λόγοις πιστεῦσαι μᾶλλον ἢ τοῖς ἔργοις καὶ τῷ χρόνῳ, ὃν ὑμεῖς σιφέστατον ἔλεγχον τοῦ ἀληθοῦς νομίζατε. LYS. de Bon. Arist. p. 157, § 61. (Here the Imperative νομίζατε is used in a sort of exclamation after ὃν, where ordinarily δὲ νομίσαι would be used.) Ἄν γὰρ ἀποφύγῃ με οὗτος, ὁ μὴ γένοιτο; τὴν ἐπωβελίαν ὀφλήσω. DEM. Aph. I, 834, 25. (Optative in a wish.) Ἔσσεται ἡμᾶρ ὅτ' ἂν ποτ' ὀλώλῃ Ἰλίου ἱρή, a day will come when sacred Ilium will fall. II. VI, 448. (Here ὀλώλῃ ἂν is used like a Future Indicative, § 87, Note; and the antecedent of ὅτε is definite. If ἂν belonged to ὅτε, the clause would be a protasis, meaning when Ilium shall fall, a day will come.)

NOTE 2. The relative may be used to express a purpose (§ 65, 1), or in a causal sense (§ 65, 4). The antecedent may then be either definite or indefinite.

B. Relative with an Indefinite Antecedent.

§ 60. 1. When the relative refers to an indefinite antecedent, expressed or understood, the action of its verb is not stated absolutely as a definite fact, but conditionally as a *supposed case*; and such a relative sentence has many of the essential qualities of a conditional sentence.

Thus, when we say $\hat{\alpha}$ νομίζει ταῦτα λέγει, *he is saying what he (actually) thinks*, or $\hat{\alpha}$ ἐνόμιζε ταῦτα ἔλεγεν, *he was saying what he thought*, the actions of νομίζει and ἐνόμιζε are stated as actual facts, occurring at definite times; but when we say $\hat{\alpha}$ ἂν νομίζῃ (ταῦτα) λέγει, *he (always) says whatever he thinks*, or $\hat{\alpha}$ νομίζοι (ταῦτα) ἔλεγεν, *he (always) said whatever he happened to be thinking*, νομίζῃ and νομίζοι do not state any such definite facts, but rather what some one *may think* (or *may have thought*) on any occasion on which he is (or was) in the habit of speaking. So, when we say $\hat{\alpha}$ νομίζει ταῦτα λέξει, *he will say what he (now) thinks*, νομίζει denotes a fact; but when we say $\hat{\alpha}$ ἂν νομίζῃ λέξει, *he will say whatever he happens to be (then) thinking*, νομίζῃ denotes merely a case supposed in the future. Again,—to take the case in which the distinction is most liable to be overlooked,—when we say $\hat{\alpha}$ οὐκ οἶδα οὐκ οἶμαι εἰδέναι, *what I do not know, I do not think that I know*, οὐκ οἶδα, as before, denotes a simple fact, and its object, $\hat{\alpha}$, has a definite antecedent; but when Socrates says $\hat{\alpha}$ μὴ οἶδι οὐδὲ οἶμαι εἰδέναι, the meaning is *if there are any things which I do not know, I do not even think that I know them*. In sentences like this, unless a negative is used (μὴ being the sign of an indefinite, οὐ of a definite antecedent), it is often difficult to decide whether the antecedent is definite or indefinite; thus $\hat{\alpha}$ οἶδα οἶμαι εἰδέναι may mean either *what I (actually) know, I think that I know*, or *if there is anything which I know, I think that I know it*.

The analogy of these indefinite relative clauses to conditional sentences will be seen at once. The following examples will make this clearer:—

“Ο τι βούλεται δώσω, *I will give him whatever he (now) wishes.*
Εἴ τι βούλεται, δώσω, *if he wishes anything, I will give it.* (§ 49, 1.)

“Ο τι ἐβούλετο ἔδωκα ἂν, *I should have given him whatever he had wished.* “Ο τι μὴ ἐγένετο οὐκ ἂν εἶπον, *I should not have told what had not happened.* Εἴ τι ἐβούλετο, ἔδωκα ἂν, *if he had wished anything, I should have given it.* Εἴ τι μὴ ἐγένετο, οὐκ ἂν εἶπον, *if anything had not happened, I should not have told it.* (§ 49, 2.)

“Ο τι ἂν βούληται, δώσω, *I will give him whatever he shall wish.*
Ἐάν τι βουληται, δώσω, *if he shall wish anything, I will give it.* (§ 50, 1.)

“Ο τι βούλοιτο δοίην ἂν, *I should give him whatever he might wish.* Εἴ τι βούλοιτο, δοίην ἂν, *if he should wish anything, I should give it.* (§ 50, 2.)

Ὅτι ἂν βούληται δίδωμι, *I (always) give him whatever he wishes.*
 Ὅτι βούλοιτο ἐδίδουν, *I always gave him whatever he wished.* Ἐάν
 τι βούληται, δίδωμι, *if he ever wishes anything, I (always) give it.*
 Εἴ τι βούλοιτο, ἐδίδουν, *if he ever wished anything, I (always) gave it.*
 (§ 51.)

2. The relative with an indefinite antecedent may therefore be called the *conditional relative*, and the clause in which it stands may be called the *protasis* (like clauses with εἰ or εἰάν), and the antecedent clause may be called the *apodosis*.

3. The particle ἂν (Epic κέ) is regularly joined with all relative words, when they are followed by the Subjunctive. The particle here (as always in protasis) is joined to the relative, never to the verb. (See § 38, 1, and § 47, 2.)

NOTE. With ὅτε, ὁπότε, ἐπεί, and ἐπειδή, ἂν coalesces, forming ὅταν, ὁπότεν, ἐπὶν or ἐπὴν (Ionic ἐπεάν), and ἐπειδάν. In Homer, where κέ is generally used for ἂν, we have ὅτε κε, &c. (like εἴ κε), where in Attic we have ὅταν, &c. Ἐπὴν, however, occurs often in Homer.

REMARK. The classification of common conditional sentences, given in § 48, applies equally to conditional relative sentences. The distinction between those containing *general* suppositions (§ 62) and the corresponding forms containing *particular* suppositions (§ 61, 1) is especially important.

§ 61. We have *four* forms of the conditional relative sentence which correspond to the four forms of ordinary protasis (§ 49, 1, 2, and § 50, 1, 2): —

1. When the relative clause refers to a definite act in the *present* or the *past*, and no opinion of the speaker is implied as to the truth of the supposition, the verb is put in one of the present or past tenses of the Indicative. (§ 49, 1.)

The antecedent clause can have any form allowed in an apodosis (§ 49, 1, Note 1). E. g.

Ἄ μὴ οἶδα, οὐδὲ οἶομαι εἰδέναι (like εἴ τινα μὴ οἶδα). PLAT. Apol.

* connect
 appreciative
 of the im-
 pect. of
 and a
 might in
 all the
 previous
 source

21 D. (See above, § 60, 1.) Χρήσθων ὅ τι βούλονται, *let them deal with me as they please* (i. e. εἰ τι βούλονται). ARIST. Sub. 439. Ἐπίσταμαι ὅρᾶν θ' ἂ δέῃ με, κούχ ὅρᾶν ἂ μὴ πρέπει, *I know how to see anything which I ought to see, and not to see anything which I ought not*. EUR. Iro. Fr. 417. (*Α δέῃ is nearly equivalent to εἴ τινα δέῃ, and ἂ μὴ πρέπει to εἴ τινα μὴ πρέπει.) Τοὺς πλείστους ἔνθαπερ ἔπεσον ἐκάστους ἔθαψαν· οὓς δὲ μὴ εὕρισκον, κenoτάφιον αὐτοῖς ἐποίησαν, i. e. *they raised a cenotaph for any of them whom they did not find* (like εἴ τις μὴ εὕρισκον). XEN. An. VI, 4, 9. Τί γάρ; ὅστις δαπανηρὸς ὦν μὴ αὐτάρκης ἐστίν, ἀλλ' αἰετῶν πλησίον δεῖται, καὶ λαμβανῶν μὴ δύναται ἀποδιδόναι, μὴ λαμβάνων δὲ τὸν μὴ διδόντα μισεῖ, οὐ δοκεῖ σοι καὶ οὗτος χαλεπὸς φίλος εἶναι; (i. e. *supposing a case, εἴ τις . . . μὴ αὐτάρκης ἐστίν, κ. τ. λ.*). Id. Mem. II, 6, 2. Σοῦτος ἦτις μηδαμοῦ ξυμμαχεῖ, THUC. I, 35. *Α τις μὴ προσεδόκησεν, οὐδὲ φυλάξασθαι ἐγχωρεῖ, *there is no opportunity to guard against what we did not expect* (like εἴ τινα μὴ προσεδόκησέ τις). ANTIPHON. p. 131, 36. § 19. Εἰς τὰ πλοῖα τοὺς τε ἀσθενούντας ἐνεβίβασαν καὶ τῶν σκευῶν ὅσα μὴ ἀνάγκη ἦν ἔχειν (like εἴ τινα τῶν σκευῶν μὴ ἀνάγκη ἦν ἔχειν), i. e. *any of ὅ which they did not need*. XEN. An. V, 3, 1. Ἀνθρώπους διέφθειρεν (ἢ θάλασσα) ὅσοι μὴ ἐδύναντο φθῆναι πρὸς τὰ μετέωρα ἀναδραμόντες, i. e. *if any were unable to escape soon enough to the high land, so many the sea destroyed*. THUC. III, 89. Οἷς μὲν αἵρεσις γεγένηται τὰλλα εὐτυχοῦσι, πολλὴ ἄνοια πολεμῆσαι· εἰ δ' ἀναγκαῖον ἦν, κ. τ. λ., *for any who have had the choice given them, while they are prosperous in other respects, it is great folly to go to war* (i. e. εἴ τισιν αἵρεσις γεγένηται). THUC. II, 61. Πάντες ἴσμεν Χαβρίαν οὔτε τύποντα οὔθ' ἀρπάζοντα τὸν στέφανον οὔθ' ὅλως προσιόνθ' ὅποι μὴ προσῆκεν αὐτῷ, *nor going anywhere at all where it was not lawful for him* (i. e. εἴ ποῖ μὴ προσῆκεν). DEM. Mid. 535, 15. Πῶς οὖν οἱ ἀγαθοὶ τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς φίλοι ἔσονται, οἱ μὴτε ἀπόντες ποθεν οἱ ἀλλήλοις μήτε παρόντες χρεῖαν αὐτῶν ἔχουσι; (i. e. εἰ μὴ . . . ἔχουσι). PLAT. Lys. 215 B. Νικῶν δ' ὅ τι πᾶσιν ὑμῖν μέλλει συνοίσειν (i. e. εἴ τι μέλλει), *may any plan prevail which will benefit you all*. DEM. Phil. I, 55, 7. So SOPH. Ant. 375, ὅς τὰδ' ἔρδει.

NOTE. Care must be taken here (as in conditional sentences, § 49, 1, Note 2), not to include under this class the *general* suppositions of § 62, which require the Subjunctive or Optative. On the other hand, the examples falling under § 62, Note 1, in which the Indicative is allowed, might properly be placed here, as they state a general supposition for emphasis as if it were a particular one (§ 51, Note 3). See also § 61, 3, Note.

2. When a relative clause, referring to the present or the past, implies that the condition which it expresses *is not* or *was not* fulfilled (like a protasis of the form § 49, 2), its verb is put in a secondary tense of the Indicative.

The antecedent clause also contains a secondary tense of the Indicative, implying the non-fulfilment of a condition, which may be in an apodosis with *ἄν* or a protasis (§ 49, 2), or in an expression of a wish (§ 83).
E. g.

Ἄ μὴ ἐβούλετο δοῦναι, οὐκ ἂν ἔδωκεν, *he would not have given what he had not wished to give* (i. e. εἰ τινα μὴ ἐβούλετο δοῦναι, οὐκ ἂν ἔδωκεν). Οὔτε γὰρ ἂν αὐτοὶ ἐπεχειροῦμεν πράττειν ἃ μὴ ἠπιστάμεθα, οὔτε τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐπετρέπομεν, ὧν ἤρχομεν, ἄλλο τι πράττειν ἢ ὃ τι πράττοντες ὀρθῶς ἐμελλον πράξειν· τοῦτο δ' ἦν ἂν, οὐ ἐπιστήμην εἶχον, *for (if that were so) we should not be undertaking (as we are) to do things which we did not understand, nor should we permit any others whom we were ruling to do anything else than what they were likely to do properly; and this would be whatever they had knowledge of.* PLAT. Charm. 171 E. (Here ἃ μὴ ἠπιστάμεθα = εἰ τινα μὴ ἠπιστάμεθα, *if there were any things which we did not know*, — ὧν ἤρχομεν = εἰ τινων ἤρχομεν. — ὃ τι ἐμελλον = εἰ τι ἐμελλον, — and οὐ ἐπιστήμην εἶχον = εἰ τινος εἶχον. It is implied that none of the cases here supposed ever actually arose, as the whole passage refers to an unfulfilled condition expressed in the preceding sentence.) Εἰ δέ οἱ οἱ εἶχον ἕκαστοι τὰς δίκας, τούτους ἂν ἀπόλλυσαν οἵτινες φίλοι μάλιστα ἦσαν Ἀθηναίων τῷ δήμῳ, *if each had their trials at home, they would ruin any who were especially friendly, &c.* XEN. Rep. Athen. I, 16. (Here οἵτινες ἦσαν, = εἰ τινες ἦσαν, forms a second protasis to the apodosis ἀπόλλυσαν ἂν. See § 55, 1.)

Εἰ ξένος ἐτύγχανον ὧν, ξυνεγινώσκετε δήπου ἂν μοι, εἰ ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ φωνῇ τε καὶ τῷ τρόπῳ ἔλεγον ἐν οἷσπερ ἐτεθράμμην, *if I happened to be a foreigner, you would surely pardon me, if I were (now) addressing you in both the language and the manner in which I had been brought up.* PLAT. Apol. 17 D. Ὡς δὲ ἔγωγ' ὄφελον μάκαρός νύ τευ ἔμμεναι υἱὸς ἀνέρος, ὃν κτεάτεσσιν ἐοῖς ἐπὶ γῆρας ἔτετμεν, *O that I were the son of some fortunate man, whom old age had found upon his own estate* (i. e. *if old age had found any such man, would that I had been his son*). Od. I, 217.

So when the relative sentence depends on an indicative in a final clause (§ 44, 3); as in DEM. Arist. 655, 15: ταῦτά γε δήπου προσῆκε γράψαι, ἵνα ὅτῳ ποτὲ τοῦργον ἐπράχθῃ, τούτῳ τὰ ἐκ τῶν νόμων ὑπῆρχε δίκαια, *he ought to have written it in this way, in order that any one by whom the deed had been done might have his rights according to the laws.* (This implies that the law was not so written, so that the case supposed in ὅτῳ ἐπράχθῃ never arose.)

REMARK. All examples of this form fall equally well under the general rule for assimilation, § 64, 2.

3. When the relative clause refers *distinctly and vividly* to the future (like a protasis of the form § 50, 1),

and the verb of the antecedent clause also refers to the future, the relative is joined with *ἄν* (or *κέ*) and followed by the Subjunctive. E. g.

Τάων ἦν κ' ἐθέλωμι φίλην ποιήσομ' ἄκοιτιν (like εἴ κέ τινα ἐθέλωμι), *whomsoever of these I may wish I shall make my wife*. II. IX, 397. Ἐκ γὰρ Ὀρέσταιο τίσις ἔσσεται Ἀτρεΐδαο, ὅππότε ἂν ἡβήσῃ τε καὶ ἡς ἰμείρεται αἰὺς, i. e. *vengeance will come from Orestes, when he shall grow up, &c.* (like εἰάν ποτε ἡβήσῃ). Od. I. 40. Τότε δ' αὖτε μαχήσεται, ὅππότε κέν μιν θυμὸς ἐνὶ στήθεσσιν ἀνώγῃ καὶ θεὸς ὄρσῃ. II. IX, 702. Ἀλλ' ἄγεθ', ὥς ἂν ἐγὼν εἶπω, πειθώμ'θα πάντες, *let us obey as I may direct, i. e. if I give any direction (εἰάν πως εἶπω), let us obey it*. II. II, 139. Ἡμεῖς αὖτ' ἀλόχους τε φίλας καὶ νήπια τέκνα ἄξομεν ἐν νήεσσιν, ἐπὴν πτολίεθρον ἔλωμεν, *when we shall have taken the city*. II. IV. 238. So εὖτ' ἂν πίπτωσιν, II. I, 242. Οὐκοῦν, ὅταν δὴ μὴ σθένω, πεπαύσομαι, *therefore, when I shall have no more strength, I will cease*. SOPH. Ant. 91. Ταῦτα, ἐπειδὴν περὶ τοῦ γένους εἶπω, ἐρῶ, *I will speak of this, when I shall have spoken about my birth*. DEM. Eubul. 1303, 25. (See § 20, Note 1.) Ἐπειδὴν διαπράξωμαι ἂ δέομαι, ἥξω. XEN. An. II, 3, 29. Τίνα οἴεσθε αὐτὴν ψυχὴν ἔξειν, ὅταν ἐμὲ ἴδῃ τῶν πατρώων ἀπεστερημένον; *what feelings do you think she will have, when (or if at any time) she shall see me, &c.*? DEM. Aph. II, 842, 16. Τούτων δὲ Ἀθηναίους φημί δεῖν εἶναι πεντακοσίους, ἐξ ἧς ἂν τινας ὑμῖν ἡλικίας καλῶς ἔχειν δοκῇ, *from whatever age it shall seem good to you to take them (i. e. if from any particular age, &c.)* Id. Phil. I, 45, 27. Τὼν πραγμάτων τοὺς βουλευομένους (ἡγέσθαι δεῖ), ἵνα ἂν ἐκείνοις δοκῇ ταῦτα πράττειν, *in order that whatever shall seem good to them shall be done*. Ib. 51, 19. Οὐ μοι φόβου μέλαθρον ἐλπίς ἐμπατεῖν, ὥς ἂν αἴθῃ πῦρ ἐφ' ἐστίας ἐμῆς Αἴγισθος, *so long as he shall kindle fire, &c.* AESCH. Agam. 1435.

NOTE. The Future Indicative is rarely, if ever, used in conditional relative clauses, as in common protasis (§ 50, 1, Note 1), in the place of the Subjunctive; as it would generally be ambiguous, appearing as if the antecedent were definite. Ὅσοι βουλήσονται, THUC. I, 22, is perhaps to be explained in this way. See XEN. Cyr. I, 5, 13. In such examples as *ᾧ μὴ τις αὐτὸς παρέσται*, *unless he was to be present himself*, the Future is used as it is in the form of protasis explained § 49, 1, N. 3.

4. When the relative clause refers to the future less distinctly and vividly (like a protasis of the form § 50, 2), and the antecedent clause contains an Optative referring to the future, the relative is followed by the Optative (*without ἄν*).

The Optative in the antecedent clause may be in an

apodosis with *ἂν* or a protasis (§ 50, 2), in an expression of a wish (§ 82), or in a final clause. E. g.

Μάλα κεν θρασυκάρδιος εἴη, ὃς τότε γηθήσειεν ἰδὼν πόνον οὐδ ἀκάχοιτο (i. e. εἴ τις γηθήσειε, μάλα κεν θρασυκάρδιος εἴη). *any one who should then rejoice would be very stout-hearted.* II. XIII, 313. So II. VI, 329 and 521; XIV, 247: ARIST. Nub. 1250. Οὐκ ἂν οὖν θρέψαις ἄνδρα, ὅστις ἐθέλοι τε καὶ δύναιτο σοῦ ἀπερύκειν τοὺς ἐπιχειροῦντας ἀδικεῖν σέ; *would you not support any man who should be both willing and able, &c.?* XEN. Mem. II, 9, 2. Πεινῶν φάγοι ἂν ὅποτε βούλοιτο, *when he is hungry, he would eat whenever he might wish* (like εἴ ποτε βούλοιτο). Ib. II, 1, 18. So Mem. I, 5, 4; I, 7, 3; IV, 2, 20. Πῶς οὖν ἂν εἰδείης περὶ τούτου τοῦ πρίγματος, οὐ παντάπασιν ἄπειρος εἴης; *how then could you know about that thing of which you had no experience at all?* PLAT. Men. 92 C. Ἄρ' ἂν ἡγοῖο ταῦτα σὰ εἶναι, ἃ σοι ἐξείη καὶ ἀποδόσθαι καὶ δοῖναι καὶ θῆσαι ὅτῳ βούλοιο θεῶν; Id. Euthyd. 302 A. Τί ἂν παθεῖν (δύναιτο), ὃ μὴ καὶ ὑφ' αὐτοῦ πάθοι; *what could he suffer, unless he should suffer it also from himself?* (i. e. εἴ μὴ πάθοι). PLAT. Lys. 214 E. Ὁ δὲ μὴ ἀγαπήῃ, οὐδ' ἂν φιλοῖ (i. e. εἴ τι μὴ ἀγαπήῃ, οὐδ' ἂν φιλοῖ τοῦτο). Ib. 215 B. Ὅσῳ δὲ πρεσβύτερος γίγνοιτο, μᾶλλον αἰεὶ ἀσπάξοιτο ἂν (χρήματα), *the older he should grow, the more he would always cling to it* (i. e. εἴ τι πρεσβύτερος γίγνοιτο, τόσῳ μᾶλλον ἀσπάξοιτο ἂν). PLAT. Rep. VIII, 549 B. So III, 412 D; VIII, 557 B. Φήσομεν μηδέποτε μηδὲν ἂν μείζον μηδὲ ἑλάττον γενέσθαι. ἕως ἴσον εἴη αὐτὸ ἑαυτῷ, *so long as it should remain equal to itself.* PLAT. Theæt. 155 A. So βουλοίμην κε, . . . ᾧ μὴ εἴη, Od. XI, 489.

Εἰ δὲ βούλοιο τῶν φίλων τινὰ προτρέψασθαι ὅποτε ἀποδημοίης ἐπιμελίσθαι τῶν σῶν, τί ἂν ποιήης; XEN. Mem. II, 3, 12. Εἰκότως ἂν καὶ παρὰ θεῶν πρακτικώτερος εἴη, ὅστις μὴ ὅποτε ἐν ἀπόροις εἴη τότε κολακεύοι, ἀλλ' ὅτε τὰ ἄριστα πράττοι τότε μάλιστα τῶν θεῶν μεμνῶτο. Id. Cyr. I, 6, 3. Ὡς ἀπόλοιτο καὶ ἄλλος, ὃ τις τοιαῦτά γε ῥέξοι, *O that any other man might likewise perish who should do the like* (i. e. εἴ τις τοιαῦτα ῥέξοι). Od. I, 47. Ἐγίγνωσκε δεῖν τοὺς ὑπηρέτας τοῦτο ἀσκεῖν, ὥς πάντα νομίζοιεν πρέπειν αὐτοῖς πράττειν ὅσα ὁ ἄρχων προστάττοι. XEN. Cyr. II, I, 31.

REMARK. All these examples fall also under the general rule for assimilation, § 64, 1.

§ 62. A conditional relative sentence (like a protasis, § 51) may express a *general* supposition. This happens when the verb of the antecedent clause denotes a *customary* or *repeated* action or a *general truth*, while the relative clause refers *indefinitely* to *any one* of a series or class of acts, and not to a definite act or a definite series of acts.

Here the Subjunctive with *ὅς ἄν, ὅταν, &c.* is used after primary tenses, and the Optative (without *ἄν*) after secondary tenses. E. g.

Ἐχθρὸς γάρ μοι κείνος ὁμῶς Ἀΐδαο πύλησιν, ὅς χ' ἕτερον μὲν κεῖθ' ἔνι φρεσὶν, ἄλλο δὲ εἴπῃ, *for that man (i. e. any man) is hated by me like the very gates of Hades, who conceals one thing in his mind and speaks another.* II. IX, 312. Νεμεσσωμαί γε μὲν οἳδὲν κλαίειν ὅς κε θάνῃσι βροτῶν καὶ πότμον ἐνίσπῃ, *I am never at all indignant at weeping for any mortal who may die, &c.* Od. IV, 195. Καὶ γὰρ συμμαχεῖν τοῦτοισι θέλουσιν ἅπαντες, οὓς ἂν ὁρῶσι παρεσκευασμένοις, *for all men are (always) willing to be allies to those whom they see prepared.* DEM. Phil. I, 42, 1. Καίπερ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, ἐν ᾧ μὲν ἂν πολεμῶσι, τὸν παρόντα (πόλεμον) αἰεὶ μέγιστον κρινόντων, *although men always consider the present war the greatest, so long as they are engaged in it.* THUC. I, 21. Πορεύονται τε γὰρ αἱ ἀγέλαι ἧ ἂν αὐτὰς εὐθύνωσιν οἱ νομεῖς, νέμονται τε χωρία ἐφ' ὅποια ἂν αὐτὰς ἐφίωσιν, ἀπέχονται τε ὧν ἂν αὐτὰς ἀπείργωσι· καὶ τοῖς καρποῖς ἑῷσι τοὺς νομέας χρῆσθαι οὕτως ὅπως ἂν αὐτοὶ βούλωνται· ἄνθρωποι δὲ ἐπ' οὐδεῖνας μᾶλλον συνίστανται ἢ ἐπὶ τοῦτους οὓς ἂν αἴσθωνται ἄρχειν αὐτῶν ἐπιχειροῦντας. XEN. Cyr. I, 1, 2. Νομίζω προστάτου ἔργον εἶναι οἷον δεῖ, ὅς ἂν ὁρῶν τοὺς φίλους ἐξαπατωμένους μὴ ἐπιτρέπῃ, *i. e. such as one ought always to be, who, &c.* Id. Hell. II, 3, 51. Καταφρόνησις δὲ (ἐγγίγνεται), ὅς ἂν καὶ γνώμῃ πιστεύῃ τῶν ἐναντίων προεχέειν, ὁ ἡμῖν ὑπάρχει. THUC. II, 62. (Here the *ὅς* refers to all that precedes, as a definite antecedent.)

Οὐ μὲν γὰρ μείζον κλέος ἀνέρος, ὅφρα κ' ἔῃσιν, ἢ ὁ τι ποσὶν τε ῥέξῃ καὶ χερσίν. Od. VIII, 147. ("Οφρα κ' ἔῃσιν, *so long as he lives.*) (Θεοὺς) παρατρῶπῶς ἄνθρωποι λισσόμενοι, ὅτε κέν τις ὑπερβῇ καὶ ἀμάρτῃ. II. IX, 500. Ἡμισυ γάρ τ' ἀρετῆς ἀποιύνται, εὐρύοπα Ζεὺς ἀνέρος, εὖτ' ἂν μιν κατὰ δοῖλιον ἡμαρ ἔλῃσιν. Od. XVIII, 322. Φιλέει δὲ κως προσημαίνειν, εὖτ' ἂν μέλλῃ μεγάλα κακὰ ἢ πόλις ἢ ἔθνος ἔσσεσθαι. IDOT. VI, 27. Φεύγουσι γάρ τοι χοὶ θρασεῖς, ὅταν πέλας ἦδῃ τὸν Ἀἰδην εἰσορῶσι τοῦ βίου. SOPH. Ant. 580. Ἡνίκ' ἂν δ' οἴκοι γένωνται, δρώσιν οὐκ ἀνασχετά. ARIST. Pac. 1179. Ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἡ ἐκφορὰ ἦ, λάρνακας ἄγουσιν ἄμαξαι. THUC. II, 34. Ἐπειδὰν δὲ κρύψωσι γῇ, ἀνὴρ ἡρημένος ὑπὸ τῆς πόλεως, ὅς ἂν γνώμῃ τε δοκῇ μὴ ἀξύνετος εἶναι, λέγει ἐπ' αὐτοῖς ἔπαινον τὸν πρέποντα. Ibid. Ἐως ἂν σώζῃται τὸ σκάφος, τότε χρὴ προθύμους εἶναι· ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἡ θάλασσα ὑπέρσχη, μάταιος ἡ σπουδὴ. DEM. Phil. III, 128, 22. Σοῦστ' ἂν δείσωσιν, XEN. Mem. III, 5, 6.

Οὐ τίνα γὰρ τίσκεον ἐπιχθονίων ἀνθρώπων, οὐ κακὸν οὐδὲ μὲν εὐθλόν, ὃ τίς σφεας εἰσαφίκοιτο, *i. e. they were never in the habit of honoring any one who came to them.* Od. XXII, 414. Καὶ οὓς μὲν ἴδοι εὐτάκτως καὶ σιωπῇ ἰόντας, προσελαύνων αὐτοῖς τίνες τε εἰεν ἡρώτα, καὶ ἐπεὶ πύθοιτο ἐπῆναι. XEN. Cyr. V, 3, 55. (Here ἡρώτα and ἐπῆναι denote the *habit* of Cyrus.) Καὶ τοῖς μὲν Ἀθηναίοις ἠῴζετο τὸ ναυτικὸν ἀπὸ τῆς δαπάνης ἣν ἐκείνοι ξυμφέροιεν, αὐτοὶ δὲ, ὅποτε ἀποσταίεν, ἀπαράσκευτοι καὶ ἀπειροὶ ἐς τὸν πόλεμον καθίσταντο, *and the Athenian*

navy continued to increase from the money which these contributed, and they, whenever they revolted, always found themselves unprepared and inexperienced for war. THUC. I, 99.

Ἐπὶ Μοῖριος βασιλέως, ὅπως ἔλθοι ὁ ποταμὸς ἐπ' ὀκτὼ πήχους, ἄρδεσκε Αἴγυπτον τὴν ἔνερθε Μέμφιος, i. e. *whenever the river rose.* HDT. II, 13. Τὸν δὲ χοῦν τὸν ἐκφορεύμενον, ὅπως γίνοιτο νύξ, ἐς τὸν Τίγριν ἐξεφόρεον, i. e. *they carried it away every night.* Id. II. 150. Οἱ δὲ (Κάρες), ὅπως Μίνως δέοιτο, ἐπλήρουν οἱ τὰς νέας. Id. I, 171. Ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀνοιχθείη, εἰσήμεν παρὰ τὸν Σωκράτη, i. e. *each morning, when the prison was opened, &c.* PLAT. Phaed. 59 D. Ὅτε ἕξω τοῦ θεινοῦ γένοιντο, πολλοὶ αὐτὸν ἀπέλειπον, *many (always) left him, when they were out of danger.* XEN. AN. II, 6, 12. (If ἐγένοντο had been used, the whole sentence would refer to a particular case in which many left him.)

REMARK. The gnomic Aorist, and the other *gnomic* and *iterative* tenses of § 30, can be used in the antecedent clause of these general propositions. The gnomic Aorist, as usual, is a *primary* tense. (See § 32, 2.) E. g.

Ὅς κε θεοῖς ἐπιπείθεται, μάλα τ' ἔκλυον αὐτοῦ, *whoever obeys the Gods, to him they are ready to listen.* II. I, 218. Ὅταν τις ὥσπερ οὗτος ἰσχύσῃ, ἡ πρώτη πρόφασις ἅπαντα ἀνεχαίτισε καὶ διέλυσεν. DEM. OL. II, 20, 27. Ὅποτε προσβλέψει τις τῶν ἐν ταῖς τάξεσι, εἴπεν αὖν, ὃ ἄνδρες, κ.τ.λ., i. e. *he used to say, &c.* XEN. Cyr. VII, 1, 10. (See § 30, 2.) Οὐτ' ἄλλοτε πώποτε πρὸς χάριν εἰλόμην λέγειν, ὅ τι ἂν μὴ καὶ συνοίσειν πεπεισμένος ὃ, *I have never on other occasions preferred to say anything in order to please, unless I have been convinced that it would also be for your advantage.* DEM. Phil. I, 54, 27. (Here εἰλόμην is used in a sense approaching that of the gnomic Aorist, so as to be followed by a Subjunctive. See § 30, 1, N. 1.)

NOTE 1. The Indicative is sometimes used instead of the Subjunctive and Optative in relative sentences of this class. (See § 51, N. 3.) Here the speaker refers to one of the cases in which the event in question is liable to occur, as if it were the only case, instead of referring indefinitely to *all possible* cases alike (as when the Subjunctive or Optative is used). This use of the Indicative occurs especially after the indefinite relative ὅστις; as the idea of indefiniteness, which is usually expressed by the Subjunctive or Optative, is here sufficiently expressed by the relative itself. E. g.

Ἐχθρὸς γάρ μοι κείνος ὁμῶς Ἀἰδαο πύλῃσιν
Γίγνεται, ὃς πενήντ' εἰκὼν ἀπατήλια βάζει. Od. XIV, 156
Compare this with the first example (II. IX, 312) under § 62.

Ἔμοι γὰρ ὅστις πᾶσαν εὐθύνων πόλιν
 Μὴ τῶν ἀρίστων ἄπτεται βουλευμάτων,
 Ἀλλ' ἐκ φόβου του γλώσσαν ἐγκλείσας ἔχει,
 Κάκιστος εἶναι νῦν τε καὶ πάλοι δοκεῖ.
 Καὶ μείζον' ὅστις ἀντὶ τῆς αὐτοῦ πάτρας
 Φίλον νομίζει, τοῦτον οὐδαμοῦ λέγω. SOPH. Ant. 178.

(Here we might have had ὅς ἂν . . . μὴ ἄπτηται, ἀλλ' . . . ἔχη, and ὅς ἂν νομίζῃ, without any essential difference in meaning.)

Οἵτινες πρὸς τὰς ξυμφορὰς γνώμῃ μὲν ἥκιστα λυποῦνται, ἔργῳ δὲ μάλιστα ἀντέχουσιν, οὗτοι καὶ πόλεων καὶ ιδιωτῶν κράτιστοί εἰσιν. THUC. II, 64. So in the same chapter, ὅστις λαμβάνει. "Εθαπτον τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ὁπόσους ἐπελάμβανεν ἡ στρατιά, they continued to bury in the same way as many as the army took up. XEN. An. VI, 5, 6. (Here ἐπιλαμβάνοι might have been used.) "Ὅστις δ' ἀφικνεῖτο τῶν παρὰ βασιλέως πρὸς αὐτὸν, πάντας ἀπεπέμπετο. Ib. I, 1, 5. "Ὅπου δὲ χιλὸς σπάνιος πάνυ εἶη, αὐτὸς δ' ἐδύνατο παρασκευάσθαι, διαπέμπων ἐκέλευε τοὺς φίλους ἵπποις ἐμβάλλειν τοῦτον. Ib. I, 9, 27. (In the last two examples there is some Ms. authority for the more regular ἀφικνοῖτο and δύναιτο.) See also An. I, 9, 13.

All these examples fall under the first class of conditional relative sentences, § 61, 1. So in common protasis, § 51, N. 3.

NOTE 2. The Greek generally uses the Indicative in relative clauses depending on *general negative* sentences, where in Latin a Subjunctive is more common. E. g.

Παρ' ἐμοὶ δὲ οὐδεὶς μισθοφορεῖ, ὅστις μὴ ἱκανὸς ἐστὶν ἵσα πονεῖν ἐμοί. i. e. *no one who is not able (no one unless he is able), nemo qui non possit.* XEN. Hell. VI, 1, 5. These sentences are regular protases, and belong under the rule of § 61, 1. (See Note 1.)

NOTE 3. (a.) In Homer, similes and comparisons are often expressed by the Subjunctive after ὥς, ὥς τε, ὥς ὅτε, ὥς ὅς (seldom, ὥς ἂν, &c.), where we should expect the Present Indicative, which sometimes occurs. Besides the singular use of the Subjunctive in these expressions, the omission of ἂν or κέ is especially to be noticed. (See § 63, 1.) E. g.

Ὅς δὲ γυνὴ κλαίῃσι φίλον πόσιν ἀμφιπεσοῦσα,

Ὅς τε εἴς πρόσθεν πόλιος λαῶν τε πέσῃσιν;

Ὅς Ὀδυσσεὺς ἐλεεινὸν ὑπ' ὀφρύσι δάκρυον εἶβεν,

Ulysses wept as a wife weeps, &c. Od. VIII, 523–531.

Ὅς δ' ὅτ' ὀπωρινὸς Βορέης φορέῃσιν ἀκάνθας

Ἀμ πεδίον, πυκινὰ δὲ πρὸς ἀλλήλησιν ἔχονται,

Ὅς τὴν ἄμ πέλαγος ἄνεμοι φέρον ἔνθα καὶ ἔνθα. Od. V, 328.

Ὅς δ' ὅτ' ἂν ἀστράπτῃ πόσις Ἥρης ἠυκόμοιο, . . .

Ὅς πυκὶν' ἐν στήθεσσιν ἀνεστενάχῃ Ἀγαμέμνων. Il. X, 5–9.

Οἱ δ', ὥς τ' ἀμῆτηρες ἐναντίοι ἀλλήλοισιν ὄγμον ἐλαύνωσιν, ὥς

Τρώες καὶ Ἀχαιοὶ ἐπ' ἀλλήλοισι θορόντες δῆλουν. II. XI, 67–71. See also II. XII, 167–172.

(b.) In many cases the Subjunctive or the Present Indicative is followed by the gnomic Aorist in the same simile, the Aorist being merely a more vivid form of expression than the others. (See § 30, 1, Notes 2 and 3.) E. g.

Ὡς δ' ὅτε καπνὸς ἰὼν εἰς οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν ἵκηται
 ἄσπετος αἰθομένοιο, θεῶν δέ ἐ μῆνις ἀνῆκεν,
 Πᾶσι δ' ἔθηκε πόνον, πολλοῖσι δὲ κήδε' ἐφῆκεν,
 Ὡς Ἀχιλεὺς Τρώεσσι πόνον καὶ κήδε' ἔθηκεν. II. XXI, 522.

Ὅ δ' ἐν κονίησι χαμαὶ πέσεν, αἰγίρος ὥς,
 Ἡ ῥά τ' ἐν εἰαμενῇ ἔλεος μεγάλοιο πεφύκει
 Λεῖη, ἀτὰρ τέ οἱ ὄξοι ἐπ' ἀκροτάτῃ πεφύασιν.
 Τὴν μὲν θ' ἀρματοπηγὸς ἀνὴρ αἰθῶνι σιδήρῳ
 ἔξεταμ', ὄφρα ἵτυν κάμψῃ περικαλλεῖ δίφρῳ.
 Ἡ μὲν τ' ἀζομένη κεῖται ποταμοῖο παρ' ὄχθας.
 Τοῖον ἄρ' Ἀνθεμίδην Σιμοεΐσιον ἐξενάριξεν
 Αἴας διογενῆς. II. IV, 482.

§ 63. 1. (a.) In Homer, the relatives (like *εἰ*) often take the Subjunctive *without* *ἄν* or *κέ*, the sense being apparently the same as when *ἄν* is used. (§ 50, 1, N. 2.) E. g.

Ὅττι μάλ' οὐ δηναῖος, ὃς ἀθανάτοισι μάχεται. II. V, 407.
 Ἀνθρώπους ἐφόρᾳ, καὶ τίνυται ὃς τις ἀμάρτη. Od. XIII, 214.
 Ζεὺς δ' αὐτὸς νέμει ὄλβον Ὀλύμπιος ἀνθρώποισιν,
 Ἑσθλοῖς ἡδὲ κακοῖσιν, ὅπως ἐθέλῃσιν, ἐκάστω. Od. VI, 188.
 Οὐ μὴν σοὶ ποτε ἴσον ἔχω γέρας, ὅππότε Ἀχαιοὶ
 Τρώων ἐκπέρσωσ' εὐναιόμενον πτολίεθρον. II. I, 163.
 Οὐ μὲν γάρ ποτέ φησι κακὸν πείσεσθαι ὀπίσσω,
 Ὅφρ' ἀρετὴν παρέχωσι θεοὶ καὶ γούνατ' ὀρώρη,
 so long as the Gods shall supply valor, &c. Od. XVIII, 132.

(b.) The same omission of *ἄν* is not uncommon in the Attic poets; and even in prose a few exceptional cases occur, if we follow the Mss. (§ 50, 1, N. 3.) E. g.

Γέροντα δ' ὀρθοῦν φλαῦρον, ὃς νέος πέσῃ. SOPH. O. C. 395. Τῶν δὲ πημονῶν μάλιστα λυποῦσ' αἰ φανῶσ' αὐθαίρετοι. Id. O. T. 1231. Τοῖσι γὰρ μήτε ἄστεα μήτε τείχεα ἢ ἐκτισμένα, . . . κὼς οὐκ ἄν εἴσαν οὗτοι ἄμαχοι; HDT. IV, 46. (See § 63, 4, a.) Ἐπιχώριον ὃν ἡμῖν οὐ μὲν βραχεῖς ἀρκῶσι μὴ πολλοῖς χρῆσθαι, ἢ being our national habit not to use many words where few suffice. THUC. IV, 17. (Yet the sentence continues, πλείοσι δὲ ἐν ᾧ ἄν καιρὸς ἦ, κ. τ. λ.) See § 66, 4, N.

2. The adverb *ἄν* is sometimes used with the Optative or Indicative in conditional relative clauses, when the relative

clause is itself an *apodosis*, with a prota-is expressed or implied. In Homer *κέ* with the Optative sometimes occurs where there is no apodosis, as in ordinary protasis. (See § 50, 2, N. 2, a, b.) E. g.

Ἐξ ὧν ἂν τις εὖ λέγων διαβάλλοι, ἐκ τούτων αὐτοὺς πείσεσθαι (ἔφη), *he said that they would form their opinion upon any slanders which any good speaker might (if he pleased) chance to utter.* THUC. VII, 48. But in Od. II, 54, ὥς κε . . . δοίη ᾧ κ' ἐθέλοι, *that he might give her to any one he pleased*, ᾧ κ' ἐθέλοι does not differ from the ordinary ᾧ ἐθέλοι = εἴ τιμι ἐθέλοι. In DEM. Phil. I, 41, 3, οἶον ἂν βούλοισθε is merely a conjectural emendation for οἶον ἂν βούλησθε, which is a regular example illustrating § 62. Ὀντιν' ἂν ὑμεῖς εἰς ταύτην τὴν τάξιν κατεστήσατε, οὗτος τῶν ἴσων αἴτιος ἦν ἂν κακῶν ὅσων περ καὶ οὗτος, *any one soever whom you might have appointed (if you had chosen) to this post would have been the cause of as great calamities as this man has been.* DEM. F. L. 350, 3. (Without the ἂν after ὄντινα, this would have been a regular example under § 61, 2, ὄντινα κατεστήσατε being equivalent to εἴ τινα ἄλλον κατεστήσατε, *if you had appointed any one else (which you did not do)*. With the ἂν, it is itself an apodosis with a suppressed protasis; unless we can suppose that the ἂν was used, like *κέ* in the Homeric example, without affecting the sense. See § 49, 2, N. 4.)

3. A conditional relative clause, like an ordinary protasis, may depend upon an Infinitive or Participle (with or without ἂν), or upon a final clause. See the last three examples under § 61, 3. (Compare § 53; § 55, 2.)

4. The conditional relative clause may have a form different from that of its apodosis. This happens under the same circumstances as in common protasis. (See § 54.)

(a.) An Indicative or Subjunctive in the relative clause may depend upon an Optative with ἂν in the apodosis, either when the expressed apodosis belongs to an implied protasis (§ 54, 1, a). or when the Optative with ἂν is considered a *primary* tense, from its resemblance in sense to the Future Indicative (54, 1, b). See also § 34, 1, b. E. g.

Οὐκοῦν καὶ τὸ ὑγιαίνειν καὶ τὸ νοσεῖν, ὅταν ἀγαθοῦ τινος αἷτια γίνηται, ἀγαθὰ ἂν εἴη, i. e. *when they prove to be the causes of any good, they would be good things (if we should accept your doctrine).* XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 32. So Mem. II, 2, 3; and PLAT. Rep. II, 379 B. Ἐγὼ δὲ ταύτην μὲν τὴν εἰρήνην, ἕως ἂν εἰς Ἀθηναῖα ἴπῃται, οὐδέποτε ἂν συμβουλεύσαιμι ποιήσασθαι τῇ πόλει. *I would never advise the city to make this peace, so long as a single Athenian shall be left.* DEM. F. L. 345, 14. (Here ἕως λείποιο, *so long as one should be left*, would be more regular.) So ARIST. Nub. 1151; SOPH. El. 697.

(b.) The Optative (without *ἄν*) in the relative clause occasionally depends upon a *primary* tense in the apodosis. This may arise from the slight distinction between the Subjunctive and Optative in such sentences (§ 54, 2, a); as in II XIII, 317, αἰπύ οἱ ἐσσεύεται νῆας ἐμπρῆσαι, ὅτε μὴ αὐτός γε Κρονίων ἐμβάλοι αἰθόμενον δαλὸν νήεσσι, *it will be a hard task for him, unless the son of Kronos should hurl, &c.* (More regularly, ὅτε κε μὴ ἐμβάλη, *unless he shall hurl, &c.*)

The Optative in the Relative clause sometimes depends on a verb of *necessity, obligation, propriety, possibility, &c.* with an Infinitive, the two forming an expression that is nearly equivalent in sense to an Optative with *ἄν*, which would be expected in their place (§ 54, 2, b). E. g.

Ἄλλ' ὃν πόλις στήσειε, τοῦδε χρὴ κλύειν, *we should obey any one whom the state appoints (if the state should appoint any one, we ought to obey him).* SOPH. Ant. 666. (Χρὴ κλύειν is followed by the Optative from its resemblance in sense to δικαίως ἂν κλύοι τις.) Ἄλλὰ τοῦ μὲν αὐτὸν λέγειν ἂ μὴ σαφῶς εἰδεῖν φείδεσθαι δεῖ, ἵ. ε. *we ought to abstain, &c.*; like φείδοιτο ἄν τις. XEN. Cyr. I, 6, 19. Οὓς δὲ ποιήσασθαι τις βούλοιοτο συνεργούς προθύμους, τούτους παντάπασιν ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ ἀγαθοῖς θηρατέον εἶναι. Ib. II, 4, 10. (Here θηρατέον εἶναι = θηρᾶν δεῖν.) Ὑπερορᾶν οὐ δυνατόν ὑμῶν ἀνδρὶ ὃς εἰδεῖν κυρίου ὄντας ὅ τι βούλεσθε αὐτῷ χρησθαι. Id. Hell. VII, 3, 7.

5. The Indicative is generally used in Greek (as in Latin) in *parenthetical* relative clauses, like ὅ τι ποτ' ἐστίν, *whatever it is* (quidquid est), ὅστις ποτ' ἐστίν (or ἔσται), &c. E. g.

Ζεὺς, ὅστις ποτ' ἐστίν, εἰ τόδ' αὐτῷ φίλον κεκλημένῳ, τοῦτό νυν προσενέπω, Zeus, *whoever he may be, &c.* AESCH. Agam. 160. Δουλεύομεν θεοῖς, ὅ τι ποτ' εἰσὶν θεοί. EUR. Orest. 418.

The Subjunctive, however, sometimes occurs; in which case the expression belongs under § 62 or § 61, 3: as in AESCHIN. Tim. § 127, ἀλλ' ὁ προσαφάμενος αὐτῶν καὶ παρατυχῶν, ὅστις ἂν ᾖ, λόγον παρέχει. So DEM. Phil. I, 47, 24.

Assimilation in Dependent Relative Clauses.

§ 64. 1. When a conditional relative clause *referring to the future* depends on a Subjunctive or Optative referring to the future, it regularly takes by assimilation the same mood with its leading verb. Such a leading verb may be in protasis or apodosis, in another conditional relative clause, in the expression of a wish (§ 82), or in a final clause. E. g.

Ἐάν τινες οἱ ἂν δύνωνται τοῦτο ποιῶσι, καλῶς ἔξει, *if any who shall be able do this, it will be well.* Εἴ τινες οἱ δύναιντο τοῦτο ποιῶεν, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι, *if any who should be able should do this, it would be*

well. Εἴθε πάντες οἱ δύναντο τοῦτο ποιοῖεν, *O that all who may be able would do this.* (Here the principle of assimilation makes οἱ δύναντο after an Optative preferable to οἱ ἂν δύνωνται, which would express the same idea.) So in Latin: Si absurde canat is qui se haberi velit musicum, turpius sit. — Sic injurias fortunae quas ferre nequeas defugiendo relinquas.

For examples see § 61, 3 and 4.

2. When a conditional relative clause depends on a secondary tense of the Indicative implying the non-fulfilment of a condition, it regularly takes a secondary tense of the Indicative by *assimilation*. The leading Indicative may be in protasis or apodosis (§ 49, 2), in another conditional relative clause (§ 61, 2), in an expression of a wish (§ 83), or in a final clause (§ 44, 3). E. g.

Εἴ τις οἱ ἐδύναντο τοῦτο ἔπραξαν, καλῶς ἂν ἔσχευ, *if any who had been able had done this, it would have been well.* Εἴθε πάντες οἱ ἐδύναντο τοῦτο ἔπραξαν, *O that all who had been able had done this.* So in Latin: Nam si solos eos diceris miseros quibus moriendum esset, neminem tu quidem eorum qui viverent exciperes.

REMARK 1. It will be seen that this principle of assimilation accounts for the Indicative and Optative in a conditional relative sentence, which have been already explained by the analogy of the ordinary forms of protasis. (See § 61, 2 and 4.) In fact, wherever this assimilation occurs, the relative clause stands as a protasis to its antecedent clause, although the latter may be itself a protasis to another apodosis. (See § 31.) Occasionally this principle is disregarded, so that a Subjunctive depends on an Optative. (See the examples under § 34, 1, *b*, and § 63, 4, *a*.)

REMARK 2. The Indicative in the construction of § 61, 1, referring simply to the present or past, cannot be affected by assimilation, as that would change its time. E. g.

Μήτ' ἐμοὶ παράστιος ἔνοιο, μήτ' ἴσον φρονῶν, ὅς τάδ' ἔρδει (i. e. εἴ τις τάδ' ἔρδει). SOL Ant. 372.

NOTE 1. The principle of § 64 applies only to *conditional* relative clauses. If the relative refers to a *definite* antecedent, so that its verb denotes a *fact* and not a supposition, the principle of assimilation does not apply, and the Indicative (or any other construction required by the sense, § 59, N. 1) is used. E. g.

Εἰ τῶν πολιτῶν οἷσι νῦν πιστεύομεν, τοῦτοις ἀπιστήσαιμεν, οἷς δ' οὐ χρώμεθα, τοῦτοις χρησαίμεσθα, σωθήμεν ἂν. ARIST. Ran. 1446. Εἴθ' ἦσθα δυνατός δραν ὅσον πρόθυμος εἶ, *O that thou couldst do as much as thou art eager to do.* EUR. Heracl. 731. (If the Imperfect had been used by assimilation, the meaning would be *as much as thou wert (or mightest be) eager to do.*)

NOTE 2. Conditional relative clauses depending on a Subjunctive or Optative in a *general* supposition (§ 51, § 62) are generally assimilated to the Subjunctive or Optative; but sometimes they take the Indicative on the principle of § 62, Note 1. E. g.

Οὐδ', ἐπειδὴν ὦν ἂν πρίηται κύριος γένηται, τῷ προδότῃ συμβούλῳ περὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἔτι χρήται. DEM. Cor. 241, 15. See PLAT. Rep. VI, 508 C and D; Charm. 164 B. 'Ο δὲ τότε μάλιστα ἔχαιρεν, ὁπότε τάχιστα τυχόντας ὦν δέοιντο ἀποπέμποι. XEN. Ages. IX, 2.

Αἰτία μὲν γάρ ἐστιν, ὅταν τις ψιλῷ χρησάμενος λόγῳ μὴ παράσχηται πίστιν ὦν λέγει, ἔλεγχος δέ, ὅταν ὦν ἂν εἴπῃ τις καὶ τὰληθὲς ὁμοῦ δείξῃ. DEM. Androt. 600, 5. (Here ὦν λέγει and ὦν ἂν εἴπῃ are nearly equivalent.) 'Εκάλει δὲ καὶ ἐτίμα ὁπότε τινὰς ἴδοι τοιοῦτον ποιήσαντας ὁ πάντας ἐβούλετο ποιεῖν. XEN. Cyr. II, 1, 30. (Here βούλοιτο for ἐβούλετο would have corresponded to δέοιντο in the second example quoted.)

REMARK. The conjunction δέ is occasionally used to introduce the clause on which a relative depends. Its force here is the same as in apodosis. (See § 57.) E. g.

Οἷη περ φύλλων γενεή, τοίη δέ καὶ ἀνδρῶν. Π. VI, 146. 'Επεὶ τε ὁ πόλεμος κατέστη, ὃ δὲ φαίνεται καὶ ἐν τούτῳ προγνούς τὴν δύναμιν, and when the war broke out, (then) he appears, &c. THUC. II, 65. Μέχρι μὲν οὖν οἱ τοξόται εἶχον τε τὰ βέλη αὐτοῖς καὶ οἰοί τε ἦσαν χρῆσθαι, οἱ δὲ ἀντείχον, so long as their archers both had their arrows and were able to use them, they held out. Id. III, 98. Ὡς περ οἱ ὀπλίται, οὕτω δὲ καὶ οἱ πελτασταί. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 5, 12. So φαίνονται δέ, THUC. I, 11; and ἐγίγνοντο δέ, AESCHIN. Cor. § 69.

Relative Clauses expressing a Purpose, Result, &c.

§ 65. 1. The relative is used with the *Future Indicative* to denote a *purpose* or *object*. E. g.

Πρεσβεῖαν δὲ πέμπειν, ἣτις ταῦτ' ἐρεῖ καὶ παρέσται τοῖς πράγμασιν, and to send an embassy to say these things, and to be present at the transaction. DEM. Ol. I, 10, 1. Φημὶ δὴ δεῖν ἡμᾶς πρὸς Θεταλοὺς πρεσβεῖαν πέμπειν, ἣ τοὺς μὲν διδάξει ταῦτα, τοὺς δὲ παροξυνεῖ. Ib. II, 21, 10. Ἐδοξε τῷ δήμῳ τριάκοντά ἄνδρας ἐλῆσθαι, οἱ τοὺς πατρίους νόμους ξυγγράφουσιν, καθ' οὓς πολιτεύουσιν. XEN. Hell. II, 3, 2. Οὐ γὰρ ἔστι μοι χρήματα, ὁπόθεν ἐκτίσω, for I have no money to pay the fine with. PLAT. Apol. 37 C.

The *antecedent* of the relative, in this case, may be either definite or indefinite; but the negative particle is regularly *μή*, as in final clauses (§ 43, N. 2).

REMARK. Ὅπως as a relative is sometimes used in this construction in a way which illustrates its use as a final particle. (See § 45, Rem.) E. g.

Ποίειε δὲ οὕτω ὅπως τῶν σῶν ἐνδεήσῃ μηδέν, and act so that there shall be nothing wanting on your part; lit. act in that way by which, &c. *Hom.* VII, 18. Τὸ οὕτως ἐπίστασθαι ἀνθρώπων ἄλλων προστατεύειν ὅπως ἔξουσιν πάντα τὰ ἐπιτήδεια, . . . τοῦτο θαυμαστὸν ἐφαίνεται, i. e. in such a way that they shall have, &c. *Xen. Cyr.* I, 6, 7. So *Cyr.* II, 4, 31.

NOTE. 1. (a.) The Future Indicative is the only form regularly used in prose after the relative in this sense. It is retained even after secondary tenses, seldom being changed to the Future Optative, which would here be expected by § 31, 2, and by the analogy of clauses with ὅπως (§ 45). The Future Optative, however, is found in *Soph.* O. T. 796, ἐφευγον ἔνθα μήποτ' ὁψοίμην ὀνειδῆ, — and probably in *Plat.* Rep. III, 416 C, φαίη ἂν τις δεῖν καὶ τὰς οἰκήσεις καὶ τὴν ἄλλην οὐσίαν τοιαύτην αὐτοῖς παρασκευάσασθαι, ἥτις μήτε τοὺς φύλακας ὥς ἀρίστους εἶναι παύσοι αὐτοὺς, κακουργεῖν τε μή ἐπαροῖ περὶ τοὺς ἄλλους πολίτας.

(b.) When, however, this Future is quoted indirectly after a past tense, or depends upon a clause expressing a *past* purpose (which is equivalent to standing in indirect discourse, § 26, N. 1), it is sometimes changed to the Future Optative, like any other Future Indicative. E. g.

Ἐσκόπει ὅπως ἔσοιτο αὐτῷ ὅστις ζῶντά τε γηροτροφῆσοι καὶ τελευτήσαντα θάψοι αὐτόν. *Isae.* de. Menecl. Her. § 10. Αἰρεθέντες ἐφ' ᾧ τε ξυγγραφῇ νόμους, καθ' οὓσιντις πολιτεύουσιντο, having been chosen with the condition that they should compile the laws by which they were to govern. *Xen. Hell.* II, 3, 11. (This is a sort of indirect quotation of the sentence which appears in its *direct* form in *Hell.* II, 3, 2, the example under § 65, 1.)

NOTE 2. In *Homer*, the Future Indicative is sometimes used in this sense; as in *Od.* XIV, 333, ἐπαρτέας, οἳ δὴ μιν πέμψουσιν. Sometimes the Optative with *κέ*, as an apodosis, takes the place of the Future; as in *Od.* IV, 167, ἄλλοι οἳ κεν ἀλάλκοιεν. The more common *Epic* construction is, however, the Subjunctive (generally with *κέ* joined to the relative) after primary tenses, and the Optative (Present or Aorist, *never Future*), without *κέ*, after secondary tenses. E. g.

Ἐλκος δ' ἰητὴρ ἐπιμάσσεται, ἥδ' ἐπιθήσει
Φάρμαχ', ἃ κεν παύσῃσι μελαινάων ὀδυνάων. *Il.* IV, 191.

* Ἀλλ' ἄγετε, κλητοὺς ὀτρύνομεν, οἳ κε τάχιστα
 * Ἐλθωσ' ἐς κλισίην Πηληιάδew Ἀχιλῆος. II. IX, 165.
 * Ἐκδοτε, καὶ τιμὴν ἀποτινέμεν ἣν τιν' ἔοικεν,
 * Ἦ τε καὶ ἐσσομένοισι μετ' ἀθανάτοισι πέληται. II. III, 459.
 * Ἀγγελον ἦκαν, ὃς ἀγγεῖλει γυναικί. Od. XV, 458.
 Πάπτηνεν δ' ἀνὰ πύργον Ἀχαιῶν, εἴ τιν' ἴδοιτο
 * Ἥγεμόνων, ὃς τίς οἱ ἀρὴν ἐτάροισιν ἀμύναι. II. XII, 332.

REMARK. It will be noticed that the earlier Greek here agrees with the Latin (in using the Subjunctive and Optative), while the Attic Greek differs from the Latin by using the more vivid Future Indicative.

NOTE 3. (a.) The Attic Greek allows the Subjunctive in such phrases as ἔχει ὃ τι εἶπη, *he has something to say*; where the irregularity seems to be caused by the analogy of the common expression οὐκ ἔχει ὃ τι (or τί) εἶπη, equivalent to οὐκ οἶδεν ὃ τι εἶπη, *he knows not what he shall say*, which contains an indirect question (§ 71). E. g.

Τοιοῦτον ἔθος παρέδωσαν, ὥστε . . . ἑκατέρους ἔχειν ἐφ' οἷς φιλοτιμηθῶσιν, *that both may have things in which they may glory*. ISOC. Pan. p. 49 C. § 44. (Here there is no indirect question, for the meaning is not *that they may know in what they are to glory*. See note added to Felton's Isocrates, p. 135.) Οὐδὲν ἔτι διώσει αὐτῷ, εἰ μόνον ἔχη ὅτῳ διαλέγεται, *if only he shall have some one to talk with*. PLAT. Symp. 194 D. Τοῖς μέλλουσιν ἔξειν ὃ τι εἰσφέρωσιν. XEN. Oecon. VII, 20. (Compare ἀπορεῖς ὃ τι λέγῃς and εὐπορεῖς ὃ τι λέγῃς in the same sentence, PLAT. Ion. 535 B.)

(b.) The Present or Aorist Optative very rarely occurs in Attic Greek after a past tense, but more frequently after another Optative. E. g.

* Ἄνδρα οὐδέν' ἔντοπον (ὁρῶν), οὐχ ὅστις ἀρκέσειεν, οὐδ' ὅστις νόσου κάμνοντι συλλάβοιτο, i. e. *when I saw no one there to assist me, &c.* SOPH. Phil. 281. Γόνιμον δὲ ποιητὴν ἂν οὐχ εὖροις ἔτι ζητῶν ἂν, ὅστις ῥῆμα γενναῖον λάκοι, i. e. *a poet to speak a noble word*. ARIST. Ran. 96. (Yet in vs. 98 we have the regular ὅστις φθέγγεται, depending on the same οὐκ ἂν εὖροις.) So in PLAT. Rep. III, 398 B, ὃς μιμοῖτο καὶ λέγοι, depending on χρομέθα ἂν. Τῇ ἡμετέρα πόλει οὐδέν ἂν ἐνδείξαιτο τοσοῦτον οὐδὲ ποιήσειεν, ὃ οὐ πεισθέντες τιναὶ Ἑλλήνων ἐκείνῳ προεῖσθε, i. e. *nothing so great, that you would be persuaded by him to sacrifice any of the Greeks to him*. DEM. Phil. II, 67, 20.

NOTE 4. Ὡς as a relative, in the sense of *by which* (with an antecedent like *anything* understood), is sometimes followed by an Optative with ἂν in apodosis, expressing a purpose or object. E. g.

* Ὡς μὲν ἂν εἴποιτε δικαίους λόγους καὶ λέγοντος ἄλλον συνείητε, ἄμεινον Φιλίππου παρεσκεύασθε, ὥς δὲ κωλύσaiτ' ἂν ἐκείνον πρῶτ-

τειν ταῦτα ἐφ' ὧν ἐστι νῦν, παντελῶς ἀργῶς ἔχετε, i. e. *as to means by which you could make just speeches, &c., you are better prepared than Philip; but as to anything by which you could prevent him from doing what he is now about, you are wholly inactive.* DEM. Phil. II, 66, 15. So at the end of the same oration, ὡς δ' ἂν ἐξετασθεῖη μάλιστα ἀκριβῶς, μὴ γένοιτο, i. e. *may nothing come upon us by which the truth of what I say would be thoroughly tested.*

NOTE 5. The relative with any tense of the Indicative, or even with the Optative and ἄν, can be used to denote a *result*, where ὥστε might have been expected. (§ 65, 3). This occurs chiefly after negatives, or interrogatives implying a negative. E. g.

Τίς οὕτως εἰρήθης ἐστὶν ὑμῶν, ὅστις ἀγνοεῖ τὸν ἐκείθεν πόλεμον δεῦρο ἥξοντα, ἂν ἀμελήσωμεν; i. e. *who of you is so simple as not to know, &c.?* DEM. Ol. I, 13, 16. (Here ὥστε ἀγνοεῖν might have been used.) Τίς οὕτω πόρρω τῶν πολιτικῶν ἦν πραγμάτων, ὅστις οὐκ ἐγγὺς ἡναγκάσθη γενέσθαι τῶν συμφορῶν; ISOC. Pan. p. 64 B. § 113. Τίς οὕτως ῥάθυμός ἐστιν, ὅστις οὐ μετασχεῖν βουλήσεται ταύτης τῆς στρατείας; Ib. p. 79 D. § 185. Οὐδεὶς ἂν γένοιτο οὕτως ἀδαμάντινος, ὅς ἂν μένειεν ἐν τῇ δικαιοσύνῃ, *no one would ever become so adamantine that he would remain firm in justice.* PLAT. Rep. II, 360 B.

2. Ἐφ' ᾧ or ἐφ' ᾧτε, *on condition that*, which is commonly followed by the Infinitive (§ 99), sometimes takes the Future Indicative. E. g.

Ἐπὶ τούτῳ δὲ ὑπεξίσταμαι τῆς ἀρχῆς, ἐφ' ᾧτε ὑπ' οὐδενὸς ὑμέων ἄρξομαι, *I withdraw upon this condition, that I shall be ruled, &c.* HENR. III, 83. Τούτοισι δ' ὧν πίσυνος εἶν κατήγαγε, ἐπ' ᾧτε οἱ ἀπόγονοι αὐτοῦ ἱροφάνται τῶν θεῶν ἔσονται. HDT. VII, 153. Καὶ τὴν Βοιωτίαν ἐξέλιπον Ἀθηναῖοι πᾶσαν, σπονδὰς ποιησάμενοι ἐφ' ᾧ τοὺς ἄνδρας κομιοῦνται. THUC. I, 113. Ξυνέβησαν ἐφ' ᾧτε ἐξίσαιεν ἐκ Πελοποννήσου ἰπὸσπονδοὶ καὶ μηδέποτε ἐπιβήσονται αὐτῆς. Id. I, 103.

It will be noticed here (as in Note 1) that the Future Indicative generally remains unchanged even after a secondary tense.

3. Ὡστε (sometimes ὡς), *so that, so as*, is usually followed by the Infinitive. (See § 98.) But when the action of the verb expressing the *result* after ὥστε is viewed chiefly as an *independent fact*, and not *merely as a result*, the Indicative can be used.

The Infinitive is sometimes used even here, when the Indicative

ative would seem more natural; and it often makes quite as little difference which of the two is used, as it does in English whether we say *some are so strange as not to be ashamed* (οὕτως ἄτοποι ὥστε οὐκ αἰσχύνεσθαι, DEM. F. L. 439, 29), or *some are so strange that they are not ashamed* (ὥστε οὐκ αἰσχύνονται). Here, although both expressions have the same general meaning, the former expresses the result *merely* as a result, while the latter expresses it *also* (and more distinctly) as an *independent fact*. E. g.

Οὕτως ἀγνωμόνως ἔχετε, ὥστε ἐλπίζετε αὐτὰ χρηστὰ γενήσεσθαι, κ.τ.λ. *are you so senseless that you expect, &c.* DEM. OL. II, 25, 19. (Here ὥστε ἐλπίζειν, *so senseless as to expect*, would merely make the *fact* of their expecting less prominent.) Οὕτως ἡμῖν δοκεῖ παντὸς ἄξια εἶναι, ὥστε πάντες τὸ καταλιπεῖν αὐτὰ μάλιστα φεύγομεν, *so that we all especially avoid, &c.* XEN. Mem. II, 2, 3. Οὐχ ἦκεν ὥσθ' οἱ Ἕλληνες ἐφρόντιζον. Id. An. II, 3, 25. Εἰς τοῦτ' ἀπληστίας ἦλθον, ὥστ' οὐκ ἐξήρκεσεν αὐτοῖς ἔχειν τὴν κατὰ γῆν ἀρχήν. ἀλλὰ καὶ τὴν κατὰ θάλατταν δύναμιν οὕτως ἐπεθύμησαν λαβεῖν, ὥστε τοὺς συμμάχους τοὺς ἡμετέρους ἀφίστασαν. ISOC. Panath. p. 254 A. § 103. So after ὥς, § 98, 2, N. 1: Οὕτω δὴ τι κλεινὴ ἐγένετο, ὥς καὶ πάντες οἱ Ἕλληνες Ῥοδόπιος τοῦνομα ἐξέμαθον, i. e. *so that all the Greeks came to know well the name of Rhodopis.* HDT. II, 135.

NOTE. As ὥστε in this construction has no effect whatever upon the mood of the verb, it may be followed by any construction that would be allowed in an independent sentence. (See § 59, N. 1.) It may thus take an Optative or Indicative in apodosis with αὖν, or even an Imperative. E. g.

Ὅστ' οὐκ ἂν αὐτὸν γνωρίσαιμ' ἂν εἰσιδών. EUR. Or. 379. Παθὼν μὲν ἀντέδρων, ὥστ', εἰ φρονῶν ἔπρασσον, οὐδ' ἂν ᾧδ' ἐγινύμεην κακός. SOPH. O. C. 271. Θνητὸς δ' Ὀρέστῃς ὥστε μὴ λίαν στένε. Id. El. 1172. So with οὐ μὴ and the Subjunctive (§ 89, 1); οὕτως ἐπιτεθύμηκα ἀκοῦσαι, ὥστε . . . οὐ μὴ σου ἀπολειφθῶ. PLAT. Phaedr. 227 D..

4. The relative has sometimes a *causal* signification, being equivalent to ὅτι, *because*, and a personal pronoun or demonstrative word. The verb is in the Indicative, as in ordinary causal sentences (§ 81, 1). E. g.

Θαυμαστὸν ποιεῖς, ὃς ἡμῖν οὐδὲν δίδως, *you do a strange thing in giving us nothing* (like ὅτι σὺ οὐδὲν δίδως). XEN. Mem. II, 7, 13. Δόξας ἀμαθὴς εἶναι, ὃς . . . ἐκέλευε, *having seemed to be unlearned, because he commanded, &c.* HDT. I, 33. Τὴν μητέρα ἐμακάριζον, οἷων τέκνων ἐκύρησε (like ὅτι τοίων). Id. I, 31. Εὐδαίμων ἐφαίνετο, ὥς ἀδεῶς καὶ γενναίως ἐτελεύτα, i. e. *because he died so fearlessly and nobly* (ὥς being equivalent to ὅτι οὕτως). PLAT. Phaed. 58 E.

Ταλαίπωρος εἶ, ᾧ μήτε θεοὶ πατρῷοὶ εἰσι μήθ' ἱερά. i. e. *since you have no ancestral Gods, &c.* PLAT. *Euthyd.* 302 B. (See Remark.) Πῶς ἂν ὀρθῶς ἐμοῦ καταγινώσκοιτε, ᾧ τὸ παράπαν πρὸς τούτονι μηδὲν συμβόλαιόν ἐστιν; i. e. *since I have no contract at all, &c.* DEM. *Apat.* 903, 22. So ARIST. *Ran.* 1459.

REMARK. The ordinary negative particle of a causal relative sentence is οὐ, as in the first example above. (See § 81.) But if a conditional force is combined with the causal, μή can be used. Thus in the last examples above, in which μή is used, ᾧ μὴ θεοὶ εἰσιν (besides its causal force) implies *if, as it appears, you are without ancestral Gods*; and ᾧ μηδὲν ἐστιν, *if, as it appears, I have no contract*. The same combination of a causal and a conditional force is seen in the Latin *siquidem*.

Temporal Particles signifying Until and Before that.

A. Ἔως, Ἔστε, Ἄχρι, Μέχρι, Ἐσόκε, Ὅφρα, *Until*.

§ 66. 1. When ἔως, ἔστε, ἄχρι, μέχρι, and ὅφρα, *until*, refer to a definite point of *past* time, at which the action of the verb actually took place, they take the Indicative. E. g.

Νῆχον πάλιν, ἔως ἐπὶ ἡλθον εἰς ποταμόν, *I swam on again, until I came to a river.* OD. VII, 280. Πίνει, ἔως ἐθέρμην' αὐτὸν ἀμφιβᾶσα φλόξ οἶνον. EUR. *Alc.* 758. Ξυνεῖρον ἀπionτες, ἔστε ἐπὶ ταῖς σκηναῖς ἐγένοντο. XEN. *Cyr.* VII, 5, 6. (So AN. III, 4, 49.) Καὶ ταῦτα ἐποιοῦν μέχρι σκότος ἐγένετο. ID. *An.* IV, 2, 4. (So III, 4, 8.) Ὡς μὲν Θρήικας ἄνδρας ἐπώχετο Τυδέος υἱός, ὅφρα δυώδεκ' ἔπεφνεν. IL. X, 488. Ἦρχ' ἴμεν, ὅφρ' ἀφίκοντο κατὰ στρατὸν, ἧ μιν ἀνώγει. IL. XIII, 329. Ἦεν, ὅφρα μέγα σπείος ἵκετο. OD. V, 57.

NOTE 1. Ἄχρι οὐ and μέχρι οὐ are used in the same sense as ἄχρι and μέχρι. E. g.

Τῶν δὲ ταῦτα πραξάντων ἄχρι οὐ ὅδε ὁ λόγος ἐγράφετο Τισίφονος πρεσβύτατος ὢν τῶν ἀδελφῶν τὴν ἀρχὴν εἶχε. XEN. *Hell.* VI, 4, 37. Τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἀπελύϊατο δουλείας, ὥστ' ἐλευθέρους εἶναι μέχρι οὐ πάλιν αὐτοὶ αὐτοὺς κατεδονλώσαντο. PLAT. *Menex.* 245 A.

NOTE 2. Herodotus uses ἐς ὃ or ἐς οὐ, *until*, like ἔως, with the Indicative. E. g.

Ἀπεδείκνυσαν παῖδα πατρὸς ἕκαστον ἐόντα, ἐς ὃ ἀπέδεξαν ἀπάσας νύκτας. HD. II, 143. Ἔς οὐ Δίχης ἀνεῦρε. I, 67.

2. When these particles refer to the *future*, they are

joined with ἄν or κέ and take the Subjunctive, if the leading verb is primary. (See § 61, 3.) But if such clauses depend upon an Optative in protasis or apodosis, or in a *wish*, they usually take the Optative (without ἄν) by assimilation. (See § 61, 4.) E. g.

Μαχήσομαι αὖθι μένων, ἕως κε τέλος πολέμοιο κιχέω, *until I shall come to an end of the war.* II. III, 291. Ἔως δ' ἄν οὖν πρὸς τοῦ παρόντος ἐκμάθῃς, ἔχ' ἐλπίδα. SOPH. O. T. 834. Ἐπίσχες, ἔστ' ἄν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ προσμάθῃς, *wait until you shall learn, &c.* AESCH. PROM. 697. Μέχρι δ' ἄν ἐγὼ ἦκω, αἱ σπονδαὶ μενόντων. XEN. AN. II, 3. 24. Ἀλλὰ μὲν, ὅφρα κέ τοι μελιθδεά οἶνον ἐνείκω, *but wait, until I shall bring, &c.* II. VI, 258. So ὅφρ' ἄν τίσωσιν, II. I, 509.

Καὶ τὸ μὲν ἄν ἐξαλείφοιεν, τὸ δὲ πάλιν ἐγγράφοιεν, ἕως ὅ τι μάλιστα ἀνθρώπεια ἦθη θεοφιλῇ ποιήσειαν, *until they should make, &c.* PLAT. REP. VI, 501 C. Εἰ δὲ πάνν σπουδάξοι φαγεῖν, εἴποιμ' ἄν ὅτι παρὰ ταῖς γυναιξίν ἐστιν, ἕως παρατείναιμι τούτον, i. e. *I would tell him this, until I put him to torture.* XEN. CYR. I, 3, 11.

NOTE 1. It will be seen by the examples, that the clause after ἕως and other particles signifying *until* sometimes implies a future purpose or object, the attainment of which is desired. When such a sentence, implying a purpose or object which would have been originally expressed by a Subjunctive, depends upon a past tense, it generally takes the Optative (§ 31, 1); but the Subjunctive also may be used, in order to retain the mood in which the purpose would have been originally conceived (as in final clauses, § 44, 2). For the general principle, see § 77. E. g.

Σπονδὰς ἐποίησαντο, ἕως ἀπαγγελθεῖν τὰ λεχθέντα εἰς Λακεδαίμονα, *they made a truce, (to continue) until what had been said should be announced at Sparta.* XEN. HELL. III, 2, 20. (Here ἕως ἄν ἀπαγγελθῇ might have been used; as in THUC. I, 90, ἐκέλευεν (τοῖς πρέσβεις) ἐπισχεῖν, ἕως ἄν τὸ τεῖχος ἱκανὸν αἴρωσιν.) See § 77, 1, d.

NOTE 2. Homer uses εἰς ὃ κε (or εἰσόκε), *until*, with the Subjunctive, as Herodotus uses ἐς ὃ with the Indicative (§ 66, 1, N. 2); as μίμνετε, εἰς ὃ κεν ἄστυ μέγα Πριάμοιο ἔλωμεν, II. II. 332. Εἰς ὃ κε may take the Optative, retaining κέ; as in II. XV, 70.

NOTE 3. Ἄν is sometimes omitted after ἕως, &c. (including πρίν, § 67, 1) when they take the Subjunctive, as in ordinary protasis and in relative sentences (§ 50, 1, N. 3; § 63, 1); after μέχρι and πρίν this occurs even in Attic prose. Thus ἔστ' ἐγὼ μάλω, SOPH. AJ. 1183; μέχρι πλοῦς γένηται, THUC. I. 137: see THUC. IV, 16; AESCHIN. COR. § 60.

3. When the clause introduced by ἕως, &c., *until*, refers to a result which was *not attained* in past time in consequence of the non-fulfilment of a condition, it takes a secondary tense of the Indicative. (See § 63, 2.) E. g.

Ἡδέως ἂν τούτῳ ἔτι διελεγόμην, ἕως αὐτῷ τὴν τοῦ Ἀμφίονος ἀπέδωκα ῥήσιν ἀντὶ τῆς τοῦ Ζήθου, *I should gladly have continued to talk with him, until I had given him, &c.* PLAT. Gorg. 506 B. Οὐκ ἂν ἐπαυόμην, ἕως ἀπεπειράθην τῆς σοφίας ταυτησί. Id. Crat. 396 C Ἐπισχὼν ἂν, ἕως οἱ πλείστοι τῶν εἰωθῶτων γνώμην ἀπεφώνησαντο, . . . ἡσυχίαν ἂν ἔχον, i. e. *I should have waited until most of the regular speakers had declared their opinion, &c.* DEM. Phil. I, § 1. (For ἂν here, see § 42, 3.)

The leading verb must be an Indicative implying the non-fulfilment of a condition.

4. When the clause introduced by ἕως, &c., *until*, depends upon a verb denoting a *customary action* or a *general truth*, and refers indefinitely to *any one* of a series of occasions, it takes ἂν and the Subjunctive after primary tenses, and the simple Optative after secondary tenses. (See § 62.) E. g.

Ἄδ' ἂν ἀσύντακτα ἦ. ἀνάγκη ταῦτα ἀεὶ πράγματα παρέχειν, ἕως ἂν χώραν λάβῃ, *they must always make trouble, until they are put in order.* XEN. Cyr. IV, 5, 37. Ὅποτε ὥρα εἴη ἀρίστου, ἀνέμενεν αὐτοὺς ἕστε ἐμφάγοιέν τι, ὥς μὴ βουλιμιῶεν. Ib. VIII, 1, 44. Περιεμένον οὖν ἐκάστοτε, ἕως ἀνοιχθεῖν τὸ δεσμωτήριον, *we waited every day, until the prison was opened.* PLAT. Phaed. 59 D. (This may mean *until the prison should be opened*; § 66, 2, N 1.)

NOTE. Ἄν is sometimes omitted after ἕως, &c. and πρίν, when they take the Subjunctive in this sense, as well as in the other construction (§ 66, 2, N. 3): as ἐν τῷ φρονεῖν γὰρ μηδὲν ἥδιςτος βίος, ἕως τὸ χαίρειν καὶ τὸ λυπεῖσθαι μάθῃς, SOPH. Aj. 555. So ὅφρα τελέσση, IL. I, 82; and ἐς οὗ ἀποθάνωσι, ILIAD. III, 31.

REMARK. When ἕως and ὅφρα mean *so long as*, they are relatives, and are included under §§ 58–64. Ὅφρα in all its senses is confined to Epic and Lyric poetry. (See § 43, N. 1.)

B. Πρίν, *Until, Before that.*

§ 67. Πρίν, *before, before that, until*, besides taking the Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative, on the same principles with ἕως, &c. (§ 66), may also take the Infinitive (§ 106). The question of choice between the Infinitive and the finite moods generally depends on the nature of the leading clause.

Πρίν regularly takes the Subjunctive and Optative (when they are allowed) only if the leading clause is *negative* or

interrogative with a negative implied; very seldom if that is affirmative. It takes the Indicative after both negative and affirmative clauses, but chiefly after *negatives*.

In Homer the Infinitive is the mood regularly used with *πρίν*, after both affirmative and negative clauses; in Attic Greek it is regularly used after *affirmatives*, and seldom after *negatives*.

1. The Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative after *πρίν* follow the rules already given for *ἔως*, &c. (§ 66).
E. g.

(Indic. § 66, 1.) Οὐκ ἦν ἀλέξῃμ' οὐδέν, ἀλλὰ φαρμάκων χρεία κατεσκέλλοντο, *πρίν γ' ἐγὼ σφισιν ἔδειξα κράσεις ἡπίων ἀκεσμάτων*, until I showed them, &c. AESCH. Prom. 481. Πάλιν τοῦτο τέμνων οὐκ ἐπανῆκε, *πρίν . . . ἐλοιδορήσε μάλ' ἐν δίκῃ*. PLAT. Phaedr. 266 A. Οὐκ ἡξίωσαν νεώτερόν τι ποιεῖν ἐς αὐτόν, *πρίν γε δὴ αὐτοῖς ἀνὴρ Ἀργίλιος μηνυτὴς γίγνεται*, until he becomes, &c. (Histor. Pres.) THUC. I, 132. Πολλὰ ἔπαθεν, *πρίν γέ οἱ χρυσάμπυκα κούρα χαλιδὸν Παλλὰς ἤνεγκεν*. PIND. Ol. XIII, 92. Ἀνωλόλυξε *πρίν ὄρῃ*. EUR. Med. 1173.

(Subj. and Opt. § 66, 2.) Οὐ κώ σε ἐγὼ λέγω (εὐδαίμονα), *πρίν ἂν τελευτήσαντα καλῶς τὸν αἰῶνα πύθωμαι*. HDT. I, 32. Οὐδέ λήξει, *πρίν ἂν ἡ κορέση κέαρ, ἢ . . . ἔλῃ τις ἀρχάν*. AESCH. Prom. 165. Οὐ χρὴ με ἐνθένδε ἀπελθεῖν, *πρίν ἂν δῶ δίκην*. XEN. AN. V, 7, 5. Ἀλλ' οὐπότ' ἐγὼγ' ἂν, *πρίν ἴδοιμ' ὄρθον ἔπος, μεμφομένων ἂν καταφαίην*. SOPH. O. T. 505. So THEOGN. 126, *πρίν πειρηθείης*. So *πρίν ἐξελκύσειεν*, depending on a protasis (εἰ μὴ ἀνείη), PLAT. Rep. VII, 515 E. Ὅλοιο μήπω, *πρίν μάθοιμ' εἰ καὶ πάλιν γνώμην μετοίσεις*. SOPH. Phil. 961. (Πρίν after Opt. in *wish*.) Ἀπηγόρευε μηδένα βάλλειν, *πρίν Κῦρος ἐμπλησθεῖη θηρῶν*, until Cyrus should be satisfied. XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 14. (§ 66, 2, N. 1.)

(Indic. § 66, 3.) Ἐχρην οὖν τοὺς ἄλλους μὴ πρότερον περὶ τῶν ὁμολογουμένων συμβουλευεῖν, *πρίν περὶ τῶν ἀμφισβητουμένων ἡμᾶς ἐδίδαξαν*, they ought not to have given advice, &c., until they had instructed us, &c. ISOC. Paneg. p. 44 C. § 19.

(Subj. and Opt. § 66, 4.) Ὁρώσι τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους οὐ πρόσθεν ἀπόντας γαστρὸς ἔνεκα, *πρίν ἂν ἀφῶσιν οἱ ἄρχοντες*. XEN. Cyr. I, 2, 8. Οὐ γὰρ πρότερον κατήγορος παρὰ τοῖς ἀκούουσιν ἰσχύει, *πρίν ἂν ὁ φεύγων ἀδυνατήσῃ τὰς προειρημένας αἰτίας ἀπολύσασθαι*. AESCHIN. F. L. § 2. Οὐδαμῶθεν ἀφίεσαν, *πρίν παραθεῖεν αὐτοῖς ἀνίστον*, before they had placed before them. XEN. An. IV, 5, 30.

(Subj. without ἂν. § 66, 2, N. 3.) Μὴ στέναζε, *πρίν μάθῃς*. SOPH. Phil. 917. So Od. X, 175; HDT. I, 136; PLAT. Phaed. 62 C.

2. For *πρίν* with the Infinitive, see § 106.

NOTE 1. In Homer, *πρίν* is not found with the Indicative, *πρίν γ' ὅτε* taking its place; a few cases occur of the Subjunctive (with-out *ἄν*) and the Optative; but the most common Homeric construction, in sentences of all kinds, is that with the Infinitive (§ 106). E. g.

Ἦμεθ' ἀτυζόμεναι. σανίδες δ' ἔχον εὖ ἀραρυῖαι. *πρίν γ' ὅτε δὴ με σὸς νῆος ἀπὸ μεγάρου κἀλεσσειν*, i. e. *before the time when*, &c. Od. XXIII, 43. So Il. IX, 588; XII, 437. ὦ φίλοι, οὐ γάρ πω καταδυσόμεθ' ἀχνύμενοι περ εἰς Αἶδαο δόμους *πρίν μόρσιμον ἦμαρ ἐπέλθῃ*. Od. X, 175. Οὐκ ἔβλεν φεύγειν *πρίν πειρήσαιτ' Ἀχιλῆος*. Il. XXI, 580. *Πρίν γ' ὅτ' ἄν* with the Subjunctive is found in Od. II, 374, and IV, 477.

NOTE 2. *Πρίν* with the Infinitive after *negative* sentences is most common in Homer (Note 1), rare in the Attic poets, and again more frequent in Attic prose. (See Krüger, Vol. II, p. 258.) For examples see § 106.

Examples of the Subjunctive or Optative with *πρίν* after *affirmative* sentences are very rare. One occurs in Isoc. Paneg. p. 44 A, § 16; *ὅστις οὖν οἴεται τοὺς ἄλλους κοινῇ τι πράξειν ἀγαθόν, πρίν ἂν τοὺς προεστώτας αὐτῶν διαλλάξῃ, λίαν ἀπλῶς ἔχει*.

NOTE 3. *Πρίν ἢ, πρότερον ἢ* (*priusquam*), and *πρόσθεν ἢ* may be used in the same constructions as *πρίν*. *Πρίν ἢ* is especially common in Herodotus. E. g.

Οὐ γὰρ δὴ πρότερον ἀπανέστη, *πρίν ἢ σφεας ὑποχειρίους ἐποιήσατο*. Hdt. VI, 45. So Thuc. VI, 61. Ἀδικεῖ ἀναπειθόμενος *πρίν ἢ ἀτρεκέως ἐκμάθῃ*. Hdt. VII, 10. Εὐχετο μηδεμίαν οἱ συντυχίην τοιαύτην γενέσθαι, ἢ μιν παύσει καταστρέψασθαι τὴν Εὐρώπην, *πρότερον ἢ ἐπὶ τέρμασι τοῖσι ἐκείνης γένηται*. Id. VII, 54. Πρότερον ἢ with Indic. PLAT. Phaedr. 232 E. Πρόσθεν ἢ σὺ ἐφάινου, τοῦτ' ἐκνήρυχθῃ. SOPH. O. T. 736. Ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι πρόσθεν ἂν ἀποθάνοιεν ἢ τὰ ὅπλα παραδοίησαν, *that they would die before they would give up their arms*. XEN. An. II, 1, 10. (See § 66, 2.)

For examples of the Infinitive after all these expressions, see § 106. Even *ὕστερον ἢ* is found with the Infinitive.

NOTE 4. *Πρίν* or *πρίν ἢ* is very often preceded by *πρότερον, πρόσθεν, πάρος*, or another *πρίν* (used as an adverb), in the leading clause. E. g.

Οὐ πρότερον πρὸς ἡμᾶς τὸν πόλεμον ἐξέφηναν, *πρίν ἐνόμισαν, κ. τ. λ.* XEN. An. III, 1, 16. Οὐ τοίνυν ἀποκρινοῦμαι *πρότερον, πρίν ἂν πύθωμαι*. PLAT. Euthyd. 295 C. Καὶ οὐ πρόσθεν ἔστησαν, *πρίν ἢ πρὸς τοῖς πεζοῖς τῶν Ἀσσυρίων ἐγένοντο*. XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 23.

For examples with the Infinitive, see § 106.

NOTE 5. When *πρίν* appears to be followed by a primary tense of the Indicative, it is an adverb qualifying the verb. E. g.

Τὴν δ' ἐγὼ οὐ λύσω· *πρίν μιν καὶ γῆρας ἔπεισιν*, i. e. *sooner shall old age come upon her*. Il. I, 29.

SECTION IV.

INDIRECT DISCOURSE, INCLUDING INDIRECT QUOTATIONS
AND QUESTIONS.

§ 68. 1. The words or thoughts of any person may be quoted either *directly* or *indirectly*.

A direct quotation is one which gives the exact words of the original speaker or writer.

An indirect quotation is one in which the words of the original speaker conform to the construction of the sentence in which they are quoted. Thus the expression ταῦτα βούλομαι may be quoted either *directly*, as λέγει τις “ταῦτα βούλομαι,” or *indirectly*, as λέγει τις ὅτι ταῦτα βούλεται or λέγει τις ταῦτα βούλεσθαι, *some one says that he wishes for these*.

2. Indirect quotations may be introduced by ὅτι or ὡς (negatively ὅτι οὐ, ὡς οὐ) or by the Infinitive, as in the example given above; sometimes also by the Participle (§ 73, 2).

3. Indirect *questions* follow the same rules as indirect quotations, in regard to their moods and tenses. (For examples see § 70.)

NOTE. The term *indirect discourse* must be understood to apply to all clauses which express *indirectly* the words or thoughts of any person (those of the speaker himself as well as those of another), after verbs which imply *thought* or the *expression of thought* (*verba sentiendi et declarandi*), and even after such expressions as δηλόν ἐστιν, σαφές ἐστιν, &c.

The term may be further applied to any *single* dependent clause in any sentence, which indirectly expresses the thought of any other person than the speaker (or past thoughts of the speaker himself), even when the preceding clauses are not in *indirect discourse*. (See § 77.)

General Principles of Indirect Discourse.

REMARK. The following are the general principles of indirect discourse, the application of which to particular cases is shown in §§ 70 – 77.

§ 69. 1. In indirect quotations after *ὅτι* or *ὥς* and in indirect questions, after *primary* tenses, each verb retains both the mood and the tense of the direct discourse, no change being made except (when necessary) in the *person* of the verb.

After *secondary* tenses, each primary tense of the Indicative and each Subjunctive of the direct discourse may be either changed to the *same tense* of the Optative or retained in its original *mood* and *tense*. The Imperfect and Pluperfect, having no tenses in the Optative, are regularly retained in the Indicative. (See, however, § 70, Note 1, *b*.) The Aorist Indicative remains unchanged when it belongs to a *dependent* clause of the direct discourse (§ 74, 2); but it may be changed to the Optative, like the primary tenses, when it belongs to the leading clause (§ 70, 2). The Indicative with *ἄν* belongs under § 69, 2.

2. All secondary tenses of the Indicative implying non-fulfilment of a condition (§ 49, 2), and all Optatives (with or without *ἄν*), are retained without change in either mood or tense, after both primary and secondary tenses.

3. When, however, the verb on which the quotation depends is followed by the Infinitive or Participle, the leading verb of the quotation is changed to the *corresponding tense* of the Infinitive or Participle, after both primary and secondary tenses (*ἄν* being retained when there is one), and the dependent verbs follow the preceding rules. (See § 73.)

4. The adverb *ἄν* is never joined with a verb in indirect discourse, unless it stood also in the direct form: on the other hand, *ἄν* is never omitted with a *verb* in indirect discourse, if it was used in the direct form. When *ἄν* is joined to a relative word or particle before a Subjunctive in the direct discourse, it is regularly dropped when the Subjunctive is changed to the Optative in indirect discourse. (See, however, § 74, 1, N. 2.)

5. The indirect discourse regularly retains the same negative particle which would be used in the direct form. But the Infinitive and Participle occasionally take *μή* in indirect quotation, where *οὐ* would be used in direct discourse. See examples under § 73.

**Simple Sentences in Indirect Quotations after ὅτι or ὡς
and in Indirect Questions.**

§ 70. When the direct discourse is a *simple* sentence, the verb of which stands in any tense of the Indicative (without *ἄν*), the principle of § 69, 1, gives the following rules for indirect quotations after ὅτι or ὡς and for indirect quotations: —

1. After *primary* tenses the verb stands in the *Indicative*, in the tense used in the direct discourse.
E. g.

Λέγει ὅτι γράφει, *he says that he is writing*; λέγει ὅτι ἔγραφεν, *he says that he was writing*; λέγει ὅτι γέγραπεν, *he says that he has written*; λέγει ὅτι ἐγεγράφει, *he says that he had written*; λέγει ὅτι ἔγραψεν, *he says that he wrote*; λέγει ὅτι γράψει, *he says that he shall write*.

Λέγει γὰρ ὡς οὐδέν ἐστιν ἀδικώτερον φήμης. AESCHIN. Timarch. § 125. Οὐ γὰρ ἂν τοῦτό γ' εἶποις, ὡς ἔλαθεν. Id. F. L. § 151 (160). Εὐδ' ἴστε, ὅτι πλείστον διαφέρει φήμη καὶ συκοφαντία. Ib. § 145 (153). Ἀλλ' ἐννοεῖν χρὴ τοῦτο μὲν, γυναιχ' ὅτι ἔφυσεν. SOPH. Ant. 61. Καὶ ταῦθ' ὡς ἀληθῆ λέγω, καὶ ὅτι οὔτε ἐδόθη ἡ ψήφος ἐν

ἅπασιν πλείους τ' ἐγένοντο τῶν ψηφισαμένων, μάρτυρας ὑμῖν παρέξομαι, *I will bring witnesses to show that, &c.* DEM. Eubul. 1303, 2.

Ἐρωτᾷ τί βούλονται, *he asks what they want*; ἐρωτᾷ τί ποιήσουσιν, *he asks what they will do*. Ἐρωτῶντες εἰ λησταὶ εἰσιν, *asking whether they are pirates*. THUC. I, 5. Εὐβοίσι· ὧν δ' ἔβλασταν, οὐκ ἔχω λέγειν. SOPH. Trach. 401. Εἰ ξιμπονήσεις καὶ ξυνεργάσει σκόπει. Id. Ant. 41.

REMARK. It is to be noticed that indirect *questions* after *primary* tenses take the Indicative in Greek, and not the Subjunctive as in Latin. Thus, *nescio quis sit, I know not who he is*, in Greek is simply ἀγνοῶ τίς ἐστιν. This does not apply to indirect questions which would require the Subjunctive in the *direct* form (§ 71).

2. After *secondary* tenses the verb may be either changed to the Optative or retained in the Indicative. The Optative is the more common form. In both Indicative and Optative, the *tense* used in the direct discourse must be retained. E. g.

"Ἐλεξεν ὅτι γράφοι (or ὅτι γράφει), *he said that he was writing*; i. e. *he said* γράφω. "Ἐλεξεν ὅτι γεγραφὼς εἶη (or ὅτι γέγραφεν), *he said that he had written*; i. e. *he said* γέγραφα. "Ἐλεξεν ὅτι γράψοι (or ὅτι γράψει), *he said that he should write*; i. e. *he said* γράψω. "Ἐλεξεν ὅτι γράφειεν (or ὅτι ἔγραφεν), *he said that he had written*; i. e. *he said* ἔγραφα.

(Optative.) Ἐνέπλησε φρονήματος τοὺς Ἀρκάδας, λέγων ὡς μόνοι μὲν αὐτοῖς πατρὶς Πελοποννησος εἶη, πλείστον δὲ τῶν Ἑλληνικῶν φύλον τὸ Ἀρκαδικὸν εἶη, καὶ σώματα ἐκρατέστατα ἔχοι. XEN. Hell. VII, 1, 23. (He said μόνοις μὲν ὑμῖν . . . ἐστι, πλείστον δὲ . . . ἐστι, καὶ σώματα . . . ἔχει: these Indicatives might have been used in the place of εἶη, εἴη, and ἔχοι.) Ἐλεγε δὲ ὁ Πελοπίδας ὅτι Ἀργεῖοι καὶ Ἀρκάδες μάχῃ ἡττημένοι εἶεν ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων. Ib. VII, 1, 35. (He said ἡττηνται, which might have been retained.) So IDOT. I, 83. Ὑπειπὼν τὰλλα ὅτι αὐτὸς τὰκεῖ πράξοι, ᾤχετο, *having hinted that he would himself attend to the affairs there*. THUC. I, 90. (He said τὰκεῖ πράξω, and πράξει might have been used for πράξοι. Cf. ἀποκρινάμενοι ὅτι πέμψουσιν, from the same chapter, quoted below.) For the Future Optative in general, see § 26. Ὁ δὲ εἶπεν ὅτι ἔσονται. XEN. Cyr. VII, 2, 19. (He said ἔσονται.) Ἐλεξαν ὅτι πέμψειε σφᾶς ὁ Ἰνδῶν βασιλεὺς, κελεύων ἐρωτᾶν ἐξ ὅτου ὁ πόλεμος εἶη, *they said that the king of the Indians had sent them, commanding them to ask on what account there was war*. Ib. II, 4, 7. (They said ἔπεμψεν ἡμᾶς, and the question to be asked was ἐκ τίνος ἐστὶν ὁ πόλεμος;) Ἐλεγον ὅτι οὐπώποθ' οὗτος ὁ ποταμὸς διαβατὸς γένοιτο περὶ ἢ εἰ μὴ τότε, *they said that this river had never been (ἐγένετο) fordable except then*. Id. An. I, 4, 18. Περικλῆς προηγόρευε τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις, ὅτι

'Αρχίδαμος μὲν οἱ ξένος εἶη, οὐ μέντοι ἐπὶ κακῷ γε τῆς πόλεως γένοιτο, *he pronounced that A. was his friend, but that he had not been made his friend to the injury of the state.* THUC. II, 13. (He said ξένος μοί ἐστιν, οὐ μέντοι . . . ἐγένετο.) So HDT. I, 25. Ἔγνωσαν ὅτι κενὸς ὁ φόβος εἶη. XEN. AN. II, 2, 21. Προϊδόντες ὅτι ἔσοιτο ὁ πόλεμος, ἐβούλοντο τὴν Πλάταιαν προκαταλαβεῖν. THUC. II. 2. Ἐπειρώμην αὐτῷ δεικνύναι, ὅτι οἴοιτο μὲν εἶναι σοφός, εἶη δ' οὐ. PLAT. Apol. 21 C.

(Indicative.) Ἐλεγον ὅτι ἐλπίζουσιν σὲ καὶ τὴν πόλιν ἔξειν μοι χάριν, *they said that they hoped, &c.* ISOC. Phil. p. 87 A. § 23. (They said ἐλπίζουмен, which might have been changed to ἐλπίζουεν.) Ἦκε δ' ἀγγέλλων τις ὡς τοὺς πρυτάνεις ὡς Ἐλάτεια κατεῖληπται, *some one had come with the report that Elatea had been taken.* DEM. Cor. 284, 21. (Here the Perf. Opt. might have been used.) Δεινούς λόγους ἐτόλμα περὶ ἐμοῦ λέγειν, ὡς ἐγὼ τὸ πρᾶγμ' εἰμὶ τοῦτο δεδρακώς. Id. Mid. 548, 17. Αἰτιασάμενος γάρ με ἂ καὶ λέγειν ἂν ὀκνήσειέ τις, τὸν πατέρα ὡς ἀπέκτονα ἐγὼ τὸν ἐμαντοῦ, κ.τ.λ. Id. Andr. 593, 14. Φανερώς εἶπεν ὅτι ἡ μὲν πόλις σφῶν τετεῖχισται ἤδη, *he said that their city had already been fortified* THUC. I, 91. Ἀποκρινάμενοι ὅτι πέμψουσιν πρέσβεις, εὐθὺς ἀπήλλαξαν. Id. I, 90. (Cf. ὅτι πράξοι, quoted above from the same chapter.) Ἦιδεσαν ὅτι τοὺς ἀπενεγκόντας οἰκέτας ἐξαιτήσομεν. DEM. Onet. I, 870, 11. (Ἐξαιτήσοιμεν might have been used.) Ἐτόλμα λέγειν ὡς ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἐχθροὺς ἐφ' ἑαυτὸν ἐίλκυσε καὶ νῦν ἐν τοῖς ἐσχάτοις ἐστὶ κινδύνους. Id. Andr. 611, 10.

(Indirect Questions.) Ἠρώτησεν αὐτὸν τί ποιοίη (or τί ποιεῖ), *he asked him what he was doing; i. e. he asked τί ποιεῖς; Ἠρώτησεν αὐτὸν τί πέποιηκώς εἶη (or τί πεποίηκεν), he asked him what he had done; i. e. he asked τί πεποίηκας; Ἠρώτησεν αὐτὸν τί ποιήσοι (or τί ποιήσῃ), he asked him what he should do; i. e. he asked τί ποιήσεις; Ἠρώτησεν αὐτὸν τί ποιήσειεν (or τί ἐποίησεν), he asked him what he had done; i. e. he asked τί ἐποίησας;*

Ἦρετο, εἴ τις ἐμοῦ εἶη σοφώτερος, *he asked whether any one was wiser than I.* PLAT. Apol. 21 A. (The direct question was ἔστι τις σοφώτερος;) Ὅ τι δὲ ποιήσοι οὐ διεσήμνη, *but he did not indicate what he would do.* XEN. AN. II, 1, 23. (The direct question was τί ποιήσω;) Ἐπειρώτα, τίνα δεύτερον μετ' ἐκείνον ἴδοι, *he asked whom he had seen who came next to him.* HDT. I, 31. (The direct question was τίνα εἶδες;) Εἶρετο κόθεν λάβοι τὸν παῖδα, *he asked whence he had received the boy.* Id. I, 116. Ἠρώτων αὐτὸν εἰ ἀναπλεύσειεν, *I asked him whether he had set sail.* DEM. Polycl. i 223, 20. (The direct question was ἀνέπλευσας;)

Ἠπόρουν τί ποτε λέγει, *I was uncertain what he meant.* PLAT. Apol. 21 B. (Here λέγοι might have been used.) Ἐβουλεύονθ' αἷτοι τίν' αὐτοῦ καταλείψουσιν, *they were considering the question, whom they should leave here.* DEM. F. L. 378, 23. Ἐρωτῶντων τινῶν διὰ τί ἀπέθανεν, παραγγέλλειν ἐκέλευεν, κ.τ.λ. XEN. Hell. II, 1, 4.

REMARK 1. After secondary tenses the Indicative and

Optative are equally classic; the Optative being used when the writer wishes to incorporate the quotation *entirely* into his own sentence, and the Indicative, when he wishes to quote it in the original words as far as the construction of his own sentence allows. The Indicative here, like the Subjunctive in final and object clauses after secondary tenses (§ 44, 2), is merely a more vivid form of expression than the Optative. We even find both moods in the same sentence, sometimes when one verb is to be especially emphasized, and sometimes when there is no apparent reason for the change. E. g.

Οὔτοι ἔλεγον ὅτι Κῦρος μὲν τέθνηκεν, Ἀριαίος δὲ πεφνεγὼς ἐν τῷ σταθμῷ εἴη, καὶ λέγοι, κ.τ.λ. XEN. AN. II, 1, 3. (Here *τέθνηκεν* contains the most important part of the message.) Ἐκ δὲ τούτου ἐπυνθάνετο ἤδη αὐτῶν καὶ ὁπόσῃν ὁδὸν διήλασαν, καὶ εἰ οἰκοῖτο ἡ χώρα. ID. CYR. IV, 4, 4. Ἐτόλμα λέγειν, ὥς χρέα τε πάμπολλα ἐκτέτικεν ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ καὶ ὥς πολλὰ τῶν ἐμῶν λάβοιεν. DEM. APH. I, 828, 26. (See Rem. 2.) Ὅμοιοι ἦσαν θαυμάζειν ὅποι ποτὲ τρέφονται οἱ Ἕλληνες καὶ τί ἐν νῶ ἔχοιεν. XEN. AN. III, 5, 13.

REMARK 2. The Perfect and Future were less familiar forms than the other tenses of the Optative; so that they were frequently retained in the Indicative after secondary tenses, even when the Present or the Aorist was changed to the Optative. (See the last two examples under Rem. 1.) In indirect *questions* the Aorist Indicative was generally retained, for a reason explained in § 21, 2, N. 1. Some writers, like Thucydides, preferred the moods and tenses of the direct form, in all indirect discourse. (See § 44, 2, Rem.)

NOTE 1. (a.) An Imperfect or Pluperfect of the direct discourse is regularly retained in the Indicative, after both primary and secondary tenses, for want of an Imperfect or Pluperfect Optative. E. g.

Ἦκεν ἄγγελος λέγων ὅτι τριήρεις ἤκουε περιπλεούσας, *he came saying that he had heard, &c.; i. e. he said ἤκουον.* XEN. AN. I, 2, 21. Ἀκούσας δὲ Ξενοφῶν ἔλεγεν ὅτι ὀρθῶς ἠτιῶντο καὶ αὐτὸ τὸ ἔργον αὐτοῖς μαρτυροῖη, *he said that they had accused him rightly, and that the fact itself bore witness to them; i. e. he said ὀρθῶς ἠτιῶσθε καὶ τὸ ἔργον ὑμῖν μαρτυρεῖ.* IB. III, 3, 12. Εἶχε γὰρ λέγειν, καὶ ὅτι μόνοι τῶν Ἑλλήνων βασιλεῖ συνεμάχοντο ἐν Πλαταιαῖς, κοὶ ὅτι ὕστερον οὐδέποτε στρατεύσαιντο ἐπὶ βασιλείᾳ (*he said μόνοι συνεμαχόμεθα, . . . καὶ . . . οὐδέποτε ἐστρατευσάμεθα*). XEN. HELL. VII, 1, 34. Τούτων ἕκαστον ἠρόμην, Ὀνήτορα μὲν καὶ Τιμοκράτην, εἴ τινες εἶεν μάρτυρες ὧν ἐναντίον τὴν προῖκ' ἀπέδοσαν, αὐτὸν δ' Ἀφροβον, εἴ τινες παρήσαν ὅτ' ἀπελάμβανεν, *I asked each of these men, —*

Onetor and Timocrates, whether there were any witnesses before whom they had paid the dowry; and Aphobus, whether there had been any present when he received it. DEM. Onet. I, 840, 10. (The two questions were εἰσὶ μάρτυρές τινες; and παρῆσάν τινες;)

(b.) In a few cases the Present Optative is used after secondary tenses to represent the Imperfect Indicative. The Present may thus supply the want of an *Imperfect* Optative, as the Present Infinitive and Participle supply the want of Imperfects (§ 15, 3 and § 16, 2). This can be done only when the context makes it perfectly clear that the Optative represents an *Imperfect*, and not a Present. E. g.

Τὸν Τιμαγόραν ἀπέκτειναν, κατηγοροῦντος τοῦ Λέοντος ὡς οὔτε συσκηνοῦν ἐθέλοι ἑαυτῷ, μετὰ τε Πελοπίδου πάντα βουλεύοιτο. XEN. Hell. VII, 1, 38. (The words of Leon were οὔτε συσκηνοῦν ἤθελέ μοι, μετὰ τε Πελ. πάντα ἐβουλεύετο.) Τὰ πεπραγμένα διηγοῦντο, ὅτι αὐτοὶ μὲν ἐπὶ τοῖς πολεμίοις πλέοιεν, τὴν δὲ ἀναίρεσιν τῶν ναυαγῶν προστάξαιεν ἀνδράσιν ἱκανοῖς. Ib. I, 7, 5. (The direct discourse was αὐτοὶ μὲν ἐπλέομεν, τὴν δὲ ἀναίρεσιν προσετάξαμεν.) Καί μοι πάντες ἀπεκρίναντο καθ' ἕκαστον, ὅτι οὐδεὶς μάρτυς παρείη. κομίζοιτο δὲ λαμβάνων καθ' ὅποσονοῦν δέοιτο Ἄφροβος παρ' αὐτῶν, *they replied, that no witness had been present, and that Aphobus had received the money from them, taking it in such sums as he happened to want.* DEM. Onet. I, 869, 12. (The direct discourse was οὐδεὶς μάρτυς παρῆν, ἐκομίζετο δὲ λαμβάνων καθ' ὅποσονοῦν δέοιτο. Παρείη contains the answer to the question εἴ τινες παρῆσαν in the preceding sentence, which is quoted as the last example under *a*. The Imperfect in the question prevents the Optatives used in the reply from being ambiguous.) So PLAT. Rep. IV, 439 E.

NOTE 2. In indirect discourse after secondary tenses, each tense of the Indicative or Optative is to be translated by *its own* past tense, to suit the English idiom. Thus εἶπεν ὅτι γράφοι (or γράφει) is *he said that he was writing*; εἶπεν ὅτι γεγραφὼς εἶη (or γέγραφεν) is *he said that he had written*.

In a few cases the Greek uses the same idiom as the English, and allows the Imperfect or Pluperfect to stand irregularly with ὅτι or ὡς after a secondary tense, where regularly the Present or Perfect (Optative or Indicative) would be required. In such cases the context must make it clear that the tense represented is not an Imperfect or Pluperfect (Note 1, *a*). E. g.

Ἐν πολλῇ ἀπορίᾳ ἦσαν οἱ Ἕλληνες, ἐννοούμενοι μὲν ὅτι ἐπὶ ταῖς βασιλέως θύραις ἦσαν, κύκλω δὲ αὐτοῖς . . . πόλεις πολέμῳ ἔσαν,

ἀγορὰν δὲ οὐδεὶς ἔτι παρέξειν ἔμελλεν, ἀπεῖχον δὲ τῆς Ἑλλάδος οὐ μείον ἢ μύρια στάδια, . . . προὔδεδωκεσαν δὲ αὐτοὺς καὶ ὕβάρβαροι, μόνοι δὲ καταλελειμμένοι ἦσαν οὐδὲ ἰππέα οὐδὲνα σύμμαχον ἔχοντες. XEN. An. III, 1, 2. (In all these cases the direct discourse would be in the Present or Perfect Indicative.) Λέγεται δ' αὐτὸν γινῶναι ἐφ' ᾧ ἔχῳρει, *it is said that he knew for what he was coming*. THUC. I, 134. (Here *χωροίη* or *χωρεῖ* would be the regular form.) Διὰ τὸν χθιζινὸν ἄνθρωπον, ὃς ἡμᾶς διεδύετ', ἐξαπατῶν καὶ λέγων ὡς φιλαθῆναιος ἦν καὶ τὰν Σάμῳ πρότος κατεῖποι, i. e. *saying philathēnaios eimi καὶ τὰν Σάμῳ πρότος κατεῖπον*. ARIST. Vesp. 283. (Here *εἰμί* is changed to *ἦν*, and not to *εἶη*: *κατεῖπον* could have been changed only to *κατεῖποι*.)

In these examples the principle usually observed in indirect discourse, — that the tenses employed in the quotation denote *relative* not *absolute* time (§ 9), — is given up, and the Imperfect and Pluperfect denote *absolute* time, as in causal sentences (§ 81, 1). See § 81, 2, Rem.

NOTE 3. (a.) An indirect quotation, with its verb in the Optative after *ὅτι* or *ὥς*, is sometimes followed by an independent sentence with an Optative, which continues the quotation as if it were itself dependent on the *ὅτι* or *ὥς*. Such sentences are generally introduced by *γάρ*. E. g.

Ἦκουον δ' ἔγωγέ τινων ὥς οὐδὲ τοὺς λιμένας καὶ τὰς ἀγορὰς ἔτι δώσοιεν αὐτῷ καρποῖσθαι· τὰ γὰρ κοινὰ τὰ Θετταλῶν ἀπὸ τούτων δέοι διοικεῖν, *for (as they said) they must administer, &c.* DEM. Ol. I, 15, 22. Ἀπεκρίναντο αὐτῷ ὅτι ἀδύνατα σφίσιν εἶη ποιεῖν ἃ προκαλεῖται ἄνευ Ἀθηναίων· παῖδες γὰρ σφῶν καὶ γυναῖκες παρ' ἐκείνοις εἶησαν. THUC. II, 72. Ἔλεγον ὅτι παντὸς ἄξια λέγοι Σεύθης· χειμῶν γὰρ εἶη, κ. τ. λ. XEN. An. VII, 3, 13.

(b.) Such independent sentences with the Optative are sometimes found even when no Optative precedes, in which case the context always contains some allusion to another's thought or expression. E. g.

Ὑπέσχετο τὸν ἄνδρ' Ἀχαιοῖς τόνδε δηλώσειν ἄγων· οἷοιτο μὲν μάλισθ' ἐκούσιον λαβὼν, εἰ μὴ θέλοι δ', ἄκοντα, i. e. *he thought (as he said), &c.* SOPH. Phil. 617. Ἀλλὰ γὰρ οὐδέν τι μᾶλλον ἦν ἀθάνατον, ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸ τὸ εἰς ἀνθρώπου σῶμα ἐλθεῖν ἀρχή ἦν αὐτῇ ὀλέθρου, ὥσπερ νόσος· καὶ ταισσιπυρουμένη τε δὴ τοῦτον τὸν βίον ζῶη, καὶ τελευτῶσά γε ἐν τῷ καλοῦμένῳ θανάτῳ ἀπολλύοιτο, and (*according to the theory*) *it lives in misery, &c., and finally perishes in what is called death*. PLAT. Phaed. 95 D. (Plato is here merely stating the views of others. For the Imperfects in the first sentence, see § 11, Note 6.)

§ 71. When a question in the direct form would be expressed by an *interrogative Subjunctive* (§ 88), indirect

questions after primary tenses retain the Subjunctive; after secondary tenses the Subjunctive may be either changed to the same tense of the Optative or retained in its original form. E. g.

Πρὸς ἀμφοτέρα ἀπορῶ. ταύτην θ' ὅπως ἐκδῶ καὶ τᾶλλ' ὁπόθεν διοικῶ, *I am at a loss on both questions, how I shall give her a dowry (πῶς ταύτην ἐκδῶ;), and whence I shall pay other expenses (πόθεν τᾶλλα διοικῶ;).* DEM. Aph. I, 834, 18. Βουλευόμεαι ὅπως σε ἀποδρῶ, *I am trying to think how I shall escape you (πῶς σε ἀποδρῶ;).* XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 13. Οὐκ ἔχω τί λέγω, *I know not what I shall say.* DEM. Phil. III, 124, 24. So in Latin, *non habeo quid dicam.* In AESCH. Prom. 470, οὐκ ἔχω σόφισμ' ὅτῳ . . . ἀπαλλαγῶ may be explained on this principle as interrogative; or by § 65, 1, N. 3, as a relative clause. Οὐ γὰρ δὴ δι' ἀπειρίαν γε οὐ φήσεις ἔχειν ὅ τι εἶπης, *for it is not surely through inexperience that you will declare that you know not what to say (i. e. τί εἶπω;).* DEM. F. L. 378, 4. So ὅ τι δῶ and οἷς δῶ. XEN. An. I, 7, 7. Τὰ δὲ ἐκπώματα οὐκ οἶδ' εἰ Χρυσάντα τούτῳ δῶ, *I do not know whether I shall give them, &c.* Id. Cyr. VIII, 4, 16.

Ἐν δέ οἱ ἦτορ . . . μερμήριζεν, ἥ ὅ γε . . . τοὺς μὲν ἀναστήσειεν, ὃ δ' Ἀτρεΐδην ἐναρίζοι, ἢ ἐχόλον παύσειεν, ἐρητύσειέ τε θυμόν. Il. I, 191. (The direct questions were τοὺς μὲν ἀναστήσω, Ἀτρεΐδην δ' ἐναρίζω;—ἢ ἐπαύσω, ἐρητύσω τε;) Κλήρους πάλλον, ὑπότερος δὴ πρόσθεν ἀφείη χάλκεον ἔγχος, i. e. *they shook the lots, to decide which should first throw his spear, the question being πότερος πρόσθεν ἀφῆ;* Il. III, 317. Ἐπήροντο, εἰ παραδοῖεν Κορινθίους τὴν πόλιν, *they asked whether they should give up their city, the question being παραδῶμεν τὴν πόλιν;* THUC. I, 25. Ἐβουλευοντο εἰ τὰ σκευοφόρα ἐνταῦθα ἄγοιντο ἢ ἀπίοιεν ἐπὶ τὸ στρατόπεδον. XEN. An. I, 10, 17. (So An. I, 10, 5.) Ἠπόρει ὅ τι χρήσαιο τῷ πράγματι, *he was at a loss how to act in the matter, i. e. τί χρήσωμαι;* Id. Hell. VII, 4, 39. Οὐ γὰρ εἶχομεν . . . ὅπως δρῶντες καλῶς πράξαιμεν, *for we could not see how we should fare well, if we did it.* SOPH. Ant. 272.

Ἀπορόντος δὲ βασιλέως ὅ τι χρήσεται τῷ παρεόντι πράγματι, Ἐπιάλτης ἦλθέ οἱ ἐς λόγους. HDT. VII, 213. Ἠπόρησε μὲν ὁποτέρῳ σε διακινδυνεύσῃ χωρήσας. THUC. I, 63. Οἱ Πλαταιῆς ἐβουλευοντο εἴτε κατακαύσωσιν ὥσπερ ἔχουσιν, εἴτε τι ἄλλο χρήσωνται, *whether they should burn them as they were, or deal with them in some other way.* Id. II, 4. Ἀπορήσαντες ὅπῃ καθορμίσωνται, εἰ Πρώτην τὴν νῆσον ἔπλευσαν. Id. IV, 13.

REMARK 1. The context must decide whether the Optative in indirect questions represents a Subjunctive (§ 71) or an Indicative (§ 70, 2). The distinction is especially important when the Aorist Optative is used (§ 21, 2, N. 1). See also § 74, 2, N. 1.

REMARK 2. When the leading verb is in the Optative with *ἄν*,

the Optative may be used in indirect questions of this class. See examples in § 34, 3.

NOTE 1. The particle commonly used in the sense of *whether* in indirect questions is *εἰ*, which can introduce a Subjunctive, as well as an Indicative or Optative. (See XEN. Cyr. VIII, 4, 16, quoted above.) *Ἐάν* cannot mean *whether*; and when this introduces a clause resembling an indirect question, the expression is really a protasis, with an apodosis suppressed or implied (§ 53, N. 2). E. g.

Εἰ δέ σοι μὴ δοκεῖ, σκέψαι ἐὰν τόδε σοι μᾶλλον ἀρέσκη· φημὶ γὰρ ἐγὼ τὸ νόμιμον δίκαιον εἶναι. XEN. Mem. IV. 4, 12. (The meaning here is, *but if that does not please you, examine, in case this shall suit you better (that then you may adopt it)*; and not, *look to see whether this suits you better*. If *ἐάν* ἀρέσκη is an indirect question, it can represent no form of *direct* question which includes the *ἂν*. Even ἀρέσκη alone could not be explained as an interrogative Subjunctive, by § 88.) *Ἐάν* ἀρέσκη in the passage just quoted is similar to ἐὰν ἐνδειξώμεθα in PLAT. Rep. V, 455 B: Βούλει οὖν δεῶμεθα τοῦ τὰ τοιαῦτα ἀντιλέγοντος ἀκολουθῆσαι ἡμῖν. ἐὰν πως ἡμεῖς ἐκείνῳ ἐνδειξώμεθα, ὅτι οὐδέν ἐστιν ἐπιτήδευμα ἴδιον; shall we then ask the one who makes such objections to follow us, in case we can in any way show him that, &c.? See XEN. An. II, 1, 8; and ARIST. Nub. 535. (Such sentences belong under § 53, N. 2. See also § 77, 1, c.)

NOTE 2. Εἴ κε with the Subjunctive in Homer sometimes forms an indirect question, representing the Epic Subjunctive with *κέ* in the direct question. (See § 87, Note.) E. g.

Μένετε ὄφρα ἴδῃτ' αἶ κ' ὕμιν ὑπέρσχη χεῖρα Κρονίων; are you waiting that you may see whether the son of Kronos will hold his hand to protect you? IL. IV, 249. (The direct question would be *ὑπέρσχη κε χεῖρα*;) Here the *κέ* always belongs to the verb, so that this Epic construction is no authority for the supposed Attic use of *ἐάν* and the Subjunctive in the same sense. See Note 1.

20/4 § 72. When the verb of the direct discourse stands with *ἂν* in the Indicative or Optative (forming an apodosis), the same mood and tense are retained in indirect quotations with *ὅτι* and *ὥς* and in indirect questions, after both primary and secondary tenses. (See § 69, 2.) E. g.

Λέγει ὅτι τοῦτο ἂν ἐγένετο, he says that this would have happened: ἔλεγεν ὅτι τοῦτο ἂν ἐγένετο, he said that this would have happened. Λέγει (or ἔλεγεν) ὅτι οὗτος δίκαιως ἂν θάνοι, he says (or said) that this man would justly be put to death.

(Θεμιστοκλῆς) ἀπεκρίνατο, ὅτι οὐτ' ἂν αὐτὸς Σερίφιος ὦν ὀνομαστὸς ἐγένετο οὐτ' ἐκείνος Ἀθηναῖος, he replied that he should not have

become famous himself if he had been a Seriphian, nor would the other if he had been an Athenian. PLAT. Rep. I, 330 A. Ἐννοεῖτε, ὅτι ἦττον ἂν στάσις εἴη ἐνὸς ἀρχontos ἢ πολλῶν. XEN. An. VI, 1, 29. Ἀπεκρίνατο, ὅτι πρόσθεν ἂν ἀποθάνοιεν ἢ τὰ ὄπλα παραδοίησαν. Ib. II, 1, 10. (The direct discourse was πρόσθεν ἂν ἀποθάνοιμεν.) Παρελθὼν τις δεῖξάτω, ὥς οἱ Θετταλοὶ νῦν οὐκ ἂν ἐλεύθεροι γένοιντο ἄσμενοι. DEM. Ol. II, 20, 18. Οὐδ' εἰδέναι φησὶ τί ἂν ποιῶν ὑμῖν χαρίσαιοιτο. Id. F. L. 356, 13. Οὐκ ἔχω τίς ἂν γενοίγαν. AESCH. Prom. 905. So 907. Ἡρώτων εἰ δοῖεν ἂν τούτῳ τὰ πιστά. XEN. An. IV, 8, 7.

NOTE. The same rule applies when a secondary tense of the Indicative in apodosis with ἂν omitted (§ 49, 2, N. 2) is quoted. E. g.

(Ἐλεγεν) ὅτι . . . κρείττον ἦν αὐτῷ τότε ἀποθανεῖν, *he said that it were better for him to die at once.* LYS. X, p. 117, § 25. (The direct discourse was κρείττον ἦν μοι.)

§ 73. 1. When the Infinitive is used in the indirect quotation of a simple sentence, which had its verb in the Indicative (with or without ἂν) or the Optative (with ἄν), the verb is changed in the quotation to the *same tense* of the Infinitive, after both primary and secondary tenses. If ἂν was used in the direct discourse, it must be retained with the Infinitive.

The Present and Perfect Infinitive here represent the Imperfect and Pluperfect (as well as the Present and Perfect) Indicative. (§ 15, 3; § 18, 3, Rem.) E. g.

Φησὶ γράφειν, *he says that he is writing*; ἔφη γράφειν, *he said that he was writing*; φήσεται γράφειν, *he will say that he is (then) writing.* (The direct discourse is here γράφω.) Φησὶ (ἔφη) γράφειν ἂν, εἰ ἐδύνατο, *he says (or said) that he should now be writing, if he were able.* (He says ἔγραφεον ἂν.) Φησὶ (ἔφη) γράφειν ἂν, εἰ δύναιτο, *he says (or said) that he should write, if he should (ever) be able.* (He says γράφοιμι ἂν.)

Φησὶ γράψαι, *he says that he wrote*; ἔφη γράψαι, *he said that he had written*; φήσεται γράψαι, *he will say that he wrote.* (He says ἔγραψα. See § 23, 2.) Φησὶ (ἔφη) γράψαι ἂν, εἰ ἐδυνήθη, *he says (or said) that he should have written, if he had been able.* (He says ἔγραψα ἂν.) Φησὶ (ἔφη) γράψαι ἂν, εἰ δυνηθείη, *he says (or said) that he should write, if he should (ever) be able.* (He says γράψαιμι ἂν.)

Φησὶ (φήσεται) γεγραπέναι, *he says (or will say) that he has written*; ἔφη γεγραπέναι, *he said that he had written.* (He says γέγραφα.) For the Perfect with ἂν, see below.

Φησὶ (φήσεται) γράφειν, *he says (or will say) that he will write*; ἔφη γράφειν, *he said that he would write.* (He says γράψω.)

(Present.) Ἀρρωστεῖν προφασίζεται, *he pretends that he is sick.* Ἐξώμοσεν ἄρρωστεῖν τουτονί, *he took his oath that this man was sick.* DEM. F. L. 379, 15 and 17. Οὐκ ἔφη αὐτὸς ἀλλ' ἐκείνον στρατηγεῖν, *he said that not he himself, but Nicias, was general; i. e. he said, οὐκ ἐγὼ αὐτὸς ἀλλ' ἐκείνος στρατηγεῖ.* THUC. IV, 28. Τίνας ποτ' εὐχὰς ὑπολαμβάνειτ' εὔχεσθαι τὸν Φίλιππον ὅτ' ἔσπενδεν; *what prayers do you suppose Philip made, &c.?* DEM. F. L. 381, 10. (Εὔχεσθαι here represents ἡὔχετο: for other examples of the Imperfect, see § 15, 3.) Οἶμαι γὰρ ἂν οὐκ ἀχαρίστως μοι ἔχειν, *for I think it would not be a thankless labor; i. e. οὐκ ἂν ἔχοι.* XEN. AN. II, 3, 18. Οἴεσθε γὰρ τὸν πατέρα . . . οὐκ ἂν φυλάττειν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν λαμβάνειν τῶν πωλουμένων ξύλων, *do you think that he would not have taken care and have received the pay, &c.?* i. e. οὐκ ἂν ἐφύλαττεν καὶ ἐλάμβανεν; DEM. Timoth. 1194, 20. (See § 41, 1.)

(Aorist.) Κατασχεῖν φησι τούτους, *he says that he detained them.* Τοὺς δ' αἰχμαλώτους οὐδ' ἐνθυμηθῆναί φησι λύσασθαι, *but he says that he did not even think of ransoming the prisoners.* DEM. F. L. 353, 14 and 18. (He says κατέσχον, and οὐδ' ἐνεθυμήθη.) Ὁ Κῦρος λέγεται γενέσθαι Καμβύσῳ, *Cyrus is said to have been the son of Cambyses.* XEN. Cyr. I, 2, 1. Τοὺς Ἀθηναίους ἤλπιζεν ἴσως ἂν ἐπεξελθεῖν καὶ τὴν γῆν οὐκ ἂν περιδεῖν τμηθῆναι, *he hoped that the Athenians would perhaps march out, and not allow their land to be laid waste; i. e. ἴσως ἂν ἐπεξέλθοιεν καὶ οὐκ ἂν περιίδοιεν.* THUC. II, 20. Ἀπήσαν νομίσαντες μὴ ἂν ἔτι ἱκανοὶ γενέσθαι κωλύσαι τὸν τειχισμόν. Id. VI, 102. (Here οὐκ ἂν γενοίμεθα would be the direct form. (See § 69, 5.) So I, 139. Οὐκ ἂν ἡγείσθ' αὐτὸν καὶ ἐπιδραμεῖν, *do you not believe that (in that case) he would have run thither?* i. e. οὐκ ἂν ἐπέδραμεν; DEM. Aph. I, 831, 12. (See § 41, 3.)

(Perfect.) Φησὶν αὐτὸς αἴτιος γεγενῆσθαι, *he says, αἴτιος γεγενῆσθαι.* DEM. F. L. 352, 26. Εἵκαζον ἢ διώκοντα οἴχεσθαι ἢ καταληψόμενόν τι προεληλακέναι. XEN. AN. I, 10, 16. (Their thought was ἢ διώκων οἴχεται, ἢ . . . προελήλακεν. See § 10, N. 4.) Ἐφη χρήμαθ' εὐντῶ τοῖς Θηβαίοις ἐπικεκρηχέναι, *he said that the Thebans had offered a reward for him.* DEM. F. L. 347, 26. For examples of the Perfect Infinitive with ἂν, representing the Pluperfect Indicative and the Perfect Optative, see § 41, 2.

(Future.) Ἐπαγγέλλεται τὰ δίκαια ποιήσκειν, *he promises to do what is right.* DEM. F. L. 356, 10. So II. I, 161. Ἐφη ἐνὶς ἡμερῶν εἴκοσιν ἢ ἄξειν Λακεδαιμονίους ζῶντας ἢ αὐτοῦ ἀποκτενεῖν, *he said that within twenty days he would either bring them alive or kill them where they were.* THUC. IV, 28. (Cleon said ἢ ἄξω . . . ἢ ἀποκτενῶ.) Ταῦτα (φησὶ) πεπράξεσθαι δυοῖν ἢ τριῶν ἡμερῶν, *he says that this will have been accomplished within two or three days.* DEM. F. L. 364, 18. (See § 29, Note 6.) For the rare Future Infinitive with ἂν, see § 41, 4.

REMARK. For the meaning of each tense of the Infinitive in indirect discourse, see § 15, 2; § 18, 3; § 23, 2; and § 27. It will

be seen that these tenses (especially the Aorist) in this use differ essentially from the same tenses in other constructions; it is therefore important to ascertain in each case to which class the Infinitive belongs. This must be decided by the context; but in general it may be stated that an Infinitive stands in indirect discourse, when it depends upon a verb implying *thought* or the *expression of thought*, and when *also* the thought, as originally conceived, would have been expressed by some tense of the *Indicative* (with or without *ἄν*) or of the *Optative* (with *ἄν*), which can be transferred without change of tense to the Infinitive. (See § 15, 2, N. 1, which applies only to the Infinitive *without ἄν*.) Thus λέγω αὐτὸν ἐλθεῖν means *I say that he came*; but βούλεται ἐλθεῖν means *he wishes to come*, where ἐλθεῖν is merely an ordinary Infinitive, belonging under § 23, 1. In the former case ἐλθεῖν represents ἦλθεν, but in the latter case it represents no form of the Aorist Indicative or Optative, and is therefore not in indirect discourse. So with the Infinitive after all verbs of *commanding, advising, wishing*, and others enumerated in § 92, 1.

2. When the Participle with the sense of the Infinitive (§ 113) is used in the indirect quotation of a simple sentence, it follows the rules already given for the Infinitive (§ 73, 1), in regard to its tense and the use of *ἄν*. E. g.

Ἀγγέλλει τούτους ἐρχομένους, *he announces that they are coming*; ἤγγειλε τούτους ἐρχομένους, *he announced that they were coming*. (The announcement is οὗτοι ἔρχονται.) Ἀγγέλλει τούτους ἐλθόντας, *he announces that they came*; ἤγγειλε τούτους ἐλθόντας, *he announced that they had come*. (The announcement is ἦλθον.) Ἀγγέλλει τούτους ἐληλυθότας, *he announces that they are come*; ἤγγειλε τούτους ἐληλυθότας, *he announced that they were come*. (The announcement is ἐληλύθασιν.) Ἀγγέλλει (ἤγγειλε) τοῦτο γενησόμενον, *he announces (or announced) that this is (or was) about to happen*. (He announces τοῦτο γενήσεται.)

Τοῖς τε γὰρ ἐπιχειρήμασιν ἑώρων οὐ κατορθοῦντες καὶ τοὺς στρατιώτας ἀχθομένους τῇ μονῇ, *they saw that they were not succeeding, and that the soldiers were distressed*; i. e. *they saw*, οὐ κατορθοῦμεν καὶ οἱ στρατιῶναι ἀχθονται. THUC. VII, 47. Ἐμμένομεν οἷς ὁμολογήσαμεν δίκαιους οὖσιν; *do we abide by what we acknowledged to be just* (i. e. δίκαιά ἐστιν)? PLAT. Crit. 50 A. Πάνθ' ἕνεκα ἑαυτοῦ ποιῶν ἐξέληλεγκται, *he has been proved to be doing everything for his own interest*. DEM. Ol. II, 20, 12. Αὐτῷ Κῦρον στρατεύοντα πρῶτος ἤγγειλα, *I first announced to him that Cyrus was marching against him*. XEN. An. II, 3, 19.

Ἐπιστάμενοι καὶ τὸν βάρβαρον αὐτὸν περὶ αὐτῷ τὰ πλείω σφαλέντα, καὶ πρὸς αὐτοὺς τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις πολλὰ ἡμᾶς ἤδη τοῖς ἀμαρτήμασιν αὐτῶν μῆλλον ἢ τῇ ἀφ' ἑμῶν τιμωρία περιγεγενημένους. THUC. I, 69. (The direct discourse would be ὁ βάρβαρος . . . ἐσφάλη; καὶ ἡμεῖς . . . περιγεγενημεθα.) So in the same chapter,

τὸν Μῆδον αὐτοὶ ἴσμεν ἐκ πειράτων γῆς ἐπὶ τὴν Πελοπόννησον ἐλθόντα, i. e. ὁ Μῆδος ἦλθεν. Οὐ γὰρ ᾔδεσαν αὐτὸν τεθνηκῶτα, *for they did not know that he was dead* (i. e. τέθνηκεν). XEN. AN. I, 10, 16. Ἐπέδειξα οὐδὲν ἀληθές ἀπηγγέλκοντα ἀλλὰ φενακίσανθ' ὑμᾶς, *I have shown that he has reported nothing that is true, and that he deceived you.* (Perf. and Aor.) DEM. F. L. 396, 30.

Εἰ εἴ ᾔδειν καὶ τὴν συμμαχίαν μοι γεννησομένην, *if I were sure that I should obtain an alliance also* (i. e. συμμαχία μοι γενήσεται). IB. 353, 25. So XEN. HELL. IV, 7, 3. Ὁ δ' ἀντοφείλων ἀμβλύτερος, εἰδὼς οὐκ ἐς χάριν ἀλλ' ἐς ὀφείλημα τὴν ἀρετὴν ἀποδώσων, *knowing that he shall return the benefit, &c.* THUC. II, 40. Γινόντες οὐτ' ἀποκωλύσειν δυνατοὶ οὐτες. εἴ τ' ἀπομονωθήσονται τῆς ξυμβάσεως, κινδυνεύουσιν, ποιοῦνται ὁμολογίαν. ID. III, 28. (The direct discourse would be οὔτε δυνατοὶ ἔσμεν, εἴ τ' ἀπομονωθῶμεθα, κινδυνεύομεν.)

Εἰ δ' ἴσθι μηδὲν ἄν με τούτων ἐπιχειρήσαντα σε πείθειν, εἰ δυναστείαν μόνον ἢ πλοῦτον ἑώρων ἐξ αἰτῶν γεννησόμενον. ISOC. Phil. p. 109 B. § 133. (Here μηδὲν ἄν ἐπιχειρήσαντα represents οὐδὲν ἄν ἐπεχειρήσα, § 69, 5; and γεννησόμενον represents γενήσεται.) Σκοπούμενος οὖν εὔρισκον οὐδαμῶς ἄν ἄλλως τοῦτο διαπράξαμενος, *I found that I could accomplish this (διαπραξαίμην ἄν) in no other way.* ID. Antid. p. 311 C. § 7.

Ὅπως δέ γε τοὺς πολεμίους δύνασθε κακῶς ποιεῖν, οὐκ οἴσθα μανθάνοντας ὑμᾶς πολλὰς κακουργίας, *do you not know that you learned, &c.* XEN. Cyr. I, 6, 28. (Here the Optative δύνασθε, as well as the whole context, shows that μανθάνοντας represents ἐμανθάνετε, § 16, 2.) Μέννημαι δὲ ἔγωγε καὶ παῖς ὦν Κριτία τῷδε ξυνόντα σε, *I remember that you were with this Critias.* PLAT. Charm. 156 A. (Ξυνόντα represents ξυνῆσθα.) See § 16, 2, and the examples.

Indirect Quotation of Compound Sentences.

§ 74. When a compound sentence is to be indirectly quoted, its *leading* verb is expressed according to the rules given for simple sentences (§§ 70–73).

1. If the quotation depends on a primary tense, all the *dependent* verbs of the original sentence retain the moods and tenses of the direct discourse.

If the quotation depends on a secondary tense, all dependent verbs of the original sentence which in the direct discourse stood in the *Present*, *Perfect*, or *Future* Indicative, or in *any* tense of the Subjunctive, may (at the pleasure of the writer) either be changed to the

same tenses of the Optative, or retain both the moods and tenses of the direct discourse. The Optative is the more common form. E. g.

(After primary tenses.) **Ἄν δ' ὑμεῖς λέγητε, ποιήσῃν (φῃσὶ) ὁ μὴτ' αἰσχύνῃν μὴτ' ἀδοξίαν αὐτῷ φέρεῖ.* DEM. F. L. 354, 8. (Here no change is made, except from ποιήσει to ποιήσῃν.) *Νομίζω γὰρ, ἂν τοῦτ' ἀκριβῶς μάθῃτε, μᾶλλον ὑμᾶς τούτοις μὲν ἀπιστήσῃν ἐμοὶ δὲ βοηθήσῃν.* Id. Onet. I, 870, 27. *Ἐὰν ἐκείνο εἰδῶμεν, ὅτι ἅπαντα ὅσα πάποτ' ἤλπίσαμεν τινα πράξῃν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν καθ' ἡμῶν εὕρηται, . . . καὶ μὴ νῦν ἐθέλωμεν ἐκεῖ πολεμεῖν αὐτῷ, ἐνθάδ' ἴσως ἀναγκασθῇσόμεθα τοῦτο ποιεῖν, κ. τ. λ.* Id. Phil. I, 54, 18. *Προλέγω ὅτι, ὁπότερ' ἂν ἀποκρίνηται, ἐξελεγχθήσεται.* PLAT. Euthyd. 275 E. See DEM. Mid. 536, 1, where two such conditional sentences depend on εἰ πρόδηλον γένοιτο. (See § 34, 3.)

Ὅρῳ σοὶ τούτων δεῆσον, ὅταν ἐπιθυμήσῃς φιλίαν πρὸς τινὰς ποιεῖσθαι. XEN. Mem. II, 6, 29. *Παράδειγμα σαφὲς καταστήσατε, ὅς ἂν ἀφιστῇται, θανάτῳ ζημιωσόμενον.* THUC. III, 40. See § 73, 2.

(Opt. after secondary tenses.) *Εἶπε ὅτι ἄνδρα ἄγοι ὃν εἰρξάι δέοι, he said that he was bringing a man whom it was necessary to confine; i. e. he said ἄνδρα ἄγω ὃν εἰρξάι δεῖ.* XEN. Hell. V, 4, 8. *Ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι μανθάνοιεν οἱ μανθάνοντες ἃ οὐκ ἐπίσταντο, i. e. he replied, μανθάνουσι ἃ οὐκ ἐπίστανται.* PLAT. Euthyd. 276 E. (Here ἃ has a definite antecedent, § 59, and is not conditional; it takes the Optative only because it is in indirect discourse. So with ὃν in the preceding example.) *Ἀγασίλαος ἔλεγεν ὅτι, εἰ βλαβερά πεπραχὼς εἶη, δίκαιος εἶη ζημιοῦσθαι, i. e. he said εἰ βλαβερά πέπραχε, δίκαιός ἐστι ζημιοῦσθαι.* XEN. Hell. V, 2, 32. So AN. VI, 6, 25.

Εἰ δέ τινα φεύγοντα λήψοιτο, προηγόρευεν ὅτι ὡς πολεμῶν χρήσεται. Id. Cyr. III, 1, 3. (This is a quotation of a conditional sentence belonging under § 50, 1, N. 1; εἰ τινα λήψομαι, . . . χρήσομαι.) *Γνόντες δέ . . . ὅτι, εἰ δώσοιεν εὐθύνας, κινδυνεύσοιεν ἀπολέσθαι, πέμπουσιν καὶ διδάσκουσιν τοὺς Θηβαίους ὡς, εἰ μὴ στρατεύσοιεν, κινδυνεύσοιεν οἱ Ἀρκάδες πάλιν λακωνίσαι.* Id. Hell. VII, 4, 34. (See § 32, 2.) *Ἦιδει γὰρ ὅτι, εἰ μάχης ποτέ δεήσοι, ἐκ τούτων αὐτῷ παρυστάτας ληπτέον εἶη.* Id. Cyr. VIII, 1, 10. (The direct discourse was εἶ τι δεήσει, . . . ληπτέον ἐστίν.)

Ἐλογίζοντο ὡς, εἰ μὴ μάχοιντο, ἀποστήσονται αἱ περιοικίδες πόλεις. Id. Hell. VI, 4, 6. (Ἐὰν μὴ μαχόμεθα, ἀποστήσονται.) *Χρήμαθ' ὑπισχνεῖτο δώσειν, εἰ τοῦ πράγματος αἰτιῶντο ἐμέ.* DEM. Mid. 548, 20. (Δώσω, ἐὰν αἰτιάσθε.) *Ἠγείτο γὰρ ἅπαν ποιήσῃν αὐτόν, εἴ τις ἀργύριον διδοίη.* LYS. in ERAT. p. 121, § 14. *Εὐξάντο σωτήρια θύσειν, ἐνθα πρῶτον εἰς φιλίαν γῆν ἀφίκοιντο.* XEN. An. V, 1, 1. (The dependent clause is found in the direct discourse in III, 2, 9: *δοκεῖ μοι εὐξασθαι τῷ θεῷ τούτῳ θύειν σωτήρια ὅπου ἂν πρῶτον εἰς φιλίαν γῆν ἀφικώμεθα.*) *Τοῦτο ἐπραγματεύετο νομίζων, ὅσα τῆς πόλεως προλάβοι, πάντα ταῦτα βεβαίως ἔξειν.* DEM. Cor. 234, 5. (Ὅσ' ἂν προλάβω, βεβαίως ἔξω.) *Ἠλιπζον ὑπὸ τῶν παίδων, ἐπειδὴ*

τελευτήσειαν τὸν βίον, ταφήσεσθαι. LYS. AGOR. p. 123, § 45. (Ἐπειδὴν τελευτήσωμεν, ταφησόμεθα.) Κόνων ἐδίδασκεν ὡς οὕτω μὲν ποιοῦντι πᾶσαι αὐτῷ αἱ πόλεις φιλίας ἔσονται, εἰ δὲ δουλοῦσθαι βουλόμενος φανερός ἔσοιτο. ἔλεγεν ὡς μία ἐκάστη πολλὰ πράγματα ἱκανὴ εἶη παρέχειν, καὶ κίνδυνος εἶη μὴ καὶ οἱ Ἕλληνες, εἰ ταῦτα αἰσθόιντο, συσταίεν. XEN. HELL. IV, 8, 2.

Ἔτι δὲ γινώσκειν ἔφασαν φθονοῦντας μὲν αὐτοὺς, εἴ τι σφίσιν ἀγαθὸν γίγνοιτο, ἐφηδομένους δ' εἴ τις συμφορὰ προσπίπτει, *they said they knew that they were envious if any good came to them, but pleased if any calamity befall them* Ib. V, 2, 2. (Φθονεῖτε μὲν, εἴαν τι ἡμῖν ἀγαθὸν γίγνηται, ἐφήδεσθε δ' εἴαν τις συμφορὰ προσπίπτῃ. See § 51.) Τὴν αἰτίαν, ἣ πρόδηλος ἦν ἐπ' ἐκείνους ἦξουσα, εἴ τι πάθοι Χαρίδημος. DEM. ARISTOC. 624, 20. (Ἡξεῖ, εἴαν τι πάθῃ Χαρίδημος.) See § 73, 2.

(Subj. and Indic. after secondary tenses.) Ἐλεγον ὅτι ἄκρα τέ ἐστὶν ἔνδον καὶ οἱ πολέμιοι πολλοὶ, οἳ παίουσιν τοὺς ἔνδον ἀνθρώπους, *then said that there was a height, &c.* XEN. AN. V, 2, 17 (Here εἶεν and παίοιεν might have been used.)

Ἐδόκει μοι ταύτῃ πειράσθαι σωθῆναι, ἐνθυμουμένῳ ὅτι, εἴαν μὲν λάθω, σωθήσομαι, κ.τ.λ. LYS. AGOR. p. 121, § 15. (Here εἰ λάθοιμι, σωθήσοίμην might have been used.) Φάσκων τε, ἣν σωθῆ οἴκαδε, κατὰ γε τὸ αὐτῷ δυνατόν διαλλάξειν Ἀθηναίους καὶ Λακεδαιμονίους, ἀπέπλευσεν. XEN. HELL. I, 6, 7. (He said ἣν σωθῶ, which might have been changed to εἰ σωθείη.) Ὑπέσχοντο αὐτοῖς, ἣν ἐπὶ Ποτίδαιαν ἴωσιν Ἀθηναῖοι, ἐς τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἐσβαλεῖν. THUC. I, 58. (Ἦν ἴωσιν, ἐσβαλοῖμεν.) So THUC. I, 137. Καὶ οὐκ ἔφασαν ἰέναι, εἴαν μὴ τις αὐτοῖς χρήματα διδῶ. . . Ὁ δ' ὑπέσχετο ἀνδρὶ ἐκάστῳ δώσειν πέντε μνᾶς, ἐπὰν εἰς Βαρυλῶνα ἦκωσι, καὶ τὸν μισθὸν ἐντελεῖ, μέχρι ἂν καταστήσῃ τοὺς Ἕλληνας εἰς Ἰωνίαν πάλιν. XEN. AN. I, 4, 12 and 13. Ἐφ' ἡρῆναι, . . . οἳ ἂν ἐλεγχθῶσι διαβάλλοντες τῶν Ἑλλήνων, ὡς προδότας ὄντας τιμαυρηθῆναι. Ib. II, 5, 2.

Εἰ δὲ μὴ, καὶ αὐτοὶ ἔφασαν αὐτῶν τοὺς ἄνδρας ἀποκτενεῖν οὓς ἔχουσι ζῶντας. THUC. II, 5. (Ἐχοιεν might have been used.) Κατασχίσκειν τὰς πύλας ἔφασαν, εἰ μὴ ἐκόντες ἀνοίξουσιν. XEN. AN. VII, 1, 16. (Εἰ μὴ ἀνοίξοιεν might have been used.) Αὐτοῖς τοιαύτη δόξα παρειστήκει, ὡς, εἰ μὲν πρότερον ἐπ' ἄλλην πόλιν ἴασιν, ἐκείνοις καὶ Ἀθηναῖοις πολεμήσουσιν· εἰ δ' ἐνθάδε πρῶτον ἀφίξονται, οὐδένως ἄλλους τολμήσειν, κ.τ.λ. LYS. OR. FUN. p. 192, § 22. (Τοῦτο) πρόδηλον ἦν ἐσόμενον, εἰ μὴ ὑμεῖς κωλύετε, *it was already manifest that this would be so, unless you should prevent it* (i. e. ἔσται, εἰ μὴ κωλύετε). AESCHIN. COR. § 90. (Κωλύετε might be used; and κωλύσαιτε, representing εἴαν μὴ κωλύσῃτε, is found in one Ms. and many editions.) See § 73, 2.

NOTE 1. The dependent verbs in indirect discourse may be changed to the Optative, even when the leading verb retains the Indicative; and sometimes (though rarely) a dependent verb retains the Subjunctive or Indicative, when the

leading verb is changed to the Optative. This often gives rise to a great variety of constructions in the same sentence. E. g.

Δηλώσας ὅτι ἔτοιμοί εἰσι μάχεσθαι, εἴ τις ἐξέρχοιτο. XEN. Cyr. IV, 1, 1. (Ἐτοιμοί εἰσιν, εἰ τις ἐξέρχεται.) Λύσανδρος εἶπε ὅτι παρασπόνδους ὑμᾶς ἔχει, καὶ ὅτι οὐ περὶ πολιτείας ὑμῖν ἔσται ἀλλὰ περὶ σωτηρίας, εἰ μὴ ποιήσαιθ' ἡ Θηραμένης κελεύει. LYS. in Erat. p. 127, § 74. (Ἐχω, καὶ οὐ . . . ἔσται, εἰ μὴ ποιήσῃθ' ἡ Θ. κελεύει. There is no need of the emendations ποιήσετ' and κελεύει.) Ἐδόκει δὴλον εἶναι ὅτι αἰρήσονται αὐτὸν, εἴ τις ἐπιψηφίξοι. XEN. An. VI, 1, 25. Οὐκ ἡγνόει Εὐβουλίδης ὅτι, εἰ λόγος ἀποδοθήσοιτο, καὶ παραγένοντό μοι πάντες οἱ δημόται, καὶ ἡ ψῆφος δικαίως δοθείη, οὐδαμῶς γενήσονται οἱ μετὰ τούτου συνεστηκότες. DEM. Eubul. 1303, 22. (Εἰ ἀποδοθήσεται, καὶ εἰ παραγένωνται, καὶ ψῆφος δοθῇ, οὐδαμῶς γενήσονται.) Ἀγησίλαος γνοῦς ὅτι, εἰ μὲν μηδενὶ συλλήψοιτο, μισθὸν οὐδέτερος λύσει τοῖς Ἑλλησιν, ἀγορὰν δὲ οὐδέτερος παρέξει, ὁπότερος τ' ἂν κρατήσῃ, οὗτος ἐχθρὸς ἔσται· εἰ δὲ τῷ ἑτέρῳ συλλήψοιτο, οὗτός γε φίλος ἔσοιτο, κ.τ.λ. XEN. Ages. II, 31.

Ἔλεγον ὅτι εἰκότα δοκοῖεν λέγειν βασιλεῖ, καὶ ἥκοιεν ἡγῆμόνας ἔχοντες, οἱ αὐτοὺς, εἰ σπονδαὶ γένωνται, ἄξουσιν ἔνθεν ἕξουσι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια. XEN. An. II, 3, 6. Ἐπρωτά, ποῖα εἴη τῶν δρέων δπόθεν οἱ Χαλδαῖοι καταθέοντες λήγουνται. Id. Cyr. III, 2, 1. Τούτοις προὔλεγον, ὅτι εἰρωνεύσοιο καὶ πάντα μᾶλλον ποιήσοις ἢ ἀποκρῖνοιο, εἴ τίς τί σε ἐρωτᾷ. PLAT. Rep. I, 337 A. (Ερωτᾷ in the direct discourse would belong under § 51, N. 3, the Futures denoting a *habit*.) Ἐλεξας ὅτι μέγιστον εἴη μαθεῖν ὅπως δεῖ ἐξεργάζεσθαι ἕκαστα· εἰ δὲ μὴ, οὐδὲ τῆς ἐπιμελείας ἐφησθα ὕφελος οὐδὲν γίγνεσθαι, εἰ μὴ τις ἐπίστατο ἡ δεῖ καὶ ὡς δεῖ ποιεῖν. XEN. Oecon. XV, 2.

In DEM. Cor. 276, 23, we have both the constructions of § 74, 1 in the same sentence: εἰ μὲν τοῦτο τῶν ἐκείνου συμμάχων εἰσηγοῖτό τις, ὑπόψεσθαι τὸ πρᾶγμα ἐνόμιζε πάντας, ἂν δ' Ἀθηναῖος ἢ ὁ τοῦτο ποιῶν, εὐπόρως λήσειν. (Here εἰ εἰσηγοῖτο represents εἰ εἰσηγῇται, corresponding to εἰ μὴ.)

NOTE 2. According to the general rule (§ 69, 4), all relatives and particles which take ἂν and the Subjunctive lose the ἂν when such Subjunctives are changed to the Optative in indirect discourse after secondary tenses. In a few cases, however, the ἂν is irregularly retained, even after the verb has been changed to the Optative. This must not be confounded with ἂν belonging to the Optative itself, making an apodosis. E. g.

Οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅστις οὐχ ἡγέιτο τῶν εἰδῶτων δίκην με λῦψεσθαι παρ' αὐτῶν, ἐπειδὴν τάχιστα ἀνὴρ εἶναι δοκιμασθεῖην. DEM. Onet. I, 865, 24. (The direct discourse was ἐπειδὴν δοκιμασθῇ, and the regular indirect form would be either ἐπειδὴ δοκιμασθεῖην or ἐπειδὴν δοκιμασθῶ. Here the verb is changed, while the original particle ἐπειδάν is retained.) See also § 77, 1, Note 3.

2. The Imperfect and Pluperfect remain in the Indicative unchanged, even after secondary tenses, in the dependent (as well as in the leading) clauses of indirect discourse, from the want of those tenses in the Optative. (§ 70, 2, Note 1, *a*.)

The Aorist Indicative also regularly remains unchanged after secondary tenses, when it stood in a *dependent* clause of the direct discourse; not being changed to the Aorist Optative (as it may be when it stood in the leading clause, § 70, 2). E. g.

Ἐπιστείλαι δὲ σφίσιν αὐτοῖς τοὺς ἐφόρους (ἔφασαν) εἰπεῖν, ὥς ὦν μὲν πρόσθεν ἐποιοῦν μέμφοιντο αὐτοῖς, *that they sent them to say that they blamed them for what they had done before*; i. e. ὦν πρόσθεν ἐποιεῖτε μεμφόμεθα ὑμῖν. XEN. Hell. III, 2, 6.

(Aorist Ind.) Ἦλπιζον τοὺς Σικελοὺς ταύτη, οὓς μετέπεμψαν, ἀπαντήσεσθαι, *they hoped that the Sikels whom they had sent for would meet them here*. THUC. VII, 80. Ἀντέλεγον . . . λέγοντες μὴ ἀπηγγέλλαι πω τὰς σπονδὰς, ὅτ' ἐσέπεμψαν τοὺς ὀπλίτας. Id. V, 49. (§ 69, 5.) Ἐλεγον ὥς Ξενοφῶν οἴχοιτο ὥς Σευθὴν οἰκήσαν καὶ ἂ ὑπέσχετο αὐτῷ ἀποληψόμενος. XEN. An. VII, 7, 55. Ἐκαστον ἡρόμην, εἴ τινες εἶεν μάρτυρες ὦν ἐναντίον τὴν προῖκ' ἀπέδωσαν. DEM. Onet. I, 869, 9.

NOTE 1. The Aorist Indicative is not changed to the Aorist Optative in the case just mentioned, as the latter tense in such dependent clauses generally represents the Aorist Subjunctive of the direct discourse, so that confusion might arise. Thus ἔφη ἂ ἐῦροι δώσειν means *he said that he would give whatever he might find* (ἂ εῦροι representing ἂ ἂν εῦρω); but if ἂ εῦροι could also represent ἂ ἐῦρον, it might also mean *he said that he would give what he actually had found*. In the leading clause the ambiguity is confined to indirect questions; and in these the Aorist Indicative is generally retained for the same reason. (See § 70, 2, Rem. 2.)

When no ambiguity can arise from the change of an Aorist Indicative to the Optative, this tense may follow the general principle (§ 69, 1), even in dependent clauses of a quotation. This occurs chiefly in causal sentences after ὅτι, &c., *because* (§ 81, 2), in which the Subjunctive can never be used. E. g.

Εἶχε γὰρ λέγειν ὥς Λακεδαιμόνιοι διὰ τοῦτο πολεμήσειαν αὐτοῖς, ὅτι οὐκ ἐθέλησαιεν μετ' Ἀγησιλάου ἐλθεῖν ἐπ' αὐτὸν οὐδὲ θῦσαι ἐάσειαν αὐτὸν ἐν Αὐλίδι. XEN. Hell. VII, 1, 34. (The direct discourse was ἐπολέμησαν ἡμῖν, ὅτι οὐκ ἠθέλησαμεν . . . οὐδὲ θῦσαι εἰάσαμεν.) Ἀπηγγασθαί (φασι) ὥς ἀνοσιώτατον μὲν εἶη εἰργασμένος ὅτε τοῦ ἀδελφεοῦ ἀποστάμοι τὴν κεφαλὴν, σοφώτατον δὲ ὅτι τοὺς φυλάκους καταμεθύσας καταλύσειε τοῦ ἀδελφεοῦ κρεμάμενον τὸν νέκυν. HDG.

Π, 121. (Ἦεῖε ὅτι καταλύσεις represents ὅτι κατέλυσα, *because I took down*; ὅτε ἀποτάμῃ (so the Mss.) may also be understood in a causal sense, *since he had cut off*. Madvig, however, reads ὅτι in both clauses.) See also § 77, 1, *e*, and examples.

NOTE 2. The Imperfect or Pluperfect sometimes stands irregularly in a dependent (as well as in the leading) clause, after a secondary tense, to represent a Present or Perfect Indicative, which would regularly be retained or changed to the Present or Perfect Optative. Such clauses really abandon the construction of indirect discourse. (See § 70, 2, N. 2; § 77, 1, N. 2.) E. g.

*Ἐλεγον οὐ καλῶς τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἐλευθεροῦν αὐτὸν, εἰ ἄνδρας διέφθειρεν οὔτε χεῖρας ἀνταιρομένους οὔτε πολεμίους. THUC. III, 32. (Οὐ καλῶς ἐλευθεροῖς, εἰ διαφθείρεις.) Οὔτε γὰρ τοῖς θεοῖς ἔφη καλῶς ἔχειν, εἰ ταῖς μεγάλας θυσίαις μᾶλλον ἢ ταῖς μικραῖς ἔχαιρον. XEN. Mem. I, 3, 3. (Εἰ χαίρουσιν.) Καὶ ἔφη εἶναι παρ' ἐαυτῷ ὅσον μὴ ἦν ἀνηλωμένον. DEM. Olymp. 1172, 1. (Ὅσον μὴ ἐστὶν ἀνηλωμένον.) Ἄ μὲν εἰλήφει τῆς πόλεως ἀποδώσειν (ἡγούμην), *I thought that he would give back what he had taken from the city*; i. e. ἂ ἐἴληφεν ἀποδώσει. Id. F. L. 388, 17.

§ 75. When a dependent clause of the original sentence contains a secondary tense of the Indicative implying the non-fulfilment of a condition, the same mood and tense are retained in the indirect discourse, after both primary and secondary tenses. E. g.

Ἐδοκεῖ, εἰ μὴ ἔφθασαν ξυλλαβόντες τοὺς ἄνδρας, προδοθῆναι αὐτὸν πόλιν. THUC. VI, 61. (If ἔφθασαν had been changed to the Optative, the construction would have become that of § 76.) Οἷσθε τὸν πατέρα, εἰ μὴ Τιμοθέου ἦν τὰ ξύλα καὶ ἐδεήθη οὗτος αὐτοῦ . . . παρασχεῖν τὸ ναῦλον, εἰσάει ἂν ποτε, κ.τ.λ., ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν φυλάττειν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν λαμβάνειν, ἕως ἐκομίσατο τὰ ἑαυτοῦ. DEM. Timoth. 1194, 13. Τούτων εἴ τι ἦν ἀληθές, οἷσθ' οὐκ ἂν αὐτὴν λαβεῖν; Id. Aph. I, 831, 5. Ἡδέως ἂν ὑμῶν πυθοίμην, τίν' ἂν ποτε γνώμην περὶ ἐμοῦ εἴχετε, εἰ μὴ ἐπετριηράρχησα ἀλλὰ πλέων φ' ἰχόμην. Id. Polycl. 1227, 2.

§ 76. An Optative in a dependent clause of the original sentence (as in the leading clause) is retained without change of mood or tense in all indirect discourse. E. g.

Ἐἶπεν ὅτι ἔλθοι ἂν εἰς λόγους, εἰ ὁμήρους λάβοι. XEN. Hell. III, 1, 20. Ἦτταν ἂν διὰ τοῦτο τυγχάνειν (δοκεῖ μοι), εἴ τι δέοισθε παρ' αὐτῶν. XEN. An. VI, 1, 26. Ἐλεγεν ὅτι οὐκ ἂν ποτε προοῖτο, ἐπεὶ ὑπὰξ φίλος αἰτοῖς ἐγένετο, οὐδ' εἰ ἔτι μὲν μείους γένονιντο ἔτι δὲ κά

κίον πράξειαν. Ib. I, 9, 10. Δεινὸν ἂν τι παθεῖν σαι τὸν ἡλπίξῃς, εἰ
 πύθοινθ' οὗτοι τὰ πεπραγμένα σοι. DEM. F. L. 416, 11.

REMARK. Sentences which belong under § 76 are often translated like those which in the direct discourse were expressed by a Future and a dependent Subjunctive, and which belong under § 74, 1. Thus ἔλεγεν ὅτι ἔλθοι ἂν, εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο (or ἔλεγεν ἐλθεῖν ἂν, εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο), as well as ἔλεγεν ὅτι ἐλεύσοιτο, εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο (or ἔλεγον ἐλεύσεσθαι, εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο), may be translated *he said that he would come if this should happen*; although in the first two sentences the direct discourse was ἔλθοιμι ἂν, εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο, *I would come if this should happen*; and in the last two, ἐλεύσομαι, ἐὰν τοῦτο γένηται, *I will come if this shall happen*.

Single Dependent Clauses in Indirect Discourse.

§ 77. The principles which apply to dependent clauses of indirect discourse (§ 74, 1 and 2) apply also to any dependent clause in a sentence of any kind (even when what precedes is not in indirect discourse), if such a clause expresses *indirectly* the thought of any other person than the speaker, or even a former thought of the speaker himself.

After primary tenses this never affects the construction; but after secondary tenses such a clause may either take the Optative, in the *tense* in which the thought would have been originally conceived, or retain both the mood and the tense of the direct discourse. Here, as in § 74, 2, the Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist Indicative are retained unchanged.

1. This applies especially (*a*) to clauses depending on the Infinitive which follows verbs of *commanding, advising, wishing, &c.*; these verbs implying *thought* or the *expression of thought*, although the Infinitive after them is not in indirect discourse. (See § 73, 1, Rem.) It applies also (*b*) to the *Optative* (though not to the Indicative) in causal sentences in which the speaker states the cause as one *assigned by others* (§ 81, 2); — (*c*) to clauses containing a protasis with the apodosis implied in the context (§ 53, Note 2), or with the apodo-

sis expressed in a verb like *θανμάζω*, &c. (§ 56); — (d) to temporal sentences expressing a past *intention* or *expectation*, especially those introduced by *ἕως* and *πρίν*, *until*, after past tenses (§ 66, 2, Note 1); — and sometimes (e) even to ordinary relative sentences, which would otherwise take the Indicative. E. g.

(a.) Ἐβούλοντο ἐλθεῖν, εἰ τοῦτο γένοιτο, *they wished to go, if this should happen*. (Here εἰάν τοῦτο γένηται might be used, as the form in which the wish would originally be conceived.) Γαδάταν δὲ καὶ Γωβρύαν ἐκέλευσεν ὁ τι δύναιτο λαβόντας μεταδιώκειν· καὶ ὅστις εἶχε τὰς ἐπομένας ἀγέλας, εἶπε τούτῳ καὶ ἅμα πρόβατα πολλὰ ἐλαύνειν, ὅπῃ ἂν αὐτὸν πυνθάνηται ὄντα, ὥς ἐπισφαγεῖν. XEN. Cyr. VII, 3, 7. (Here ὁ τι δύναιτο represents ὁ τι ἂν δύνησθε in the direct command, while ὅπῃ ἂν πυνθάνηται represents ὅπῃ ἂν πυνθάνῃ.) Ἐβούλοντο γὰρ σφίσιν, εἴ τινα λάβοιεν, ὑπάρχειν αὐτὶ τῶν ἔνδον, ἣν ἄρα τύχῳ σί τινες ἐξωγρημένοι. THUC. II, 5. (*Ἦν λάβωμεν, and ἦν τύχῳσι.) Οἱ δ' ἄλλοι Θηβαῖοι, οὓς ἔδει παραγενέσθαι, εἴ τι μὴ προχωροίη τοῖς ἐσεληλυθόσιν, ἐπεβόηθουν. Ibid. (Εἰάν τι μὴ προχωρή.)

Προεῖπον αὐτοῖς μὴ ναυμαχεῖν Κορινθίοις, ἣν μὴ ἐπὶ Κέρκυραν πλέωσι καὶ μέλλωσιν ἀποβαίνειν. Id. I, 45. (*Ἦν μὴ πλέητε καὶ μέλλητε.) Καὶ παρήγγειλαν ἐπειδὴ δειπνήσειαν συνεσκευασμένους πάντας ἀναπαύεσθαι, καὶ ἔπεσθαι ἡνίκ' ἂν τις παραγγέλλῃ. XEN. An. III, 5, 18. (Ἐπειδὰν δειπνήσητε, and ἡνίκ' ἂν τις παραγγέλλῃ.) Περὶ αὐτῶν κρύφα πέμπει, κελεύων . . . μὴ ἀφείναι πρὶν ἂν αὐτοὶ πάλιν κομισθῶσιν. THUC. I, 91. (Πρὶν κομισθῆεν might have been used.) Καὶ πολλάκις τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις παρήνει, ἣν ἄρα ποτὲ κατὰ γῆν βιασθῶσι, καταβάντας ἐς αὐτὸν ταῖς ναυσὶ πρὸς ἅπαντας ἀνθίστασθαι. Id. I, 91. (Εἰ βιασθεῖεν might have been used.) Ἡξίουں αὐτοὺς ἡγεμόνας σφῶν γενέσθαι καὶ Πausanία μὴ ἐπιτρέπειν, ἣν που βιάζεται. Id. I, 95. (Εἴ που βιάζοιτο might have been used.) Ἀφικνοῦνται ὥς Σιτάλκην, βουλόμενοι πείσαι αὐτὸν, εἰ δύναιτο, στρατεῦσαι ἐπὶ τὴν Ποτιδαίαν. Id. II, 67. Ἐτοιμος ἦν ἀποτίνειν, εἰ καταγνοίεν αὐτοῦ. Isoc. Trapez. 361 E. § 16. (This example might be placed also under c.) Εἶπον μηδένα τῶν ὀπισθεν κινεῖσθαι, πρὶν ἂν ὁ πρόσθεν ἡγήται, *I commanded that no one, &c.* XEN. Cyr. II, 2, 8.

Παρηγγέλλετο γὰρ αὐτοῖς δέκα μὲν οὓς Θηραμένης ἀπέδειξε χειροτονῆσαι, δέκα δὲ οὓς οἱ ἔφοροι κελεύοιεν. LYS. in Erat. p. 127, § 76. (Οὓς ἀπέδειξε, and οὓς ἂν κελεύωσιν. See § 74, 2.) Ἐκέλευσέ με τὴν ἐπιστολὴν ἣν ἔγραψα οὔκαδε δοῦναι, *the letter which I had written*. XEN. Cyr. II, 2, 9. (*Ἦν γράψαιμι would mean *whatever letter I might write*, representing ἣν ἂν γράψῃς.) So ὅθεν ἦλθον, THUC. VII, 27.

(b.) Ἐκάκιζον ὅτι στρατηγὸς ὦν οὐκ ἐπεξάγοι, *they abused him because he did not lead them out (as they said)*. THUC. II, 21.

See other examples under § 81, 2. See also § 81, 2, Rem.

(c.) Ὀικτεῖρον, εἰ ἀλώσονται, *they pitied them, in case they*

should be captured: the idea in full is, *they pitied them, thinking of what would befall them if they should be captured.* XEN. An. I, 4, 1. (Εἰ ἀλώσονται might have been used.) Διδόντος δ' αὐτῷ πάμπολλα δῶρα Τιθράυστου, εἰ ἀπέλθοι, ἀπεκρίνατο, *offering him many gifts, if he would go away.* Id. Ages. IV, 6 (Εὰν ἀπέλθῃ might have been used.) Φύλακας συμπέμπει, ὥπως φυλάττοιεν αὐτὸν. καὶ εἰ τῶν ἀγρίων τι φανεῖη θηρίων, *and (to be ready) in case any wild beasts should appear*; his thought being εἰ τι φανῇ. Id. Cyr. I, 4, 7. See other examples of the Optative under § 53, N. 2.

* Ἦν δέ τις εἴπειν ἢ ἐπιψηφίσῃ κινεῖν τὰ χρήματα ταῦτα ἐς ἄλλο τι, θάνατον ζημίαν ἐπέθεντο, *they set death as the penalty, if any one should move, or put to vote a motion, to divert this money to any other purpose.* THUC. II, 24. (Εἰ εἴποι ἢ ἐπιψηφίσειεν might have been used.) Τάλλα, ἣν ἔτι ναυμαχεῖν οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τολμήσωσι, παρεσκευάζοντο. i. e. *they made their other preparations, (to be ready) in case the Athenians should dare, &c.* Id. VII, 59. (Their thought was, *we will be ready, in case they shall dare, ἣν τολμήσωσι.*) So ἦν ἰῶσιν, IV, 42. Οὐ τὸ λοιπὸν ἔμελλον ἔξειν, εἰ μὴ ναυκρατήσουσιν, *they were not likely to have them (provisions) for the future (as they thought), unless they should hold the sea.* Id. VII, 60.

Ἐθαύμαζε δ' εἰ τις ἀρετὴν ἐπαγγελλόμενος ἀργύριον πρᾶττοιο, *he wondered that any denominated money, &c.* XEN. Mem. I, 2, 7. (But in I, 1, 13, we find ἐθαύμαζε δ' εἰ μὴ φανερόν αὐτοῖς ἐστίν. *he wondered that it was not plain.*) Ἐχαιρον ἀγαπῶν εἴ τις ἐάσοι, *I rejoiced, being content if any one would let it pass.* PLAT. Rep. V, 450 A. Οὐκ ἡσχύνθη εἰ τοιοῦτο κακὸν ἐπάγει τῷ, *he was not ashamed that he was bringing such a calamity on any one.* DEM. Mid. 548, 24. Τῷ δὲ μὴδὲν ἑαυτῷ συνειδότε δεινὸν εἰσῆει. εἰ πονηρῶν ἔργων δόξει κοινοῦναι τῷ σιωπῆσαι, *it seemed hard, if he was to appear to be implicated, &c.*; he thought, δεινὸν ἐστίν, εἰ δόξω (§ 49, 1, N. 3). Id. F. L. 351, 18. (Here δόξοι might have been used, like ἐάσοι above.) So AESCHIN. Cor. § 10. Καὶ ἐγὼ τὸν Εὐνὸν ἐμακάρισα, εἰ ὡς ἀληθῶς ἔχει ταύτην τὴν τέχνην καὶ οὕτως ἐμμελῶς διδάσκει, *I congratulated him, if he really had this art (as he thought).* PLAT. Apol. 20 B. (Here ἔχοι and διδάσκοι might have been used.)

(d.) Σπονδὰς ἐποιήσαντο, ἕως ἀπαγγελθεῖν τὰ λεχθέντα εἰς Λακεδαίμονα, *they made a truce, (to continue) until what had been said should be announced at Sparta*; i. e. ἕως ἂν ἀπαγγελθῇ, which might have been retained. XEN. Hell. III, 2, 20. Ὡρσε δ' ἐπὶ κρατινὸν Βορέην, πρὸ δὲ κύματ' ἔαξεν, ἕως ὃ γε Φαιήκεσσι φιληρέτοισι μιγείν, *until Ulysses should be among the Phaeacians*; i. e. ἕως ἂν μιγῇ. Od. V, 385. So εἰως θερμαίνοιτο, Od. IX, 376. Ἀπηγόρευε μηδὲνα βάλλειν, πρὶν Κῆρὸς ἐμπλησθεῖν θηρῶν, *until Cyrus should be satisfied.* XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 14. (His words were πρὶν ἂν ἐμπλησθῇ.) Οἱ δὲ μένοντες ἔστασαν, ὅππότε πύργος Ἀχαιῶν ἄλλος ἐπελθὼν Τρώων ὀρμήσειε καὶ ἄρξειαν πολέμοιο, i. e. *they stood waiting for the time when, &c.* Il. IV, 335. (Here ὅπταν ὀρμήσῃ, &c. might be used.) So Il. II, 794. Προϋκίνησαν τὸ στίφος, ὡς πανσομένους τοῦ διωγμοῦ, ἐπεὶ σφᾶς ἴδοιεν προορμήσαντας, *when they should see them, &c.* XEN. Cyr. I, 4, 21.

Οὐ γὰρ δὴ σφεας ἀπείει ὁ θεὸς τῆς ἀποικίης, πρὶν δὴ ἀπίκωνται ἐς αὐτὴν Λιβύην. ΠΔΤ. IV, 156. (Ἀπίκωντο might be used.) Οἱ δὲ Κορίνθιοι οὐ προεθυμήθησαν ξυμπλεῖν, πρὶν τὰ Ἰσθμια, ἃ τότε ἦν, διεορτάσωσιν. ΤΗΥC. VIII, 9.

(e.) Καὶ ἤτεε σῆμα ιδέσθαι, ὃ τι ῥά οἱ γαμβροῖο πάρα Προίτου φέροιτο, *he asked to see the token, which (he said) he was bringing from Proetus*, i. e. he said φέρομαι. Π. VI, 177. Κατηγορεον τῶν Αἰγινήτων τὰ πεποιήκοιεν προδόντες τὴν Ἑλλάδα, i. e. *they accused them for what (as they said) they had done*. ΗΔΤ. VI, 49. So τὰ πεπονθῶς εἶν. I, 44. Καλεῖ τὸν Λάιον, μνήμην παλαιῶν σπερμάτων ἔχουσ', ὑφ' ᾧν θάνοι μὲν αὐτὸς, τὴν δὲ τίκτουσαν λίποι, *by which (as she said) he had perished himself, and had left her the mother, &c.* ΣΟΦ. Ο. Τ. 1245. (If the relative clause contained merely the idea of the speaker, ἔθανε and ἔλιπε would be used. Here no ambiguity can arise from the use of the Aorist Optative. See § 74, 2, N. 1.)

NOTE 1. Causal sentences are usually constructed without reference to this principle. See § 81, with Rem.

NOTE 2. The Imperfect and Pluperfect occasionally represent the Present and Perfect Indicative in this construction, as in § 74, 2, N. 2. Such clauses are simply *not included* in the indirect discourse. E. g.

Ἐτοῖμος ἦν, εἰ μὲν τούτων τι εἴργαστο, δίκην δοῦναι, εἰ δ' ἀπολυθείη, ἄρχειν, *he was ready, if he had done any of these things, to be punished; but if he should be acquitted, to hold his command*. ΤΗΥC. VI, 29. (Εἴργαστο represents εἴργασμαι, while εἰ ἀπολυθείη represents εἰ ἀπολυθῶ.)

NOTE 3. Ἄν is occasionally retained with relatives and temporal particles in sentences of this kind, even when the Subjunctive to which they belonged has been changed to the Optative. See § 74, 1, Note 2. E. g.

Τοὺς δὲ λαμβάνοντας τῆς ὁμιλίας μισθὸν ἀνδραποδιστὰς ἐαυτῶν ἀπεκάλει, διὰ τὸ ἀναγκαῖον αὐτοῖς εἶναι διαλέγεσθαι παρ' ᾧν ἂν λάβοιεν τὸν μισθόν, *because they were obliged (as he said) to converse with those from whom they received the pay*. ΧΕΝ. Mem I, 2, 6. (Here ᾧν ἂν λάβοιεν represents ᾧν ἂν λάβωσιν.) Καί μοι τάδ' ἦν πρόρρητα, . . . τὸ φάρμακον τοῦτο σώζειν ἐμέ, ἕως ἂν ἀρτίχριστον ἀρμόσαιμί που. ΣΟΦ. Trach. 687. (See Schneidewin's note.) Ἡξιουν αὐτοὺς μαστιγοῦν τὸν ἐκδοθέντα, ἕως ἂν τὰληθῇ δόξειεν αὐτοῖς λέγειν. ΙΣΟC. Trap. 361 D. § 15. Χαίρειν ἐφ' ἃν καὶ οὐκ ἀποκρίναιο, ἕως ἂν τὰ ἀπ' ἐκείνης ὁρηθέντα σκέψαιο, *you would not answer, until you should have examined, &c.* ΠΛΑΤ. Phaed. 101 D. (The direct thought of the person addressed would be, ἕως ἂν σκέψωμαι.) See § 34, 1.

It is doubtful whether εἰν was ever used with the Optative in this way.

2. Upon this principle (§ 77) final and object clauses with

ἵνα, ὅπως, μή, &c., after secondary tenses, admit the double construction of indirect discourse. This appears in the frequent use of the Subjunctive or the Future Indicative instead of the Optative in these sentences, after secondary tenses, when either of these is the form in which the purpose would have been originally conceived. Thus we may say either ἦλθεν ἵνα ἴδῃ or ἦλθεν ἵνα ἴδῃ, *he came that he might see*; the latter being allowed because the person referred to would himself have said ἔρχομαι ἵνα ἴδω. See § 44, 2, § 45, and § 46, with the examples.

NOTE. The principles of § 74 and § 77 apply to clauses which depend upon final and object clauses, as these too are considered as standing in indirect discourse. E. g.

Ἐλθόντες ἐς Λακεδαίμονα (ἔπρασσον) ὅπως ἐτοιμάσαιντο τιμωρίαν, ἣν δέη. THUC. I. 58. (Here εἰ δέοι might have been used. See § 55, 2.) Ἐφοβεῖτο γὰρ μὴ οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι σφᾶς, ὅποτε σαφῶς ἀκούσειαν, οἰκέτι ἀφώσιν. Id. I. 91. (Here ὅπταν ἀκούσωσιν is changed to ὅποτε ἀκούσειαν, although ἀφώσιν is retained by § 77, 2.) Μέγα τὸ δέος ἐγένετο μὴ παραπλέοντες οἱ Πελοποννήσιοι, εἰ καὶ ὥς μὴ διεννοοῦντο μένειν, πορθῶσιν τὰς πόλεις, *the fear was great lest the Peloponnesians as they sailed by, even if under the circumstances they had not been thinking of remaining, might destroy the cities.* Id. III. 33. (Here διεννοοῦντο is retained by § 74, 2.)

25/4

“Ὅπως and “Ὁ in Indirect Quotations.

§ 78. 1. In a few cases ὅπως is used in indirect quotations where we should expect ὡς or ὅτι. This occurs chiefly in poetry. E. g.

Τοῦτ' αὐτὸ μή μοι φράζ', ὅπως οὐκ εἶ κακός. SOPH. O. T. 548. Ἄναξ, ἐρῶ μὲν οὐχ ὅπως τάχους ὑπο δύσπνοους ἰκάνω. Id. Ant. 223. So Ant. 685: ὅπως σὺ μὴ λέγεις. Ἀνάπεισον ὅπως μοι ἀμείνω ἐστὶ ταῦτα οὕτω ποιούμενα. HDT. I. 37. So III. 115. So ὅπως πάντα ἐπίσταμαι, PLAT. Euthyd. 296 E.

2. In a few passages in Homer we find ὅ (the neuter of ὅς) used for ὅτι. E. g.

Γινώσκων ὃ οἱ αὐτὸς ὑπείρεχε χεῖρας Ἀπόλλων, *knowing that Apollo himself held over him his hands.* Il. V. 433. Εἰ νυ καὶ ἡμεῖς ἴδμεν ὃ τοι σθένος οὐκ ἐπιεικτόν. Il. VIII. 32. Λεύσσετε γὰρ τό γε πάντες, ὃ μοι γέρας ἔρχεται ἄλλῃ, *that my prize goes elsewhere.* Il. I. 120. So Od. XII. 295.

NOTE. 'Οθούνεκα and οὔνεκα in the tragedians, and οὔνεκα in Homer, are sometimes used like ὅτι or ὥς *that*; as ἄγγελλε ὀθούνεκα τέθνηκ' Ὀρέστης, SOPH. El. 47; ἴαθι τοῦτο, οὔνεκα Ἑλληνές ἐσμεν, Id. Phil. 232. See SOPH. El. 1478, Trach. 934 (οὔνεκα with Opt.); and Il. XI, 21; Odys. V, 216; XIII, 309.

"Οτι before Direct Quotations.

§ 79. Even direct quotations are sometimes introduced by ὅτι, without further change in the construction. "Οτι thus used cannot be expressed in English. E. g.

Ὁ δὲ ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι "Οὐδ' εἰ γανοίμην, ὃ Κῆρε, σοί γ' ἂν ποτε ἔτι δόξαιμι." XEN. An. I. 6, 8. Ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι "Ὡ δέσποτα, οὐ ζῆ, κ.τ.λ." Id. Cyr. VII, 3, 3. Εἶπε δ' ὅτι "Εἰς καιρὸν ἦκεις," ἔφη, "ὅπως τῆς δίκης ἀκούσης." Ib. III, 1, 8. *Ἡ ἐροῦμεν πρὸς αὐτοὺς, ὅτι "Ἡδίκηκε γὰρ ἡμᾶς ἡ πόλις, καὶ οὐκ ὀρθῶς τὴν δίκην ἔκρινε," — ταῦτα ἡ τί ἐροῦμεν; PLAT. Crit. 50 B. So Phaed. 60 A. See also HDT. II, 115.

SECTION V.

CAUSAL SENTENCES.

§ 80. Causal sentences express the *cause* or *reason* of something stated in the leading sentence. They may be introduced by ὅτι, διότι or διόπερ, οὔνεκα or ὀθούνεκα, and ὥς, *because*; or by ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὅτε, ὁπότε, εὔτε, and sometimes ὅπου, *since, seeing that*.

REMARK. "Οτι and ὥς in this causal sense must not be confounded with ὅτι and ὥς, *that*, in indirect quotations; and ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὅτε, and ὁπότε must not be confounded with the same particles in temporal sentences.

§ 81. 1. Causal sentences regularly take the Indicative, after both primary and secondary tenses; past causes being expressed by the past tenses of the Indicative. The negative particle is οὐ. E. g.

Κῆριτο γὰρ Δαναῶν, ὅτι ῥα θνήσκοντας ὀράτω. Il. I, 56. Χωόμε-

νος, ὅτ' ἄριστον Ἀχαιῶν οὐδὲν ἔτισας. Π. I, 244. Δημοβόρος βασιλεὺς, ἐπεὶ οὐ τιδανοῖσιν ἀνάσσεις. Π. I, 231. Μὴ δ' οὕτως κλέπτε νόῳ, ἐπεὶ οὐ παρελεύσεαι οὐδέ με πείσεις. Π. I, 132. Νοῦσον ἀνὰ στρατὸν ὥρσε κακὴν, ὀλέκοντο δὲ λαοὶ, οἷνεκα τὸν Χρύσην ἡτίμησ' ἀρητήρα Ἀτρείδης. Π. I, 11. Καὶ τριήρης δέ τοι ἡ σεσαυγμένη ἀνθρώπων διὰ τί ἄλλο φοβερὸν ἐστί ἢ ὅτι ταχὺ πλεῖ; διὰ τί δὲ ἄλλο ἄλυποι ἀλλήλοισι εἰσὶν οἱ ἐμπλέοντες ἢ διότι ἐν τάξει κάθηνται; XEN. OEC. VIII, 8. Οἱ ἐμοὶ φίλοι οὕτως ἔχοντες μερὶ ἐμοῦ διατελοῖσιν, οὐ διὰ τὸ φιλεῖν ἐμέ, ἀλλὰ διόπερ καὶ αὐτοὶ ἂν οἴονται βέλτιστοι γίγνεσθαι. Id. Mem. IV, 8, 7. (See § 42, 2, Note.) Πρὸς ταῦτα κρύπτε μὴδὲν, ὥς ὁ πάντ' ὁρῶν καὶ πάντ' ἀκούων πάντ' ἀναπτύσσει χρόνος, i. e. *since time develops all things*. SOPH. Hippo. Fr. 280. Μέγα δὲ τὸ ὁμοῦ τραφῆναι, ἐπεὶ καὶ τοῖς θηρίοις πόθος τις ἐγγίγνεται τῶν συντροφῶν. XEN. Mem. II, 3, 4. Ὅτ' οὖν παραινοῖς οὐδὲν ἐς πλεόν ποιῶ, ἱκέτις ἀφίγμαι. SOPH. O. T. 918. Ὅποτε οὖν πόλις μὲν τὰς ἰδίας ξυμφορὰς οἷα τε φέρειν, εἰς δὲ ἕκαστος τὰς ἐκείνης ἀδύνατος (sc. ἐστί), πῶς οὐ χρὴ πάντας ἀμύνειν αὐτῇ; THUC. II, 60. Ὅτε τοίνυν τοῦθ' οὕτως ἔχει, προσήκει προθύμως ἐθέλειν ἀκούειν τῶν βουλομένων συμβουλευεῖν. DEM. Ol. I, 9, 3. For εὔτε, *since*, see SOPH. Aj. 715, O. C. 84; for ὅπου, see HDT. I, 68.

2. When, however, it is implied that the cause is assigned by some other person than the speaker, the principle of indirect discourse (§ 77, 1) applies to causal sentences.

This has no effect upon the form after primary tenses; but after secondary tenses it allows the verb to stand in the Optative, in the tense originally used by the person who assigned the cause. E. g.

Τὸν Περικλέα ἐκάκιζον, ὅτι στρατηγὸς ὢν οὐκ ἐπεξάγοι, *they abused Pericles, because being general he did not lead them out*. THUC. II, 21. (This states the reason assigned by the Athenians for reproaching Pericles: if Thucydides had wished to assign the cause merely on his own authority, he would have used ὅτι οὐκ ἐπεξήγευ.) Τοὺς συνόντας ἐδόκει ποιεῖν ἀπέχεσθαι τῶν ἀνοσίων, ἐπεὶ περ ἡγήσαιντο μὴδὲν ἂν ποτε ὢν πράττειεν θεοὺς διαλαθεῖν. XEN. Mem. I, 4, 19. (See § 74, 2, N. 1.) Οἶσθα ἐπαινέσαντα αὐτὸν (Ὅμηρον) τὸν Ἀγαμέμνονα, ὥς βασιλεὺς εἶη ἀγαθός, *because (as he said) he was a good king*. Id. Symp. IV, 6. So ὥς εὐρήκοι, *because (as he said) he had found*, HDT. I, 44.

REMARK. We should suppose that in causal sentences of the second class (§ 81, 2) the mood and tense by which the cause would have been originally stated might also be retained, as in ordinary indirect discourse; so that in the first example above (THUC. II. 21) ὅτι οὐκ ἐπεξάγει might also be used, in the same sense as ὅτι οὐκ

ἐπεξάγοι. This, however, seems to have been avoided, to prevent the ambiguity which would arise from the three forms, ἐπεξῆγεν, ἐπεξάγοι, and ἐπεξάγει. It will be remembered that the first form, which is the regular one in causal sentences of the first class (§ 81, 1), is allowed only by exception in indirect quotations (§ 70, 2, N. 2); for in indirect discourse the tenses of the Indicative regularly denote time present, past, or future *relatively* to the leading verb; while in causal sentences (as in most other constructions) they regularly denote time *absolutely* present, past, or future. (See § 9.)

NOTE 1. The Optative in causal sentences appears to have been used only after ὅτι, ὥς, and ἐπεὶ. It is not found in Homer.

NOTE 2. If a cause is to be expressed by an apodosis in which the Indicative or Optative with ἄν is required, those forms can of course follow the causal particles. E. g.

Δέομαι οὖν σου παραμείναι ἡμῖν· ὥς ἐγὼ οὐδ' ἂν ἐνὸς ἡδίου ἀκούσαιμι ἢ σοῦ, *I beg you then to remain with us; as there is not one whom I should hear more gladly than you.* PLAT. Prot. 335 D. Νῦν δὲ ἐπειδὴ οὐκ ἐθέλεις καὶ ἐμοὶ τις ἀσχολία ἐστὶ καὶ οὐκ ἂν οἷός τ' εἶην σοὶ παραμείναι ἀποτείνοντι μακροὺς λόγους—ἐλθεῖν γάρ ποί με δεῖ—εἶμι· ἐπεὶ καὶ ταῦτ' ἂν ἴσως οὐκ ἀηδῶς σου ἤκουον. Ib. 335 C.

NOTE 3. For *relative* causal sentences, see § 65, 4. For the *causal* use of the Participle, see § 109, 4.

SECTION VI.

EXPRESSION OF A WISH.

REMARK. The Greek has one form to express a wish referring to a *future* object, and another to express one referring to a *present* or *past* object which (it is implied) *is not* or *was not* attained. To the former class belong such wishes as *O that he may come!*—*O that this may happen!*—*Utinam veniat;* to the latter, such as *O that this had happened!*—*O that these were true!*—*Utinam hoc factum esset,*—*Utinam hoc verum esset.*

§ 82. If the wish refers to the *future*, the Optative is used after the particles of wishing εἴθε or εἰ γάρ (nega-

tively, εἴθε μή, εἰ γὰρ μή, or simply μή), *O that, O if, would that (O that not, &c.)*. Εἴθε and εἰ γάρ may, however, be omitted; and thus the Optative often stands alone to express a wish.

The Present Optative refers to a continued or repeated action or state in the future; the Aorist (which is the most common) refers to a momentary or single act in the future. E. g.

Αἱ γὰρ ἐμοὶ τοσσηύδε θεοὶ δύναμιν παραθεῖεν, *O that the Gods would clothe me with so much strength!* Od. III, 205. Αἰθ' οὕτως, Εὐμαίε, φίλον Δα πατρί γένοιο, *mayest thou become in like manner a friend to father Zeus.* Od. XIV, 410. Ὑμῖν μὲν θεοὶ δοῖεν Ὀλύμπια δώματ' ἔχοντες ἐκπέρσαι Πριάμοιο πόλιν, εὖ δ' οἴκαδ' ἰκέσθαι, *may the Gods grant you, &c.* Il. I, 18. Μὴ μὰν ἀσπουδί γε καὶ ἀκλειῶς ἀπολοίμην. Il. XXII, 304. Τὸ μὲν νῦν ταῦτα πρὴς σοις τάπερ ἐν χερσὶ ἔχεις, *may you for the present continue to do what you now have in hand.* Hdt. VII, 5. Θήσω πρυτανεῖ, ἢ μηκέτι ζῶήν ἐγώ, *or may I no longer live.* ARIST. Nub. 1255. Νικῶν δ' ὅ τι πᾶσιν ὑμῖν μέλλει συνοίσειν, *and may that opinion prevail, &c.* DEM. Phil. I, 55, 6. Τεθναίην, ὅτε μοι μηκέτι ταῦτα μέλοι. MIMNERM. I, 2. Πλούσιον δὲ νομίζοιμι τὸν σοφόν. PLAT. Phaedr. 279 C. Ὡ παῖ, γένοιο πατὴρ εὐτυχέστερος. SOPH. Aj. 550. Οὕτω νικήσαιμι τ' ἐγὼ καὶ νομιζοίμην σοφός, *on this condition may I gain the prize (in this case) and be (always) considered wise.* ARIST. Nub. 520. (See Note 4.) Εἴθ', ὦ λῶστε, φίλος ἡμῖν γένοιο. XEN. Hell. IV, 1, 38. Εἰ γὰρ γενοίμην, τέκνον, ἀντὶ σοῦ νεκρός. EUR. Hippol. 1410. Ξυνενέγκοι μὲν ταῦτα ὡς βουλόμεθα. THUC. VI, 20. Αὐτὸς αἰεὶ ἐπιστήσῃ καὶ ἅπαντα, ἂν ἐγὼ βούλωμαι.—Ἀλλὰ βουλήθης, *may you only be willing!* PLAT. Euthyd. 296 D. So εἶεν, *be it so,—well.*

Μηκέτ' ἔπειτ' Ὀδυσῆι κάρη ὤμοισιν ἐπείη, μηδ' ἔτι Τηλεμάχοιο πατὴρ κεκλημένος εἶην, *then may the head of Ulysses no longer remain on his shoulders, and no longer may I be called the father of Telemachus.* Il. II, 259. (See Rem. 1.)

From its use in wishes the Optative Mood (ἐγκλισις εὐκτική) received its name.

REMARK 1. The Future Optative was not used in wishes in classic Greek. The Perfect was probably not used except in the signification of the Present (§ 17, N. 3), as in the last example. If such a phrase as εἴθε νενικήκοι were used, it would mean *O that it may prove (hereafter) that he has been victorious!* See § 18, 1.

REMARK 2. In Homer we occasionally find the Present Optative in a wish referring to present time, where later writers would have used the Imperfect Indicative. E. g.

Εἰ γὰρ ἐγὼν οὕτω γε Διὸς παῖς αἰγιόχοιο
 Εἴην ἡματα πάντα, τέκοι δέ με πότνια Ἥρη,
 Τιοίμην δ' ὥς τίειτ' Ἀθηναίη καὶ Ἀπόλλων,
 Ὡς νῦν ἡμέρῃ ἦδε κακὸν φέρει Ἀργείοισιν,

O that I were the son of Zeus, and that Hera were my mother, and that I were honored as Athene and Apollo are honored, &c. II. XIII, 825. (Here τέκοι is nearly equivalent to μήτηρ εἶη: cf. ὦ τεκοῦσα, *O mother*, quoted under § 83, 1.)

ὦ γέρον, εἴθ', ὥς θυμὸς ἐνὶ στήθεσσι φίλοισιν
 Ὡς τοι γούναθ' ἐποιτο, βίη δέ τοι ἔμπεδος εἴη.
 Ἀλλὰ σε γῆρας τείρει ὁμοίων· ὥς ὄφελέν τις
 Ἀνδρῶν ἄλλος ἔχειν, σὺ δὲ κουροτέροισι μετεῖναι.

The idea is, *O that thy knees equalled thy heart in strength, &c.* II. IV, 313. At the end we have the more regular form, ὄφελέν τις ἄλλος ἔχειν, *would that some other man had it* (γῆρας). § 83, 2, N. 1.

Εἴθ' ὥς ἡ βώοιμι, βίη δέ μοι ἔμπεδος εἴη.

Tō ke tách' antḗsēi máxhē koruthaíolos Ἐκτωρ,
O that I were again so young, &c. II. VII, 157. See VII, 133.

For a similar exceptional use in Homer of the Present Optative in protasis, see § 49, 2, N. 6 (b). The optatives in the examples quoted above may perhaps be explained as referring to the future, and translated, *O that I might be, &c.*

NOTE 1. In the poets, especially Homer, the Optative without εἴθε or εἰ γάρ sometimes expresses a *concession* or *permission*; and sometimes an *exhortation*, in a sense approaching that of the Imperative. E. g.

Αὖτις Ἀργεῖνν' Ἑλένην Μενέλαος ἄγοιτο, Menelaus may take back Argive Helen. II. IV, 19. Τεθναίης, ὦ Προῖτ', ἥ κάκτανε Βελλεροφόντην, either die, or kill Bellerophontes. II. VI, 164. Ἀλλὰ τις Δολίον καλέσειε, let some one call Dolios. Od. IV, 735. So AESCH. Prom. 1049 and 1051.

NOTE 2. The poets sometimes use the simple εἰ (without -θε or γάρ) with the Optative in wishes. E. g.

Ἄλλ' εἴ τις καὶ τοῦσδε μετοιχώμενος καλέσειεν. II. X, 111.

Εἴ μοι γένοιτο φθόγγος ἐν βραχίουσιν. EUR. Hec. 836.

NOTE 3. The poets, especially Homer, sometimes use ὥς before the Optative in wishes. This ὥς cannot be expressed in English; and it is not to be translated *so* (as if it were written ὥς), or confounded with οὕτως used as in Note 4. E. g.

Ὡς ἀπόλοιτο καὶ ἄλλος, ὅτις τοιαῦτά γε ῥέζοι, *O that any other also may perish, &c.* Od. I, 47. See Od. XXI, 201. Ὡς ὁ τάδε πορῶν ὀλοῖτ', εἴ μοι θέμις τὰδ' αὐδᾶν. SOPH. El. 126.

NOTE 4. Οὕτως, thus, on this condition, may be prefixed to the Optative in *protestations*, where a wish is expressed upon some condition; which condition is usually added in another clause. E. g.

Οὕτως ὄναισθε τούτων, μὴ περιίδητέ με. *may you enjoy these on this condition, — do not neglect me.* DEM. Aph. II, 842, 9.

Note 5. The Optative in wishes belonging under this head never takes the particle *ἂν*. If a wish is expressed in the form of an ordinary apodosis, as *πῶς ἂν ὀλοίμην*, *how gladly I would perish* (i. e. *if I could*), it does not belong here, but under § 52, 2.

§ 83. 1. If the wish refers to the *present* or the *past*, and it is implied that its object *is not* or *was not* attained, the secondary tenses of the Indicative are used. The particles of wishing here *cannot* be omitted.

The distinction between the Imperfect and Aorist Indicative is the same as in protasis (§ 49, 2); the Imperfect referring to present time or to a continued or repeated action in past time, and the Aorist to a momentary or single action in past time. E. g.

Εἶθε τοῦτο ἐποίει, *would that he were now doing this, or would that he had been doing this*; εἶθε τοῦτο ἐποίησεν, *would that he had done this*; εἶθε ἦν ἀληθές, *would that it were true*; εἶθε μὴ ἐγένετο, *would that it had not happened*.

Εἶθ' εἶχες, ὦ τεκοῦσα, βελτίους φρένας, *would that thou, O mother, hadst a better understanding.* EUR. El. 1061. Εἰ γὰρ τοσαύτην δύναμιν εἶχον, *would that I had so great power.* Id. Alc. 1072. Εἶθε σοι, ὦ Περικλείς, τότε συνεγενόμην. XEN. Mem. I, 2, 46. Ἴω, μὴ γὰς ἐπὶ ξένας θανεῖν ἔχρηζες, *O that thou hadst not chosen to die in a foreign land.* SOPH. O. C. 1713.

REMARK. The Indicative cannot be used in wishes without *εἶθε* or *εἰ γάρ*, as it would occasion ambiguity; this cannot arise in the case of the Optative, which is not regularly used in independent sentences without *ἂν*, except in wishes. The last example quoted above shows that the Indicative with *μὴ* alone can be used in negative wishes. (This passage is often emended; see, however, Hermann's note on the passage, and on EUR. Iph. Aul. 575.)

2. The Aorist ὥφελον and sometimes the Imperfect ὥφελον of ὀφείλω, *debeo*, may be used with the Infinitive in wishes of this class, with the same meaning as the secondary tenses of the Indicative. The Present Infinitive is used when the wish refers to the present or to continued or repeated past action, and the Aorist (rarely the Perfect) when it refers to the past.

ᾠφελον or ᾠφελλον may be preceded by the particles of wishing, εἴθε, εἰ γάρ, or μή (not οὐ). E. g.

*ᾠφеле τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *would that he were (now) doing this* (lit. *he ought to be doing it*), or *would that he had (habitually) done this* (lit. *he ought to have done this*). ᾠφеле τοῦτο ποιῆσαι, *would that he had done this*.

*Ὡν ᾠφελον τριτάτην περ ἔχων ἐν δώμασι μοῖραν ναίειν, οἱ δ' ἄνδρες σοοὶ ἔμμεναι οἱ τότε ὄλοντο, *O that I were living with even a third part, &c., and that those men were safe who then perished*. O.I. IV, 97. Μὴ ᾠφελον νικᾶν τοιῶδ' ἐπ' ἀέθλω, *O that I had not been victorious in such a contest*. O.I. XI, 548. See II. XVIII, 86, αἶ ᾠφελες σὺ μὲν αὖθι ναίειν, Πηλεὺς δὲ θνητὴν ἀγαγέσθαι. Τὴν ᾠφελ' ἐν νῆεσσι κατακτάμεν Ἄρτεμις ἴω, *O that Artemis had slain her, &c.* II. XIX, 59. Ὀλέσθαι ᾠφελον τῇδ' ἡμέρᾳ, *O that I had perished on that day*. SOPH. O. T. 1157. Εἴθ' ᾠφελ' Ἀργούσ μὴ διαπτάσθαι σκάφος Κόλχων ἐς αἶαν κυανέας Συμπληγάδας. EUR. Med. 1. Εἰ γὰρ ᾠφελον οἰοί τε εἶναι οἱ πολλοὶ τὰ μέγιστα κακὰ ἐξεργάζεσθαι, *O that the multitude were able, &c.* PLAT. Crit. 41 D. Μὴ ποτ' ᾠφελον λιπεῖν τὴν Σκύρον, *O that I never had left Scyros*. SOPH. Phil. 969. Αἶθ' ἅμα πάντες Ἑκτορος ᾠφέλετ' ἀντὶ θοῆς ἐπὶ νηυσὶ πεφάσθαι, *would that ye all had been slain instead of Hector*. II. XXIV, 253. Ἀνδρὸς ἔπειτ' ᾠφελλον ἀμείνωνος εἶναι ἄκοιτις, ὅς ῥ' ἤδη νέμεσιν τε καὶ αὔσχεα πόλλ' ἀνθρώπων, *O that I were the wife of a better man, who knew, &c.* II. VI, 350. (For ῥ' ἤδη, see § 64, 2.)

For the origin of this construction, see § 49, 2, N. 3 (b) and (c).

NOTE 1. The secondary tenses of the Indicative are not used in Homer to express wishes; ᾠφελον with the Infinitive being generally used when it is implied that the wish is not or was not fulfilled. (See § 82, Rem. 2.) The latter construction is used chiefly by the poets.

NOTE 2. Neither the secondary tenses of the Indicative nor the form with ᾠφελον in wishes can (like the Optative) be preceded by the simple εἰ (without -θε or γάρ).

ᾠς, used as in § 82, N. 3, often precedes ᾠφελον, &c. in Homer, and rarely in the Attic poets. E. g.

*Ἥλυθες ἐκ πολέμου; ὥς ᾠφελες αὐτόθ' ὀλέσθαι. II. III, 428.

*ᾠς ᾠφελλ' Ἑλένης ἀπὸ φῦλον ὀλέσθαι. Od. XIV, 68.

*ᾠς πρὶν διδάξαι γ' ᾠφελες μέσος διαρραγῆναι. ARIST. Ran. 955.

REMARK. Expressions of a wish with the Optative or Indicative after εἴθε, εἰ γάρ, &c. were originally protases with the apodosis suppressed. Thus, εἰ γὰρ γένοιτο, *O that it may happen* (lit. *if it would only happen*), implies an apodosis like εὐτυχὴς ἂν

εἴην, *I should be fortunate, or I should rejoice*; εἰ γὰρ ἐγένετο. *O that it had happened*, implies one like εὐτυχὴς ἂν ᾔην (*if it had only happened, I should have been fortunate*). It will be seen that the use of the moods and tenses is precisely the same as in the corresponding classes of protasis (§ 50, 2; § 49, 2). The analogy with the Latin is the same as in protasis: — εἰ γὰρ τοῦτο ποιοίη (or ποιήσειεν), *O si hoc faciat, O that he may do this*, εἰ γὰρ τοῦτο ἐποίει, *O si hoc faceret, O that he were doing this*; εἰ γὰρ τοῦτο ἐποίησεν, *O si hoc fecisset, O that he had done this*; εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἐγένετο, *utinam ne factum esset, O that it had not happened*.

The form with ὥφελον and the Infinitive, on the other hand, is an apodosis with a protasis implied. See § 49, 2, N. 3, b.

SECTION VII.

IMPERATIVE AND SUBJUNCTIVE IN COMMANDS, EXHORTATIONS, AND PROHIBITIONS.

§ 84. The Imperative is used to express a command, an exhortation, or an entreaty. E. g.

Λέγε, *speak thou*. Φεῦγε, *begone!* Ἐλθέτω, *let him come*. Χαίροντων, *let them rejoice*. Ἐρχεσθον κλισίην Πηληιάδew Ἀχιλλῆος. II. I, 322. Ζεῦ, Ζεῦ, θεωρὸς τῶνδε πραγμάτων γενοῦ. AESCH. Choeph. 246.

NOTE 1. The Imperative is often emphasized by ἄγε (or ἄγετε), φέρε, or ἴθι, *come*. These words may be in the singular when the Imperative is in the plural, and in the second person when the Imperative is in the third. E. g.

Εἴπ' ἄγε μοι καὶ τόνδε, φίλον τέκος, ὅστις ὃδ' ἐστίν. II. III, 192. Ἀλλ' ἄγε μέμνετε πάντες, ἐνκνήμιδες Ἀχαιοί. II. II, 331. Βάσκ' ἴθι, σῶλε ὄνειρε, θοὰς ἐπὶ νῆας Ἀχαιῶν. II. II, 8. Ἀγε δὴ ἀκούσατε. XEN. Apol. § 14. Ἀγετε δειπνήσατε. XEN. Hell. V, 1, 18. Φέρ' εἰπέ δὴ μοι. SOPH. Ant. 534. Φέρε δὴ μοι τάδε εἰπέ. PLAT. Crat. 385 B. Ἴθι δὴ λέξον ἡμῖν πρῶτον τοῦτο. XEN. Mem. III, 3, 3. Ἴθι νυν παρίστασθον. ARIST. Ran. 1378. Ἴθι νυν λιζαντων δειρὸ τω καὶ πῦρ δίτω. Ib. 871.

REMARK. Φέρε is not used in this way in Homer.

NOTE 2. The poets sometimes use the second person of the Imperative with πᾶς in hasty commands. E. g.

*Ακουε πᾶς, *hear, every one!* ARIST. Thesm. 372. Χώρει δεῦρο πᾶς ὑπηρέτης· τόξευε, παῖε· σφενδόνην τίς μοι δότω. Id. Av. 1187. *Αγε δὴ σιώπα πᾶς ἀνὴρ. Id. Ran. 1125.

NOTE 3. The Imperative is sometimes used in relative clauses depending on an interrogative (usually οἶσθα), where we should expect the relative clause to be completed by δεῖ with an Infinitive, and the Imperative to stand by itself. E. g.

*Ἄλλ' οἶσθ' ὃ δρᾶσον; τῷ σκέλει θένε τὴν πέτραν, *but do you know what to do? strike the rock with your leg!* ARIST. Av. 54. (We should expect here οἶσθ' ὃ δεῖ δρᾶσαι; δρᾶσον· κ.τ.λ., *do you know what to do? if so, do it: viz. strike the rock, &c.*) Οἶσθ' ὃ μοι σύμπραξον, *do you know what you must do for me? if so, do it.* EUR. Heracl. 451. Οἶσθά νυν ἅ μοι γενέσθω; δεσμὰ τοῖς ξένοισι πρόσθες, *do you know what must be done for me (ἅ δεῖ μοι γενέσθαι)? let it be done then (γενέσθω), viz. put chains on the strangers.* Id. Iph. Taur. 1203. Οἶσθ' ὥς ποιήσον; SOPH. O. T. 543. (Compare EUR. Cycl. 131, οἶσθ' οὖν ὃ δράσεις; *dost thou know what thou art to do?*)

NOTE 4. The Imperative sometimes denotes a mere concession, and sometimes a supposition (where something is supposed to be true for argument's sake). E. g.

Πλούτει τε γὰρ κατ' οἶκον· ἐὰν δ' ἀπὴ τούτων τὸ χαίρειν, τᾶλλ' ἐγὼ καπνοῦ σκιᾶς οὐκ ἂν πριαίμην. SOPH. Ant. 1168. Προσειπάτω τινὰ φιλικῶς ὃ τε ἄρχων καὶ ὁ ἰδιώτης, *suppose that both the ruler and the private man address, &c.* XEN. Hier. VIII, 3.

§ 85. The first person of the Subjunctive (usually in the plural) is used in exhortations, supplying the want of a first person to the Imperative. *Αγε (ἄγετε) or φέρε, *come*, often precedes. E. g.

*Ἵωμεν, *let us go.* *Ἰδωμεν, *let us see.* Οἰκαδὲ περ σὺν νηυσὶ νεώμεθα, τόνδε δ' ἐῷμεν, *let us sail homeward with our ships, and leave him.* II. II, 236. *Ἄλλ' εἰ δοκεῖ, πλέωμεν, ὁρμάσθω ταχύς SOPH. Phil. 526. *Ἐπίσχετον, μάθωμεν. Ib. 539. *Ἐπίσχες, ἐμβάλωμεν εἰς ἄλλον λόγον. EUR. El. 962. Παρῶμέν τε οὖν ὥσπερ Κύρος κελεύει, ἀσκῶμέν τε δι' ὧν μάλιστα δυνησόμεθα κατέχειν ἃ δεῖ. παρέχωμέν τε ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς, κ.τ.λ. XEN. Cyr. VIII, I, 5. *Ἄλλ' ἄγεθ', ὥς ἂν ἐγὼν εἴπω, πειθώμεθα πάντες. II. II, 139. *Ἄλλ' ἄγε δὴ καὶ νῶι μεδώμεθα θούριδος ἀλκῆς II. IV, 418. Φέρε δὴ διαπεράνωμεν λόγους. EUR. Androm. 333. Δεῦτε, φίλοι, τοῖς ξείνον ἐρώμεθα. Od. VIII, 133.

NOTE 1. The first person *singular* of the Subjunctive, when it is used in this way, almost always takes ἄγε (ἄγετε) or φέρε, unless some other Imperative precedes. E. g.

Ἄλλ' ἄγε δὴ τὰ χρήματ' ἀριθμήσω καὶ ἴδωμαι. Od. XIII, 215. Ἄλλ' ἄγεθ' ἱμῖν τεύχε' ἐνείκω θωρηχθῆναι. Od. XXII, 139. Θάπτε με ὅτι τάχιστα, πύλας Ἀΐδαο περήσω, *bury me as quickly as possible; let me pass the gates of Hades.* Il. XXIII, 71. Φέρ' ἀκούσω, *come, let me hear.* Hdt. I, 11. Σίγα, πνοὰς μάθω· φέρε πρὸς οὖς βάλλω. Eur. Herc. F. 1059. Ἐπίσχετ' αἰδὴν τῶν ἔσωθεν ἐκμάθω. Id. Hippol. 567. Λέγε δὴ, ἴδω. Plat. Rep. V, 457 C.

NOTE 2. The *second* and *third* persons of the Subjunctive are not regularly used in *affirmative* exhortations, the Imperative being the regular form in these persons. (For the Aorist Subjunctive with μή in *prohibitions*, see § 86.)

In some cases the Optative in wishes, in the second and third persons, has almost the force of an exhortation. (§ 82, N. 1.)

In a few exceptional cases, we find even the *second* person of the Subjunctive in exhortations, like the first person, but always accompanied by φέρε. E. g.

Φέρ', ὦ τέκνον, νῦν καὶ τὸ τῆς νήσου μάθης. Soph. Phil. 300.

For the Future Indicative used elliptically in exhortations after ὅπως, see § 45, Note 7.

REMARK. The preceding rules apply only to *affirmative* exhortations: these should be carefully distinguished from *prohibitions* with μή (§ 86). The use of the Imperative in prohibitions is generally confined to the Present tense.

§ 86. In prohibitions, in the second and third persons, the *Present Imperative* or the *Aorist Subjunctive* is used after μή and its compounds. The former expresses a continued or repeated, the latter a single or momentary prohibition.

In the first person (where the Imperative is wanting) the Present Subjunctive is allowed. E. g.

Μὴ ποίει τοῦτο, *do not do this (habitually)*; μὴ ποιήσης τοῦτο, *do not do this (single act)*. Ἐξαύδα, μὴ κεῖθε νόω, ἵνα εἶδομεν ἅμφω. Il. I, 363. Ἀτρεΐδῃ, μὴ ψεύδῃ ἐπιστάμενος σάφα εἰπεῖν. Il. IV, 404. Ἀργεῖοι, μὴ πῶ τι μεθίετε θούριδος ἀλκῆς. Il. IV, 234. Εἰπέ μοι εἰρομένῳ νημερτέα, μηδ' ἐπικεύσης. Od. XV, 263. Ἦδη νῦν σὺ παιδί ἔπος φάω, μηδ' ἐπικεύθε. Od. XVI, 168. Μηκέτι νῦν δὴθ' αὖθι λεγώμεθα, μηδ' ἔτι δηρὸν ἀμβαλλώμεθα ἔργον. Il. II, 435. Ὑμεῖς δὲ τῇ γῇ τῇδε μὴ βαρὺν κότον σκῆψησθε, μὴ θυμοῦσθε,

μηδ' ἀκυρπίαν τεύξῃτε. AESCH. Eumen. 800. 'Ου μήτ' ὀκνεῖτε, μήτ' ἀφήτ' ἔπος κακόν. SOPH. O. C. 731. Μὴ θῇσθε νόμον μηδένα, ἀλλὰ τοὺς βλάπτοντας ὑμᾶς λύσατε. DEM. Ol. III, 31, 11. (Here θέσθε would not be allowed by § 86; although λύσατε, in a mere exhortation, is regular, by § 84.) Μὴ κατὰ τοὺς νόμους δικάσῃτε· μὴ βοηθήσατε τῷ πεπονθότι δεινῷ· μὴ εὐορκεῖτε. Id. Mid. 582, 15. Μὴ πρίῃ, παῖ, εἰδᾶ. ARIST. Nub. 613. Καὶ μηδεὶς ὑπολάβῃ με βούλεσθαι λαθεῖν. ISOC. Phil. p. 101 A. § 93. Καὶ μηδεὶς οἰέσθω μ' ἀγνοεῖν. Id. Paneg. p. 55 C. § 73.

NOTE 1. (a.) With the exception of the first person (§ 86), the Present Subjunctive is not used in prohibitions.

An elliptical use of the Subjunctive (sometimes the Present) after μή or ὅπως μή, with a verb of fearing understood, must not be confounded with this. (See § 46, N. 4.)

(b.) The *second* person of the Aorist Imperative is very seldom found in prohibitions; the *third* person is less rare. E. g.

Μηδ' ἡ βία σε μηδαμῶς νικησάτω. SOPH. Aj. 1334. Μηδέ σοι μελησάτω. AESCH. Prom. 332. So Prom. 1004. Καὶ μηδεὶς ὑμῶν προσδοκησάτω ἄλλως. PLAT. Apol. 17 C.

Μὴ ψεύσον, ᾧ Ζεῦ, τῆς ἐπιούσης ἐλπίδος. ARIST. Thes. 870.

NOTE 2. The first person *singular* even of the Aorist Subjunctive in prohibitions is rare, and is found only in the poets. E. g.

Μή σε, γέρον, κοίλῃσιν ἐγὼ παρὰ νηυσὶ κιχέω. Il. I, 26. Ἀλλὰ μ' ἔκ γε τῆσδε γῆς πόρθμευσον ὥς τάχιστα, μήδ' αὐτοῦ θάνω. SOPH. Tr. 801. Ὡς εἴνοι, μὴ δῆτ' ἀδικηθῶ. Id. O. C. 174. (This may be explained also by § 46, N. 4.)

SECTION VIII.

SUBJUNCTIVE (LIKE FUTURE INDICATIVE) IN INDEPENDENT SENTENCES. — INTERROGATIVE SUBJUNCTIVE. — Οὐ μή WITH SUBJUNCTIVE AND FUTURE INDICATIVE.

§ 87. In the Homeric language the Subjunctive is sometimes used in independent sentences, with the force of a weak Future Indicative. E. g.

Οὐ γάρ πω τοίους ἴδον ἀνέρας, οὐδὲ ἴδωμαι, for *I never yet saw, nor shall I (or can I) ever see such men.* Il. I, 262. Ὑμῖν ἐν πάντεσσιν περικλυτὰ δῶρ' ὀνομήνω, *I will enumerate the gifts, &c.* Il. IX,

121. Δύσομαι ἐς Ἅϊδαο, καὶ ἐν νεκύεσσι φαείνω, *I will descend to Hades, and shine among the dead* (said by the Sun). Od. XII, 383. (Here the Future δύσομαι and the Subjunctive φαείνω hardly differ in their force.) Καὶ ποτέ τις εἴπησιν, *and some one will perhaps say*. Il. VI, 459. (In vs. 462, referring to the same thing, we have ὥς ποτέ τις ἐρέει.) Οὐκ ἔσθ' οὗτος ἀνὴρ, οὐδ' ἔσσεται, οὐδὲ γένηται, ὅς κεν Τηλεμάχῳ σφ' υἱεὶ χεῖρας ἐποιήσει. Od. XVI, 437. Μνήσομαι οὐδὲ λάθωμαι Ἀπόλλωνος ἐκάτοιο, *I will remember and will not forget the far-shooting Apollo*. Hymn. in Apoll. 1.

REMARK. The Aorist is the tense usually found in this construction. The first person singular is the most common, and instances of the second person are very rare.

NOTE. This Homeric Subjunctive, like the Future Indicative, is sometimes joined with ἄν or κέ to form an apodosis. This enabled the earlier language to express an apodosis with a sense between that of the Optative with ἄν and that of the simple Future Indicative, which the Attic was unable to do. (See § 38, 2.) E. g.

Εἰ δέ κε μὴ δώσωιν, ἐγὼ δέ κεν αὐτὸς ἔλωμαι, *but if he does not give her up, I will take her myself*. Il. I, 324. (Here ἔλωμαι κεν has a shade of meaning between ἐλοίμην κεν, *I would take*, and αἰρήσομαι, *I will take*, which neither the Attic Greek nor the English can express.) Compare ἦν χ' ὑμῖν σάφα εἶπω, ὅτε πρότερός γε πυθοίμην, Od. II, 43, with ἦν χ' ἡμῖν σάφα εἶποι, ὅτε πρότερός γε πύθοιο, Il. 31,—both referring to the same thing. See also Il. III, 54; and VI, 448, the last example under § 59, N. 1.

§ 88. The first person of the Subjunctive is used in *questions of doubt*, where the speaker asks himself or another *what he is to do*. The negative particle is μή. In Attic Greek this Subjunctive is often introduced by βούλει or βούλεσθε (poetic θέλεις or θέλετε). E. g.

Εἴπω τοῦτο; *shall I say this?* or βούλει εἴπω τοῦτο; *do you wish that I should say this?* Μὴ τοῦτο ποιῶμεν, *shall we not do this?* Τί εἴπω; or τί βούλεσθε εἴπω; *what shall I say?* or *what do you want me to say?* For the Future in such questions, see § 25, 1, N. 4.

Πῇ γὰρ ἐγὼ, φίλε τέκνον, ἵω; τεῦ δώμαθ' ἵκωμαι ἀνδρῶν οἱ κραναὴν Ἰθάκην κατὰ κοιρανέουσιν; Ἥ ἱθὺς σῆς μητρὸς ἵω καὶ σοῖο δόμοιο; *whither shall I go? to whose house shall I come?* &c. Od. XV, 509. Ἥ αὐτὸς κεῖθω; φάσθαι δέ με θυμὸς ἀνάγει. Od. XXI, 194. Ὡ Ζεῦ, τί λέξω; ποῖ φρηνῶν ἔλθω, πάτερ; SOPH. O. C. 310. Ὡμοι ἐγὼ; πᾶ βῶ; πᾶ στῶ; πᾶ κέλσω; EUR. Hec. 1056. Ποῖ τράπωμαι; ποῖ πορευθῶ; Ib. 1099. Εἴπω τι τῶν εἰωθότων, ᾧ δέσποτα; ARIST. Ran. 1. Τίνα γὰρ μάρτυρα μείζω παράσχωμαι; DEM

F. L. 416 7. Μηδ', ἐάν τι ὠνώμαι, . . . ἔρωμαι ὅπου πωλεῖ; *may I not ask, &c.?* Μηδ' ἀποκρίνωμαι οὖν. ἂν τις με ἐρωτᾷ νέος, ἐὰν εἰδῶ; *and may I not answer, &c.* XEN. Mem. I. 2, 36. Μισθωσώμεθα οὖν κήρυκα, ἣ αὐτὸς ἀνείπω; PLAT. Rep. IX, 580 B. Μεθύοντα ἄνδρα πάνυ σφύδρα δέξεσθε συμπότην, ἣ ἀπίωμεν; *will you receive him, or shall we go away?* Id. Symp. 212 E. Ἄρα μὴ αἰσχυνθῶμεν τὸν Περσῶν βασιλέα μιμήσασθαι; *shall we then be ashamed to imitate the king of the Persians?* XEN. Oecon. IV, 4.

Ποῦ δὴ βούλει καθίζομενοι ἀναγνώμεν; *where wilt thou that we sit down and read?* PLAT. Phaedr. 228 E. (So 263 E.) Βούλει οὖν ἐπισκοπῶμεν ὅπου ἤδη τὸ δυνατόν ἐστι; XEN. Mem. III, 5, 1. Βούλει λάβωμαι δῆτα καὶ θίγω τί σου; SOPH. Phil. 761. Βούλεσθ' ἐπεισπείσωμεν; EUR. Icc. 1042. Θέλεις μείνωμεν αὐτοῦ κἀνακούσωμεν γόων; SOPH. El. 81. Τί σοι θέλεις δῆτ' εἰκάθω; Id. O. T. 651. Θέλετε θηρασώμεθα Πενθέως Ἀγαθὴν μητέρ' ἐκ βακχευμάτων, χάριν τ' ἄνακτι θῶμεν; EUR. Bacch. 719. So with κελεύετε: Ἀλλὰ πῶς; εἶπω κελεύετε καὶ οὐκ ὀργιῖσθε; *do you command me to speak, &c.?* DEM. Phil. III, 123, 1.

In PLAT. Rep. II, 372 E, we find βούλεσθε and a Subjunctive with εἰ in protasis: εἰ δ' αὖ βούλεσθε καὶ φλεγμαίνουσιν πόλιν θεωρήσωμεν, οὐδὲν ἀποκωλύει, i. e. *if you will have us examine, &c.* (§ 49, 1.)

REMARK. In this construction there is an implied appeal to some person (sometimes to the speaker himself), so that βούλει or some similar word can always be understood, even if it is not expressed. Homeric examples in which this is not the case fall naturally under § 87.

In the later Greek the classic form θέλετε εἶπω; was developed into θέλετε ἵνα (or ὅπως) εἶπω; — from which comes the modern Greek θέλετε νὰ εἶπω; or νὰ εἶπω; *will you have me speak?*

NOTE 1. The *third* person of the Subjunctive is sometimes used in questions, but less frequently than the first. This happens chiefly when a speaker refers to himself by τις. Examples of the *second* person are very rare. E. g.

Πότερόν σε τις, Αἰσχίνη, τῆς πόλεως ἐχθρόν ἢ ἐμὸν εἶναι φῆ; i. e. *shall we call you the city's enemy, or mine?* DEM. Cor. 268, 28. Εἶτα ταῖθ' οὗτοι πεισθῶσιν ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν σε ποιεῖν, καὶ τὰ τῆς σῆς πονηρίας ἔργα ἐφ' ἑαυτοὺς ἀναδέξωνται; i. e. *are these men to believe, &c.; and are they to assume, &c.* Id. Androt. 613, 3. Τί τις εἶναι τοῦτο φῆ; Id. F. L. 369, 12. Θύγατερ, ποῖ τις φροντίδος ἔλθῃ; SOPH. O. C. 170. Ποῖ τις οὖν φύγῃ; Id. Aj. 403. Πόθεν οὖν τις ταύτης ἄρξεται μάχης; PLAT. Phil. 15 D. Πῶς τις πείθεται; II. I, 150. (Πῶς οὖν ἔτ' εἶπης ὅτι συνέσταλμαι κακοῖς; EUR. Herc. F. 1417.) See Krüger, Vol. I, § 54, 2; Notes 4 and 5.

NOTE 2. The Subjunctive is often used in the question

τί πάθω; *what will become of me? or what harm will it do me?* literally, *what shall I undergo?* E. g.

ὦ μοι ἐγὼ, τί πάθω; τί νύ μοι μήκιστα γένηται; OD. V, 465. So II. XI, 404. Τί πάθω; τί δέ δρῶ; τί δέ μήσωμαι; AESCH. Sept. 1057. Τί πάθω τλήμων; Id. Pers. 912; ARIST. Plut. 603. Τί πάθω; τί δέ μήσομαι; οἶμοι. SOPH. Trach. 973. Τὸ μέλλον, εἰ χρὴ, πείσομαι· τί γὰρ πάθω; *I shall suffer what is to come, if it must be; for what harm can it do me?* EUR. Phoen. 895. (The difference between this and the ordinary meaning of πάσχω is here seen.) Ὁμολόγηκα· τί γὰρ πάθω; PLAT. Euthyd. 302 D. So in the plural, HDT. IV, 118; Τί γὰρ πάθωμεν μὴ βουλομένων ὑμέων τιμωρεῖν;

§ 89. The double negative οὐ μή is sometimes used with the Subjunctive and the Future Indicative in independent sentences, being equivalent to a strong single negative. The compounds of both οὐ and μή can be used here as well as the simple forms.

1. The Subjunctive (sometimes the Future Indicative) with οὐ μή may have the force of an emphatic Future with οὐ. Thus οὐ μὴ τοῦτο γένηται (sometimes οὐ μὴ τοῦτο γενήσεται) means *this surely will not happen*, being a little more emphatic than οὐ τοῦτο γενήσεται. E. g.

(Aor. Subj.) Οὐ μὴ πίθεται, *he will not obey*. SOPH. Phil. 103. Οὔτε γὰρ γίγνεται οὔτε γέγονεν οὐδὲ οὖν μὴ γένηται ἀλλοῖον ἦθος, *for there is not, nor has there been, nor will there ever be, &c.* PLAT. Rep. VI, 492 E. (Here οὐδὲ μὴ γένηται is merely more emphatic than the ordinary οὐ γενήσεται.) Καὶ τῶνδ' ἀκούσας οὐ τι μὴ ληφθῶ δόλω. AESCH. Sept. 38. Ἄλλ' οὐ ποτ' ἐξ ἐμοῦ γε μὴ πάθῃς τόδε. SOPH. El. 1029. Οὔτοι σ' Ἀχαιῶν, οἶδα, μὴ τις ὑβρίσῃ. Id. Aj. 560. Ἄλλ' οὐ τι μὴ φύγητε λαιψηρῇ ποδί. EUR. El. 1039. Τῶν ἦν κρατήσωμεν, οὐ μὴ τις ἡμῖν ἄλλος στρατὸς ἀντιστῇ κοτε ἀνθρώπων. HDT. VII, 53. So I, 199. Οὐ μὴ σε κρύψω πρὸς ὄντινα βούλομαι ἀφικέσθαι. XEN. Cyr. VII, 3, 13. Οἳ γε Ἀρμένιοι οὐ μὴ δέξονται τοὺς πολεμίους. Ib. III, 2, 8. Ἄν μέντοι καθύμεθα οἴκοι, οὐδέ ποτ' οὐδέν ἡμῖν οὐ μὴ γένηται τῶν δεόντων. DEM. Phil. I, 53, 4. So Phil. III, 130, 11.

(Pres. Subj.) Ἦν γὰρ ἅπαξ δύο ἢ τριῶν ἡμερῶν ὁδὸν ἀπόσχωμεν, οὐκέτι μὴ δύνῃται βασιλεὺς ἡμᾶς καταλαβεῖν. XEN. An. II, 2, 12. So οὐ μὴ δύνωνται, Id. Hier. XI, 15. Πρὸς ταῦτα κακούργει καὶ συκοφάντει, εἴ τι δύνασαι· οὐδέν σου παρίεμαι· ἀλλ' οὐ μὴ οἷός τ' ἦσι, *but you will not be able*. PLAT. Rep. I, 341 B.

(Fut. Ind.) Οὐ σοι μὴ μεθέψομαί ποτε. SOPH. El. 1052. Τοὺς γὰρ πονηροὺς οὐ μὴ ποτε ποιήσετε βελτίους. AESCHIN. Cor. § 177. Οὐ τοι μήποτέ σ' ἐκ τῶν ἐδράνων, ὃ γέρον, ἄκοντά τις ἄξει. SOPH. O. C. 176. So οὐκ οὖν μὴ ὁδοιπορήσεις, O. C. 848; and ILLY. III, 62. Μὰ τὸν Ἀπόλλω οὐ μὴ σ' ἐγὼ περιόψομαι ἐλθόντ' (i. e. περιόψομαι ἀπελθόντα). ARIST. Ran. 508. Εἶπεν ὅτι ἡ Σπάρτη οὐδὲν μὴ κάκιον οἰκισαῖται αὐτοῦ ἀποθανόντος. XEN. Hell. I, 6, 32. (See § 70, 2; and below, Note 1.)

The Aorist Subjunctive is the most common form in this construction.

NOTE 1. Οὐ μὴ with the Future Optative, representing a Future Indicative of the direct discourse, occurs in an indirect quotation after ὡς: Τά τ' ἄλλα πάντ' ἐθέσπισεν, καὶ τὰπὶ Τροίας πέργαμ' ὡς οὐ μὴ ποτε πέρσοιεν, εἰ μὴ τόνδε ἄγοιτο. SOPH. Phil. 611. (The direct discourse was οὐ μὴ ποτε πέρσετε, εἰ μὴ τόνδε ἄγησθε.) In the last example under § 89, 1, the Future Indicative is retained in the same construction. The Future Infinitive can be used in the same way; as, Εἶπε Τειρεσίας οὐ μὴ ποτε, σοῦ τήνδε γῆν οἰκοῦντος, εὖ πράξειν πόλιν. EUR. Phoen. 1590.

Οὐ μὴ with the Subjunctive occurs in a causal sentence after ὡς, in ARIST. Av. 461: Λέγε θαρρήσας ὡς τὰς σπονδάς οὐ μὴ πρότερον παραβῶμεν.

NOTE 2. This construction is often explained by supposing an ellipsis of δεινόν ἐστιν or φόβος ἐστίν between the οὐ and the μὴ: this is based on such passages as XEN. Mem. II, 1, 25, οὐ φόβος μὴ σε ἀγάγω, *there is no fear lest I may lead you*, which with the φόβος omitted would be οὐ μὴ σε ἀγάγω. This theory, however, leaves the following construction (§ 89, 2) entirely unexplained; and the supposed ellipsis fails to account for the meaning in many cases, as in the first example under § 89, 1.

2. The second person of the Future Indicative (sometimes the Subjunctive) with οὐ μὴ may express a strong prohibition. Thus οὐ μὴ λαλήσεις means *you shall not prate* (or *do not prate*), being more emphatic than μὴ λάλει. E. g.

Ποῖος Ζεὺς; οὐ μὴ ληρήσεις (ληρήσης). οὐδ' ἔστι Ζεὺς, i. e. *stop your nonsense!* ARIST. Nub. 367. Ὡ παῖ, τί θροεῖς; οὐ μὴ παρ' ὄχλῳ τάδε γηρύσει, *do not (I beg you) speak out in this way before the people.* EUR. Hippol. 213. Ὡ θύγατερ, οὐ μὴ μῦθον ἐπὶ πολλοὺς ἐρεῖς. EUR. Supp. 1066. Οὐ μὴ γυναικῶν δειλὸν εἰσοῖσεις λόγον, *do not adopt the cowardly language of women.* EUR. And. 757. Οὐ μὴ ἐξεγερῖς τὸν ὕπνῳ κάτοχον κάκκινῆσεις κἀναστήσεις φοιτάδα δεινὴν νόσον, ὃ τέκνον, *do not wake him.* SOPH. Trach. 978. Τί ποιεῖς; οὐ μὴ καταβήσει, *don't come down.* ARIST. Vesp. 397.

For the use of the future, see § 25, 1. N. 5. For the Subjunctive in this construction, see below, Rem. 2.

NOTE 1. A prohibition thus begun by οὐ μή may be continued by μηδέ with another Future (or Subjunctive). An affirmative command may be added by another Future or an Imperative, after ἀλλά or δέ. E. g.

Οὐ μὴ καλεῖς μ', ὠνθροφ', ἱκετεύω, μηδὲ κατερεῖς τοῦνομα, *do not call to me, I implore you, nor speak my name.* ARIST. Ran. 298. Οὐ μὴ προσοίσεις χεῖρα μηδ' ἄψει πέπλων, *do not bring your hand near me nor touch my garments.* EUR. Hippol. 606. Οὐ μὴ προσοίσεις χεῖρα, βακχεύσεις δ' ἰὼν, μηδ' ἐξομόρξει μωρίαν τὴν σὴν ἐμοί, *do not bring your hand near me; but go and rage, and do not wipe off your folly on me.* Id. Bacch. 343.

Οὐ μὴ λαλήσεις (λαλήσης), ἀλλ' ἀκολουθήσεις ἐμοί, *do not prate, but follow me.* ARIST. Nub. 505. Οὐ μὴ διατρίψεις, ἀλλὰ γεύσει τῆς θύρας, *do not delay, but knock at the door.* Id. Ran. 462. Οὐ μὴ φλυαρήσεις ἔχων, ὦ Ξανθία, ἀλλ' ἀράμενος οἷσεις πάλιν τὰ στρώματα. Ib. 524. Οὐ μὴ δυσμενὴς ἔσει φίλοις, παύσει δὲ θυμοῦ καὶ πάλιν στρέψεις κἀρα, . . . δέξει δὲ δῶρα καὶ παραιτήσεται πατρός, *be not inimical to friends, but cease your rage, &c.* EUR. Med. 1151. Οὐ μὴ σκώψῃς μηδὲ ποιήσῃς ἅπερ οἱ πρυγοδαίμονες οἶτοι, ἀλλ' εὐφήμει, *do not scoff, nor do what these wretches do; but keep silence!* ARIST. Nub. 296. (Here the Imperative is used precisely like the Future with ἀλλά or δέ in the preceding examples.)

The Future in the clauses with ἀλλά or δέ will be explained by § 25, 1, N. 5 (a); in the clauses with μηδέ it may be explained by § 25, 1, N. 5 (b), or we may consider the construction a continuation of that with οὐ μή, the μή being repeated without the οὐ.

NOTE 2. In a few cases οὐ with the Future is used interrogatively expressing an *exhortation*, followed by another Future with μηδέ or καὶ μή expressing a *prohibition*. E. g.

Οὐ σίγ' ἀνέξει, μηδὲ δειλίαν ἀρεῖ(ς), *keep silence (lit. will you not keep silence?) and do not become a coward.* SOPH. Aj. 75. (Here perhaps we should punctuate οὐ σίγ' ἀνέξει; μηδὲ δειλίαν ἀρεῖ. See Rem. 1. But the first clause, although strictly interrogative, is really an exhortation, and was so considered in the construction of the following clause, where the Future is to be explained on the principle of § 25, 1, N. 5 (b). Compare the examples under Note 1.) Οὐ θάσσον οἷσεις, μηδ' ἀπιστήσεις ἐμοί, i. e. *extend your hand, and do not distrust me.* Id. Trach. 1183. Οὐκ εἶ σύ τ' οἴκους, σύ τε Κρέων κατὰ στέγας, καὶ μὴ τὸ μηδὲν ἄλγος εἰς μέγ' οἷσσετε. Id. O. T. 637.

REMARK 1. The examples under § 89, 2 and the notes are usually printed as interrogative, in accordance with the doctrine of Elmsley, stated in his note to Eurip. Med. 1120 (1151) and in the

Quarterly Review for June, 1812. He explains οὐ μὴ λαλήσεις; as meaning *will you not stop prating?* lit. *will you not not prate?* and when a second clause in the Future with μηδέ or ἀλλά follows, he considers the interrogative force of οὐ to extend also to this. But this explanation requires an entirely different theory to account for the construction of § 89, 1; whereas the rules given above consider the Subjunctive there a relic of the common Homeric Subjunctive (§ 87), and explain the Future in § 89, 2 by the principle stated in § 25, 1, N. 5, — οὐ μὴ having the same force of a strong single negative in both constructions. As to the examples in N. 1, the last one (where the Imperative instead of the Future follows ἀλλά) seems to be decisive against the interrogative force commonly ascribed to the Future in the others. The examples in N. 2 are the strongest support of Elmsley's theory, where the first clause is clearly interrogative, at least originally; but the force of the question as an exhortation seems to have guided the construction of the sentence, which is finished after the analogy of the examples in N. 1. The explanation given above (N. 2) is supported by AESCH. Sept. 250, οὐ σίγα; μηδὲν τῶνδ' ἐρεῖς κατὰ πόλιν. *will you not keep silence? (οὐ σίγ' ἀνέξει;)* say nothing of this kind through the city.

We may explain the examples in N. 2 as interrogative, by considering the first clause a question with οὐ (implying an affirmative answer) equivalent to an exhortation, and the second a question with μὴ (implying a negative answer) equivalent to a prohibition. Οὐ σίγ' ἀνέξει. μηδὲ δειλίαν ἀρεῖ; will thus mean, *will you not keep silence? and you will not become a coward, will you?*

REMARK 2. In modern editions of the classics the Subjunctive is not found in the construction of § 89, 2. But in many of the examples quoted there and in the notes the first Aorist Subjunctive in -σης has been emended to the Future, against the authority of the Mss., in conformity to Dawes's rule. (See § 45, N. 8, with footnote.) Thus, in the three examples from the *Clouds*, the Mss. have the Subjunctive; and in the last (vs. 296) οὐ μὴ σκώψῃς could not be changed to οὐ μὴ σκώψεις, as the Future of σκώπτω is σκώψομαι. Elmsley's emendation σκώψει is therefore adopted by most editors. But this seems too violent a change to allow in the text, merely to sustain an arbitrary rule, which at best has nothing but accident to rest on. If both constructions (§ 89, 1 and 2) are explained on the same principle, there is no longer any reason for objecting to the Subjunctive with οὐ μὴ in prohibitions; and it seems most probable that both the Future and the Subjunctive were allowed in both constructions, but that the Subjunctive was more common in that of § 89, 1, and the Future in that of § 89, 2.

CHAPTER V.

THE INFINITIVE.

§ 90. The Infinitive mood expresses the *simple idea* of the verb, without limitation of number or person. It has the force of a neuter verbal noun, and as such it may take the neuter of the article in all its cases.

It has at the same time the attributes of a verb, so that (even when it takes the article) it may have a subject, object, and other adjuncts; and, further, it is qualified not by adjectives, but by adverbs.

§ 91. The Infinitive may as nominative be the subject of a finite verb, or as accusative be the subject of another Infinitive. The Infinitive is especially common as the subject of an impersonal verb, or of *ἐστί*. It may also be a predicate nominative, or it may stand in apposition with a substantive.

Such Infinitives stand regularly *without* the article; but if they are to be especially prominent as containing the leading idea of the sentence, the article may be used. E. g.

Συνέβη αὐτῷ ἐλθεῖν, *it happened to him to go*. Οὐκ ἔνεστι τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. Ἀδύνατόν ἐστι τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. Ἐξῆν μένειν. Δεῖ αὐτὸν μένειν. Οὐ μὲν γάρ τι κακὸν βασιλευμένον, *for it is no bad thing to be a king*. Od. I, 392. Εἰς οἰωνὸς ἄριστος, ἀμύνεσθαι περὶ πάτρης. Il. XII, 243. Ἀεὶ γὰρ ἡβᾷ τοῖς γέρονσιν εὖ μαθεῖν. AESCH. Ag. 584. Πολὺ γὰρ ῥᾶον ἔχοντας φυλάττειν ἢ κτήσασθαι πάντα πέφυκεν. DEM. Ol. II, 25, 21. (Compare Ol. I, 16, 3: Δοκεῖ τὸ φυλάξαι τὰγαθὰ τοῦ κτήσασθαι χαλεπώτερον εἶναι.) Ἡδὺ πολλοὺς ἐχθροὺς ἔχειν; DEM. F. L. 409, 25. Δοκεῖ οἰκονόμου ἀγαθοῦ εἶναι· εὖ οἰκεῖν τὸν ἑαυτοῦ οἶκον. XEN. Oecon. I, 2. Φησὶ δεῖν τοῦτο ποιῆσαι, *he says that it is necessary to do this*. (Here ποιῆσαι as accus. is the subject of δεῖν: for δεῖν, see § 92, 2.) Τὸ γυνῶναι ἐπιστήμην πού λαβεῖν ἐστίν, *to learn is to acquire knowledge*. PLAT. Theaet. 209 E. Τὸ δίκην διδόναι πότερον πάσχειν

τί ἐστιν ἡ ποιεῖν; PLAT. Gorg. 476 D. (In the last two examples the *subject* Infinitive has the article to emphasize it, while the *predicate* Infinitives stand alone.) Οὔτοι ἡδὺ ἐστὶ τὸ ἔχειν χρήματα οὕτως ὡς ἀνιπαρὸν τὸ ἀποβάλλειν. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 3, 42. (Compare the two examples above from Demosthenes.) Τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ ἀδικεῖν, τὸ πλεον τῶν ἄλλων ζητεῖν ἔχειν. PLAT. Gorg. 483 C. Ἄλλ' οἶμαι, νῦν μὲν ἐπισκοτεῖ τούτοις τὸ κατορθοῦν. DEM. Ol. II, 23, 27. Τὸ γὰρ θάνατον δεδιέναι οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἐστὶν ἢ δοκεῖν σοφὸν εἶναι μὴ ὄντα· δοκεῖν γὰρ εἰδέναι ἐστὶν ἀ οὐκ οἶδεν. PLAT. Apol. 29 A.

§ 92. The Infinitive without the article may be the object of a verb. It stands generally as an object accusative, sometimes as an object genitive, and sometimes as an accusative of *kindred signification*. The classes of verbs after which the Infinitive is thus used must be learned by practice; but the Infinitive without a subject follows in general the same classes of verbs in Greek as in English. The following, however, may be specially mentioned:—

1. In general, any verb whose action directly implies another action or state as its object, if such action or state is to be expressed by a verb and not by a noun, takes the Infinitive. Such are verbs signifying *to teach, to learn, to accustom, to desire, to ask, to advise, to entreat, to exhort, to command, to persuade, to urge, to propose, to compel, to need, to cause, to intend, to begin, to attempt, to permit, to decide, to dare, to prefer, to choose, to pretend*; those expressing *fear, unwillingness, eagerness, caution, neglect, danger, postponement, forbidding, hindrance, escape, &c.*; and all implying *ability, fitness, desert, qualification, sufficiency, or their opposites*. E. g.

Διδάσκουσιν αὐτὸν βάλλειν, *they teach him to shoot*. Ἔμαθον τοῦτο ποιῆσαι, *they learned to do this*. Βούλεται ἐλθεῖν. Παραينوῦμέν σοι πειθεσθαι. Φοβοῦμαι μένειν. Αἰροῦνται πολεμεῖν. Ἡ πόλις κινδυνεύει διαφθαρῆναι. Δύναται ἀπελθεῖν. Ἐκέλευσεν αὐτὸν περιμεῖναι με. Δέομαι ὑμῶν συγγνώμην μοι ἔχειν. Εἶπε στρατηγοὺς ἐλέσθαι, *he proposed to choose generals*. Ἀπαγορεύουσιν αὐτοῖς μὴ τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. (See below, § 95, 2) Τί κωλύσει αὐτὸν βαδίξειν ὅποι βούλεται, *what will prevent him from marching, &c.*? Ἀξιῶ λαμβάνειν. Ἀξιούται

θανεῖν. Οὐ πέφυκε δουλεύειν, *he is not born to be a slave.* Ἀναβάλλεται τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *he postpones doing this.*

This use of the Infinitive is too common to need illustration by more particular examples.

REMARK 1. The Infinitive in this construction is generally equivalent to the English Infinitive after the same class of verbs; and it refers to indefinite or to future time. (See § 15, 1.) The Present and Aorist are the tenses usually found, with the distinction stated in the Remark before § 12: for the Perfect, see § 18, 3 (*b*); and for the occasional use of the Future Infinitive (or even the Infinitive with ἄν) after some of these verbs, see § 27, N. 2.

REMARK 2. Verbs of *fearing* and *caution* are included in the list given above, although they are generally followed by μή, *lest*, and the Subjunctive or Optative. (See § 46.) The Infinitive, however, sometimes occurs; and, when it is used, it belongs regularly under the rule, § 92, 1. (See § 46, N. 8, *a* and *b*.)

Verbs expressing *danger* take the Infinitive more frequently than μή with the Subjunctive or Optative. (See § 46, N. 8, *c*.)

NOTE 1. Some verbs which do not regularly take an Infinitive may be used in unusual significations, so as to allow an Infinitive by § 92, 1. E. g.

Ξυνέβησαν τοῖς Πλαταιεῦσι παραδοῦναι σφᾶς αὐτοὺς καὶ τὰ ὅπλα, *they made an agreement with the Plataeans to surrender, &c.* THUC. II, 4. Τίνι δ' ἂν τις μᾶλλον πιστεύσειε παρακαταθέσθαι χρήματα; *to whom would any one sooner dare to commit money?* XEN. Mem. IV, 4, 17. Ὀδύρονται οἰκόνδε νέεσθαι, *they mourn to go home.* II. II, 290. Ἐπενφήμησαν Ἀχαιοὶ αἰδεῖσθαι ἱερῆα. II. I, 22.

NOTE 2. When a noun and a verb (especially ἐστί) together form an expression equivalent to any of the verbs of § 92, 1, they may take the Infinitive without the article. Some other expressions with a similar force take the same construction. E. g.

Ἀνάγκη ἐστὶ πάντας ἀπελθεῖν. Κίνδυνος ἦν αὐτῷ παθεῖν τι. Ὅκνος ἐστὶ μοι τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. Φόβος ἐστὶν αὐτῷ ἐλθεῖν. Ἀμαξα ἐν αὐταῖς ἦν, κώλυμα οὔσα (τὰς πύλας) προσθεῖναι, *a wagon, which prevented them from shutting the gates.* THUC. IV, 67. So ἐπεγένετο δὲ ἄλλοις τε ἄλλοθι κωλύματα μὴ αὐξήθῃναι, *obstacles to their increase.* Id. I, 16. (See § 95, 2, N. 1.) Τοῖς στρατιώταις ὁρμὴ ἐνέπesse ἐκτειχίσαι τὸ χωρίον. Id. IV, 4. Οὐ μάντις εἰμὶ τάφανῃ γινῶναι, *I am not enough of a prophet to decide, &c.* EUR. Hippol. 346. (Here the idea of *ability* is implied in μάντις εἰμί.) Γὰρ ἀσφαλὲς καὶ μένειν καὶ ἀπελθεῖν αἱ νῆες παρέξουσιν. THUC. VI, 18. (See § 93, 1.) Ἐχοντα τιθασεύεσθαι φύσιν, *capable by nature of*

being tamed (= πεφυκότα τιθασεύεσθαι). PLAT. Politic. 264 A. Τίς μηχανή μὴ οὐχὶ πάντα καταναλωθῆναι εἰς τὸ τεθνάναι; (i. e. τί κωλύσει μὴ οὐχὶ πάντα καταναλωθῆναι;) PLAT. Phaed. 72 D. Δέδοικα μὴ πολλὰ καὶ χαλεπὰ εἰς ἀνάγκην ἔλθωμεν ποιεῖν, *lest we may come to the necessity of doing*. DEM. Ol. I, 13, 25. Ὡρα ἀπιέναι, *it is time to go away* (like χρὴ ἀπιέναι, *we must go away*). PLAT. Apol. 42 A. Ἐλπίδας ἔχει τοῦτο ποιῆσαι (= ἐλπίζει τοῦτο ποιῆσαι), *he hopes to do this*. But ἐλπίς τοῦ ἐλεῖν, THUC. II, 56. Οἱ δὲ ζῶντες αἴτιοι θανεῖν, *and the living are those who caused them to die*. SOPH. Ant. 1173. We might also have αἴτιοι τοῦ τούτους θανεῖν or αἴτιοι τὸ τούτους θανεῖν. (See § 23, 1, N. 3.) So in phrases like πολλοῦ (or μικροῦ) δέω ποιεῖν τι, *I want much (or little) of doing anything*; παρὰ μικρὸν ἦλθον ποιεῖν τι, *they came within a little of doing anything*; where the idea of *ability, inability, or sufficiency* appears: so in THUC. VII, 70, βραχὺ γὰρ ἀπέλιπον διακόσσαι γενέσθαι. So ἐμποδὼν τούτῳ ἐστὶν ἐλθεῖν (= κωλύει τοῦτον ἐλθεῖν), *it prevents him from going*; where τοῦ ἐλθεῖν may be used. (See § 94, and § 95, 1 and 2.)

The Infinitive depending on a noun is generally an *adnominal* genitive with the article τοῦ. See § 94 and § 95, 1.

NOTE 3. Although the Infinitive depending on the verbs included in § 92, 1 regularly stands *without* the article, yet τό is sometimes prefixed to give the Infinitive still more the character of a noun in the accusative. The Infinitive is sometimes placed for emphasis apart from the main construction, like a synecdochical accusative. E. g.

Καὶ πῶς δὴ τὸ ἀρχικοὺς εἶναι ἀνθρώπων παιδεύεις; XEN. Oecon. XIII, 4. (So παιδεύω τινά τι.) Τὸ δ' αὖ ξυνοικεῖν τῇδ' ὁμοῦ τίς ἂν γυνὴ δύναίτο; i. e. *as to living with her, what woman could do it?* SOPH. Tr. 545. Τὸ δρᾶν οὐκ ἤθελησαν. Id. O. C. 442.

Οὐδεὶς μ' ἂν πείσειεν τὸ μὴ οὐκ ἐλθεῖν, *no one could persuade me not to go*. ARIST. Ran. 68. (For μὴ οὐ, see § 95, 2, N. 1, b.) So XEN. Hell. V, 2, 36. So θέλξει τὸ μὴ κτείνειν σύνευνον (like πείσει τὸ μὴ κτείνειν), AESCH. Prom. 865. Compare SOPH. Phil. 1253, οὐδέ τοι σὴ χειρὶ πείθομαι τὸ δρᾶν, i. e. *I do not trust your hand for action* (like οὐ πείθομαί σοι ταῦτα, *I do not trust you in this*.)

NOTE 4. Other active verbs than those included in § 92, 1 may take the Infinitive like an ordinary noun, as an object accusative. Here, however, the Infinitive takes the article τό. E. g.

Τὸ τελευτῆσαι πάντων ἡ πεπρωμένη κατέκρινεν, *fate awarded death to all*. ISOC. Demon. p. 11 C. § 43.

NOTE 5. A few of the verbs included in § 92, 1, which govern the genitive of a noun, allow also the genitive of the Infinitive with τοῦ, as well as the simple Infinitive. (See § 95, 1.) This applies chiefly to ἀμελέω, ἐπιμελέομαι, and to the verbs of *hindrance*, &c. included in § 95, 2. E. g.

'Αμελήσας τοῦ ὑργίζεσθαι. XEN. Mem. II. 3, 9. (But ἀμελήσας λέγειν, PLAT. Phaed. 98 D.) Most verbs of *desiring* and *neglecting* take only the simple Infinitive. 'Επιμελέομαι, which usually takes ὅπως with the Future Indicative (§ 45), allows also the simple Infinitive (THUC. VI, 54), and the Infinitive with τοῦ (XEN. Mem. III, 3, 11). See § 45, N. 6, a.

REMARK. For the use of the Infinitive without τό after verbs as an accusative by *synecdoche* (usually found only after adjectives), see § 93, 2, Note 3.

2. Another case in which the Infinitive appears as the object of a verb occurs in indirect discourse, after verbs implying *thought* or the *expression of thought* (*verba sentiendi et declarandi*) or equivalent expressions. Here each tense of the Infinitive, instead of referring indefinitely to the future (as in the former construction, § 92, 1), represents the corresponding tense of the Indicative or Optative

REMARK. For this construction see § 73, 1; where also examples of the Infinitive with ἄν in indirect discourse are given. For the distinction between the Infinitive in this construction and the ordinary Infinitive (§ 92, 1), see § 73, 1, Remark. For the Infinitive, *not* in indirect discourse, after some verbs which usually belong to this class, see § 15, 2, Notes 2 and 3; see also § 23, 2, Notes 2 and 3.

NOTE 1. Of the three common verbs signifying *to say*, φημί is regularly followed only by the Infinitive in indirect discourse, εἶπον only by ὅτι or ὥς and the Indicative or Optative, while λέγω allows either construction. A singular exception in regard to εἶπον is found in Eur. Phoen. 1590, quoted § 89, 1, N. 1. (See § 15, 2, N. 3.)

NOTE 2. After many verbs of this class in the passive both a personal and an impersonal construction are allowed: thus, we can say λέγεται ὁ Κῦρος γενέσθαι, *Cyrus is said to have been*, or λέγεται τὸν Κῦρον γενέσθαι, *it is said that Cyrus was*. Δοκέω in the meaning *I seem (videor)* usually has the *personal* construction, as in English; as οὗτος δοκεῖ εἶναι, *he seems to be*. When an Infinitive with ἄν follows (§ 73, 1), it must be translated by an *impersonal* construction, to suit the English idiom: thus, δοκεῖ τις ἄν ἔχειν must be translated *it seems that some one would have*, although τις is the subject of δοκεῖ; as we cannot use *would* with our Infinitive, to translate ἔχειν ἄν (See § 42, 2, Note.)

NOTE 3. (a.) When an indirect quotation has been introduced by an Infinitive, a dependent relative or temporal clause in the quotation sometimes takes the Infinitive by assimilation, where we should expect an Indicative or Optative. The temporal particles *ὥς*, *ὅτε*, *ἐπεί*, *ἐπειδή*, as well as the relative pronouns, are used in this construction. Herodotus also uses *εἰ*, *ἵf*, and even *διότι*, *because*, in the same way. E. g.

Μετὰ δὲ, ὥς οὐ παύεσθαι, ἅκεα διζήσθαι (λέγουσι), *and afterwards, when it did not cease, they say that they sought for remedies*. HDT. I, 94. (Here we should expect *ὥς οὐκ ἐπαύετο*.) Ὡς δ' ἀκοῦσαι τοὺς παρόντας, θόρυβον γενέσθαι (φασίν), *they say that, when those present heard it, there was a tumult*. DEM. F. L. 402, 8. Ἐπειδὴ δὲ γενέσθαι ἐπὶ τῇ οἰκίᾳ τῇ Ἀγάθωνος, (ἔφη) ἀνεωγμένην καταλαμβάνειν τὴν θύραν. PLAT. Symp. 174 D. See Rep. X, 614 B. Λέγεται Ἀλκμαίῳ, ὅτε δὴ ἀλᾶσθαι αὐτὸν, τὸν Ἀπόλλω ταύτην τὴν γῆν χρῆσαι οἰκεῖν. THUC. II, 102. (See § 15, 1, N. 2.) Καὶ ὅσα αὖ μετ' ἐκείνων βουλεύεσθαι, οὐδενὸς ὕστερον γνώμη φανῆναι (ἔφασαν). Id. I, 91. (Here ἐβουλεύοντο would be the common form.) Ἐγούμένης δὴ ἀληθείας οὐκ ἂν ποτε φαίμεν αὐτῇ χορὸν κακῶν ἀκολουθήσαι, ἀλλ' ὑγιές τε καὶ δίκαιον ἦθος, ᾧ καὶ σωφροσύνην ἔπεσθαι. PLAT. Rep. VI, 490 C.

Εἰ γὰρ δὴ δεῖν πάντως περιθεῖναι ἄλλω τέφ τὴν βασιλίην, (ἔφη) δικαίωτερον εἶναι Μήδων τέφ περιβαλεῖν τοῦτο. HDT. I, 129. (Here *εἰ* δέοι or *εἰ* δεῖ would be the ordinary expression.) So HDT. III, 105 and 108; doubtful, II, 64 and 172. See Krüger's note on I, 129. Τιμᾶν δὲ Σαμίους ἔφη, διότι ταφῆναί οἱ τὸν πάππον δημοσίῃ ὑπὸ Σαμίων. HDT. III, 55.

(b.) In some cases, particularly when the provisions of a law are quoted, a relative is used with the Infinitive, even when no Infinitive precedes. E. g.

"Ἐθηκεν ἐφ' οἷς ἐξεῖναι ἀποκτινύναι, *he enacted on what conditions it is allowed to kill*. DEM. Lept. 505, 19. Καὶ διὰ ταῦτα, ἂν τις ἀποκτείνη τινά, τὴν βουλὴν δικάζειν ἔγραψε, καὶ οὐχ ἅπερ, ἂν ἀλῶ, εἶναι, *and he did not enact what should be done if he should be convicted*. DEM. Aristocr. 629, 2. (Here εἶναι is the reading of the Cod. S, amply defended by the preceding example, in which all editors allow ἐξεῖναι.) Δέκα γὰρ ἄνδρας προείλοντο αὐτῷ ξυμβούλους, ἀνεὼν μὴ κύριον εἶναι ἀπάγειν στρατιὰν ἐκ τῆς πόλεως. THUC. V, 63.

§ 93. The Infinitive without the article may limit the meaning of certain adjectives and adverbs.

1. Such are particularly adjectives denoting *ability*, *fitness*, *desert*, *qualification*, *sufficiency*, *readiness*, and

their *opposites*; and, in general, those denoting the same relations as the verbs which govern the Infinitive (§ 92, 1). E. g.

Δυνατός ποιεῖν, *able to do*. Δεινὸς λέγειν, *skilled in speaking*. Ἀξίως ἐστὶ ταῦτα λαβεῖν, *he deserves to receive this*. Ἀνάξιος θαυμάζεσθαι, *unworthy to be admired*. Ἀξίος τιμᾶσθαι, *worthy to be honored*. Οὐχ οἷός τε ἦν τοῦτο ἰδεῖν, *he was not able to see this*. Πρόθυμος λέγειν, *eager to speak*. Ἐτοῖμος κίνδυνον ὑπομένειν, *ready to endure danger*.

Θεμιστοκλέα. ἱκανώτατον εἰπεῖν καὶ γινῶναι καὶ πράξαι. LYS. Or. Fun. p. 194, § 42. Τὸν δ' ἐπιτήδειον ταῦτα παθεῖν ἔφη, *the people said that he was a suitable person to suffer this*. DEM. Phil. III, 126, 19. Αἱ γὰρ εὐπραξίαι δεινὰ συγκρῦναι τὰ τοιαῦτα ὀνειδῇ. Id. Ol. II, 23, 29. Κυρίαν ἐποίησαν ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τὰς εὐταξίας, *they gave it (the Areopagus) power to superintend good order*. ISOC. Arcop. p. 147 D. § 39. Βίην δὲ ἀδύνατοι ἦσαν προσφέρειν. HDT. III, 138. Μαλακοὶ καρτερεῖν, *too effeminate to endure*. PLAT. Rep. VIII, 556 B. Ταπεινὴ ὑμῶν ἡ διάνοια ἐγκαρτερεῖν ἄἐγνωτε, *your minds are too dejected to persevere, &c.* THUC. II, 61. (In the last two examples μαλακοί and ταπεινὴ govern the Infinitive by the idea of *inability* implied in them.) Χρήματα πορίζειν εὐπορώτατον γυνή. ARIST. Eccles. 236. Σοφώτεροι δὲ συμφορὰς τὰς τῶν πέλας πάντες διαιρεῖν ἢ τύχας τὰς οἰκοθεν. EUR. Alcmén. Fr. 103. Ἐπιστήμων λέγειν τε καὶ σιγᾶν. PLAT. Phaedr. 276 A. Τάλλα εὐρήσεις ὑπουργεῖν ὄντας ἡμᾶς οὐ κακοὺς. ARIST. Pac. 430.

For examples of nouns followed by the Infinitive, see § 92, 1, N. 2.

NOTE 1. The use of the Infinitive after οἷος in the sense of *appropriate*, *likely*, *capable*, and ὅσος in that of *sufficient*, with or without their antecedents, is to be referred to this head. (Οἷός τε, *able*, like δυνατός, regularly takes the Infinitive.) E. g.

Οὐ γὰρ ἦν ὥρα οἷα τὸ πεδῖον ἄρδεν, *for it was not the proper season for irrigating the land*. XEN. An. II, 3, 13. Τοιαύτας οἷας χειμῶνός τε στέγειν καὶ θέρους ἱκανὸς εἶναι. PLAT. Rep. III, 415 E. Τοιοῦτος οἷος πεῖθεσθαι. Id. Crit. 46 B. Νεμόμενοι τὰ αὐτῶν ἕκαστοι ὅσον ἀποζῆν, *cultivating their own land to an extent sufficient to live upon it*. THUC. I, 2. Ἐλείπετο τῆς νυκτὸς ὅσον σκοταίους διελθεῖν τὸ πεδῖον, *there was left enough of the night for crossing the plain in the dark*. XEN. An. IV, 1, 5. Ἐφθασε τοσοῦτον ὅσον Πάχητ' ἀνεγνωκέναι τὸ ψήφισμα, *it came so much in advance (of the other ship), that Paches had already read the decree*. THUC. III, 49. (See § 18, 3, b.) Examples like the last strongly resemble those under § 98, 1 in which ὥστε has τοσοῦτος for its antecedent.

Other pronominal adjectives (as τοῖος, τοιόσδε, τηιοῦτος, τηλίκος, ποῖος) sometimes take an Infinitive in the same way.

NOTE 2. (a.) Certain impersonal verbs (like ἐνεστί, πρόπει, προσήκει), which regularly take an Infinitive as their subject

(§ 91), are sometimes used in the Participle in a *personal* sense, in which case they may be followed by the Infinitive, the Participle having the force of one of the adjectives of § 93, 1. Thus τὰ ἐνόντα εἰπεῖν is equivalent to ἃ ἔνεστι εἰπεῖν, *what it is permitted to say*; τὰ προσήκοντα ῥηθῆναι is equivalent to ἃ προσήκει ῥηθῆναι, *what is proper to be said*, as if προσήκει were a *personal* verb, and as if we could say ταῦτα προσήκει, *these things are becoming*. E. g.

Κατιδὼν τὸ πλῆθος τῶν ἐνόντων εἰπεῖν. ISOC. Phil. p. 104 D. § 110. Τὸν θεὸν καλεῖ οὐδὲν προσήκοντ' ἐν γόοις παραστατεῖν, *she is calling on the God who ought not to be present at lamentations*. AESCH. Agam. 1079. (Προσήκοντα is used like adjectives meaning *fit*, *proper*, as if we could say ὅς οὐ προσήκει παραστατεῖν.) Φράζ', ἐπεὶ πρέπων ἔφυς πρὸ τῶνδε φωνεῖν. SOPH. O. T. 9. So τὰ ἡμῖν παραγγελεθέντα διεξελεθεῖν (= ἃ παρηγγέλη ἡμῖν διεξελεθεῖν). PLAT. Tim. 90 E.

(b.) In the same way certain adjectives, like δίκαιος, ἐπικαίριος, ἐπιτήδειος, ἐπίδοξος, may be used *personally* with the Infinitive; as δίκαιός ἐστι τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *it is right for him to do this* (equivalent to δίκαιόν ἐστιν αὐτὸν τοῦτο ποιεῖν). E. g.

Φημὶ καὶ πολλῶ μεζόνων ἔτι τούτων δωρεῶν δίκαιος εἶναι τυγχάνειν, i. e. *that it is right for me to receive*, &c. DEM. Cor. 243, 6. Ἐδόκουν ἐπιτήδαιοι εἶναι ὑπεξαιρεθῆναι, *they seemed to be convenient persons to be disposed of*. THUC. VIII, 70. Θεραπεύεσθαι ἐπικαίριοι, *important persons to be taken care of*. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 2, 25. Τάδε τοι ἐξ αὐτέων ἐπίδοξα γενέσθαι, *it is to be expected that this will result from it*. HDT. I, 89. (Πολλοὶ ἐπίδοχοι τωὺτὸ τοῦτο πείσεσθαι, *it is to be expected that many will suffer this same thing*, Id. VI, 12, is an example of the Future Infinitive, § 27, N. 2. So in English, *many are likely to suffer*.)

These examples resemble those under § 93, 2.

NOTE 3. Rarely the Infinitive with τό is used after adjectives of this class. (Compare § 92, 1, N. 3.) E. g.

Τὸ προσταλαιπαρεῖν οὐδεὶς πρόθυμος ἦν. THUC. II, 53.

2. Any adjective may take the Infinitive without the article as an accusative by *synecdoche*, showing *in what respect* the adjective is applicable to its noun; as θέαμα αἰσχρὸν ὁρᾶν, *a sight disgraceful to look upon*.

The Infinitive is here regularly active or middle, seldom passive, even when the latter would seem more natural; as χαλεπὸν ποιεῖν, *hard to do*, seldom χαλεπὸν ποιεῖσθαι, *hard to be done*. E. g.

Αἰσχρὸν γὰρ τὸδε γ' ἐστὶ καὶ ἐσσομένοισι πυνθίσθαι, i. e. *disgrace-*

ful for them to hear. II. II, 119. So II. I, 107 and 589. *Τὸν γὰρ ὑπὲρ τούτων λόγους ἐμοὶ μὲν ἀναγκασιότατος προειπεῖν ἡγοῖμαι, ἱμῖν δὲ χρησιμωτάτους ἀκοῦσαι, i. e. most necessary for me to say, and most useful for you to hear.* DEM. Mid. 522, 18. *Φοβερὸς προσπολεμῆσαι, a terrible man to fight against.* Id. Ol. II, 24, 12. *Οἰκία ἡδίστη ἐνδιαιτᾶσθαι, a house most pleasant to live in.* XEN. Mem. III, 8, 8. *Τὰ χαλεπώτατα εὑρεῖν, the things hardest to find: τὰ ῥᾶστα ἐντυγχάνειν, the things easiest to obtain.* Ib. I, 6, 9. *Πολιτεία χαλεπὴ συζῆν, a form of government hard to live under: ἀνομος δὲ (μοναρχία) χαλεπὴ καὶ βαρυτάτῃ ξυνοικῆσαι.* PLAT. Politic. 302 B and E. *Λόγος δυνατὸς κατανοῆσαι, a speech which it is possible to understand.* Id. Phaed. 90 D. *Ὁ χρόνος βραχὺς ἀξίως διηγήσασθαι, the time is too short for narrating it properly.* Id. Menex. 239 B. *Ἡ ὁδὸς ἐπιτηδεῖα πορευομένοις καὶ λέγειν καὶ ἀκούειν, convenient both for speaking and for hearing.* Id. Symp. 173 B. *Πότερον δὲ λούσασθαι ψυχρότερον (τὸ ὕδωρ); is the water there colder for bathing?* XEN. Mem. III, 13, 3.

(Passive.) *Κύνες αἰσχροὶ ὁρᾶσθαι (instead of ὁρᾶν).* XEN. Cyneg. III, 3. *Ἔστι δ' ὁ λόγος φιλαπεχθήμων μὲν, ῥηθῆναι δ' οὐκ ἀσύμφορος.* ISOC. Antid. p. 70, § 115.

NOTE 1. The Infinitive may be used after adverbs which correspond to the adjectives just mentioned (§ 93, 2). E. g.

Πῶς ἂν τοῖς μὲν εὔνοις κάλλιστα ἰδεῖν ποιοῖτο τὴν ἐξέλασιν, τοῖς δὲ δυσμενέσι φοβερῶτατα, in a manner most delightful for the friendly to behold, and most terrible for the ill-disposed. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 3, 5.

NOTE 2. Certain nouns, which are equivalent in meaning to the neuter of any of the adjectives which take the Infinitive, may themselves have the same construction. E. g.

Θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι, a wonderful thing to behold (like θαυμαστὸν ἰδέσθαι).

NOTE 3. (a.) In Homer verbs expressing *excellence* or *fitness* sometimes take the Infinitive (as an accusative by synecdoche), like the adjectives of § 93, 2. E. g.

Ἔκτορος ἦδε γυνή, ὃς ἀριστεύσκε μάχεσθαι, this is the wife of Hector, who was the first in fighting. II. VI, 460. *Ὅμηλικὴν ἐκέαστο ὄρνιθας γινῶναι καὶ ἀναίσιμα μυθήσασθαι.* Od. II, 158. *Οἱ περὶ μὲν βουλὴν Δαναῶν, περὶ δ' ἑστὲ μάχεσθαι, ye who excel the Danaei in counsel and excel them in battle.* II. I, 258. (Here *βουλὴν* and *μάχεσθαι* are alike in the accusative by synecdoche after *περὶ . . . ἑστέ.*)

(b.) Even in Attic Greek the Infinitive is sometimes used after verbs as a synecdochical accusative. The Infinitives *ἀκούειν, ἀκοῦσαι, in sound*, and *ὁρᾶν, ἰδεῖν, in appearance*, especially, are used in this way. E. g.

Δοκεῖς οὖν τι διαφέρειν αὐτοὺς ἰδεῖν χάλκεως; do you think that

they differ at all in appearance from a brazier? PLAT. Rep. VI, 495 E. Compare εἰρύτερος ἰδέσθαι, II. III, 194. Ἀκοῦσαι παγκάλως ἔχει, *it is very fine in its sound.* DEM. F. I. 355, 29. Πράγματα παρέξουσιν (οἱ ἵπποι) ἐπιμέλεσθαι, *the horses will make trouble about tending.* XEN. Cyr. IV, 5, 46.

NOTE 4. The Homeric use of ὁμοῖος, *equal, like*, with the Infinitive is to be referred to the same principle. E. g.

Λευκότεροι χιόνος, θείειν δ' ἀνέμοισιν ὁμοῖοι, (*horses*) *whiter than snow, and like the winds in swiftness.* II. X, 437. Οὐ γάρ οἱ τις ὁμοῖος ἐπισπένσθαι ποσὶν ἦεν. II. XIV, 521.

§ 94. The Infinitive as genitive, dative, or accusative is very often governed by prepositions, or by adverbs used as prepositions. In this case it always takes the article τοῦ, τῷ, or τό. E. g.

Τοὺς γὰρ λόγους περὶ τοῦ τιμωρήσασθαι Φίλιππον ὁρῶ γιγνόμενους, *for I see that the speeches are made about punishing Philip.* DEM. Ol. III, 28, 5. Πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς ὅρκους ἀποδοῦναι, *before taking the oaths.* Id. Cor. 234, 6. Ἐκ τοῦ πρὸς χάριν δημηγορεῖν ἐνίοις. Id. Ol. III, 29, 18. Πρὸς τῷ μηδὲν ἐκ τῆς πρεσβείας λαβεῖν, *besides receiving nothing for the embassy.* Id. F. I. 412, 21. Ἐν τῷ πολίτην ποιεῖσθαι (Χαρίδημον), *in making Charidemus a citizen.* Id. Aristocr. 683, 22. Ἔνεκα τοῦ πλείω ποιῆσαι τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν οὐσίαν. ISOC. Demon. p. 6 A. § 19. Ἐθαυμάζετο ἐπὶ τῷ εὐθύμως ζῆν. XEN. Mem. IV, 8, 2. Ὅμως διὰ τὸ ξένος εἶναι οὐκ ἂν οἶε ἀδικηθῆναι, *on account of being a stranger.* Ib. II, 1, 15. Πάντων διαφέρων ἐφαίνετο, καὶ εἰς τὸ ταχὺ μανθάνειν ἃ δέοι καὶ εἰς τὸ καλῶς ἕκαστα ποιεῖν. Id. Cyr. I, 3, 1.

§ 95. 1. The genitive and dative of the Infinitive, *with the article*, may stand in most of the constructions belonging to those cases; as in that of the *adnominal* genitive, the genitive after *comparatives*, the genitive after verbs and adjectives, the dative of *manner*, *means*, &c., the dative after such verbs as πιστεύω and after adjectives denoting *resemblance*, &c., and sometimes in that of the genitive of *cause or motive*. E. g.

Τοῦ πιεῖν ἐπιθυμία, *the desire to drink.* THUC. VII, 84. Πόνους δὲ τοῦ ζῆν ἡδέως ἡγεμόνας νομίζετε. XEN. Cyr. I, 5, 12. Εἰς ἐλπίδα ἦλθον τοῦ ἐλεῖν (τὴν πόλιν), *i. e. hope of taking the city.* THUC. II, 56. (See § 92, 1, N. 2.) Νέοις τὸ σιγᾶν κρεῖττόν ἐστι τοῦ λαλεῖν. MENAND. Monos. 387. Παρεκάλει ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τοῦ ὡς φρονιμώτατον εἶναι. XEN. Mem. I, 2, 55. So III, 3, 11 See

§ 92, 1, N. 5. (Ἐπιμελέσθαι usually takes ὅπως with the Future Indicative, by § 45.) Ἐπέσχομεν τοῦ δακρύειν, *we ceased to weep*. PLAT. Phaed. 117 E. (See below, § 95, 2.) Καὶ γὰρ ἀήθεις τοῦ κατακούειν τινός εἰσιν, *for they are unused to obeying any one*. DEM. Ol. I, 15, 28.

Οὐδενὶ τῶν πάντων πλεόν κεκράτηκε Φίλιππος ἢ τῷ πρότερος πρὸς τοῖς πράγμασι γίγνεσθαι. Id. Chers. 92, 21. Ἀλλὰ τῷ φανερὸς εἶναι τοιοῦτος ὢν, *by making it plain that he was such a man*. XEN. Mem. I, 2, 3. Οὐ γὰρ διὰ τῷ γε κοσμίως ζῆν ἄξιον πιστεύειν, *to trust in an orderly life*. ISOC. Antid. p. 315 A. § 24. Ἴσον δὲ τῷ προστένειν. AESCH. Agam. 253. Τῷ ζῆν ἔστι τι ἐναντίον, ὥσπερ τῷ ἐργηγορέναι τὸ καθεύδειν. PLAT. Phaed. 71 C.

Μίνως τὸ ληστικὸν καθήρει, τοῦ τὰς προσόδους μᾶλλον ἰέναι αὐτῷ, *in order that greater revenues might come in*. THUC. I, 4.

NOTE. It will be seen that the nominative and accusative of the Infinitive (except the accusative after prepositions) regularly stand *without* the article; the genitive and dative regularly *with* the article. The Infinitive after the verbs included in § 92, 1, however, generally stands *without* the article, whatever case it represents; and further, whenever any word which might govern a genitive or dative of the Infinitive forms a part of an expression which is equivalent to any of the verbs of § 92, 1, the simple Infinitive may be used. (See § 92, 1, Note 2.)

2. After verbs and expressions which denote *hindrance* or *freedom* from anything, two constructions are allowed,—that of the simple Infinitive (§ 92, 1), and that of the genitive of the Infinitive with τοῦ (§ 95, 1).

Thus we can say (a) εἴργει σε τοῦτο ποιεῖν, and (b) εἴργει σε τοῦ τοῦτο ποιεῖν (both with the same meaning), *he prevents you from doing this*. As the Infinitive after such verbs can take the negative μή without affecting the sense, we have a third and a fourth form, still with the same meaning:—(c) εἴργει σε μὴ τοῦτο ποιεῖν, and (d) εἴργει σε τοῦ μὴ τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *he prevents you from doing this*. For a fifth form with the same meaning, see § 95, 3. (For the negative μή, see Note 1, a.)

If the leading verb is itself *negative* (or interrogative with a negative implied), the double negative μὴ οὐ is generally used instead of μή in the form (c) with the simple Infinitive, but seldom (or never) in the form (d) with the genitive of the Infinitive; as οὐκ εἴργει σε μὴ οὐ τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *he does not pre-*

vent you from doing this; seldom (or never) τοῦ μὴ οὐ τοῦτο ποιῆν. See also § 95, 3. (For the double negative, see Note 1, b.) E. g.

(a.) Ἐπὶ Ὀλύνθου ἀποπέμπουσιν, ὅπως εἴργωσι τοὺς ἐκείθεν ἐπιβοηθεῖν. THUC. I, 62. Εἰ τοῦτό τις εἴργει δρᾶν ὄκνος, *if any hesitation prevents you from doing this*. PLAT. Soph. 242 A. Ἄλλως δέ πως πορίζεσθαι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ὄρκους ἤδη κατέχοντας ἡμᾶς (ἡδεῖν). XEN. An. III, 1, 20. Κακὸν δὲ ποῖον εἴργε τοῦτ' ἐξιδέναί; SOPH. O. T. 129. Εὐδοκιμεῖν ἐμποδὼν σφίσιν εἶναι. PLAT. Enthyd. 305 D. Παιδὺς Φέρητος, ὃν θανεῖν ἐρρυσάμην. EUR. Alc. 11. Τὸν Φίλιππον παρελθεῖν οὐκ ἐδύναντο κωλύσαι. DEM. Pac. 62, 10. Τὴν ἰδέαν τῆς γῆς οὐδὲν με κωλύει λέγειν. PLAT. Phaedr. 108 E.

(b.) Τοῦ δὲ δραπετεῦειν δεσμοῖς ἀπείργουσι; XEN. Mem. II, 1, 16. Τὸ γὰρ ψευδόμενον φαίνεσθαι καὶ τοῦ συγγνώμης τινὸς τυγχάνειν ἐμποδὼν μάλιστα ἀνθρώποις γίνεται. Id. Cyr. III, 1, 9. Εἶπεν ὅτι κωλύσειε (ἂν) τοῦ καίειν ἐπιόντας. Id. An. I, 6, 2. Ἀπεσχόμεν τοῦ λαβεῖν τοῦ δικαίου ἔνεκα. DEM. F. L. 410, 18.

(c.) Εἴργε μὴ βλαστάνειν. PLAT. Phaedr. 251 B. Ὅπερ ἔσχε μὴ τὴν Πελοπόννησον πορθεῖν, *which prevented him from ravaging the Peloponnesus*. THUC. I, 73. Διεκώλυσε μὴ διαφθεῖραι. Id. III, 49. Ἐπεγένετο κωλύματα μὴ αὐξηθῆναι. Id. I, 16. (§ 92, 1, N. 2.) Θνητοὺς γ' ἔπαυσα μὴ προσδέρεσθαι μόρον. AESCH. Prom. 248. Τοῦμὸν φυλάξει σ' ὄνομα μὴ πάσχειν κακῶς. SOPH. O. C. 667.

Οὐ γὰρ ἔστι Ἑλλησι οὐδεμία ἔκδυσις μὴ οὐ δόντας λόγον εἶναι σοὺς δούλους. IDOT. VIII, 100. Πέμπουσιν κήρυκα, ὑποδεξάμενοι σχήσειν τὸν Σπαρτιήτην μὴ ἐξιέναι. . . . Οὐ δυνατοὶ αὐτὴν ἴσχειν εἰσὶ Ἀργεῖοι μὴ οὐκ ἐξιέναι. Id. IX, 12. Ὅστε ξένον γ' ἂν οὐδέν' ὄνθ', ὥσπερ σὺ νῦν, ὑπεκτραποῖμην μὴ οὐ συνεκσώξειν. SOPH. O. C. 565. Τί ἐμποδὼν μὴ οὐχὶ ὑβριζομένου ἀποθανεῖν; XEN. An. III, 1, 13. (Τί ἐμποδὼν here implies οὐδὲν ἐμποδὼν.) Τίνος ἂν δέοιο μὴ οὐχὶ πάμπαν εὐδαίμων εἶναι; *what would hinder you from being perfectly happy?* Id. Hell. IV, 1, 36. So ARIST. Ran. 695.

(d.) Πᾶς γὰρ ἀσκὸς δύο ἄνδρας ἔξει τοῦ μὴ καταδύναί. i. e. *will keep two men from sinking*. XEN. An. III, 5, 11. Ὅν οὐδεὶς πορροθεῖς τοῦ μὴ πλέον ἔχειν ἀπετράπετο. THUC. I, 76. Εἰ δ' ἄρ' ἐμποδὼν τι αὐτῷ ἐγένετο τοῦ μὴ εὐθὺς τότε δικάσασθαι. DEM. Aratur. 900, 22. Ἠπίστατο τὴν πόλιν μικρὸν ἀπολιπούσαν τοῦ μὴ ταῖς ἐσχάταις συμφοραῖς περιπεσεῖν. ISOC. Antid. p. 73, § 122. Ἀποσοφούντες ἂν ἐμποδὼν γίγνοιτο τοῦ μὴ ὁρᾶν αὐτοὺς τὸ ὅλον στράτευμα. XEN. Cyr. II, 4, 23. Εἰδότες ὅτι ἐν ἀσφαλείᾳ εἰσι τοῦ μηδὲν παθεῖν. Ib. III, 3, 31. (See THUC. VI, 18, quoted § 92, 1, N. 2.) Τοῦ δὲ μὴ (κακῶς) πάσχειν αὐτοὶ πᾶσαν ἀδειαν ἤγετε, *you were entirely free from fear of suffering harm*. DEM. F. L. 387, 17. Ἐναύσης οὐδεμῶς ἔτ' ἀποστροφῆς τοῦ μὴ τὰ χρήματ' ἔχειν ὑμᾶς, *there being no longer any escape from the conclusion that you have taken bribes*. Id. Timoc. 702, 26.

REMARK. The last two examples show that *μή* can be joined with the genitive of the Infinitive, even after nouns implying *hindrance* or *freedom*. In the two following the addition of *μή* is more peculiar:—

Ἡ ἀπορία τοῦ μή ἡσυχάζειν, *the inability to rest*. THUC. II, 49.
Ἡ τοῦ μή ξυμπλεῖν ἀπιστία, *the distrust of sailing with them; i. e. the unwillingness to sail, caused by distrust*. ID. III, 75.

NOTE 1. (a.) The use of *μή* with the Infinitive in the forms *c* and *d* is to be referred to the general principle, by which the Infinitive after all verbs expressing a *negative* idea (as those of *denying*, *distrusting*, *concealing*, *forbidding*, &c.) can always take the negative *μή*, to strengthen the negation implied in the leading verb. Thus we say ἀρνείται μή ἀληθές εἶναι τοῦτο, *he denies that this is true*; ἀπηγόρευε μηδένα τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *he forbade any one to do this*. This negative may, however, be omitted without affecting the sense.

(b.) An Infinitive which *for any reason* would regularly take *μή* (either affecting the Infinitive itself, as an ordinary negative, or strengthening a preceding negation, as in the case just mentioned) generally takes the double negative *μή οὐ*, if the verb on which it depends is *itself* negative. Thus the example given above, ἀρνείται μή ἀληθές εἶναι τοῦτο, becomes, if we negative the leading verb, οὐκ ἀρνείται μή οὐκ ἀληθές εἶναι τοῦτο, *he does not deny that this is true*. So, when the original *μή* really negatives the Infinitive, as in δίκαιόν ἐστι μή τοῦτον ἀφίεναι, *it is just not to acquit him*,—if we negative the leading verb, we shall have οὐ δίκαιόν ἐστι μή οὐ τοῦτον ἀφίεναι, *it is not just not to acquit him*. See PLAT. Rep. IV, 427 E, ὡς οὐχ ὁσιόν σοι ὄν μή οὐ βοηθεῖν δικαιοσύνη. This applies also to the Infinitive with *τό* (§ 95, 3).

Μή οὐ is occasionally used before participles, and even before nouns, on the same principle, to express an *exception* to a statement containing a negative; as in PLAT. Lys. 210 D, οὐκ ἄρα ἐστὶ φίλου τῷ φιλοῦντι οὐδέν μή οὐκ ἀντιφιλοῦν, *unless it loves in return*. Here, if the negatives (*οὐκ* and *οὐδέν*) were removed from the leading verb, we should have simply *μή ἀντιφιλοῦν* (with the same meaning), which would be the ordinary form with the participle, even after a negative. So *μή οὐκ ἐόντες*, *unless they were*. IDOT. VI, 9. So in DEM. F. L. 379, 7, we find αἱ τε πόλεις πολλαὶ καὶ χαλεπαὶ λαβεῖν, καὶ οὐ χρόνῳ καὶ πολιορκίᾳ, *the cities were many and difficult (= not easy) to capture, except by long siege*.

REMARK. Μὴ οὐ is very rarely found where the leading verb does not at least *imply* a negative. In XEN. An. II, 3, 11, ὥστε πᾶσιν αἰσχύνῃν εἶναι μὴ οὐ συσπουδάζειν, so that all were ashamed *not* to join heartily in the work, the double negative may be explained by the negative idea of *unwillingness* implied in αἰσχύνῃν. See also the last example under N. 1.

NOTE 2. When the leading verb expressing *hindrance*, &c. is itself negative, the form *c*, μὴ οὐ with the Infinitive, is the most common. The form *a*, the Infinitive alone, is allowed after negative (as well as affirmative) verbs, as in DEM. Pac. 62, 10, quoted above under *a*. The form *b*, τοῦ with the Infinitive (without μὴ), is not used after negative verbs, according to Madvig.

Even in the form *c*, we sometimes find the single negative μὴ (for μὴ οὐ), even when the leading verb is negative. E. g.

Οὐ πολὺν χρόνον μ' ἐπέσχον μὴ με ναυστολεῖν ταχύ. SOPH. Phil. 349. Οὐδέ μ' ὄμματος φρουρὰν παρήλθε, τόνδε μὴ λεύσσειν στόλον. ID. Trach. 226. (Μὴ οὐ here is a conjecture.)

3. The Infinitive preceded by τὸ μὴ is sometimes used after verbs and expressions denoting *hindrance*, and also after all expressions which even imply *prevention*, *omission*, or *denial*.

This Infinitive with τὸ is less closely connected than the simple Infinitive with the leading verb, and often denotes merely the *result* of the *prevention* or *omission* of anything: it may generally be explained as an accusative by *synecdoche*, or sometimes as an object accusative (as after verbs of *denial*). Here, as before (§ 95, 2), if the leading verb is itself negative, or interrogative with a negative implied, μὴ οὐ is generally used instead of μὴ. E. g.

Τὸν πλεῖστον ὄμιλον εἶργον τὸ μὴ προεξιόντας τῶν ὅπλων τὰ ἐγγὺς τῆς πόλεως κακουργεῖν, they prevented them from injuring, &c. THUC. III, 1. (This adds a *fifth* expression, εἶργει σε τὸ μὴ τοῖτο ποιεῖν, to the four already given (§ 95, 2) as equivalents of the English, *he prevents you from doing this*.) Τὸ δὲ μὴ λεηλατῆσαι τὴν πόλιν ἔσχε τόδε. HDT. V, 101. Φόβος τε ξυγγενὴς τὸ μὴ ἀδικεῖν σχήσει. AESCH. Eum. 691. Οὗτοί εἰσιν μόνοι ἐτι ἡμῖν ἐμποδῶν τὸ μὴ ἤδη εἶναι ἔνθα πάσαι ἐσπένδομεν. XEN. An. IV, 8, 11. Οὐκ ἀπεσχόμεν τὸ μὴ οὐκ ἐπὶ τοῦτο ἐλθεῖν. PLAT. Rep. I, 354 B. Οὐκ ἀπέσχοντο οὐδ' ἀπὸ τῶν φίλων τὸ μὴ οὐχὶ πλεονεκτεῖν αὐτῶν πειρᾶσθαι. XEN. Cyr. I, 6, 32. Κίμωνα παρὰ τρεῖς ἀφείσαν ψήφους τὸ μὴ θανάτῳ ζημιῶσαι. i. e. they allowed Cimon by three votes to escape the punishment of death. DEM. Aristocr. 688, 27.

Τρεῖς δὲ μόναι ψῆφοι διήνεγκαν τὸ μὴ θανάτου τιμῆσαι, *and only three votes prevented you from condemning him to death* (lit. *made the difference as to condemning, &c.*). Ib. 676, 12. Φόβος γὰρ ἀνθ' ὕπνου παραστατεῖ τὸ μὴ βεβαίως βλέφαρα συμβαλεῖν ὑπνω, i. e. *stands by to prevent my closing my eyes, &c.* AESCH. Agam. 15.

Ἐπεὶ προθυμέισθ', οὐκ ἐναντιώσομαι τὸ μὴ οὐ γεγωνεῖν πᾶν ὅσον προσχρήσετε. Id. Prom. 786. Οὐδὲν γὰρ αἰτῶ ταῦτ' ἐπαρκέσει τὸ μὴ οὐ πεσεῖν ἀτίμως πτώματ' οὐκ ἀνασχετά, *this will not suffice to prevent him from falling, &c.* Ib. 918. Λείπει μὲν οὐδ' ἅ πρόσθεν ἥδεμεν τὸ μὴ οὐ βαρύστον' εἶναι, *they lack nothing of being heavily grievous.* SOPH. O. T. 1232. Μήτοι, κασιγνήτη, μ' ἀτιμάσης τὸ μὴ οὐ θανεῖν τε σὺν σοί, τὸν θανόντα θ' ἀγνίσαι, *do not think me too mean to die with thee, &c.* Id. Ant. 544. (Cf. Ant. 22, and Oed. Col. 49.) Αὐτὴν μὲν οὐ μισοῦντ' ἐκέλευν τὴν πόλιν τὸ μὴ οὐ μεγάλην εἶναι φύσει κευδαίμονα, i. e. *not grudging the city its right to be great, &c.* ARIST. Av. 36. (Compare μίσσησέν μιν κυσὶ κύρμα γενέσθαι, Il. XVII, 272.) Οὐδεὶς ἀντιλέγει τὸ μὴ οὐ λέξειν ὅ τι ἕκαστος ἡγείται πλείστου ἄξιον ἐπίστασθαι, *no one objects to saying, &c.* XEN. Conv. III, 3. Οὐδ' ἄρρησις ἔστιν αὐτοῖς τὸ μὴ ταῖθ' ὑπὲρ Φιλίππου πράττειν, *it is not even possible for them to deny that they did these things in the interest of Philip.* DEM. F. L. 392, 13. Μὴ παρῆς τὸ μὴ οὐ φράσαι, *do not omit to speak of it.* SOPH. O. T. 283. Οὐδένα δύνασθαι κρύπτειν τὸ μὴ οὐ χ' ἡδέως ἂν καὶ ὤμων ἐσθίειν αὐτῶν, *that no one is able to prevent people from knowing that he would gladly even eat some of them raw.* XEN. Hell. III, 3, 6.

For μὴ οὐ, see § 95, 2, Note 1, (b.).

NOTE. The simple negative form τὸ μὴ is sometimes found even when the leading verb is negative, where regularly τὸ μὴ οὐ would be used. This is more common here than in the corresponding case, § 95, 2, Note 2. E. g.

Οὐκ ἂν ἐσχόμην τὸ μὴ ἀποκλῆσαι τοῖμὲν ἄθλιον δέμας. SOPH. O. T. 1387. Τίς σοῦ ἀπελείφθη τὸ μὴ σοὶ ἀκολουθεῖν; XEN. Cyr. V, 1, 25. Ἄκος δ' οὐδὲν ἐπήρκεσαν τὸ μὴ πόλιν μὲν ὥσπερ οὖν ἔχει παθεῖν. AESCH. Agam. 1170. Οὐκ ὦν ἔστι μηχανὴ οὐδεμία τὸ μὴ κείνον ἐπιβουλεύειν ἐμοί. IDT. I, 209. Καὶ φημὶ δρᾶσαι, κοῦκ ἀπαρνοῦμαι τὸ μὴ. SOPH. Ant. 443. See also DEM. F. L. 392, 13, quoted above.

REMARK. Τὸ μὴ and τοῦ μὴ can of course be used with the Infinitive as ordinary negatives. See examples, § 92, 1, N. 3. So ἐπιμελεῖται τοῦ μὴ δίκην δοῦναι.

§ 96. The Infinitive with its subject, object, or other adjuncts (sometimes including dependent verbs) may be preceded by the article τό, the whole sentence standing as a single noun, either as the subject or object of a

verb, as the object of a preposition, or in apposition with a pronoun like *τοῦτο*. E. g.

Τὸ μὲν γὰρ πολλὰ ἀπολωλέκειναι κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀμελείας ἂν τις θείη δικαίως· τὸ δὲ μήτε πάλαι τοῦτο πεπονθέναι, πεφηνέναι τέ τινα ἡμῖν συμμαχίαν τούτων ἀντίρροπον, ἂν βουλόμεθα χρῆσθαι, τῆς τᾶρ' ἐκείνων εὐνοίας εὐεργέτημ' ἂν ἔγωγε θείην. DEM. OL. I, 12, 3.

§ 97. The Infinitive without the article often expresses a *purpose*. E. g.

Τρώων ἄνδρα ἕκαστον (εἰ) ἐλοίμεθα οἰνοχοεῦειν, *if we should choose every man of the Trojans to be our cup-bearer*. II. II, 127. So II. I, 338, οὓς ἄγειν, and II, 107, 108. Τὴν ἐξ Ἀρείου πάγου βουλὴν ἐπέστησαν ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τῆς εὐκοσμίας, i. e. *for the purpose of guarding good order*. ISOC. AREOP. p. 117 B. § 37. Οἱ ἄρχοντες, οὓς ὑμεῖς εἵλεσθε ἄρχειν μου, *the rulers, whom you chose to rule me*. PLAT. APOL. 28 E. Δέκα δὲ τῶν νεῶν προὔπεμψαν ἐς τὸν μέγαν λιμένα πλεῦσαι τε καὶ κατασκέψασθαι, καὶ κηρῦξαι, κ.τ.λ., i. e. *they sent them to sail and examine, and to proclaim, &c.* THUC. VI, 50. Τοὺς ἰππέας παρίχοντο Πελοποννησίοις ξυστρατεῦειν. Id. II, 12. Ξυνέβησαν τοῖς Πλαταιεῦσι παραδοῦναι σφᾶς αὐτοὺς καὶ τὰ ὅπλα, χρήσασθαι ὃ τι ἂν βούλωνται, i. e. *to do with them whatever they pleased*. Id. II, 4. (For παραδοῦναι see § 92, 1, N. 1.) Εἰ βουλοίμεθα τῷ ἐπιτρέψαι ἢ παιῖδας παιδεῦσαι, ἢ χρήματα διασῶσαι, κ.τ.λ., *if we should wish to intrust to any one either children to instruct or money to keep, &c.* XEN. MEM. I, 5, 2. Αἱ γυναῖκες πιεῖν φέρουσαι, *the women bringing them (something) to drink*. XEN. HELL. VII, 2, 9. Τὴν πόλιν καὶ τὴν ἄκραν φυλάττειν αὐτοῖς παρέδωκαν, *they delivered the city and the citadel to them to guard*. Ib. IV, 4, 15. Ὅς γὰρ ἂν ὑμᾶς λάθῃ, τοῦτον ἀφίετε τοῖς θεοῖς κολάζειν. DEM. F. I. 363, 25.

Ἡ θίρα ἢ ἐμὴ ἀνέφκτο εἰσιέναι τῷ δεομένῳ τι ἐμοῦ. XEN. HELL. V, 1, 14. Οὐκ εἶχον ἀργύριον ἐπισιτίζεισθαι, *they had no money to buy provisions*. Id. AN. VII, 1, 7. Ἀριστάρχω ἔδοτε ἡμέραν ἀπολογήσασθαι, i. e. *a day to defend himself in*. Id. HELL. I, 7, 28. Ἐμάντων σοι ἐμμελετᾶν παρέχειν οὐ πᾶν δέδοκται. PLAT. PHAEDR. 228 E. Οἷς ἐνευδαιμονῆσαι τε ὁ βίος ὁμοίως καὶ ἐντελευτῆσαι ξυνεμετρήθη. THUC. II, 44.

Here, as in § 93, 2, the Infinitive is generally active or middle, even where the passive would seem more natural; as *κτανεῖν ἐμοῖν* ἔδωσαν, *they gave her to me to be killed*. EUR. TROAD. 874.

NOTE 1. The Infinitive is thus used in prose chiefly after verbs signifying *to choose or appoint, to give or take* (the Infinitive denoting the purpose for which anything is given or taken), and also after those signifying *to send or bring*. (See the examples.) With the last class the Future Participle is more common. A final clause after *ἵνα*, &c. may also be used in the same sense.

In poetry the same construction sometimes occurs after verbs of *motion*, like εἶμι, ἦκω, and βαίνω; and also after εἰμί, ἔπειμι, and πάρεμι (*to be, to be at hand*), expressed or understood. E. g.

Ἀλλά τις εἶη εἰπεῖν Ἀτρεΐδῃ Ἀγαμέμνονι, ποιμένι λαῶν, *but let some one go to tell Agamemnon*. Od. XIV, 496. (See Passow, s. v. εἶμι.) Βῆ δὲ θέειν, *and he started to run*. Il. II, 182. Οὐδέ τις ἔστιν ἀρὴν καὶ λοιγὸν ἀμύναι, *nor is there any one to keep off curse and ruin*. Il. XXIV, 489. Πολλοὶ δ' αὖ σοὶ Ἀχαιοὶ ἐναιρέμεν ὄν κε δύνῃαι, i. e. *for you to slay whomsoever you can*. Il. VI, 229. Οὐ γὰρ ἐπ' ἀνὴρ οἶος Ὀδυσσεὺς ἔσκεν, ἀρὴν ἀπὸ δίκου ἀμύναι. Od. II, 59. Μανθάνειν γὰρ ἦκομεν, *for we are come to learn*. SOPH. O. C. 12. Πλόκαμος ὅδε καταστέφειν, *here is my hair for you to wreath*. EUR. Iph. Aul. 1478.

Even in prose, the Infinitive occasionally occurs after εἰμί in this sense, as in PLAT. Phaedr. 229 A, ἐκεῖ πόα καθίζεσθαι (*sc. ἔστιν*), *there is grass to sit upon*. See also XEN. AN. II, 1, 6, πολλαὶ δὲ καὶ πέλται καὶ ἄμαξαι ἦσαν φέρεσθαι ἔρμησι, i. e. *they were left to be carried away (for fuel)*. See the last examples under § 97.

NOTE 2. As ὥστε is seldom used in Homer in its sense of *so as* (§ 98, N. 3), the simple Infinitive may there express a *result* as well as a *purpose*. It thus follows many expressions which would not allow it in Attic Greek. E. g.

Τίς τ' ἄρ σφωε θεῶν ἔριδι ξυνέηκε μάχεσθαι; i. e. *who brought them into conflict, so as to contend?* Il. I, 8. So I, 151; and ἐριζέμεναι, II, 214. Ἀλλ' ὅτε δὴ κοίλῃ νηὺς ἤχθετο τοῖσι νέεσθαι, *when now their ship was loaded, so as (to be ready) to start*. Od. XV, 457. Χέριβα δ' ἀμφίπολος προχόῳ ἐπέχευε φέρουσα, . . . νίψασθαι, i. e. *for washing*. Od. I, 138.

NOTE 3. In Homer and Herodotus we often find εἶναι introduced to denote a *purpose*, where in Attic Greek a simple noun, as a predicate accusative or nominative, connected directly with the leading verb, would be sufficient. E. g.

Θῶρηκα, τὸν ποτέ οἱ Κινύρης δῶκε ξεινήμιον εἶναι, i. e. *which they gave him as a present* (lit. *to be a present*). Il. XI, 20. Λίθον εἴλετο χεῖρὶ παχείῃ, τὸν ῥ' ἄνδρες πρότεροι θέσαν ἔμμεναι οὐρον ἀρούρης, *which they had placed (to be) as a boundary*. Il. XXI, 405. Δαρκεῖος καταστήσας Ἀραφέρνηα ὑπαρχον εἶναι Σαρδίῳ. HDt. V, 25.

So in the passive construction: —Γέλων ἀπεδέχθη πάσης τῆς ὑππου εἶναι ὑπαρχος. HDt. VII, 154.

Even in Attic prose this use of εἶναι sometimes occurs; as in DEM. Aph. III, 852, 12, Μνημονεύουσιν ἀφελέντα τοῦτον ἐλεύθερον εἶναι τότε, *they remember his having been then manumitted so as to be a free-man*. So ἀφίησιν αὐτὰ δημόσια εἶναι, THUC. II, 13.

NOTE 4. The use of the Infinitive after the comparative *an* ἢ, *than*, is to be referred to this principle. E. g.

Ἡ ἀνθρωπίνη φύσις ἀσθενεστέρα ἢ λαβεῖν τέχνην ὧν ἂν ᾗ ἄπειρος, *human nature is too weak to acquire the art of those things of which it has no experience.* PLAT. Theaet. 149 C. Τὸ γὰρ νόσημα μείζον ἢ φέρειν, i. e. *too great to bear.* SOPH. O. T. 1293.

ὥστε is sometimes expressed before this Infinitive; as in XEN. Hell. IV, 8, 23, Ἡσθούτο αὐτὸν ἐλάττω ἔχοντα δύναμιν ἢ ὥστε τοὺς φίλους ὠφελεῖν.

So, rarely, ὡς in the sense of ὥστε (§ 98, Note 1); as in Cyr. VI, 4, 17, Τὰς ἀσπίδας μείζους ἔχουσιν ἢ ὡς ποιεῖν τι καὶ ὀρᾶν.

§ 98. 1. The Infinitive is used after ὥστε, *so that*, *so as*, to express a *result*. E. g.

Ἦν πεπαιδευμένος οὕτως ὥστε πᾶν μικρὰ κεκτημένος πᾶν ῥαδίως ἔχειν ἀκούντα, *he had been educated so as very easily to have enough, although he possessed very little.* XEN. Mem. I, 2, 1. Φῦναι δὲ ὁ Κῦρος λέγεται φιλοτιμώτατος. ὥστε πάντα μὲν πόνον ἀνατλήναι, πάντα δὲ κίνδυνον ὑπομεῖναι. Id. Cyr. I, 2, 1. Ἀπέχρη γὰρ ἂν τοῖς γνωσθεῖσιν ἐμμένειν. ὥστε μηδεμίαν ἡμῖν εἶναι πρὸς τοῦτον διαφοράν, *so that we should have no difference with him.* DEM. Aph. I, 813, 4. Πολλὰς ἐλπίδας ἔχω ἀρκούντως ἐρεῖν, ὥστε ὑμᾶς μήτ' ἀπολειφθῆναι τῶν πραγμάτων μήτ' ἀγνοῆσαι, κ.τ.λ. Id. 813, 20. Τοιοῦτον ἔθος ἡμῖν παρέδωκεν, ὥστε . . . συνελθεῖν ἐς ταῦτόν. ISOC. Pan. p. 49 B. § 43. See Pan. § 45, τοσοῦτόν ἐστιν, ὥστε καὶ τοῦτο περιελῆσθαι. Πείσομαι γὰρ οὐ τοσοῦτον οὐδὲν ὥστε μὴ οὐ καλῶς θανεῖν. SOPH. Ant. 97. Σὺ δὲ σχολάζεις, ὥστε θαυμάζειν ἐμέ. EUR. Hec. 730. Μηδ' ἡ βία σε μηδαμῶς νικησάτω τοσόνδε μισεῖν ὥστε τὴν δίκην πατεῖν. SOPH. Aj. 1335. Δόγων καὶ βουλευμάτων κοινωνὸν ἂν σε ποιοῖντο, ὥστε μηδὲ ἓν σε λεληθέναι ὧν βουλόμεσθαι εἰδέναι, *so that not a single one of the things we wish to know should have escaped you.* XEN. Cyr. VI, 1, 40. (See § 18, 3, b.) Δυσκολία καὶ μανία πολλάκις εἰς τὴν διάνοιαν ἐμπίπτουσιν οὕτως ὥστε καὶ τὰς ἐπιστήμας ἐκβάλλειν. Id. Mem. III, 12, 6. Ἀποληφθέντος, ὥστε μὴ ἂν δύνασθαι ἐπανελθεῖν οὔκαδε. DEM. Chers. 98, 25. (For δύνασθαι ἂν see N. 4.)

See § 93, 1, Note 1, last example.

REMARK. When the result is to be stated as an independent fact, rather than merely *as a result*, the Indicative is used after ὥστε. See § 65, 3.

2. The Infinitive after ὥστε sometimes denotes a *condition*, being equivalent to the Infinitive after ἐφ' ᾧ or ἐφ' ᾧ τε; and sometimes it denotes a *purpose*, like a final cause. E. g.

Ποιοῦνται ὁμολογίαν πρὸς Πάχητα, ὥστε Ἀθηναίοις ἐξεῖναι βου-

λεῦσαι περὶ τῶν Μυτιληναίων, *they make a treaty with Paches, to the effect that the Athenians shall be permitted, &c.* THUC. III, 28. (See THUC. III, 114, *ξυμμαχίαν ἐποίησαντο ἐπὶ τοῖσδε, ὥστε . . . μὴ στρατεύειν.*) Ἐξὺν αὐτοῖς τῶν λοιπῶν ἄρχειν Ἑλλήνων, ὥστ' αὐτοὺς ὑπακούειν βασιλεῖ, *it being in their power to rule the rest of the Greeks, on condition that they should themselves serve the King.* DEM. Phil. II, 68, 12. Πᾶν ποιοῦσιν, ὥστε δίκην μὴ διδόναι, *they do everything, so that they may not suffer punishment.* PLAT. Gorg. 479 C. (Here ἵνα μὴ with the Subjunctive might have been used.) Ἐβουλήθησαν Ἐλευσίνα ἐξιδιώσασθαι, ὥστε εἶναι σφίσι καταφυγὴν, εἰ δεήσει. XEN. Hell. II, 4, 8. Μηχαναὶ πολλαὶ εἰσιν, ὥστε διαφεύγειν θάνατον, *there are many devices for escaping death.* PLAT. Apol. 39 A. (See § 92, 1, N. 2.)

NOTE 1. ὥς is sometimes used with the Infinitive instead of ὥστε; generally, however, to express a *result*, seldom to express a *purpose*. E. g.

Υψηλὸν δὲ οὕτω δὴ τι λέγεται, ὥς τὰς κορυφὰς αὐτοῦ οὐχ οἶά τε εἶναι ἰδέσθαι, *and it (the mountain) is said to be so high, that it is not possible to see its summits.* HDT. IV, 184. Ναυμαχῆσαντες ἀντίπαλα μὲν καὶ ὥς αὐτοὺς ἐκατέρους ἀξιοῦν νικᾶν, *and so that each thought themselves the victors.* THUC. VII, 34. Βιασόμεθα, ὥς πλεονεκτοῦντες δίκην μὴ διδόναι. PLAT. Rep. II, 365 D. Ὁ ποταμὸς τοσοῦτος τὸ βάθος, ὥς μηδὲ τὰ δόρατα ὑπερέχειν τοῦ βάθους. XEN. An. III, 5, 7. So II, 3, 10. Φέρονται κώθωνα, ὥς ἀπὸ τοῦ ποταμοῦ ἀρύσασθαι. Id. Cyr. I, 2, 8. Ἐν τῷ ἀσφαλεῖ ἤδη ἔσονται, ὥς μηδὲν ἂν ἔτι κακὸν παθεῖν. Ib. VIII, 7, 27. (For παθεῖν ἂν see N. 4.)

NOTE 2. The Infinitive with ὥστε or ὥς is sometimes used where we should expect a simple Infinitive, either after the adjectives and adverbs included in § 93, or after the verbs and expressions which take the Infinitive of the object (§ 92, 1, and N. 2); and rarely after those which regularly take an Infinitive as the subject (§ 91). E. g.

Πότερα παῖδες εἰσι φρονιμώτεροι ὥστε μαθεῖν τὰ φραζόμενα ἢ ἄνδρες; i. e. *are they wiser than men in learning, &c.?* XEN. Cyr. IV, 3, 11. Ὀλίγοι ἐσμέν ὥς ἐγκρατεῖς εἶναι αὐτῶν. Ib. IV, 5, 15. (Cf. ὀλίγοι ἀμύνειν, *too few to make a defence.* THUC. I, 50.) Ψυχρὸν (ἐστὶ τὸ ὕδωρ) ὥστε λούσασθαι, *the water is cold for bathing.* XEN. Mem. III, 13, 3. (Cf. λούσασθαι ψυχρότερον, and θερμότερον πιεῖν, in the same section.) Ψηφισάμενοι αὐτοὶ πρῶτοι ὥστε πάση προθυμίᾳ ἀμύνειν, *having voted to defend them, &c.* THUC. VI, 88. Εἰς ἀνάγκην καθέσταμεν ὥστε κινδυνεύειν. ISOC. Archid. p. 126 C. § 51. (See § 92, 1, N. 2.) So δύναμιν ὥστε ἐγγενέσθαι, PLAT. Rep. IV, 433 B. Ἐλθόντες πρὸς αὐτοὺς πείθουσιν ὥστε μετὰ σφῶν Ἀργεὶ ἐπιχειρῆσαι. THUC. III, 102. (In the same chapter, πείθει Ἀκαρνᾶνας βοηθῆσαι Ναυπάκτῳ.)

Πάνυ μοι ἐμέλησεν ὥστε εἰδέναι, *it concerned me very much to*

know. XEN. Cyr. VI, 3, 19. 'Αδύνατον ὑμῖν ᾧστε Πρωταγόρου τοῦδε σοφώτερόν τινα ἐλέσθαι. PLAT. Prot. 338 C. So XEN. Mem. I, 3, 6.

NOTE 3. In Homer ᾧστε is generally used like ᾧσπερ, in the sense of *as*. It occurs with the Infinitive, in the sense of *so as*, only twice: Il. IX, 42; Od. XVII, 21. 'Ως, *so as, so that*, is not found in Homer, who generally uses the simple Infinitive where later writers would insert ᾧστε or ᾧς. (See § 97, N. 2.)

NOTE 4. The Infinitive after ᾧστε may take the adverb ἄν to form an apodosis, whenever an Indicative or Optative, if used in the place of the Infinitive, would have required an ἄν. (See § 65, 3, Note.) The Infinitive with ἄν here, as in indirect quotations, follows the general rule stated in § 41. (See example in § 41, N. 4; and the last examples under § 98, 1 and § 98, 2, N. 1.)

NOTE 5. It will be seen that the Present and Aorist are the tenses of the Infinitive regularly used after ᾧστε. For the perfect see § 18, 3, and Note; and for the Future, § 27, N. 2 (b).

§ 99. The Infinitive is used after ἐφ' ᾧ and ἐφ' ᾧτε, *on condition that, for the purpose of*. E. g.

Εἶπεν ὅτι σπείσασθαι βούλοιο, ἐφ' ᾧ μήτε αὐτὸς τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἀδικεῖν μήτε ἐκείνους καίειν τὰς οἰκίας, λαμβάνειν τε τὰ τιμήδεια ὅσων δέοντο. XEN. An. IV, 4, 6. Πῶς ἂν οὗτος ἐθέλοι τὰ ἀλλότρια ἀποστερεῖν ἐφ' ᾧ κακὸς ὁδοξὸς εἶναι; Id. Ages. IV, 1. 'Αφίμεν σε, ἐπὶ τούτῳ μέντοι, ἐφ' ᾧτε μηκέτι φιλοσοφεῖν. PLAT. Apol. 29 C. Αἰρεθέντες ἐφ' ᾧτε ξυγγράψαι νόμους, καθ' οὓσιν αὖτε πολιτεύσονται. XEN. Hell. II, 3, 11. (For πολιτεύσονται see § 65, 1, N. 1) 'Εφ' ᾧτε βοηθήσειν. AESCHIN. Cor. § 114. See § 27, N. 2 (b).

For the Future Indicative after ἐφ' ᾧ and ἐφ' ᾧτε, especially in Herodotus and Thucydides, see § 65, 2.

§ 100. The Infinitive may stand *absolutely* in parenthetical phrases, sometimes alone, but generally preceded by ὥς or ὅσον. E. g.

Τὸ Δέλτα ἐστὶ κατάρρυτον τε καὶ νεωστὶ. ὥς λόγῳ εἰπεῖν, ἀναφερνός, i. e. *recently, so to speak*. HDT. II, 15. (This expression ὥς λόγῳ εἰπεῖν is peculiar to Herodotus.) Καὶ ὥς ἐμὲ εὖ μεμνήσθαι, τὰ ὁ ἐρμηνεύς μοι ἐπιλεγόμενος τὰ γράμματα ἔφη, *as I will remember, &c.* Id. II, 125. 'Ως μὲν νυν ἐν ἐλαχίστῳ δηλώσαι, πᾶν εἴρηται. . . . ὥς δὲ ἐν πλείονι λόγῳ δηλώσαι, ᾧδε ἔχει. Id. II, 25. Μετὰ δέ, οὐ πολλῷ λόγῳ εἰπεῖν, χρόνος διέφυ. Id. I, 61. Καὶ ἔργον, ὥς ἔπος εἰπεῖν. ἢ αὐθενὸς προσδίδονται ἢ βραχείας πᾶν. PLAT. Gorg. 450 D. 'Ως δὲ συντόμως εἰπεῖν, *to speak concisely*. XEN. Oec. XI, 19. 'Ως δὲ συνελόντι εἰπεῖν. Id. Mem. III, 8, 2.

Χῶρος δ' ὅδ' ἱρὸς, ὥς ἀπεικάζει. SOPH. O. C. 16. Καὶ τὸ ξύμπαν εἰπεῖν. THUC. I, 133. (So VI, 82, ἐς τὸ ἀκριβὲς εἰπεῖν.) Ὡς μικρὸν μεγάλῳ εἰκάζει. Id. IV, 36. Ὡς γ' ἐμοὶ χρῆσθαι κριτῇ. EUR. Alc. 801. Ὡς πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰρησθαι, i. e. *between us*. PLAT. Rep. X, 595 B. Οὐδ' ἐγὼ ψέγω τοὺτους. Ὡς γε διακόνους εἶναι πόλεως. PLAT. Gorg. 517 B. Ὅσον γέ με εἰδέειναι, *at least as far as I know*. Id. Theaet. 145 A.

So ὥς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖν or ἐμοὶ δοκεῖν, like ὥς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ, *as it seems to me*; ὀλίγου δεῖν, *to want little*, i. e. *almost*. (See N. 1.)

REMARK. The force of ὥς in this construction can hardly be expressed in English, although it resembles that of ὥς used for ὥστε in § 98, 2, Note 1. That it is not a demonstrative, as might be supposed from the translation of ὥς εἰπεῖν, *so to speak*, is plain from such expressions as ὥς συντόμως εἰπεῖν, *to speak concisely*.

NOTE 1. In the phrase ὀλίγου δεῖν (lit. *to want little*), *little short of, almost*, δεῖν is often omitted, so that the genitive ὀλίγου stands alone in the sense of *almost*. E. g.

Ὀλίγου φροῦδος γεγένημαι, *I am almost gone*. ARIST. Nub. 722. The full form is found at the beginning of DEM. Phil. III, —Πολλῶν λόγων γιγνομένων ὀλίγου δεῖν καθ' ἐκάστην ἐκκλησίαν, i. e. *in almost every meeting*.

NOTE 2. In the phrase ἐκὼν εἶναι (sometimes τὸ ἐκὼν εἶναι), *willing or willingly*, εἶναι appears to be superfluous: the phrase is used chiefly in *negative* sentences. Εἶναι appears superfluous also in such expressions as τὸ νῦν εἶναι, *at present*, τὸ τήμερον εἶναι, *to-day*, and τὸ ἐπ' ἐκείνοις εἶναι, *as far as depends on them*. E. g.

Ἐκὼν γὰρ εἶναι οὐδὲν ψεύσομαι, *willingly I will tell no falsehood*. PLAT. Symp. 215 A. Οὐκ ὥμην γε κατ' ἀρχὰς ὑπὸ σοῦ ἐκόντος εἶναι ἐξαπατηθήσεσθαι. Id. Gorg. 499 C. (Ἀνάγκη ἔχειν) τὴν ἀψεύδειαν καὶ τὸ ἐκόντας εἶναι μηδαμῇ προσδέχεσθαι τὸ ψεῦδος. Id. Rep. VI, 485 C. Ἀπόχρη μοι τὸ νῦν εἶναι ταῦτ' εἰρηκέναι. ISOC. Antid. p. 119, § 270. Τὸ ἐπ' ἐκείνοις εἶναι ἀπωλώλειτε. XEN. Hell. III, 5, 9. Τὸ μὲν τήμερον εἶναι χρῆσασθαι αὐτῇ, *to use it to-day*. PLAT. Crat. 396 E. Κατὰ τοῦτο εἶναι, *in this respect*. Id. Prot. 317 A.

Similar is the expression τὴν πρώτην εἶναι (for τὴν πρώτην), *at first*, in HDT. I, 153. So ὥς πάλαια εἶναι, *considering their antiquity*. THUC. I, 21.

§ 101. The Infinitive is sometimes used in the sense of the Imperative, especially in Homer. E. g.

Τῷ νῦν μή ποτε καὶ σὺ γυναικί περ ἥπιος εἶναι· μή οἱ μῦθον ἅπαντα πιφασκεμέν, ὃν κ' εὖ εἶδης, ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν φάσθαι, τὸ δὲ καὶ κε-

κρυμμένον εἶναι, now therefore be thou never indulgent to thy wife, &c. *Od.* XI, 441. So *Il.* I, 20, 582; II, 10: *Il.* I, 32 (ἐπισχέειν μηδὲ καλέειν): *AESCH.* *Prom.* 712. Σὺ δὲ τὰς πύλας ἀνοίξας ὑπεκθεῖν καὶ ἐπείγασθαι, and do you, having opened the gates, rush out and press on. *THUC.* V, 9.

REMARK. It will be noticed that, when the Infinitive stands for the Imperative, its subject is in the nominative, but in the four constructions that follow (§§ 102–105) its subject is in the accusative.

§ 102. The Infinitive is sometimes used for the Optative in the expression of a wish referring to the future. This occurs chiefly in poetry. E. g.

Ζεῦ πάτερ, ἧ Αἴαντα λαχεῖν ἢ Τυδείος υἱόν. *Father Zeus, may the lot fall on Ajax or on the son of Tydeus.* *Il.* VII, 179. Ἐρμᾶ μπόλαϊε, τὰν γυναῖκα τὰν ἐμὴν οὕτω μ' ἀποδόσθαι τάν τ' ἐμαντοῦ ματέρα, *O that I could sell my wife and my mother at this rate!* *ARIST.* *Acharn.* 816. Θεοὶ πολῖται, μή με δουλείας τυχεῖν. *AESCH.* *Sept.* 253.

§ 103. In laws, treaties, proclamations, and formal commands, the Infinitive is often used in the leading sentences, depending on some word like ἔδοξε or δέδοκται, *be it enacted*, or κελεύεται, *it is commanded*; which may be either understood, or expressed in a preceding sentence. E. g.

Ταμίᾱς δὲ τῶν ἱερῶν χρημάτων αἰρεῖσθαι μὲν ἐκ τῶν μεγίστων τιμημάτων· τὴν δὲ αἵρεσιν τούτων καὶ τὴν δοκιμασίαν γίγνεσθαι καθάπερ ἡ τῶν στρατηγῶν ἐγίγνετο, and (*be it enacted*) that treasurers of the sacred funds be chosen, &c. *PLAT.* *Leg.* 759 E. So in most of the laws (genuine or spurious) standing as quotations in the text of the Orators, as in *DEM.* *Aristocr.* 627, 21: Δικάζειν δὲ τὴν ἐν Ἀρείῳ πάγῳ φόνου καὶ τραύματος ἐκ προνοίας, κ.τ.λ. Ἔτη δὲ εἶναι τὰς σπονδὰς πεντήκοντα, and that the treaty shall continue fifty years. *THUC.* V, 18. Ἀκούετε λεφ' τοὺς ὀπλίτας νυνμενὶ ἀνελομένους θῶπλα ἀπιέναι πάλιν οἴκαδε. *ARIST.* *Av.* 448.

§ 104. The Infinitive, with or without τό, is used in expressions of surprise or indignation. E. g.

Τὸ δὲ μηδὲ κυνὴν οἴκοθεν ἐλθεῖν ἐμὲ τὸν κακοδίμον ἔχοντα, but to think that I, wretched fellow, should have come from home without even my cap! *ARIST.* *Nub.* 238. Τοῦτον δὲ ὑβρίζειν; ἀναπνεῖν δέ;

ὃν εἴ τις ἔᾶ ζῆν, ἀγαπᾶν ἔδει. DEM. Mid. 582, 2. Τῆς μορίας· τὸ Δία νομίζειν, ὅντα τηλικουτονί, *what jolly! to believe in Zeus, now you are so big!* ARIST. Nub. 819.

Compare VERG. Aen. I, 37: Mene incepto desistere victam.

§ 105. In narration the Infinitive often appears to stand for the Indicative. It depends, however, on some word like λέγεται, *it is said*, expressed (or at least implied) in something that precedes. E. g.

Ἀπικόμενους δὲ τοὺς Φοίνικας ἐς δὴ τὸ Ἄργος τοῦτο, διατίθεται τὸν φόρτον, *and (they say) that the Phoenicians, when now they were come to this Argos, were setting out their cargo for sale.* HDT. I, 1. (Here διατίθεται is an Imperfect Infinitive, § 15, 3.) “Ἄλλ’, ὦ παῖ,” φάναι τὸν Ἀστυάγην, “οἷκ ἀχθόμενοι ταῦτα περιπλανώμεθα.” . . . “Ἀλλὰ καὶ σέ,” φάναι τὸν Κύρον, “ὀρώ,” κ.τ.λ. . . Καὶ τὸν Ἀστυάγην ἐσερέσθαι, “καὶ τίτι δὴ σὺ τεκμαιρόμενος λέγεις;” “Ὅτι σε,” φάναι, “ὀρώ,” κ.τ.λ. . . Πρὸς ταῦτα δὲ τὸν Ἀστυάγην εἰπεῖν, κ.τ.λ. . . Καὶ τὸν Κύρον εἰπεῖν, κ.τ.λ. XEN. Cyr. I, 3, 5 and 6. (Here all these Infinitives, and twelve others which follow, depend on λέγεται in § 4.) Καὶ τὸν κελεῦσαι δοῦναι, *and he commanded him to give it.* Id. I, 3, 9. So in HDT. I, 24 the story of Arion and the dolphin is told in this construction, the Infinitives all depending on a single λέγουσι at the beginning. See § 101, Remark.

§ 106. Πρίν, *before, before that, until*, besides taking the Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative, like ἔως (§ 66), is also followed by the Infinitive.

For the use of the finite moods after πρίν, see § 67.

1. In Homer the Infinitive follows πρίν after both affirmative and negative sentences. E. g.

Ναῖε δὲ Πήδαιον πρίν ἐλθεῖν νῆας Ἀχαιῶν. II. XIII, 172. Ἐφθῆ ὀρεξάμενος πρίν οὐτάσσαι, οὐδ’ ἀφάρμαρτεν. II. XVI, 322. Σφῶιν δὲ πρίν περ τρώμεν ἔλλαβε φαίδιμα γυνί, πρίν πόλεμόν τ’ ἰδέειν πολέμοιό τε μέρμερα ἔργα, *before they saw the war, &c.* II. VIII, 452. (See Note 4.) Φεύγει πρίν περ ὅμιλον ἀολλισθήμεναι ἀνδρῶν. II. XV, 588. Ἦ κ’ ἔτι πολλοὶ γαῖαν ὁδᾶξ εἶλον πρίν Ἴλιον εἰσαφικέσθαι. II. XXII, 17. Ἀλλὰ οἱ αὐτῷ Ζεὺς ὀλέσειε βίην πρίν ἡμῖν πῆμα φυτεῦσαι. Od. IV, 668. Αἴθ’ ὦφελλ’ . . . ἄλλοθ’ ὀλέσθαι πρίν ἐλθεῖν. Od. XVIII, 402. Οὐ λήξω πρίν Τρώας ἄδην ἐλᾶσαι πολέμοιο. II. XIX, 423. Οὐδέ τι θυμῷ τέρπετο πρίν πολέμου στόμα δύμεναι αἱματόεντος. II. XIX, 313. Οὐδ’ ἀπολήγει πρίν χροὸς ἀνδρεμέοιο διελθεῖν. II. XX, 100. Οὐ μ’ ἀποτρέψεις πρίν χαλκῷ μαχέσα-

σθαι. Π. XX, 257. Μηδ' ἀντίος ἴστασ' ἐμεῖο πρίν τι κακὸν παθεῖν. Π. XX, 198.

See § 67, Note 1.

2. Writers later than Homer use the Infinitive after πρίν chiefly when the leading sentence is *affirmative*. E. g.

Πρίν ὦν παρεῖναι ἐκείνῳ ἐς τὴν Ἀττικὴν, ὑμεῖς καιρὸς ἐστὶ προβῶθῃσαι ἐς τὴν Βοιωτίαν, *before he comes into Attica, &c.* HDT. VIII, 143. Οἶον εὖρεν τεσσαράκοντα καὶ ὑκτὼ παρθένοισι, πρίν μέσον ἄμαρ εἶλιν, ὠκύτατον γάμον. PIND. Pyth. IX, 196. Πρίν νῦν τὰ πλείον' ἰστορεῖν, ἐκ τῆσδ' ἔδρας ἔξελθε, *before seeking further, &c.* SOPH. O. C. 36. Ἀποπέμπουσιν οὖν αὐτὸν πρίν ἀκοῦσαι. THUC. II, 12. So II, 13, πρίν ἐσβαλεῖν εἰς τὴν Ἀττικὴν. Ἀφίεσαν τὰ βέλη πολὺ πρίν ἐξικνεῖσθαι. XEN. Cyr. III, 3, 60. Πρίν μὲν οὖν ἔχεσθαι τὰ ἄκρα οὐδὲν εἰδῆσθε εἰρήνης. Ib. III, 2, 12. Ἡμεῖς τοίνυν Μεσσήνην εἴλομεν πρίν Πέρσας λαβεῖν τὴν βασιλείαν καὶ κρατῆσαι τῆς ἡπείρου, καὶ πρίν οἰκισθῆναί τινας τῶν πόλεων τῶν Ἑλληνίδων. ISOC. Archid. p. 121 A. § 26. Καὶ πρίν ἐξ ἡμέρας γεγονέναι, ἀπέδωκε. PLAT. Prot. 320 A. Ἀπωλόμεσθ' ἄρ', εἰ κακὸν προσοίσομεν νέον παλαιῷ, πρίν τόδ' ἐξηντληκέναι, *we are ruined then, if we shall add a new calamity to the former one, before we shall have exhausted that.* EUR. Med. 79. (See § 18, 3.)

NOTE 1. The Infinitive after πρίν was probably not accompanied by ἄν. (See Krüger's note on HDT. I, 140.)

NOTE 2. Πρίν with the Infinitive after *negative* sentences is rare in the Attic poets, but more frequent in the Attic prose. (See § 67, Note 2.) E. g.

Οὐκ ἂν μεθεῖτο, πρίν καθ' ἡδονὴν κλύειν. SOPH. Tr. 197. Πρίν ἰδεῖν δ', οὐδεὶς μάντις τῶν μελλόντων, ὅ τι πράξει. Id. Aj. 1418. So AESCH. Sept. 1018, Agam. 1067; ARIST. Av. 964. Καὶ δι' αὐτὸ οὐ πρίν πάσχειν, ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ ἐν τῷ ἔργῳ ἐσμέν, τοὺς ξυμμάχους τοὺςδε παρεκαλέσατε. THUC. I, 68. So I, 39; V, 10; VII, 50. Οὐδὲ γὰρ τούτων πρίν μαθεῖν οὐδεὶς ἠπίστατο. XEN. Cyr. IV, 3, 10. Αὕτη ἡ γυνή, πρίν μὲν ὡς Ἀφορβὸν ἐλθεῖν, μίαν ἡμέραν οὐκ ἐχέρηνσεν. DEM. Onet. I, 873, 10.

NOTE 3. Πρίν ἢ, πρότερον ἢ (*priusquam*), πρόσθεν ἢ, and even ὕστερον ἢ, like πρίν, may be followed by the Infinitive. (See § 67, Note 3.) E. g.

Οἱ δὲ Αἰγύπτιοι, πρίν μὲν ἢ Ψαμμίτιχον σφέων βασιλεῦσαι, ἐνόμιζον ἐωυτοὺς πρώτους γενέσθαι πάντων ἀνθρώπων. HDT. II, 2. Ἐπὶ τοὺς πομπέας πρότερον ἢ αἰσθῆσθαι αὐτοὺς εὐθὺς ἐχώρησιν, *before they perceived them.* THUC. VI, 58. So I, 69. Πρίν δὲ ἀναστῆναι, ἔτστιν ὕστερον ἑκατὸν ἢ αὐτοὺς οἰκῆσαι, Παμμίλιον πέμψαντες ἐς Σελινόυντα, i. e. a hundred years after their own settlement. Id. VI, 4.

In HDT. VI, 108 we find the Infinitive depending on φθάνω ἤ, the verb implying πρότερον or πρὶν: — φθαίητε ἂν ἑξανδραποδισθέντες ἢ τινα πυνθέσθαι ἡμέων, *you would be reduced to slavery before any of us would hear of it.*

NOTE 4. Πρὶν or πρὶν ἢ is very often preceded by πρότερον, πρόσθεν, πάρος, or another πρὶν (used as an adverb), qualifying the leading verb. (See § 67, Note 4.) E. g.

Ἀποθνήσκουσι πρότερον πρὶν δῆλοι γίνεσθαι οἷοι ἦσαν. XEN. Cyr. V, 2, 9. Καὶ ὤμωσαν μὴ πρὶν ἐς Φώκαιαν ἤξειν πρὶν ἢ τὸν μύδρον τοῦτον ἀναπεφηνέναι. HDT. I, 165. Πάρος δ' οἶκ' ἔσσεται ἄλλως, πρὶν γε . . . νῶ πειρηθῆναι. II. V, 220. Μὴ πρὶν ταραξῆς, πρὶν τόδ' εὖ θέσθαι, τέκνον. EUR. Herc. F. 605.

NOTE 5. Πάρος, in the sense of πρὶν, is used in Homer with the Infinitive, but never with the other moods. E. g.

Τέκνα ἀγρόται ἐξείλοντο πάρος πετεηνὰ γενέσθαι. Od. XVI, 218. Οὐδέ οἱ ὕπνος πῖπτεν ἐπὶ βλεφάροισι πάρος καταλέξαι ἅπαντα. Od. XXIII, 309.

REMARK. The rules for the tenses of the Infinitive are given in Chapter Second. It will be seen from a comparison of these, that the Present and Aorist are the only tenses ordinarily used in constructions in which the Infinitive *in itself* has no reference to time, that is, in all except indirect discourse. In indirect discourse each tense has its own force, as in the Indicative; but in other constructions the Perfect is used only in the cases mentioned in § 18, 3, *b*, and Note; and the Future only in the few cases mentioned in § 27, Note 2, *a* and *b*. (See § 27, Note 1.)

CHAPTER VI.

THE PARTICIPLE.

§ 107. The Participle has three distinct uses:—first, it may express a simple *attribute*, like an ordinary adjective (§ 108); secondly, it may define the *circumstances* under which the action of the sentence takes place (§§ 109–111); thirdly, it may form part of the predicate with certain verbs, often having a force resembling that of the Infinitive (§§ 112, 113).

REMARK. As the Infinitive may be considered as a verbal noun, so the Participle is always a verbal adjective; both alike retaining all the attributes of a verb which are consistent with their nature. See § 90.

§ 108. 1. The Participle, like any other adjective, may qualify a noun.

In such expressions it must often be translated by a finite verb and a relative, especially when the Participle is preceded by the article. E. g.

Πόλις κάλλει διαφέρουσα, *a city excelling in beauty*. Ἀνὴρ καλῶς πεπαιδευμένος, *a man who has been well educated*. Οἱ πρέσβεις οἱ παρὰ Φιλίππου πεμφθέντες, *the ambassadors who had been sent from Philip*. Ἄνδρες οἱ τοῦτο ποιήσοντες, *men who will do this*.

Ἐν τῇ Μεσσηνίᾳ ποτὲ οὔσῃ γῇ, *in the land which was once Messenia*. See § 16, 2. Στρατεύουσιν ἐπὶ τὰς Αἰόλου νήσους καλουμένας, *they sail against the so-called Aeolian islands, lit. the islands called those of Aeolus*. THUC. III, 88. Αἱ ἄρισται δοκοῦσαι εἶναι φύσεις, *the natures which seem to be best*. XEN. MEM. IV, 1, 3. Αἱ πρὸ τοῦ στόματος νῆες ναυμαχοῦσαι. THUC. VII, 23. Τὸν κατειληφόντα κίνδυνον τὴν πόλιν. DEM. COR. 301, 28.

2. The Participle preceded by the article may be used *substantively*, like any other adjective. It is then equivalent to ἐκεῖνος ὅς (*he who*) and a finite verb in the tense of the Participle. E. g.

Οἱ κρατοῦντες, *the conquerors*. Οἱ πεπεισμένοι, *those who have been convinced*. Οὗτός ἐστι ὁ τοῦτο ποιήσας, *this is the one who did it*. Οὗτοί εἰσιν οἱ ὑμᾶς πάντας ἀδικήσοντες, *these are the men who will wrong you all*.

Παρά τοῖς ἀρίστοις δοκοῦσιν εἶναι, *among those who seem to be best* XEN. Mem. IV, 2, 6. Ἦν δὲ ὁ μὲν τὴν γνώμην ταύτην εἰπὼν Πείσανδρος, and Peisander was the one who gave this opinion. THUC. VIII, 68. Τοῖς Ἀρκάδων σφετέροις οἷσι ξυμμάχοις προείπον, *they proclaimed to those of the Arcadians who were their allies*. Id. V, 64. Ἀφεκτέον ἐγὼ φημι εἶναι (τούτων) τῷ σωφρονεῖν δυνησομένῳ, *for one who is to be able to be discreet*. XEN. Symp. IV, 26.

NOTE 1. When the Participle, in either of these constructions, refers to a *purpose* or *intention*, it is generally Future, rarely Present. E. g.

Νόμον δημοσίᾳ τὸν ταῦτα κωλύσοντα τέθινται τουτονί, *they have publicly enacted this law, which is to prevent these things*. DEM. Mid. 530, 10. Τῶν ἐργασομένων ἐνόντων, *there being men in the country to cultivate it*. XEN. An. II, 4, 22. (See § 110, 1.) Ὁ ἡγησόμενος οὐδεὶς ἔσται *there will be nobody who will lead us*. Ib. II, 4, 5. Πολλοὺς ἔξομεν τοὺς ἐτοίμως συναγωνιζομένους ἡμῖν. ISOC. Pac. p. 186 D. § 139.

See the more common use of the Future Participle to express a *purpose*, § 109, 5.

NOTE 2. Participles, like adjectives, are occasionally used substantively even without the article, in an indefinite sense; but generally only in the plural. E. g.

Ἐπλεῖ δώδεκα τριήρεις ἔχων ἐπὶ πολλὰς ναῦς κεκτημένους, *he sailed with twelve triremes against men who had many ships*. XEN. Hell. V, 1, 19. Ὅταν πολεμοῦντων πόλις ἀλῶ, *whenever a city of belligerents is taken*. Id. Cyr. VII, 5, 73. Μετὰ ταῦτα ἀφικνοῦνταί μοι ἀπαγγέλλοντες ὅτι ὁ πατήρ ἀφείται, *there come messengers announcing, &c.* ISOC. Trapez. p. 360 C. § 11. Εἶδες οὖν ἔχοντα λυπούμενον καὶ χαίροντα; *did you ever see a man of sense (sc. τινά) grieved and rejoicing?* PLAT. Gorg. 498 A.

NOTE 3. In the poets, the Participle with the article sometimes becomes so completely a substantive, that it is followed by an adnominal genitive rather than by the case which its verbal force would require. A few expressions like οἱ προσήκοντες, *relatives*, and τὸ συμφέρον or τὰ συμφέροντα, *gain, advantage*, are used in the same way even in prose. E. g.

Ὁ ἐκείνου τεκών, *his father*. EUR. El. 335. (We should expect ὁ ἐκείνου τεκών.) Τὰ μικρὰ συμφέροντα τῆς πόλεως, *the small advantages of the state*. DEM. Cor. 234, 26. Βασιλέως προσήγοντες τινες. THUC. I, 128.

NOTE 4. (a.) In the poets and in Thucydides, the neuter singular of the Present Participle with the article is sometimes used in the sense of an abstract verbal noun, where we should expect the Infinitive with the article. E. g.

Ἐν τῷ μὴ μελετῶντι ἀξυνετώτεροι ἔσονται, *in the want of practice*, &c. THUC. I, 142. (Here we should expect ἐν τῷ μὴ μελετᾶν.) Γνώτω τὸ μὲν δεδιὸς αὐτοῦ τοὺς ἐναντίους μᾶλλον φοβήσων, τὸ δὲ θαρσοῦν . . . ἀδεέστερον ἐσόμενον. Id. I, 36. (Here τὸ δεδιὸς, *fear*, is used like τὸ δεδιέναι, and τὸ θαρσοῦν, *courage*, like τὸ θαρσεῖν or τὸ θάρσος.) Μετὰ τοῦ δρωμένου, *with action*. Id. V, 102. Καὶ σέ γ' εἰσάξω· τὸ γὰρ νοσοῦν ποθεῖ σε ξυμπαραστάτην λαβεῖν. SOPH. Phil. 674. (τὸ νοσοῦν = ἡ νόσος.) Τὸ γὰρ ποθοῦν ἕκαστος ἐκμαθεῖν θέλων οὐκ ἂν μεθείτο, πρὶν καθ' ἡδονὴν κλύειν. SOPH. Trach. 196.

Compare the use of the neuter singular of an adjective for the corresponding abstract noun; as τὸ καλόν, *beauty*, for τὸ κάλλος.

(b.) A similar construction sometimes occurs when a Participle and a noun are used instead of an Infinitive and a noun, where in English we generally use a finite verb. E. g.

Μετὰ δὲ Σόλωνα οἰχόμενον ἔλαβε νέμεσις μεγάλη Κροῖσον, i. e. *after Solon was gone*. HDT. I, 34. Τῇ πόλει οὔτε πολέμου κακῶς συμβάντος οὔτε στάσεως πώποτε αἴτιος ἐγένετο, i. e. *the cause of a disastrous result of any war* (like τοῦ πόλεμόν τινα κακῶς συμβῆναι). XEN. Mem. I, 2, 63. So ἐς ἡέλιον καταδύντα, II. I, 601.

REMARK. Such expressions as τὸ κρατοῦν τῆς πόλεως, *the ruling part of the state*, τὸ δοξάζον τῆς ψυχῆς, &c. must not be confounded with the examples belonging under Notes 3 and 4. They are merely cases of the partitive genitive after a participle used as a noun.

NOTE 5. Some Present Participles are occasionally used like predicate adjectives after εἰμί or γίγνομαι. Such are especially διαφέρων, ἔχων (with an adverb), προσήκων, πρέπων, δέον, ἐξόν, and συμφέρον. E. g.

Τί ποτ' ἐστὶν οὗτος ἐκείνου διαφέρων; *in what is this man different from that one?* PLAT. Gorg. 500 C. Συμφέρον ἦν τῇ πόλει, *it was advantageous to the state*. DEM. F. L. 364, 25. So after ὑπάρχω in Demosthenes; as τοῦτο μὲν γὰρ ὑπάρχειν ὑμᾶς εἰδότας ἡγοῦμαι, *for I think you are aware of this*, Cor. 257, 25.

NOTE 6. The poets sometimes use a Present or Aorist Participle with εἰμί as a periphrasis for the simple form of the verb. In prose each part of such expressions has its ordinary meaning. E. g.

Ἄν ᾗ θέλουσα, πάντ' ἐμοῦ κομίζεται, *whatever she wants, she always obtains from me*. SOPH. O. T. 580. (Here ᾗ θέλουσα is used for θέλη.) Οὐκ εἰς ὄλεθρον; οὐ σιωπήσας ἔσει; Ib. 1146. *Π τοῦτο οὐκ ἔστι γιγνόμενον παρ' ἡμῖν; *or is not this something that*

happens among us? PLAT. Phileb. 39 C. Ἦν γὰρ ὁ Θεμιστοκλῆς βεβαιοτάτα δὴ φύσεως ἰσχὺν δηλώσας, καὶ . . . ἄξιός θ' αὖθις θαυμάσαι, *Themistocles was one who manifested, &c.* THUC. I, 138.

For the use of the Perfect Participle in the same way, see § 17, Note 2. For the Aorist Participle with ἔχω as a periphrasis for the Perfect Indicative, see below, § 112, Note 7.

§ 109. The Participle is used to define the *circumstances* under which an action takes place. It may in this sense be connected with any substantive in the sentence, and agree with it in case.

The relations expressed by the participle in this use are the following: —

1. *Time*, the various tenses of the Participle denoting various points of time, which are of course all referred to that of the leading verb. E. g.

Ταῦτα εἰπὼν ἀπῆει, *when he had said this, he departed.* Ἀπῆντησα Φιλίππῳ ἀπιόντι, *I met Philip as he was departing.* Τοῦτο πεποιηκότες ἀπελεύονται. Ταῦτα ἔπραττε στρατηγῶν, *he did these things while he was general.* Ταῦτα πράξει στρατηγῶν, *he will do these things when he is general.* Τυραννεύσας δὲ ἔτη τρία Ἰππίας ἐχώρει ὑπόσπονδος ἐς Σίγειον. THUC. VI. 59.

2. *Means.* E. g.

Ληϊζόμενοι ζῶσιν, *they live by plunder.* XEN. Cyr. III, 2, 25. Τοὺς Ἕλληνας ἐδίδαξαν, ὃν τρόπον διοικοῦντες τὰς αὐτῶν πατρίδας καὶ πρὸς οὓς πολεμοῦντες μεγάλην αὖ τὴν Ἑλλάδα ποιήσειαν. ISOC. Panath. p. 241 D. § 44. Οὐ γὰρ ἀλλοτρίοις ὑμῖν χρωμένοις παραδείγμασιν ἀλλ' οἰκείοις, εὐδαίμοσιν ἔξεστι γενέσθαι, *by using not foreign but domestic examples, &c.* DEM. Ol. III, 35, 1. (So often χρώμενος with the dative.)

3. *Manner*, and similar relations, including *manner of employment, &c.* E. g.

Προείλετο μᾶλλον τοῖς νόμοις ἐμμένων ἀποθανεῖν ἢ παρανομῶν ζῆν, *he preferred to die abiding by the laws, rather than to live disobeying them.* XEN. Mem. IV, 4, 4. Ἀρπάσαντας τὰ ὄπλα πορεύεσθαι, *to march having snatched up their arms (i. e. eagerly).* DEM. Ol. III, 34, 8. Τοῦτο ἐποίησεν λαθῶν, *he did this secretly.* (See below, N. 8.) Ἀπεδήμει τριηραρχῶν, *he was absent on duty as trierarch.*

4. *Cause or ground of action.* E. g.

Λέγω δὲ τοῦδ' ἔνεκα, βουλόμενος δόξαι σοὶ ὅπερ ἐμοί, and *I speak for this reason, because I wish, &c.* PLAT. Phaed. 102 D. Ἀπείχοντο κερδῶν, αἰσχρὰ νομίζοντες εἶναι, *because they believed them to be base.* XEN. Mem. I, 2, 22. Τί γὰρ ἂν βουλόμενοι ἄνδρες σοφοὶ ὥς ἀληθῶς δεσπότης ἀμείνους αὐτῶν φεύγοιεν, *with what object in view, &c. (i. e. wishing what)?* PLAT. Phaed. 63 A. (See below, Note 7.)

For the Participle with ὥς, used to express a cause assigned by another, see below, Note 4.

5. *Purpose, object, or intention*, expressed by the Future Participle, rarely by the Present. E. g.

ἦλθε λυσόμενος θυγάτρα, *he came to ransom his daughter.* II. I. 13. Παρελῆλυθα συμβουλεύσων, *I have risen to give my advice.* ISOC. Archid. § 1. Ἐβουλεύσαντο πέμπειν ἐς Λακεδαίμονα πρέσβεις ταῦτά τε ἑροῦντας καὶ Δύσανδρον αἰτήσοντας ἐπὶ τὰς ναῦς, *in order to say this, and to ask for Lysander as admiral.* XEN. Hell. II, 1, 6. Ἐὰν εἰς πόλεμον (ἢ πατρίς) ἄγῃ τρωθησόμενον ἢ ἀποθανούμενον, ποιητέον ταῦτα, *even if it lead any one into war to be wounded or to perish.* PLAT. Crit. 51 B.

Ἔτυχον γὰρ αἱ μὲν (νῆες) ἐπὶ Καρίας οἰχόμεναι, . . . περιαγγέλλουσαι βοηθεῖν, *for some of the ships happened to be gone towards Caria, in order to give them notice to send aid.* THUC. I, 116. So ἀρνύμενοι, II. I, 159. (The Present here seems to express an attendant circumstance, rather than a mere purpose.)

6. *Condition*, the Participle standing for the protasis of a conditional sentence, and its tenses representing the various forms of protasis expressed by the Indicative, Subjunctive, or Optative (§ 52, 1). E. g.

Οἶεи σὺ Ἀλκυστιν ὑπὲρ Ἀδμήτου ἀποθανεῖν ἂν, ἢ Ἀχιλλέα Πατρόκλῳ ἐπαποθανεῖν, μὴ οἰομένους ἀθάνατον μνήμην ἀρετῆς πέρι ἑαυτῶν ἔσεσθαι, *do you think that Alcestis would have died for Admetus, &c., if they had not believed, &c.* PLAT. Symp. 208 D. (Here μὴ οἰομένους is equivalent to εἰ μὴ ᾔοντο.) Οὐ γὰρ ἂν αὐτοῖς ἔμελεν μὴ τοῦτο ὑπολαμβάνουσιν, *for it would not have concerned them, unless they had had this idea.* DEM. Phil. III, 122, 21. (Here μὴ ὑπολαμβάνουσιν is equivalent to εἰ μὴ τοῦτο ὑπελάμβανον.) Ἄστρων ἂν ἔλθοιμ' αἰθέρος πρὸς ἀντολὰς καὶ γῆς ἔνερθε, δυνατὸς ὧν δρᾶται τάδε, *if I should be able to do this (εἰ δυνατὸς εἴην).* EUR. Phoen. 504.

See other examples under § 52, 1.

7. *Opposition, or limitation*, where the Participle is often to be translated by *although*. E. g.

Οὗτος δὲ καὶ μεταπεμφθῆναι φάσκειν ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς, καὶ ἐλθὼν

εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν, εἰσελθεῖν μὲν οὐ φησιν, Δημοφῶντος δ' ἀκοῦσαι γραμματεῖον ἀναγινώσκοντος, καὶ προεῖσε ληλυθῶς καὶ ἅπαντα διωμολογημένος πρὸς τὸν πατέρα, *and this man, although he admits that he was summoned, and although he did go to the house, yet denies that he went in, &c., although he had previously gone in and arranged everything with my father.* DEM. Aph. II, 839, 29 Ὀλίγα δυνάμενοι προορᾶν περὶ τοῦ μέλλοντος πολλὰ ἐπιχειροῦμεν πράττειν, *although we are able to foresee few things, &c.* XEN. Cyr. III, 2, 15.

The Participle in this sense is very often accompanied by καίπερ and other particles. See below, Note 5.

8. Any attendant circumstance, the Participle being merely descriptive. E. g.

Καὶ παραλαβόντες τοὺς Βοιωτοὺς ἐστράτευσαν ἐπὶ Φάρσαλον, *and having taken the Boeotians with them, they marched against Pharsalus.* THUC. I, 111. Παραγγέλλει τῷ Κλεάρχῳ λαβόντι ἡκεῖν ὅσον ἦν αὐτῷ στρατεύμα. XEN. An. I, 2, 1. Ἐρχεται Μανδάνη τὸν Κῆρον τὸν υἱὸν ἔχουσα, *Mandane comes with her son Cyrus.* Id. Cyr. I, 3, 1. (See below, N. 8.)

NOTE 1. (a.) The adverbs τότε, ἤδη (τότε ἤδη), ἐνταῦθα, εἴτα, ἔπειτα, and οὕτως are often joined to the verb of the sentence in which the temporal Participle stands. E. g.

Ἐκέλευεν αὐτὸν συνδιαβάνα ἔπειτα οὕτως ἀπαλλάττεσθαι, *he commanded that, after he had joined them in crossing, he should then retire as he proposed.* XEN. An. VII, 1, 2. Πειθομένων δὲ τῶν Σαμίων καὶ σχόντων τὴν Ζάγκλην, ἐνθαῦτα οἱ Ζαγκαλαῖοι ἐβουίθεον αὐτῇ. ΠΙΝΤ. VI, 23. Ἀποφυγὼν δὲ καὶ τούτους, στρατηγὸς οὕτω Ἀθηναῖον ἀπεδέχθη, *and having escaped these also, he was then chosen general of the Athenians.* Id. VI, 104.

(b.) Εἴτα, ἔπειτα, and οὕτως sometimes refer in the same way to a Participle expressing opposition or limitation; in which case they may be translated by nevertheless, after all. E. g.

Πάντων δ' ἀτοπώτατόν ἐστι, τηλικαύτην ἀνελόντας μαρτυρίαν οὕτως οἶεσθαι δεῖν εἰκῇ πιστεύεσθαι παρ' ὑμῖν, *that, although they have destroyed so important a piece of evidence, they after all think, &c.* DEM. Aph. II, 837, 10. Δεινὰ μὲντ' ἂν πάθοις, εἰ Ἀθήναζε ἀφικόμενος, οὐ τῆς Ἑλλάδος πλείστη ἐστὶν ἐξουσία τοῦ λέγειν, ἔπειτα σὺ ἐνταῦθα τούτου μόνος ἀτυχήσῃς, *if, although you are come to Athens, you should after all be the only one to fail in obtaining this.* PLAT. Gorg. 461 E.

(c.) Οὕτως, διὰ τοῦτο, and διὰ ταῦτα sometimes refer in the same way to a Participle denoting a cause. E. g.

Νομίζων ἀμείνονας καὶ κρείττους πολλῶν βαρβάρων ὑμᾶς εἶναι διὰ τοῦτο προσέλαβον. XEN. An. I, 7, 3.

NOTE 2. The Adverbs ἅμα, μετὰξὺ, εὐθύς, αὐτίκα, and ἐξαίφνης are often connected (in position and in sense) with the temporal Participle, although grammatically they qualify the verb of the sentence. E. g.

"Ἄμα προῖων ἐπεσκοπέϊτο εἴ τι δυνατόν εἴη τοὺς πολεμίους ἀσθνεστέ-
ρους ποιεῖν, *as he advanced, he looked at the same time to see whether it*
was possible, &c. XEN. Cyr. V, 2, 22. "Ἄμα καταλαβόντες προσεκέατό
σφι, *as soon as they had overtaken them, they pressed hard upon them.*
HDT. IX, 57. Νεκὼς μετὰξὺ ὀρύσσων ἐπαύσατο, *μαντηρίου ἐμποδίου*
γενομένου, Necho stopped while digging (the canal), &c. Id. II, 153.
Πολλαχοῦ δὲ με ἐπέσχε λέγοντα μετὰξὺ, *it often checked me while*
speaking. PLAT. Apol. 40 B. Ἐπιπόνῳ ἀσκήσει εὐθύς νέοι ὄντες τὸ
ἀνδρείον μετέρχονται, *by toilsome discipline, even while they are still*
young, &c. THUC. II, 39. Τῷ δεξιῷ κέρα εὐθύς ἀποβιβηκότε οἱ Κο-
ρινθιοὶ ἐπέκειντο, *the Corinthians pressed upon the right wing, as soon*
as it was disembarked. Id. IV, 43. Ἀρξάμενος εὐθύς καθισταμένου,
beginning as soon as it (the war) broke out. Id. I, 1. Διόνυσον λέγου-
σι ὡς αὐτίκα γενόμενον ἐς τὸν μηρὸν ἐνεργάσατο Ζεὺς, *they say of*
Dionysus that, as soon as he was born, Zeus sewed him into his thigh.
HDT. II, 146. Τὴν ψυχὴν θεωρῶν ἐξαίφνης ἀποθανόντος ἐκάστου,
viewing the soul of each one the moment that he is dead. PLAT. Gorg.
523 E.

NOTE 3. (a.) Ἄτε, οἶον, or οἶα, *as, inasmuch as*, are used to emphasize a Participle denoting the *cause* or *ground* of an action. Here the cause assigned is stated merely on the authority of the speaker or writer. (See N. 4.) E. g.

Ὁ δὲ Κύρος, ἅτε παῖς ὦν καὶ φιλόκαλος καὶ φιλότιμος, ἦδετο τῇ
στολῇ, *but Cyrus, inasmuch as he was a child, &c.* XEN. Cyr. I, 3, 3.
So ἅτε ληφθέντων, THUC. VII, 85. Μάλα δὲ χαλεπῶς πορευόμενοι,
οἶα δὲ ἐν νυκτί τε καὶ φόβῳ ἀπιόντες, εἰς Αἰγύπθον ἀφικνοῦνται,
inasmuch as they were departing by night, &c. XEN. Hell. VI, 4, 26.

In Herodotus ὥστε is used in the same sense; as in I, 8, ὥστε
ταῦτα νομίζων, *inasmuch as he believed this.* See THUC. VII, 24.

(b.) Ὡσπερ with the Participle occasionally seems to have the
same force as ἅτε or οἶον; as in EUR. Hippol. 1307, ὁ δ' ὥσπερ ὦν
δίκαιος οὐκ ἐφέσπετο λόγοις, *inasmuch as he was just, &c.*

For the common use of ὥσπερ with the Participle, see Note 9.

NOTE 4. (a) Ὡς may be prefixed to many of the Parti-
cles of § 109, especially those denoting a *cause* or a *pur-*
pose. It shows that the Participle expresses the idea of the
subject of the leading verb, or that of some other person promi-
nently mentioned in the sentence; without implying that it is
also the idea of the speaker or writer. E. g.

Τὸν Περικλέα ἐν αἰτία εἶχον ὥς πείσαντα σφᾶς πολεμεῖν καὶ δι' ἐκείνον ταῖς ξυμφοραῖς περιπεπτωκότες, *they found fault with Pericles, on the ground that he had persuaded them to engage in the war, and that through him they had met with the calamities.* THUC. II. 59. (Here Thucydides himself is not responsible for the statements made by the Participles; as he would be if ὥς were omitted.) See § 111. Ἀγανακτοῦσιν ὥς μεγάλων τινῶν ἀπεστερημένοι, *they are indignant, because (as they allege) they have been deprived, &c.* PLAT. Rep. I, 329 A. Βασιλεῖ χάριν ἴσασιν, ὥς δι' ἐκείνον τυχοῦσαι τῆς αὐτονομίας ταύτης, i. e. *they thank him because (as they believe) they have obtained this independence through him.* ISOC. Pan. p. 77 C. § 175. Οἱ μὲν διώκοντες τοὺς καθ' αὐτοὺς ὥς πάντας νικῶντες, οἱ δ' ἀρπάζοντες ὥς ἤδη πάντες νικῶντες, *one side pursuing those opposed to them, thinking that they were victorious over all; and the other side proceeding to plunder, thinking that they were all victorious.* XEN. An. I, 10, 4. Τὴν πρόφασιν ἐποίειτο ὥς Πισίδας βουλόμενος ἐκβαλεῖν, *he made his pretence, (apparently) wishing to drive out the Pisidians.* Ib. I, 2, 1. Ἔλεγε θαρρεῖν ὥς καταστησομένων τούτων εἰς τὸ δέον, *he said he took courage, on the ground that these matters were about to be settled, &c.* Ib. I, 3, 8. (See § 110, 1, N. 1.) Ὡς γὰρ εἰδότες περὶ ὧν ἐπέμφθησαν ἀκούετε, *for you hear them as men who (as you believe) know about what they were sent for.* DEM. F. L. 342, 25. Οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι παρεσκευάζοντο ὥς πολεμήσοντες, *the Athenians prepared with the (avowed) intention of going to war.* THUC. II, 7. Συλλαμβάνει Κῦρον ὥς ἀποκτενῶν, *he seizes Cyrus with the (avowed) object of putting him to death.* XEN. An. I, 1, 3.

It is a common mistake to suppose that ὥς implies that the Participle *does not* express the idea of the speaker or writer. It implies *nothing whatever* on this point, which is determined (if at all) by the context.

(b.) Ὡς may also be used before Participles with verbs of *knowing*, &c., included in § 113. (See § 113, N. 10.)

NOTE 5. (a.) The Participle expressing *opposition* or *limitation* is often strengthened by καίπερ or καί, *although* (negatively οὐδέ or μηδέ, with or without περ), καὶ ταῦτα, *and that too*. Ὅμως, *nevertheless*, may be connected with the Participle (like ἅμα, &c. N. 2), belonging, however, grammatically to the leading verb. E. g.

Ἐκτορα καὶ μεμαῶτα μάχης σχήσεσθαι δίδω. II. IX, 655. Ἐποικτεῖρω δέ νιν δύστηνον ἔμπας, καίπερ ὄντα δυσμενῇ, *although he is my enemy.* SOPH. Aj. 122. Οὐκ ἂν προδοίην, οὐδέ περ πρᾶσσω κακῶς. EUR. Phoen. 1624. Γυναικὶ πείθου, μηδὲ τὰ ληθὴ κλύων. Id. Hipp. Fr. 443. Πείθου γυναιξί, καίπερ οὐ στέργων ὅμως. AESCH. Sept. 712. (Here ὅμως qualifies πείθου; although, as usual, it is joined with the Participle for emphasis.) Ἀδικεῖς ὅτι ἀνδρὰ ἡμῖν τὸν σπουδαιότατον διαφθείρεις γελᾶν ἀναπείθων, καὶ ταῦτα πολέμιον ὄντα τῷ γέλῳτι. XEN. Cyr. II, 2, 16.

(b.) In Homer, the two parts of καί . . περ are generally sepa-

rated by the Participle, or by some emphatic word connected with it. Καί is here very often omitted, so that πέρ stands alone in the sense of *although*.

Both of these uses are found also in the Attic poets. E. g.

Τὸν μὲν ἔπειτ' εἶασε, καὶ ἀχνύμενός περ ἑταίρου, κείσθαι. Π. VIII, 125. Καὶ κρατερός περ ἔων, μενέτω τριτάτῃ ἐνὶ μοίρῃ. Π. XV, 195. Τέτλαθι, μῆτερ ἐμή, καὶ ἀνάσχεο κηδομένη περ, μὴ σε φίλῃν περ εἴουσαν ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ἰδωμαι θεινομένην· τότε δ' οὐ τι δυνήσομαι ἀχνύμενός περ χραισμεῖν. Π. I, 586.

Κάγώ σ' ἰκνούμαι, καὶ γυνή περ οὐδ' ὅμως. EUR. Orest. 680. Τάφον γὰρ αὐτῇ καὶ κατασκαφὰς ἐγώ, γυνή περ οὔσα, τῷδε μηχανήσομαι. AESCH. Sept. 1037.

REMARK. Καίτοι was very seldom used like καίπερ with the Participle, its only regular use being with finite verbs. E. g.

Οὐδέ μοι ἐμμελέως τὸ Πιπτάκειον νέμεται, καίτοι σοφοῦ παρὰ φῶτος εἰρημένον. SIMON. Fr. 5 (apud PLAT. Prot. 339 C).

NOTE 6. The Participle ὤν is sometimes omitted after the participles mentioned in the last three notes, leaving an adjective or a noun standing by itself. E. g.

Αὐτοὺς εἰς τὴν πολιτείαν οὐ παραδεξόμεθα, ἅτε τυραννίδος ὑμνητάς (sc. ὄντας). PLAT. Rep. VIII, 568 B. Αὐτὸ ἐπιτηδεύουσιν ὡς ἀναγκαῖον ἀλλ' οὐχ ὡς ἀγαθόν (sc. ὄν), *they practise it on the ground that it is necessary, and not on the ground that it is a good thing.* Ib. II, 358 C. Ἡ μὲν ἔτι Ζεὺς, καίπερ αὐθάδης φρενῶν, ἔσται ταπεινός. AESCH. Prom. 907.

NOTE 7. (a.) The Participle with any of the meanings included in § 109 may stand in relative or interrogative clauses. Such expressions can seldom be translated literally into English. E. g.

Τί δὲ καὶ δεδιότες σφόδρα οὕτως ἐπείγεσθε; *what do you fear, that you are in such great haste?* XEN. Hell. I, 7, 26. Τί ἂν εἰπὼν σέ τις ὀρθῶς προσείποι; *what could one call you, so as to give you the right name?* DEM. Cor. 232, 20. Τῶν νόμων ἄπειροι γίνονται καὶ τῶν λόγων, οἷς δεῖ χρώμενον ὁμιλεῖν τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, *which one must use in his intercourse with men.* PLAT. Gorg. 484 D.

(b.) Here belong τί μαθὼν; and τί παθὼν; both of which have the general force of *wherefore?* Τί μαθὼν τοῦτο ποιεῖ; *however, usually signifies what put it into his head to do this?* or *with what idea does he do this?* and τί παθὼν τοῦτο ποιεῖ; *what has happened to him that he does this?* E. g.

Τί τοῦτο μαθὼν προσέγραψεν; *with what idea did he add this to the law?* DEM. Lept. 495, 20. Τί παθοῦσαι, ἔπερ Νεφέλαι γ' εἰσὶν ἀληθῶς, θνηταῖς εἴξασι γυναιξίν; *what has happened to them that they resemble mortal women?* ARIST. Nub. 340.

These phrases may be used even in dependent sentences, τί becoming ὅτι, and the whole phrase meaning *because*. E. g.

Τί ἀξιὸς εἰμι παθεῖν ἢ ἀποτίσαι, ὃ τι μαθὼν ἐν τῷ βίῳ οὐχ ἡσυχίαν ἤγον; *what do I deserve to suffer, &c. for not keeping quiet? i. e. for the idea which came into my head, in consequence of which I did not keep quiet.* PLAT. Apol. 36 B. So PLAT. Euthyd. 283 E, and 299 A. (See Matthiae, § 567.)

NOTE 8. Certain Participles, when they agree with the subject of a verb, have almost the force of adverbs. Such are ἀρχόμενος, *at first*; τελευτών, *finally*; διαλειπών, *after an interval*; φέρων, *hastily*; ἀνύσας, *quickly*; λαθών, *secretly*; κατατείνας, *earnestly*; ἔχων, *continually*; φθάσας, *quickly*. (See Passow or Liddell and Scott, under ἀρχω, &c.)

*Εχων, ἄγων, φέρων, and λαβών may often be translated *with*. (See example under § 109, 8.)

NOTE 9. Ὡσπερ with the Participle generally belongs to an implied apodosis, to which the Participle forms the protasis (§ 109, 6). Here ὥσπερ means simply *as*, and the Participle is translated with an *if* prefixed. (See § 53, N. 3.) E. g.

Ὡσπερ ἤδη σαφῶς εἰδότες, οὐκ ἐθέλειτ' ἀκούειν, *you are unwilling to hear, as if you already knew well (i. e. as you would be if you knew).* ISOC. Pac. p. 160 C. § 9. (Here εἰδότες = εἰ ἤδειμεν, § 52, 1.) Ἀπήντων ὀλίγοι πρὸς πολλὰς μυριάδας, ὥσπερ ἐν ἀλλοτρίαις ψυχαῖς μέλλοντες κινδυνεύειν, *as if they had been about to risk the lives of others (i. e. ὥσπερ ἀπήντων ἂν, εἰ ἔμελλον).* Id. Pan. p. 58 B. § 86. So Ib. p. 78 C. § 179, ὥσπερ πρὸς τὸν Δία τὴν χώραν νεμόμενος, ἀλλ' οὐ πρὸς τοὺς ἀνθρώπους τὰς συνθήκας ποιούμενος, *as (he would have done) if he had been dividing the country with Zeus, and not making a treaty with men.*

That ὥσπερ means simply *as* (not *as if*) is seen when a verb with εἰ follows; as in ὥσπερ εἰ λέγοις, *as if you should say*. See also II. II, 780, ἴσαν, ὥς εἴ τε πυρὶ χθὼν πᾶσα νέμοιτο, *i. e. their march was as (it would be) if the whole land should be covered with fire.*

§ 110. 1. If a Participle, denoting any of the relations included in § 109, belongs to a substantive which is not connected with the main construction of the sentence, both the substantive and the Participle are put in the genitive, called *absolute*. E. g.

Ταῦτ' ἐπράχθη Κόνωνος στρατηγούντος, *these things were done when Conon was general.* ISOC. Evag. p. 200 C. § 56. Ἀφίκετο δεῦρο τὸ πλοῖον, γνόντων τῶν Κεφαλλήνων, ἀντιπράττοντος τούτου, . . . καταπλεῖν, *the Cephallenians having determined to sail in, although this man opposed it.* DEM. Zenoth. 836, 1. (For the tenses

of the Participles, see § 24.) Ἀθηναίων δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τοῦτο παθόντων, διπλάσιον ἂν τὴν δύναμιν εἰκάζεσθαι (οἶμαι), i. e. *if the Athenians should ever suffer, &c.* THUC. I, 10. (See § 52, 1.) Ὅλης γὰρ τῆς πόλεως ἐπιτρεπομένης τῷ στρατηγῷ, μεγάλα τὰ τε ἀγαθὰ κατορθοῦντος αὐτοῦ καὶ τὰ κακὰ διαμαρτάνοντος εἰκὸς γίγνεσθαι. XEN. Mem. III, 1, 3.

NOTE 1. The Participle in the genitive absolute may be accompanied by all the particles mentioned in § 109, Notes 1–9, with the same force as in other constructions. It may also stand in the relative and interrogative sentences of § 109, N. 7. E. g.

Καὶ αὐτοῦ μεταξὺ ταῦτα λέγοντος ὁ Κλεινίας ἔτυχεν ἀποκρινάμενος, *while he was saying this, &c.* PLAT. Euthyd. 275 E. Ἐκ δὲ τούτων εὐθὺς ἐκήρυττον ἐξίεναι πάντας Θηβαίους, ὥς τῶν τυράννων τεθνέωτων, *because (as they said) the tyrants were dead.* XEN. Hell. V, 4, 9. (See § 109, N. 4.) Ἀπελογήσατο ὅτι οὐχ ὥς τοῖς Ἕλλησι πολεμησόντων σφῶν εἶπαι, *that he said what he did, not because they intended to be at war with the Greeks.* Id. An. V, 6, 3. Ὡς ἐπιβουλεύοντος Τισσαφέρους ταῖς πόλεσι, *on the ground that T. was plotting;* An. I, 1, 6. Ὡς οὐ προσοίσοντος (sc. ἐμοῦ) τὰς χεῖρας, . . . διδάσκει, *since (as you may feel sure) I will not lay hands on you, teach me.* Id. Mem. II, 6, 32. Κῆρος δὲ ἀπορίῃσι ἐνείχετο, ἅτε χρόνου ἐγγινομένου συχνοῦ, *inasmuch as a long time intervened.* IDOT. I, 190. (See § 109, N. 3.) Ἦν γὰρ ἀδύνατος, ὥστε σηπομένου τοῦ μηροῦ. Id. VI, 136. Οἱ Ἕλληνες οὕτως ἡγανάκτησαν, ὥσπερ ὅλης τῆς Ἑλλάδος πεπορθημένης, *as if the whole of Greece had been devastated* (i. e. *as they would have been, if it had been devastated*). ISOC. Helen. p. 217 D. § 49.

For the genitive absolute after ὥς, in connection with verbs of *knowing*, &c., see § 113, Note 10.

NOTE 2. A Participle sometimes stands alone in the genitive absolute, when a noun or pronoun can easily be supplied from the context, or when some general word (like ἀνθρώπων, πραγμάτων) is understood. E. g.

Οἱ δὲ πολέμοι, προσιόντων, τέως μὲν ἡσύχαζον, *but the enemy, as they (men before mentioned) came on, for a time kept quiet.* XEN. An. V, 4, 16. So ἐπαγομένων αὐτοῦς, THUC. I, 3. Οὕτω δ' ἐχόντων, εἰκὸς, κ.τ.λ., *and things being so* (sc. πραγμάτων), &c. XEN. An. III, 2, 10. Οὐκ ἐξαιτούμενος, οὐκ Ἀμφικτυονικὰς δίκας ἐπαγόντων, οὐκ ἐπαγγελλομένων, οἰδαμῶς ἐγὼ προδίδωκα τὴν εἰς υἱᾶς εὐνοίαν. DEM. Cor. 331, 30. (Here ἀνθρώπων is understood with ἐπαγόντων and ἐπαγγελλομένων.)

So when the Participle denotes a state of the weather; as ὄντος πολλῷ, *when it was raining heavily.* XEN. Hell. I, 1, 16. (In such cases the Participle is masculine, Διὸς being understood. See ARIST. Nub. 370, ὄντα; and IL. XII, 25, θε δ' ἅρα Ζεὺς.)

NOTE 3. A passive Participle may stand in the genitive absolute

with a clause introduced by *ὅτι*. If the subject of such a clause is *plural*, the Participle is itself sometimes plural, by a kind of attraction. E. g.

Σαφῶς δηλωθέντος ὅτι ἐν ταῖς ναυσὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων τὰ πράγματα ἐγένετο, *it having been clearly shown, that, &c.* THUC. I, 74. In I, 116 we find ἐσαγγελέθων ὅτι Φοίνισσαι νῆες ἐπ' αὐτοὺς πλέουσιν, *it having been announced, that, &c.*

NOTE 4. The Participle *ῶν* is rarely omitted, leaving a noun and an adjective alone in the genitive absolute. E. g.

Ὡς ἐμοῦ μόνης πέλας (sc. οὔσης). SOPH. O. C. 83.

NOTE 5. The genitive absolute is regularly used only when a new subject is introduced into the sentence (§ 110, 1), and not when the Participle can be joined with any substantive already belonging to the construction. Yet this rule is sometimes violated, in order to give greater prominence to a participial clause. E. g.

Διαβεβηκότος ἤδη Περικλέους, ἡγγέλθη αὐτῷ ὅτι Μέγαροι ἀφέστηκε. THUC. I, 114.

2. The Participles of *impersonal* verbs stand in the *accusative* absolute, in the neuter singular, when other participles would stand in the genitive absolute. Such are *δέον*, *έξόν*, *παρόν*, *προσῆκον*, *παρέχον*, *μέλον*, *μεταμέλον*, *δοκοῦν*, *δόξαν*, and the like; also passive Participles used impersonally (as *προσταχθέν*, *εἰρημένον*); and such expressions as *ἀδύνατον ὄν*, *it being impossible*, composed of an adjective and *ὄν*. E. g.

Οἱ δ' οὐ βοηθήσαντες. *δέον*. ὑγίεις ἀπῆλθον; and did those who brought no aid when it was necessary escape safe and sound? PLAT. Alcib. I, 115 B. Ἀπλᾶς δὲ λύπας ἐξόν (sc. φέρειν), οὐκ οἶσω διπλᾶς. EUR. Iph. Taur. 688. Παρέχον δὲ τῆς Ἀσίας πάσης ἄρχειν εὔπετέως, ἄλλο τι αἰρήσεσθε; HDT. V, 49. Εὖ δὲ παρασχόν, and when an opportunity offers. THUC. I, 120. Οὐ προσῆκον, improperly. Id. IV, 95. Συνδόξαν τῷ πατρὶ καὶ τῇ μητρὶ, γαμεῖ τὴν Κυαζύρου θυγατέρα. XEN. Cyr. VIII, 5, 28. Εἰρημένον κίριον εἶναι ὅ τι ἂν τὸ πλῆθος τῶν ξυμμάχων ψηφίσηται. THUC. V, 30. Σο δεδομένον, I, 125; γεγραμμένον, V, 56; and προστεταγμένον, PLAT. Leg. X, 902 D. Καὶ ἐνθένδε πάλιν, προσταχθέν μοι ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου Μένωνα ἄγειν εἰς Ἑλλήσποντον, φρόνην. DEM. Polycl. 1210, 5. Παρακελεύοντό τε, ἀδύνατον ὄν ἐν νυκτὶ ἄλλω τῷ σημῆναι. THUC. VII, 44. Ἐγὼ γ', ἔφη ὁ Κῦρος, οἶμαι, ἅμα μὲν συναγορευόντων ἡμῶν, ἅμα δὲ καὶ αἰσχροὺν ὄν τὸ ἀντιλέγειν, κ.τ.λ. XEN. Cyr. II, 2, 20. (The genitive belongs under § 110, 1. See § 111.) Ἀντιπαρεσκευάζετο ἐρρωμένως, ὥς μάχης ἔτι δεῆσον, on the ground that there

would still be need of a battle. Ib. VI, 1, 26. (See Remark, below.) Οἱ δὲ τριάκοντα, ὡς ἐξὸν ἦδη αὐτοῖς τυραννεῖν ἀδεῶς, προείπον, κ. τ. λ., i. e. *thinking that it was now in their power, &c.* Id. Hell. II, 4, 1. Ἡ γὰρ νοεῖς θάπτειν σφ', ἀπὸ ῥρητον πόλει (sc. ὄν), *when it is forbidden to the city.* SOPH. Ant. 44.

REMARK. The accusative absolute may take the same particles as the genitive absolute (§ 110, 1, Note 1). It may also omit the Participle ὄν. (See the last two examples, above.)

NOTE 1. Even the Participles of *personal* verbs sometimes stand with their nouns in the accusative absolute, in all genders and numbers, if they are preceded by ὡς (used as in § 109, Note 4), or by ὥσπερ, as *if*. E. g.

Δίῳ καὶ τοὺς υἱεῖς οἱ πατέρες ἀπὸ τῶν πονηρῶν ἀνθρώπων εἵργουσιν, ὡς τὴν μὲν τῶν χρηστῶν ὁμιλίαν ἄσκησιν οὖσαν τῆς ἀρετῆς, τὴν δὲ τῶν πονηρῶν κατάλυσιν (sc. οὖσαν). XEN. Mem. I, 2, 20. Φίλους κτῶνται ὡς βοηθῶν δεόμενοι, τῶν δ' ἀδελφῶν ἀμελοῦσιν, ὥσπερ ἐκ πολιτῶν μὲν γιγνομένους φίλους, ἐξ ἀδελφῶν δὲ οὐ γιγνομένους, *as if friends were made from fellow-citizens, and were not made from brothers.* Ib. II, 3, 3. Μέγιστον οὕτω διακείσθαι τὰς γνώμας ἡμῶν, ὡς ἕκαστον ἐκόντα προθύμως ὅτι ἂν δέη ποιήσονται. DEM. Sym. 182, 3. (See § 113, N. 10, c.)

NOTE 2. The accusative absolute used personally *without* ὡς or ὥσπερ is very rare. It occurs chiefly with the neuter of Participles which are regularly impersonal. E. g.

Προσηκόν αὐτῷ τοῦ κλήρου μέρος ὅσονπερ ἐμοί. ISAE. V, § 12. Ταῦτα δὲ γινόμενα, πένθεα μεγάλα τοὺς Αἰγυπτίους καταλαμβάνει. HDT. II, 66. Ἡδὴ ἀμφοτέρους μὲν δοκοῦν ἀναχωρεῖν, κυρωθὲν δὲ οὐδὲν, νυκτός τε ἐπιγενομένης, οἱ μὲν Μακεδόνες . . . ἐχώρου ἐπ' οἶκου. THUC. IV, 125. Δόξαντα δὲ ταῦτα καὶ περανθέντα, τὰ στρατεύματα ἀπῆλθε. XEN. Hell. III, 2, 19. Δόξαν ἡμῖν ταῦτα occurs in PLAT. Prot. 314 C, where we may supply ποιεῖν.

§ 111. As the Participle in the genitive (or accusative) absolute denotes the same relations (*time, cause, &c.*) as the Participle in its ordinary construction (§ 109), both may be used in the same sentence, and be connected by conjunctions. When several Participles denoting these relations occur in any sentence, those which belong to substantives already connected with the main construction agree with those substantives in case, while those which refer to some new subject stand with that subject in the genitive absolute; any which are impersonal standing in the accusative absolute. E. g.

Οἱ μὲν Ἕλληνες στραφέντες παρεσκευάζοντο ὡς ταύτῃ προσιόντος

(sc. τοῦ βασιλέως) καὶ δεξιόμενοι, *they prepared themselves with a view to his (the King's) coming up and to receiving him.* XEN. An. I, 10, 6. Τῆς γὰρ ἐμπορίας οὐκ οὔσης, οὐδ' ἐπιμιγνύντες ἀδελῶς ἀλλήλοις, . . . νεμόμενοί τε τὰ ἐαυτῶν, . . . ἀδηλὸν ὃν ὅποτε τις ἐπελθὼν καὶ ἀτειχίστων ἅμα ὄντων ἄλλος ἀφαιρήσεται. τῆς τε καθ' ἡμέραν ἀναγκαίου τροφῆς πανταχοῦ ἂν ἡγούμενοι ἐπικρατεῖν, οὐ χαλεπῶς ἀπανίσταντο. THUC. I, 2. Καὶ πάντα διαπραξόμενος ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ (Κλέων), καὶ ψηφισαμένων Ἀθηναίων αὐτῷ τὸν πλοῦν, τῶν τε ἐν Πύλῳ στρατηγῶν ἓνα προσελάμενος, τὴν ἀναγωγὴν διὰ τάχους ἐποιεῖτο. Id. IV, 29. Ἀλκιβιάδης τοῖς Πελοποννησίοις ὑποπτος ὢν, καὶ ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἀφικομένης ἐπιστολῆς ὥστ' ἀποκτεῖναι, ὑποχωρεῖ παρὰ Τισσαφέρην. Id. VIII, 45.

See the examples collected by Krüger, Vol. I, § 56, 14, 2; and his note to THUC. IV, 5, 1.

§ 112. The Participle may be joined with certain verbs to restrict their meaning to particular actions, in a sense which often resembles that of the Infinitive (§ 92, 1). Such a Participle may agree in case with either the subject or the object of the verb.

1. The Participle is thus used especially with verbs signifying *to begin, to continue, to endure, to persevere, to cease* (or *cause to cease*), *to repent, to be weary of, to be pleased, displeased, or ashamed, to represent* (as in a poem), *to find*.

Further, after verbs signifying *to overlook* or *to allow* (περιεοράω, ἐφοράω, with περιεῖδον and ἐπέιδον, sometimes εἶδον) the Participle is used in the sense of the object Infinitive, the Present and Aorist Participles differing merely as the same tenses of the Infinitive would differ in similar constructions (§ 15, 1; § 23, 1). See § 24, Note 2. E. g.

(a.) Ἀρξομαι λέγων, *I will begin to speak.* PLAT. Symp. 186 B. Παῖσαι λέγουσα, *cease speaking.* EUR. Hippol. 706. (So ἀπειπεῖν λέγων.) Οὐκ ἀνέξομαι ζῶσα, *I shall not endure to live.* Ib. 355. Τὴν φιλοσοφίαν παῦσον ταῦτα λέγουσαν, *cause philosophy to stop saying this.* PLAT. Gorg. 482 A. Καὶ ἐγὼ τοῖς ἐρωτῶσι χαίρω ἀποκρινόμενος, *I like to answer, &c.* Id. Prot. 318 D. Τῷ μὲν ῥα χαίρων νοστήσαντι, *they rejoiced in his return.* Od. XIX, 463. Τῆς Αἰολίδος χαλεπῶς ἔφερεν ἀπεστερημένος, *he took it hardly that he was deprived of Aeolis.* XEN. Hell. III, 2, 13. Αἰσχύνομαι λέγων, *I am ashamed to say.* (For αἰσχύνομαι λέγειν, see below, N. 6.) Ἀποκάμνω τρέχων, *I am weary of running.* Τοὺς ἐκ τῆς νήσου δεσμώτας μετεμέλονται ἀποδεδωκότες, *they repented of having given them up.* THUC. V, 35. Πείποιηκε τοὺς ἐν Ἑλιδος τὸν αἰὲ χρόνον τιμωρομένους, *he has represented those in Hades as suffering*

punishment. PLAT. Gorg. 525 E. Εἶπεν δ' εὐρύοπα Κρονίδην ἄτερ ἡμενον ἄλλον, *she found him sitting apart*. II. I, 498. So I, 27.

(b.) Μὴ περιδῶμεν ὑβρισθεῖσαν τὴν Λακεδαίμονα καὶ καταφρονηθεῖσαν, *let us not allow Lacedaemon to be insulted and despised*. ISOC. Archid. 138 A. § 108. Μὴ μ' ἰδεῖν θανόνθ' ὑπ' ἀστών, *not to see me killed*. EUR. Orest. 746. Τλῆναί σε δρῶσαν, *that thou shouldst take courage to do*. SOPH. El. 913. See examples in § 24, N. 2.

REMARK. In Herodotus πειράομαι is often used with the Participle in the same way; as οὐκ ἐπειράτο ἐπιὼν ὁ Κύρος, *Cyrus did not attempt to approach*, I, 77. So I, 84; VI, 50.

Ἀποδείκνυμι and παρασκευάζω, in the meaning *to render*, may take the Participle as well as the Infinitive; as in XEN. Cyr. I, 6, 18, ἄμα καὶ τὰπιτήδεια μάλιστα ἔχοντας ἀποδείξειν καὶ τὰ σώματα ἄριστα ἔχοντας παρασκευάσειν. So ARIST. Plut. 210, βλέποντ' ἀποδείξω σε, *I will make you see*. See these two verbs in Liddell and Scott's Lexicon.

2. The Participle used with the following verbs contains the leading idea of the expression: διατελέω, *to continue*, λανθάνω, *to escape the notice of*, τυγχάνω, *to happen*, φθάνω, *to anticipate, to get the start of*, οἴχομαι, *to be gone*, and θαμίζω, *to be wont or to be frequent*.

So in poetry with κυρέω, *to happen*; and in Herodotus with συμπίπτω, *to happen*, and with πολλός εἰμι, πολλὸς ἔγκειμαι, or παντοῖος γίγνομαι, *to be urgent*; and in Homer with βῆ for ἔβη. E. g.

Διατελεῦσι τὸ μέχρι ἐμεῦ αἰεὶ ἔοντες ἐλεύθεροι, *they still remain free*. HDT. VII, 111. Ὅσπην εὖνοίαν ἔχων ἐγὼ διατελῶ, *as much good will as I continually bear*. DEM. Cor. § 1. Ἔλαθεν (αὐτὴν) ἀφθέντα πάντα καὶ καταφλεχθέντα, *everything took fire and was consumed before she knew it*. THUC. IV, 133. (See § 24, Note 1.) Φονέα τοῦ παιδὸς ἐλάνθανε βύσκων, *he was unconsciously supporting the murderer of his son*. HDT. I, 44. (See Rém. below.) Ἐτυχον ἐν τῇ ἀγορᾷ ὄπλιται καθεύδοντες, *soldiers happened to be sleeping in the market-place*. THUC. IV, 113. Κατὰ θεὸν γάρ τινα ἔτυχον καθήμενος ἐνταῦθα, *I happened to be sitting there*. PLAT. Euthyd. 272 E. Οἱ δ' οὐκ ἔφθασαν πυθόμενοι τὸν πόλεμον, καὶ . . . ἦκον, *they no sooner heard of the war than they came, &c.* ISOC. Paneg. 58 B. § 86. Ἐφθησαν πολλῶ οἱ Σκύθαι τοὺς Πέρσας ἐπὶ τὴν γέφυραν ἀπικόμενοι, *the Scythians came to the bridge much before the Persians*. HDT. IV, 136. Αὐτοὶ φθήσονται τοῦτο δράσαντες, *they will be the first to do this for themselves*. PLAT. Rep. II, 375 C. (See § 24, N. 1.) Φθάνουσι ἐπ' αὐτὰ καταφεύγοντες, *they are the first to run to them*. AESCHIN. Cor. § 248. Οἴχεται φεύγων, *he has taken flight*. Πρὸς βεῦων ᾤχετο, *he was gone on an embassy*. XEN.

Cyr. V, 1, 3. Οὐ τι κομιζόμενός γε θάμιζεν, *he had not been used to being thus cared for*. Od. VIII, 451. Οὐ θαμίσεις καταβαίνων εἰς τὸν Πειραιᾶ, *you do not come down very often*. PLAT. Rep. I, 328 C.

Τοῦτον οἶσθ' εἰ ζῶν κυρεῖ; *dost thou know whether he is perchance living?* SOPH. Phil. 444. Πολλὸς ἦν λισσόμενος ὁ ξείνος, *the stranger entreated urgently*. HDT. IX, 91. Γέλων δὲ πολλὸς ἐνέκειτο λέγων τοιάδε, *and Gelon spoke urgently as follows*. Id. VII, 158. Τότε παντοῖοι ἐγένοντο Σκύθαι δεόμενοι τῶν Ἰώνων λῦσαι τὸν πόρον, *they begged them in every way* (lit. *they took every form in begging them*). Id. VII, 10. Συνεπεπτώκεε ἔρις ἐοῖσα, *there had happened to be a quarrel*. Id. I, 82. Βῆ φεύγων, *he took flight*. II, 665. (See § 97, N. 1.)

REMARK. Λαθάνω being an active verb, meaning *to escape the notice of*, must have an object expressed or understood. When no object is expressed, sometimes πάντας is understood, and sometimes a reflexive referring to the subject. Thus ἔλαθε τοῦτο ποιήσας may mean either *he did this without any one's knowing it* (sc. πάντας), or *he did this unconsciously* (sc. ἐαυτόν).

NOTE 1. Ἀρκέω, *to be sufficient*, and ἰκανός, ἡδίον, κρείσσων, or βελτίων εἰμί are sometimes used in a personal construction with the Participle (like δηλός εἰμι, &c., § 113, N. 1), where we should expect an impersonal construction with the Infinitive. E. g.

Ἀρκέσω θνήσκουσ' ἐγώ, *it will be enough for me to die*. SOPH. Ant. 547. (We should expect ἀρκέσει ἐμοὶ θνήσκειν.) Κρείσσων γὰρ ἦσθα μηκέτ' ὦν ἢ ζῶν τυφλός. Id. O. T. 1368. Ἠδίους ἔσσεσθε ἀκούσαντες. DEM. Aristoc. 641, 9.

NOTE 2. As ἀνέχομαι, *to endure*, may govern either the accusative or the genitive, it may take a Participle in either case agreeing with the object. Thus we may say either ἀνέχεται τινα λέγοντα, or ἀνέχεται τινος λέγοντος, *he endures any one's saying*.

NOTE 3. The phrase οὐκ ἂν φθάνοις (or οὐκ ἂν φθάνοιτε), *you could not be too soon*, is used with the Participle as an exhortation, meaning *the sooner the better*. The third person, οὐκ ἂν φθάνοι, is sometimes used, meaning, *it might as well happen now as ever* (for *it must happen*). See Passow.

NOTE 4. The Participle ὦν is sometimes omitted in the constructions of § 112. E. g.

Εἰ δέ τι τυγχάνει ἀηδές (sc. ὄν.) PLAT. Gorg. 502 B.

NOTE 5. Λαθάνω is sometimes followed by ὅτι and a finite verb, as in XEN. Mem. III, 5, 24. When it is used impersonally, it regularly takes ὅτι.

NOTE 6. Some verbs of this class are followed by the Infinitive as well as by the Participle; generally, however, with some differ-

ence in meaning. Thus αἰσχύνομαι λέγων means *I am ashamed to say (but do say)*; αἰσχύνομαι λέγειν means *I am ashamed to say (and therefore do not say)*. So ἀποκάμνω τοῦτο ποιῶν, *I am weary of doing this*; but ἀποκάμνω τοῦτο ποιεῖν, *I cease to do this through weariness*. (See Passow, or Liddell and Scott, under these words; and Passow under ἀρχομαι.) See περιδεῖν τὴν γῆν τμηθῆναι, THUC. II, 20; and περιδεῖν αὐτὴν τμηθεῖσαν, II, 18; where it is difficult to detect any difference in meaning. See, however, Krüger's note on I, 35.

NOTE 7. The Aorist (seldom the Perfect) Participle may be joined with the subject of ἔχω, forming a periphrastic Perfect. This is especially common in Sophocles and Euripides. E. g.

Τὸν μὲν προτίσας, τὸν δ' ἀτιμάσας ἔχει. SOPH. ANT. 22. So EUR. MED. 33 and 90. Πολλὰ χρήματα ἔχομεν ἀνηρπακότες. XEN. AN. I, 3, 14.

For a similar periphrasis to express the Future Perfect, see § 29, Note 4; and § 108, Note 6.

NOTE 8. The Participles βουλόμενος, θελῶν, ἡδόμενος, προσδεχόμενος, and ἐλπόμενος sometimes agree in case with a dative, which depends on εἰμί or on a verb signifying *to come* or *to happen*; the whole forming a periphrasis for the verb of the Participle. E. g.

*Ἔστιν αὐτῷ βουλόμενῳ, *it is to him wishing it, i. e. he wishes it*. Καὶ προσδεχομένῳ μοι τὰ τῆς ὀργῆς ὑμῶν ἐς ἐμέ γέγνηται, i. e. *I have been expecting the manifestations of your anger towards me*. THUC. II, 60.

§ 113. The Participle is used also with many verbs signifying *to see, to perceive, to know, to hear or learn, to remember, to forget, to show, to appear, to prove, to acknowledge*, and with ἀγγέλλω, *to announce*. The Participle here resembles the Infinitive in indirect discourse (§ 92, 2), each tense representing the corresponding tense of the Indicative or Optative.

The Participle may belong to either the *subject* or the *object* of these verbs, and agree with it in case. E. g.

Μέμνημαι αὐτὸν τοῦτο ποιήσαντα, *I remember that he did this*; μέμνημαι τοῦτο ποιήσας, *I remember that I did this*. (In the first case ἐποίησεν is represented; in the second, ἐποίησα.) Οἶδε τοὺτους εὖ πράξοντας, *he knows that they will prosper*; οἶδε αὐτὸς εὖ πράξων, *he knows that he himself will prosper*. Δείξω τοῦτον ἐχθρὸν ὄντα, *I*

shall prove that he is an enemy; δειχθήσεται οἷτος ἐχθρὸς ὢν, he will be proved to be an enemy.

For other examples see § 73, 2; where examples of the Participle with ὢν after these verbs may be found. See also § 41.

NOTE 1. The Participle is used in the same way with δῆλός εἰμι and φανερός εἰμι. E. g.

Δῆλός τ' ἦν οἰόμενος, κ.τ.λ., it was evident that he thought, &c. XEN. AN. II, 5, 27. (This is equivalent to δῆλον ἦν ὅτι οἶοιτο. See § 112, N. 1.) See below, Note 7. Ἀπικόμενοι μὲν φανεροί εἰσι ἐς Ὀασιν πόλιν, it is evident that they came to the city Oasis. HDT. III, 26. So with φανερὸν ποιέω: as φανερὸν πᾶσιν ἐποίησαν οὐκ ἰδίᾳ πολεμοῦντες, they made it evident to all that they were not fighting for themselves. LYCURG. Leocr. p. 154, § 50.

NOTE 2. When any of these verbs has for its object an accusative of the reflexive pronoun referring to its subject, the Participle agrees with the reflexive. Thus we may have δείξω ἐμαυτὸν τοῦτο πεποικότα, I shall show that I have done this, for δείξω τοῦτο πεποικώς.

NOTE 3. If the Participle of an *impersonal* verb is used in this construction, it must stand in the neuter singular (of course without a noun). The following example includes this and also the ordinary construction:—

Πειράσομαι δείξαι καὶ μετὸν τῆς πόλεως ἡμῖν καὶ πεπονθότα ἐμαυτὸν οὐχὶ προσήκοντα, I shall try to show not only that we have rights in the city, but also that I have suffered, &c. DEM. Eubul. 1299 4. (The direct discourse is μέτεστι τῆς πόλεως ἡμῖν, καὶ πέπονθα αὐτός.) See § 111.

NOTE 4. Some verbs which regularly take the Infinitive in indirect discourse (§ 92, 2) occasionally take the Participle. E. g.

Νόμιζε ἄνδρα ἀγαθὸν ἀποκτείνων, think that you are putting to death a good man. XEN. AN. VI, 6, 24.

NOTE 5. The Participle ὢν may be omitted here, as well as after the verbs of § 112.

NOTE 6. When σύννοιδα and συγγιγνώσκω are followed by a dative of the *reflexive* pronoun referring to the subject of the verb, the Participle can stand either in the dative agreeing with the reflexive, or in the nominative agreeing with the subject; as σύννοιδι ἐμαυτῷ ἡδικοημένῳ (or ἡδικοημένος), I am conscious (to myself) that I have been wronged.

NOTE 7. The verbs included in § 113 may also be followed by a clause with ὅτι, instead of the more regular Participle. When

δηλόν ἐστιν and φανερόν ἐστιν are used impersonally, they regularly take a clause with ὅτι. (See § 112, N. 5.)

NOTE 8. Most of these verbs are also found with the Infinitive. (See Passow, or Liddell and Scott.) But οἶδα takes the Infinitive only when it means *to know how*. Thus οἶδα τοῦτο ποιεῖν means *I know how to do this*, but οἶδα τοῦτο ποιῶν means *I know that I am doing this*.

NOTE 9. Verbs signifying *to remember* or *to know* may be followed by ὅτε (*when*) and the Indicative, if a particular occasion is referred to with emphasis. E. g.

Εἰ γὰρ μέμνησαι ὅτ' ἐγώ σοι ἀπεκρινάμην, *for if you remember (the time) when I answered you, &c.* PLAT. Men. 79 D. Οἶσθ' ὅτε χρυσόις ἐφάνη σὺν ὀπλοῖς. EUR. Hec. 112.

NOTE 10. (a.) ΩS is sometimes prefixed to the Participle in connection with the verbs of § 113. It implies that the Participle expresses the idea of the subject of the leading verb, or that of some other person prominently mentioned in the sentence. (See § 109, N. 4.) When this is also implied by the context (as it usually is in such sentences), the ὡς merely adds emphasis to the expression. Thus ἴσθι ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχοντα means *know that this is so*; but ἴσθι ὡς ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχοντα means *know that you may assume this to be so*. E. g.

ΩS μηδὲν εἰδὼς ἴσθι μ' ὦν ἀνιστορεῖς, *understand (that you must look upon) me as knowing nothing of what you seek*. SOPH. Phil. 253. ΩS μηκέτ' ὄντα κείνον ἐν φάει νόει, *think of him as no longer living*. Ib. 415. ΩS ταῦτ' ἐπίστω δρώμεν, οὐ μέλλοντ' ἔτι, *understand that you may assume these things to be going on, &c.* Ib. 567. ΩS μὴ ἔμπαλιν ἴσθι τὴν ἐμὴν φρένα, *be assured that you will not buy me off from my determination*. SOPH. Ant. 1063. Δηλοῖς δ' ὡς τι σημαίνων νέον, *you show that you have something new in your mind to disclose*. Ib. 242. Δῆλος ἦν Κύρος ὡς σπεύδων, *it was evident (to the minds of the soldiers) that Cyrus was in haste*. XEN. An. I, 5, 9. Πατέρα τὸν σὸν ἀγγελῶν ὡς οὐκ ἔτ' ὄντα, *(he comes) to announce that your father is no more*. SOPH. O. T. 956. (In vs. 959, the messenger himself says εὖ ἴσθ' ἐκείνον θανάσιμον βεβήκοτα.)

The force of ὡς here can seldom be expressed in English.

(b.) The Participle thus joined with ὡς may stand with its substantive in the genitive or accusative absolute. This sometimes happens even when the substantive would naturally be the object of the verb of *knowing*, &c., so that if the ὡς were omitted, the accusative would be used (as in a). E. g.

ΩS ὧδ' ἐχόντων τῶνδ' ἐπίστασθαι σε χρή, *you must understand that this is so*. SOPH. Aj. 281. Here the genitive absolute has at first the appearance of a dependent clause; but ὡς does not mean *that*, and the literal translation would be, *this being so (as you may assume), you must understand it to be so*. (See Schneidewin's note

on the passage.) Ὡς τοίνυν ὄντων τῶνδ' ἐσοίμαί σοι μαθεῖν πάρα, *since this is so, you may learn it, i. e. you may learn that this is so.* AESCH. Prom. 760. Ὡς πολέμου ὄντος παρ' ὑμῶν ἀπαγγελῶ; *shall I announce from you that there is war? lit. assuming that there is war, shall I announce it from you?* XEN. An. II, 1, 21. Ὡς πάνυ μοι δοκοῦν, . . . οὕτως ἴσθι, *know that I think so very decidedly, lit. since (as you must understand) this seems good to me, be sure of it.* Id. Mem. IV, 2, 30.

(c.) We sometimes find the Participle with ὥς even after verbs and expressions which do not regularly take the Participle by § 113. E. g.

Ὡς ἐμοῦ οὖν ἰόντος ὅπη ἂν καὶ ὑμῖς, οὕτω τὴν γνώμην ἔχετε, *be of this opinion, that I shall go, &c.* XEN. An. I, 3, 6. So THUC. VII, 15. Ὅταν ὥς πετόμενοι ἐν τῷ ὑπνῷ διανοῶνται, *when in their sleep they fancy themselves flying.* PLAT. Theaet. 158 B. Ὡς τοίνυν μὴ ἀκουσομένων, οὕτως διανοεῖσθε, *make up your minds then that we shall not hear, lit. since then (as you must know) we shall not hear, so make up your minds.* PLAT. Rep. I. 327 C. Ὡς στρατηγήσοντα ἐμὲ μηδεὶς λεγέτω, *let no one speak of me as likely to be the general.* XEN. An. I, 3, 15. Οὕτω σκοπῶμεν, ὥς τάχ' ἂν, εἰ τύχοι, καὶ τούτων κακείνων συμβάντων, *let us look at the case, assuming that both this and that might perhaps happen if chance should have it so.* DEM. Aristoe. 638, 25. (Literally, *since (as we may assume) both this and that might perhaps happen if it should chance to be so, let us look at it in this light.*) For ἂν, see § 41, 3.

REMARK. The examples included in Note 10 (b) and (c) belong properly under § 109, N. 4. (See also § 110, 1, N. 1; and the last example under § 110, 2, N. 1.)

CHAPTER VII.

VERBAL ADJECTIVES IN -τέος.

§ 114. The verbal in -τέος is used both in a *personal* and an *impersonal* construction.

1. In the *personal* construction the verbal is always *passive* in sense; expressing *necessity* (like the Latin Participle in -*dus*) and agreeing with its subject in case. E. g.

᾿Ωφελητέα σοι ἡ πόλις ἐστί, *the city must be benefited by you.* XEN. Mem. III, 6, 3. "Ἄλλας (ναῦς) ἐκ τῶν ξυμμάχων μεταπεμπτέας εἶναι (ἔφη), *he said that others must be sent for.* THUC. VI, 25. Οὐ γάρ πρὸ τῆς ἀληθείας τιμητέος ἀνὴρ, ἀλλ' ὃ λέγω ῥητέον. PLAT. Rep. X, 595 C. So VIII, 561 C. Φράζοντες ὥς οὐ σφι περιοπτιέη ἐστὶ ἡ Ἑλλὰς ἀπολλυμένη. HDT. VII, 168.

NOTE. The substantive denoting the *agent* is here in the dative, as in the impersonal construction.

2. In the *impersonal* construction (which is the most common) the verbal stands in the neuter of the nominative singular (sometimes plural) with ἐστί expressed or understood, and is regularly *active* in sense. The expression is equivalent to δεῖ, *it is necessary*, with the Infinitive active or middle of the verb from which the verbal is derived.

Active verbals of this class may take an *object* in the same case which would follow their verbs. The *agent* is generally expressed by the dative, sometimes by the accusative. E. g.

Ταῦτα ἡμῖν (or ἡμᾶς) ποιητέον ἐστί, *we must do this*, equivalent to ταῦτα ἡμᾶς δεῖ ποιῆσαι. (See Rem. 2.) Οἰστέον τάδε, *it is necessary to bear these things.* EUR. Orest. 769. Ἀπαλλακτέον αὐτοῦ (τοῦ σώματος), καὶ αὐτῇ τῇ ψυχῇ θεατέον αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματα. PLAT. Phaed. 66 E. (Δεῖ ἀπαλλάττεσθαι αὐτοῦ, καὶ τῇ ψυχῇ

θεᾶσθαι τὰ πράγματα.) φημί δὴ διχῇ βοηθητέον εἶναι τοῖς πράγμασιν ὑμῖν, *that you must give assistance in two ways.* D. M. Ol. I, 14, 6. Τί ἂν αὐτῷ ποιητέον εἴη; *what would he be obliged to do?* XEN. Mem. I, 7, 2. Ἐψηφίσαντο πολεμητέα εἶναι (= δεῖν πολεμεῖν), *they voted that they must go to war.* THUC. I, 88. Τὴν χώραν. ἐξ ἧς αὐτοῖς ὀρμωμένοις πολεμητέα ἦν. Id. VI, 59. Οὔτε μισθοφορητέον ἄλλους ἢ τοῖς στρατευομένοις, οὔτε μεθεκτέον τῶν πραγμάτων πλείοσιν ἢ πεντακισχιλίοις. Id. VIII, 65. (Here both the accusative and the dative of the agent are found.) See Rem. 2. Ἡμῖν δὲ ξύμμαχοι ἀγαθοὶ, οὓς οὐ παραδοτέα τοῖς Ἀθηναίοις ἐστίν, οἳ δὲ δίκαις καὶ λόγοις διακριτέα μὴ λόγῳ καὶ (ἡμᾶς) αἰτοῖς βλαπτομένους, ἀλλὰ τιμωρητέα ἐν τάχει καὶ παντὶ σθένει (= οὓς οὐ δεῖ ἡμᾶς παραδοῦναι, κ.τ.λ.). Id. I, 86. Ἰτέον ἂν εἴη θεασομένους, *it would be best for us to go and see her.* XEN. Mem. III, 11, 1. (Ἡμᾶς is understood.) Οἷδενὶ τρόπῳ φανέν ἐκόντας ἀδικητέον εἶναι. PLAT. Crit. 49 A. Ἀτὰρ οὐ γυναικῶν οὐδέ ποτ' ἔσθ' ἡττητέα ἡμῖν (= οὐ γυναικῶν δεῖ ἡττᾶσθαι), *but we must never be beaten by women.* ARIST. Lys. 450. So SOPH. Ant. 678.

NOTE. A sentence sometimes begins with an impersonal verbal in -τέον and is continued with an infinitive, the latter depending on δεῖ implied in the verbal. E. g.

Πανταχοῦ ποιητέον ᾧ ἂν κελεύῃ ἡ πόλις καὶ ἡ πατρίς, ἣ πείθειν αὐτήν. PLAT. Crit. 51 B.

REMARK 1. The same impersonal construction is found in Latin, but very seldom with verbs which take an object accusative; as *Eundum est tibi* (ἰτέον ἐστί σοι). — *Moriendum est omnibus*. — *Bello utendum est nobis* (τῷ πολέμῳ χρῆστέον ἐστὶν ἡμῖν), *we must employ war.* See Madvig's Latin Grammar, § 421, *a* and *b*).

REMARK 2. The dative and the accusative of the agent are both allowed with the verbal in -τέον (or -τέα); although in the equivalent construction of δεῖ with the Infinitive the accusative is the only form regularly used. Thus we can say *τοῦτο ἡμῖν ποιητέον* οἱ *τοῦτο ἡμᾶς ποιητέον*, but only *τοῦτο ἡμᾶς δεῖ ποιεῖν*.

APPENDIX.

I. ON THE RELATIONS OF THE GREEK OPTATIVE TO THE SUBJUNCTIVE AND THE INDICATIVE.*

FROM the time of the Alexandrian grammarians a special mood called the Optative (ἐγκλισις εὐκτική) has been recognized in Greek as distinct from the Subjunctive (ἐγκλισις ὑποτακτική). The ancient classification has been called in question in later times, and many grammarians of high authority have adopted or favored a union of the Subjunctive and Optative in one mood, to be called the Subjunctive or *Conjunctive*, in which the Subjunctive (commonly so called) is to supply the primary tenses, and the forms commonly assigned to the Optative the secondary tense. Thus the Present Optative would be called an *Imperfect Subjunctive*; ποιῶ and ποιῶμι, for example, being supposed to bear the same relation to each other as *faciam* and *facerem* in Latin.

This was first reduced to a systematic form by Kühner, who, indeed discards the common names Subjunctive and Optative (except as explanatory terms), and adopts the cumbrous expressions "Conjunctive of the primary tenses" and "Conjunctive of the secondary tenses." Rost, in his *Griechische Grammatik*, § 118, says: "The so-called Optative is nothing but a peculiar form of the Subjunctive, and stands to the Greek Subjunctive in the same relation as in other languages the Imperfect and Pluperfect Subjunctive to the Present and Perfect." Donaldson in his *New Cratylus* (p. 617, 2d ed.) says: "It has long been felt by scholars on syntactical grounds, that, considered in their relations to each other and to the other moods, they [the Subjunctive and Optative] must be regarded as differing in tense only." Again (p. 618): "These moods have no right to a separate classification." Crosby, in his *Grammar*, § 591, says of this classification, that "it deserves the attention of the student, although it is questionable whether it is best to discard the old phraseology."

As the classification of Kühner has been introduced into many elementary grammars, so that many boys are now taught to call the tenses commonly known as the Present and Perfect Optative by the strange names of *Imperfect* and *Pluperfect Subjunctive*, the

* Reprinted, with a few changes, from the *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* for Jan. 8, 1861: Vol. V. pp. 96 - 102.

question becomes not merely of theoretical, but eminently of practical importance. In fact it meets every student, and more especially every teacher of Greek grammar, the moment he reaches the paradigm of the regular verb. If it were merely a question of convenience, therefore, it would be highly important to have it settled, for the sake of uniformity.

The question *What shall constitute a distinct mood in any language?* must be settled to some extent arbitrarily. No precise rule will meet all cases; yet we may safely maintain that, when any series of verbal forms in which the chief tenses are represented exhibits a closer connection in form and use among its members than it bears as a whole to any corresponding series, it is entitled to the rank of an independent mood. That this is true of the Latin Subjunctive is clear; and it is equally clear that the Imperfect and Pluperfect of that mood have sufficiently strong bonds of connection with the Present and Perfect to prevent them from being marked off as a distinct mood. A merely superficial view of the relation of the Greek Subjunctive and Optative might lead us to the idea that the two combined would form a mood similar to the Latin Subjunctive, thus simplifying the Greek conjugation and introducing a new analogy with the Latin. But it is this fatal error of carrying the analogy between the Greek and Latin further than the connection of the two languages warrants, which has thrown this whole subject into confusion. When the Latin was looked upon as an offspring of the Greek, as the result of a union of the Aeolic dialect of Greece with barbarian languages in Italy, the presumption was decidedly in favor of such an analogy, and it would even have required strong proof to convince us of any radical difference in the modal systems of the two languages. But the more correct views now entertained of the origin of the Latin would rather lead us to believe that each language developed its syntax, and especially its modal system, independently. The modal system of the parent language of the Indo-European group is of course hopelessly unknown; and yet the comparison of the Latin and Greek verb with the Sanskrit (as the oldest representative of the family) sometimes enables us to determine special points in regard to the primitive forms with an approach to certainty. Thus, to take the simplest case, when we find *asti* in Sanskrit meaning *is*, we may be sure that some similar form existed with that meaning in the parent language of the Sanskrit, the Greek, the Latin, the German, &c., from which *ἐστί*, *est*, *ist*, &c. were derived. So when we find a Potential mood in Sanskrit, which presents striking analogies both to the Greek Optative and to the Latin Subjunctive, and furthermore find the analogy extending even to the Gothic, we must conclude that the primitive language contained the elements which the Greek developed into its Optative, and the Latin into its Subjunctive. (See Bopp's *Vergleichende Grammatik*, II. pp. 257-259.) Again, the absence in later Sanskrit of any form corresponding to the Greek Subjunctive might lead us to think that the Greek developed that mood by

itself; but in the Vedic dialect a few relics are found of a true Subjunctive, with a long connecting-vowel as its characteristic; for example, *patāli*, bearing the same relation to the Present Indicative *patāli* as *βούληται* to *βούλεται*. This seems to show that a similar mood existed in the parent language. If this testimony can be relied on, we must conclude, not only that the Latin and Greek derived the rudiments of their modal forms from a common ancestor, but that they inherited them from a period anterior to the separation of the Indian branch from the Indo-European family. We should therefore expect to find that the elements are generally the same in the two languages, but that the development is essentially different; and that the refinements in signification, for which the Greek modal forms are especially conspicuous, have been for the most part developed by each language within itself.

Let us now examine the forms themselves, to see how far a parallel can be drawn between the Greek and Latin moods. In clauses expressing a purpose or object after *ἵνα*, *ὅπως*, &c., we certainly find the Subjunctive and Optative used like primary and secondary tenses of the same mood: thus where in Latin we have *manet ut hoc faciat*, and *manebat ut hoc faceret*, we have *μένει ἵνα τοῦτο ποιῇ*, and *ἔμενεν ἵνα τοῦτο ποιοίῃ*. But even in this case of strongest resemblance there is no place for the Future Optative, which corresponds to the Future Indicative. Again, in clauses expressing *general suppositions* after *εἰάν* or *εἰ*, or after relatives or temporal particles, depending on verbs which denote general truths or repeated actions, a correlation of the Subjunctive and Optative is found, analogous to that of the two divisions of the Latin Subjunctive; for example, in *εἰάν τοῦτο ποιῇ θαυμάζουσιν*, and *εἰ τοῦτο ποιοίῃ ἐθαύμαζον*, which are sometimes represented in Latin by such forms as *si hoc faciat mirantur*, and *si hoc faceret mirabantur*, although generally the Indicative is preferred. Here, however, the analogy ceases, if we except certain cases of indirect question hereafter to be noticed, and a Homeric construction in relative sentences expressing a *purpose*, which almost disappears from the more cultivated language.

Let us turn now to the Optative in *wishes*; for here, if anywhere, we may look for the primary meaning of this mood. From this use it derives its name; and especially this is its only regular use in independent sentences, except in apodosis with *ἄν*. Here some have been so far misled by the supposed analogy of the Latin, as to translate the Present Optative by the Latin Imperfect Subjunctive; but a slight examination will show that the Present and Aorist Optative are here so far from being secondary tenses of the Subjunctive, that they are equivalent to the *Present* Subjunctive in Latin, and refer to the future, while the Greek Subjunctive cannot even regularly stand in such expressions. Thus *εἴθε εἶην* is *utinam sim*. *O that I may be*; *εἴθε γένοιτο*, *utinam fiat*, *O that it may happen*; whereas *utinam esset* and *utinam factum esset* correspond to *εἴθε ἦν* and *εἴθε ἐγένετο*.

In ordinary protasis and apodosis the same relation is seen. The four Greek forms, *ἐὰν ποιῇ*, *ἐὰν ποιήσῃ*, *εἰ ποιοῖ*, and *εἰ ποιήσει*, find in the Latin Subjunctive only one equivalent, *si faciat*. (For the first two the Latin generally preferred the Future or Future Perfect Indicative.) Here therefore the absurdity of classifying the last two as secondary forms of the first two, in conformity to a Latin analogy, is especially clear. What the Latin analogy would lead us to expect as secondary forms, the equivalents of *si faceret* and *si fecisset*, can be expressed in Greek only by the Indicative. In apodosis the Optative with *ἄν* is equivalent to the primary, not to the secondary, tenses of the Latin Subjunctive; thus, *ποιήσαιμι ἄν* is equivalent to *faciam* (not to *fecissem*, which would be *ἐποίησα ἄν*). Here likewise the Subjunctive cannot be used in Attic Greek. This analogy between the Optative and the primary tenses of the Latin Subjunctive might lead us even to the view that the latter ought rather to be called an Optative, for which view there are certainly much stronger reasons than for the opposite one which we are considering.

An Homeric exception to the principles of the last two paragraphs (explained in § 49, 2, Note 6, and § 82, Remark 2 of the present work) has little or no weight in this discussion; for, although we find examples in which the Optative in conditional sentences and wishes is used like the secondary tenses of the Latin Subjunctive, the ordinary use of the Optative referring to the future in those constructions is perfectly well established in the Homeric language. It would be a mere gratuitous assumption to maintain that the exceptions (like Il. V, 311 and 388) represent the original idiom of the language.

In indirect quotations and questions the Optative is used after past tenses, each tense of the Indicative or Subjunctive in the direct discourse being then changed to the corresponding tense of the Optative. Thus, *εἶπεν ὅτι ἂ δύνατο ποιήσαι*, *he said that he would do what he could*, implies that the direct discourse was *ἂ ἄν δύνωμαι ποιήσω*, *I shall do what I may be able*. Here the first Optative is the correlative of the Subjunctive; but it is quite as often the correlative of the Indicative, as when we say *εἶπεν ὅτι ἂ δύνατο ποιοῖ*, *he said that he was doing what he could*, where the direct discourse is *ἂ δύνωμαι ποιῶ*, *I am doing what I am able*. One tense of the Optative, the Future, can never represent a Subjunctive, as that mood has no corresponding tense; but it always represents a Future Indicative. Nothing more need be said to show the absurdity of calling this tense a secondary tense of the Subjunctive. The three remaining tenses of the Optative can with no more propriety be called secondary tenses of the Subjunctive than of the Indicative, for they represent both on precisely the same principles. This is especially obvious in regard to the Aorist, which has two distinct meanings in indirect questions, — one when it represents an Aorist Indicative, and another when it represents an Aorist Subjunctive, the direct form. Thus, *ἠγνόει τί ποιήσειεν* may mean either *he*

knew not what he had done, or he knew not what he should do; as the direct question may have been either τί ἐποίησα; *what did I do?* or τί ποιήσω; (Aor. Subj.), *what shall I do?* Strangely enough, this very class of sentences is supposed to furnish the most striking analogy between the Latin Subjunctive and the Greek Subjunctive and Optative combined. *Non habet quo se vertat* and *non habebat quo se verteret* are indeed equivalent to οὐκ ἔχει ὅπη τράπηται and οὐκ εἶχον ὅπη τράποιτο, but a single example like ἡρώτων αὐτὸν εἰ ἀναπλεύσειεν, *I asked him whether he had set sail* (DEM. in Polycl. p. 1223, 21), in which ἀναπλεύσειεν represents an Aorist Indicative (ἀνέπλευσας;) shows that the argument proves too much. Indirect quotations and questions therefore afford us no more proof that the Optative is a secondary form of the Subjunctive, than that it is a secondary form of the Indicative.

Two tenses of the Indicative, the Imperfect and Pluperfect, have no corresponding tenses in the Optative, so that these are regularly retained in the Indicative in indirect discourse; thus εἶπεν ὅτι ἐμάχοντο means *he said that they had been fighting*, i. e. *he said ἐμάχοντο*. A rare exception to the last principle shows conclusively the propriety of the names commonly given to the tenses of the Optative. The want of a tense in the Optative to represent the Imperfect Indicative in examples like the last was naturally felt as a defect; and in the Infinitive and the Participle this want was supplied by using the Present in a new sense to represent the Imperfect, the peculiar use being always denoted by something in the context. In a few instances we find the Present Optative used in the same way to supply the want of an Imperfect, the context making it clear that the tense is not used in its ordinary sense. Such an instance is found in DEM. Onet. I, 869, 12; ἀπεκρίναντο ὅτι οὐδεὶς μάρτυς παρείη, κομίζοιτο δὲ λαμβάνων καθ' ὅποσον οὖν δέοιτο "Ἀφοβὸς παρ' αὐτῶν, *they replied that no witness had been present, but that Aphobus had received the money from them, taking it in such sums as he happened to want*. Here παρείη represents παρῆν, and κομίζοιτο represents ἐκόμιζετο, which would ordinarily be retained in such a sentence. See § 70, 2, Note 1 (b) of the present work. If now the name of *Imperfect* be given to the Present Optative in its ordinary use, (when it represents a Present of the direct discourse, and is merely translated by an Imperfect to suit the English idiom,) what shall we call this true *Imperfect* Optative, which really represents an Imperfect Indicative, and stands where an Imperfect Indicative is the regular form?

We see then that the Optative was used in the whole class of constructions known as *oratio obliqua*, or *indirect discourse*, as the correlative not merely of the Subjunctive, but also of the Indicative, and that it possessed the power of expressing in an oblique form every tense of both those moods in a manner of which the Latin presents hardly a trace. In fact, this use of the Greek Optative presents one of the most striking examples of the versatility and flexibility of the language, and of its wonderful adaptation to the expression

of the nicest shades of thought of which the human mind is capable. This single use of the mood seems sufficient in itself to prevent us from assigning to it the subordinate rank of a secondary form attached to the Subjunctive.

II. ON THE TIME DENOTED BY THE TENSES OF THE INFINITIVE WHEN THEY ARE PRECEDED BY THE ARTICLE AND HAVE A SUBJECT EXPRESSED.

THE able and instructive treatise of Madvig on the two uses of the Aorist Infinitive in Greek (in his *Bemerkungen über einige Punkte der griechischen Wortfügungslehre*, published as a supplement to his *Syntax der griechischen Sprache*) contains the earliest complete statement of the ordinary uses of that tense. The same principle, as far as it refers to indirect discourse, is clearly stated in Sophocles's Greek Grammar (published in the same year, 1847). But with these exceptions, no distinct statement had been made, either in elementary grammars or in more elaborate treatises, of the simple principle which distinguishes the use of the Aorist Infinitive in *βούλεται εἰπεῖν*, *he wishes to go*, from that in *φησὶν εἰπεῖν*, *he says that he went*. According to Madvig, however, the use of the Aorist Infinitive as a past tense is not confined to indirect discourse, but extends also to cases in which the Infinitive "has a subject expressed and at the same time is preceded by the article." This principle was too hastily adopted, on Madvig's high authority, in the first edition of the present work; and, as there seemed no good ground for distinguishing the Aorist from the Present Infinitive in similar construction, the general principle was stated, that any tense of the Infinitive could retain its designation of time (as in indirect discourse) when it had at the same time the article and a subject. The same class of sentences which seemed to confirm Madvig's view of the Aorist furnished also examples of the Present, and the use of this tense as an Imperfect made an exception here almost impossible.

A more careful review of all the examples quoted by Madvig, and of all that I have met with in reading since adopting his principle, has convinced me that the Aorist Infinitive here presents no peculiarity, and that it differs from the Present only in the ordinary way, by referring to a single or momentary act rather than to a repeated or continued act. The single example quoted by Madvig in his *Syntax* (§ 172) to support his principle is DEM. F. L. p. 360, 10, § 61: τὸ μηδεμίαν τῶν πόλεων ἀλῶναι πολιορκία μέγιστόν ἐστι σημεῖον τοῦ διὰ τούτους πεισθέντας αὐτοὺς ταῦτα παθεῖν, *the fact that no one of the cities was taken by siege is the greatest proof that they*

suffered these things, &c. In the later treatise he adds THUC. I, 41, τὸ δὲ ἡμᾶς Πελοποννησίουσιν αὐτοῖσιν μὴ βοηθῆσαι, — XEN. Mem. I, 2, 1, Cyr. II, 2, 3, IV, 5, 12, — DEM. Chers. p. 105, 28; § 65, — and ARIST. Nub. 268. It will be seen that all these examples can be explained by the ordinary principle of the Aorist Infinitive stated above; that is, the Infinitive is a mere verbal noun, designating no time of itself, and is referred to special time only by the context, which in these examples happens to refer it to the past. But when the Infinitive with τοῦ expresses a *purpose* (where Madvig himself admits an exception), it is referred by the context or by the general meaning of the passage to the future: so in the following example from DEM. Cor. p. 236, 20, § 33, where on Madvig's principle the Infinitive must refer to the past: ἦν ἐν φόβῳ μὴ, εἰ πρὸ τοῦ τοὺς Φωκέας ἀπολέσθαι ψηφίσαισθε βοηθεῖν, ἐκφύγοι τὰ πράγματ' αὐτόν, *he was in fear lest, if before the Phocians should be destroyed you should vote to assist them, he might lose control of the business.*

Other cases in which the Aorist Infinitive might seem to retain its force as a past tense are satisfactorily explained by Madvig. On the whole, it would be difficult to establish an exception to the general principle, that the Aorist Infinitive is a past tense only in indirect discourse, when it represents an Aorist Indicative after verbs of *saying, thinking, &c.*

INDEX TO THE EXAMPLES

ADDED IN THE THIRD AND FOURTH EDITIONS.

	Page		Page		Page
AESCHINES.		HOMER.		Repub. IV, 434 A	Page
In Ctes. § 10 . . .	168	Il. XI, 21 . . .	171	V, 450 A	115
§ 114 . . .	207	Odyss. II, 270 . . .	36		168
		III, 92 . . .	115	SOPHOCLES.	
		IV, 167 . . .	138	Elect. 47 . . .	171
ARISTOPHANES.		V, 216 . . .	171	943 . . .	227
Vesp. 109 . . .	54	VI, 113 . . .	77	1478 . . .	171
		XI, 489 . . .	129	Oed. Col. 1770 . . .	115
		XIII, 309 . . .	171	Philoct. 232 . . .	171
				Trachin. 934 . . .	171
DEMOSTHENES.		PLATO.			
De Falsa Legatione.		Apol. 30 D . . .	35		
p. 391, II. § 159 . . .	99	Gorg. 498 A . . .	214	XENOPHON.	
		Protag. 310 A . . .	25	Mem. IV, 3, 1 . . .	78
EURIPIDES.		313 C . . .	79		
Alcest. 386 . . .	25	317 A . . .	208		
		317 D . . .	25		

ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES (1873).

	Page
AESCHINES, in Ctes. § 60	143
DEMOSTHENES, De Corona, p. 255, 2, § 88	8
HERODOTUS, III, 31	144
HOMER, Il. I, 22	190
PLATO, Men. 72 C	55
“ Crit. 51 B	234
SOPHOCLES, Aj. 1183	143
THUCYDIDES, IV, 16	143

INDEX TO THE EXAMPLES.

Aeschines.		Page	Prom. 165 . . .		Page	Appian.		Page
In Timarch.—				203 . . .	77	Bell. Civ. II, 91 .	24	
§ 99	25			248 . . .	199			
§ 125	149			292 . . .	106	Aristophanes.		
§ 127	135			332 . . .	181	Acharn. 312 . . .	37	
De Falsa Legat.—				470 . . .	155	816	209	
§ 2	145			481 . . .	145	Aves, 36	202	
§ 145 (153) . . .	149			697 . . .	143	54	179	
§ 151 (160) . . .	149			712 . . .	209	120	115	
In Ctesiph.—				747 . . .	73	180	106	
§ 2	99			760 . . .	232	280	8	
§ 7	51			786 . . .	202	448	209	
§ 69	137			824 . . .	69	461	185	
§ 83	7			835 . . .	114	759 (761) . . .	37, 93	
§ 90	162			865 . . .	191	964	211	
§ 110	118			905 . . .	157	1187	179	
§ 123	99			907 . . .	157, 221	1350	20	
§ 147	120			918 . . .	202	1375	110	
§ 177	185			930 . . .	43	1494	83	
§ 248	227			979 . . .	11, 51, 105	1508	69	
				1004 . . .	181	1679	34	
Aeschylus.				1049 . . .	175	Eccles. 236	194	
Agam 15	202			1051 . . .	175	297	79	
37	105	Sept. 38		38	184	495	68	
160	135			195	111	Equit. 112	82	
253	198			250	37, 187	696	25	
340	62			253	209	698	105	
584	188			429	33	Lysistr. 450 . . .	234	
620	106			462	23	511	47	
857	5			712	220	Nubes, 5	63	
944	92, 93			720	86	63	7	
1041	35			754	35	116	51, 118	
1067	211			790	86	125	6	
1079	195			1037	221	229	112	
1170	202			1048	211	268	209	
1435	128			1057	184	296	186, 187	
Choeph. 246 . . .	178	Suppl. 499 . . .			45	340	221	
594	106			Andocides.		367	185	
Eum. 298	52			Myst. I, 6, 38. § 43		370	223	
573	69				68	439	126	
691	201			Antiphon.		493	83	
800	181			De Caed. Herod.		505	186	
Pers. 912	184					520	174	
Prom 10	69	p. 131, 36. § 19 . .			126	535	156	
152	72	133, 40. § 87 . . .			7	613	181	

Nubes, 722 . . . 208	I. p. 15, 28. § 23 . . . 198	III. p. 114, 15. § 15 . . . 17
792 . . . 110	16, 3. § 23 . . . 188	114, 20. § 15 . . . 17
819 . . . 210	16, 25. § 26 . . . 51, 118	122, 21. § 45 . . . 217
904 . . . 110	II. p. 20, 12. § 8 . . . 159	123, 1. § 46 . . . 183
1139 . . . 42	20, 18. § 8 . . . 113, 157	123, 16. § 48 . . . 60
1141 . . . 33	20, 27. § 9 . . . 45, 131	124, 25. § 54 . . . 84
1151 . . . 184	21, 1. § 10 . . . 45	124, 24. § 54 . . . 155
1250 . . . 129	21, 10. § 11 . . . 137	125, 10. § 56 . . . 80
1255 . . . 174	21, 20. § 12 . . . 10, 108	126, 19. § 61 . . . 194
1277 . . . 22	23, 14. § 18 . . . 47	128, 22. § 69 . . . 27, 130
1301 . . . 38	23, 27. § 20 . . . 189	128, 25. § 69 . . . 80
1352 . . . 37	23, 29. § 20 . . . 194	129, 14. § 71 . . . 112
1383 . . . 111	24, 12. § 22 . . . 196	130, 11. § 75 . . . 184
1426 . . . 23	24, 23. § 23 . . . 120	130, 14. § 75 . . . 82
1433 . . . 112	25, 2. § 24 . . . 120	De Corona.
1436 . . . 21, 43	25, 19. § 26 . . . 141	p. 225, 13. § 1 . . . 227
1466 . . . 68	25, 24. § 26 . . . 188	232, 20. § 22 . . . 221
Pac. 430 . . . 194	III. p. 28, 5. § 1 . . . 197	234, 5. § 26 . . . 161
1179 . . . 130	29, 18. § 3 . . . 197	234, 6. § 26 . . . 197
Plut. 210 . . . 227	30, 10. § 6 . . . 71	234, 26. § 28 . . . 214
603 . . . 184	31, 1. § 9 . . . 42	236, 12. § 32 . . . 75
1027 . . . 44	31, 11. § 10 . . . 181	236, 19. § 33 . . . 28
Ran. 1 . . . 182	32, 16. § 14 . . . 111	236, 20. § 33 . . . 31, 240
68 . . . 191	34, 8. § 20 . . . 216	236, 28. § 34 . . . 111
96 & 98 . . . 139	34, 17. § 21 . . . 15	240, 15. § 43 . . . 113
298 . . . 186	35, 1. § 23 . . . 216	241, 15. § 47 . . . 137
462 . . . 186	35, 18. § 25 . . . 23	242, 10. § 49 . . . 111
508, 509 . . . 35, 185	Philipp.	243, 6. § 53 . . . 195
524 . . . 186	I. p. 40, 1. § 1 . . . 144	246, 1. § 63 . . . 98
579 . . . 93	40, 9. § 1 . . . 95	251, 28. § 79 . . . 95
586 . . . 51, 103	40, 18. § 2 . . . 33	257, 25. § 95 . . . 215
695 . . . 199	41, 3. § 3 . . . 134	260, 2. § 101 . . . 101
830 . . . 113	41, 18. § 5 . . . 95	268, 23. § 123 . . . 86
866 . . . 99	42, 1. § 6 . . . 10, 130	268, 28. § 124 . . . 163
871 . . . 178	43, 12. § 11 . . . 119	274, 28. § 141 . . . 119
955 . . . 177	44, 12. § 15 . . . 111	276, 1. § 145 . . . 115
1120 . . . 68	44, 25. § 17 . . . 49	276, 23. § 148 . . . 163
1125 . . . 179	44, 30. § 18 . . . 107	284, 21. § 169 . . . 151
1378 . . . 178	45, 27. § 21 . . . 128	291, 27. § 190 . . . 15
1446 . . . 136	47, 24. § 27 . . . 135	291, 28. § 190 . . . 119
1449 . . . 117	51, 19. § 39 . . . 128	295, 13. § 201 . . . 31
1459 . . . 142	52, 17. § 43 . . . 120	296, 24. § 206 . . . 117
Thesm. 372 . . . 179	53, 4. § 44 . . . 184	301, 28. § 220 . . . 213
870 . . . 181	54, 18. § 50 . . . 161	302, 24. § 223 . . . 117
Vesp. 283 . . . 154	54, 20. § 50 . . . 103	304, 1. § 228 . . . 111
397 . . . 185	54, 22. § 50 . . . 44	313, 4. § 258 . . . 58
DEMOSTHENES.	54, 27. § 51 . . . 131	313, 6. § 258 . . . 87
Olynth.	55, 6 & 7. § 51 . . . 126, 174	327, 1. § 303 . . . 5
I. p. 9, 3. § 1 . . . 172	II. p. 66, 15. § 3 . . . 70, 140	331, 30. § 322 . . . 223
9, 17. § 2 . . . 80	67, 20. § 8 . . . 139	De Falsa Legatione.
10, 1. § 2 . . . 137	68, 12. § 11 . . . 206	p. 341, 12. § 1 . . . 71
12, 3. § 10 . . . 23, 203	69, 6. § 13 . . . 13	341, 14. § 2 . . . 20
12, 22. § 12 . . . 12	70, 25. § 20 . . . 114	342, 2. § 3 . . . 42
13, 16. § 15 . . . 140	70, 25. § 20 . . . 15, 53	342, 10. § 3 . . . 20
13, 25. § 15 . . . 191	to 71, 12 . . . 63	342, 25. § 5 . . . 220
13, 27. § 16 . . . 12	71, 4. § 20 . . . 63	342, 28. § 6 . . . 22
14, 6. § 17 . . . 234	72, 25. § 29 . . . 62	345, 14. § 14 . . . 51, 134
15, 6. § 20 . . . 122	74, 24. § 37 . . . 140	345, 27. § 16 . . . 20, 42
15, 22. § 22 . . . 154	III. p. 110, 1. § 1 . . . 208	347, 26. § 21 . . . 22, 158

p. 350, 3. § 29 . 134	De Rhodiis.	I, p. 828, 25, 26. § 49 . 29,
351, 4. § 32 . 6	p. 197, 9. § 23 . 86	829, 28. § 52 . 152
351, 18. § 33 . 168	De Megalopol.	830, 8. § 53 . 120
352, 26. § 37 . 158	p. 202, 24. § 4 . 52	831, 5. § 56 . 165
353, 14 & 18. § 39 . 158	203, 12. § 5 . 52	831, 10-12. § 56 . 59,
353, 24. § 40 . 49	207, 5. § 19 . 75	62, 158
354, 8. § 41 . 161	In Leptinem.	833, 12-19. § 63 . 95
355, 17. § 45 . 79	p. 495, 20. § 127 . 221	834, 18. § 66 . 155
355, 29. § 47 . 197	496, 8. § 129 . 113	834, 24, 25. § 67 . 104,
356, 10. § 48 . 158	505, 9. § 157 . 74	123
356, 13. § 48 . 157	505, 19. § 158 . 193	II, p. 837, 10. § 5 . 218
357, 3. § 51 . 64	In Midiam.	837, 11. § 5 . 72
360, 10. § 61 . 240	p. 522, 18. § 24 . 196	839, 29. § 14 . 218
364, 11 & 12. § 74 . 88,	525, 3. § 33 . 98	842, 9. § 20 . 176
112	525, 11. § 34 . 27	842, 14, 15. § 21 . 49,
364, 18. § 74 . 45, 158	530, 10. § 49 . 214	104
364, 25. § 75 . 215	535, 15. § 64 . 126	842, 16. § 21 . 126
369, 12. § 88 . 183	536, 1. § 66 . 161	III, p. 846, 15. § 5 . 123
370, 22. § 94 . 79	536, 25. § 69 . 123	849, 24. § 17 . 72
372, 1. § 99 . 84	548, 17. § 104 . 151	852, 12. § 25 . 204
378, 4. § 120 . 155	548, 20. § 104 . 161	In Onetorem I.
378, 23. § 122 . 151	548, 24. § 105 . 168	p. 865, 24. § 7 . 163
379, 2. § 123 . 98	563, 26. § 151 . 77	867, 1. § 10 . 29
379, 7. § 123 . 200	582, 2. § 209 . 210	869, 9 & 10. § 19 . 153,
379, 15 & 17. § 124 . 13,	582, 15. § 211 . 181	164
158	In Androtionem.	869, 12. § 20 . 153, 239
381, 5. § 129 . 17	p. 593, 14. § 2 . 151	869, 18. § 20 . 50
381, 10. § 130 . 15, 158	596, 17. § 11 . 72	870, 11. § 23 . 151
387, 6. § 148 . 15	598, 20. § 17 . 113	870, 27. § 25 . 161
387, 17. § 149 . 199	609, 5. § 22 . 137	873, 8. § 33 . 24
388, 4. § 150 . 14	611, 10. § 59 . 151	873, 10. § 33 . 211
388, 9. § 151 . 27	613, 3. § 64 . 183	In Zenothemin.
388, 17. § 151 . 165	In Aristocratem.	p. 886, 1. § 14 . 34, 222
390, 5. § 156 . 64	p. 623, 11. § 7 . 49, 73	In Apaturium.
392, 13. § 163 . 292	624, 20. § 12 . 162	p. 900, 22. § 25 . 199
396, 30. § 177 . 24, 160	627, 21. § 22 . 209	903, 22. § 34 . 142
402, 8. § 195 . 193	629, 2. § 26 . 193	In Phaenippum.
409, 25. § 221 . 188	635, 15. § 48 . 127	p. 1040, 20. § 5 . 40
410, 18. § 224 . 199	638, 25. § 58 . 232	In Olympiodorum.
410, 28. § 223 . 23	640, 10. § 62 . 74	p. 1172, 1. § 16 . 165
412, 21. § 229 . 31, 197	641, 9. § 64 . 228	In Timotheum.
416, 7. § 240 . 182, 183	659, 15. § 117 . 37	p. 1194, 13. § 35 . 165
416, 11. § 240 . 166	676, 12. § 167 . 202	1194, 20. § 35 . 58, 158
419, 28. § 250 . 74	683, 22. § 188 . 197	1201, 19. § 58 . 191
434, 6. § 289 . 86	688, 27. § 205 . 201	In Polyclem.
439, 3. § 305 . 15	In Timocratem.	p. 1210, 5. § 12 . 224
439, 29. § 309 . 141	p. 702, 26. § 9 . 199	1223, 20, 21. § 55 . 29,
441, 21. § 312 . 59	711, 8. § 35 . 118	151, 239
450, 27. § 342 . 60	721, 6. § 64 . 22	1227, 2. § 67 . 165
De Pace.	733, 20. § 106 . 75	De Coron. Trierarch.
p. 62, 10. § 29 . 199, 201	In Aristog. I.	p. 1228, 28. § 3 . 92
De Chersoneso.	p. 773, 1. § 11 . 87	In Eubulidem.
p. 90, 1. § 1 . 98	In Aphobum.	p. 1299, 4. § 1 . 230
92, 21. § 11 . 198	I, p. 813, 4. § 1 . 205	1300, 8. § 3 . 58
98, 25. § 35 . 205	813, 20. § 2 . 205	1303, 2. § 14 . 150
99, 14. § 38 . 79	814, 4. § 3 . 123	
De Symmoris.	828, 23. § 48 . 49	
p. 178, 17. § 2 . 44		
182, 3. § 11 . 225		

p. 1303, 22. § 16 . . . 163	Iph. Aul. 462 . . . 33	I, 36 69
1303, 25. § 16 27, 128	1478 . . . 204	37 107
1312, 17. § 44 20, 106	Iph. Taur. 688 . . . 224	39 98, 99
1319, 8. § 65 . . . 84	995 . . . 86	42 43
1320, 25. § 70 . . . 105	1014 . . . 31	44 169
	1108 . . . 5	44 172
	1203 . . . 179	44 227
DINARCHUS.	Med. 1 . . . 177	61 207
In Demosth. p. 91, 24 72	33 229	63 6
EUCLID.	79 211	67 142
I, 9 21	90 229	68 7
EURIPIDES.	941 62	68 172
Alcest. 11 199	1151 (1120) 186, 187	69 7
52 106	1173 145	75 69
671 26, 108	1320 37	77 227
755 11	Orest. 379 . . . 141	82 228
758 142	418 135	83 150
771 108	680 221	84 227
801 208	746 227	85 5
1072 176	769 233	89 195
Androm. 61 86	770 80	94 193
333 179	1132 119	99 69
757 185	1529 31	116 29, 151
929 106	Phoeniss. 92 . . . 84	129 193
Bacch. 343 186	504 217	136 145
719 183	895 184	153 208
Cycl. 131 179	1590 185	165 81
Elect. 126 175	1624 220	165 212
335 214	Suppl. 1066 . . . 185	171 131
484 56	1084 119	187 94
962 179	Troad. 874 . . . 203	190 223
967 37		199 184
1039 184	Aeg. Frag. 5 . . . 104	209 202
1061 176	Alcimen. Frag. 103 194	II, 2 211
1124 38	Beller. " 294 92	13 47, 131
Hecub. 112 231	Ino, " 417 126	15 207
730 205	" " 424 45	25 207
836 175	Hippol. " 443 72	64 193
839 52	" " 443 220	66 225
863 93	Frag. Incert. 1057 46	115 171
1042 183		121 164
1056 182	HERODOTUS.	125 207
1099 182	I, 1 210	135 141
1138 81	2 113	143 142
Heracl. 248 85	8 219	146 219
451 179	9 5	150 131
731 136	9 74	158 219
791 86	9 85	162 33
Herc. Fur. 538 . . . 7	11 180	172 193
605 212	22 14	III, 26 230
746 33	24 210	36 74
1059 180	25 151	55 193
1417 183	30 14	62 155
Hippol. 346 190	31 29, 151	75 20
393 30	31 141	83 140
567 180	32 104	105 193
606 186	32 145	108 193
1307 219	32 209	115 170
1416 174	33 141	119 48
Ion. 1560 5	34 215	133 194

III, 139 7	I, 60 107	II, 36 38
IV, 46 133	61 98	43, 45 7
78 48	66 115	80 102
118 35	81 109	106, 107 8
118 97	82 121, 144	107, 108 203
118 184	107 196	119 196
136 227	118 68	127 203
156 169	120 170	139 10, 128, 179
184 206	128 102	182 204
V, 25 204	132 172	214 204
49 224	135 114	236 179
101 201	136 77	250 112
VI, 9 200	137 57, 104, 151	252 77
12 195	139 55	259 174
23 218	150 183	290 190
27 130	151 204	322 143
45 146	159 217	331 178
49 169	161 158	364 102
50 227	163 133	381 67
104 218	166 108	435 180
108 212	174 56, 78	665 238
136 223	178 92	780 232
VII, 5 174	191 155	794 168
10 146	193 122	III, 28 33
10 228	218 131	54 182
18 138	231 172	110 76
53 184	232 110	180 48
54 146	242 128	192 178
111 227	244 172	194 197
153 140	255 105	281 102
154 204	258 196	288 104
158 228	262 181	291 143
168 233	302 112	317 155
213 155	322 178	366 33
220 31	324 57, 104, 182	428 177
235 81	338 203	459 139
VIII, 22 121	344 71	IV, 19 175
35 19	353 98	176 55
100 199	363 180	191 138
143 211	408 115	234 180
IX, 12 199	432 25	238 128
91 228	437, 439 7	249 156
57 219	465 8	313 175
61 19	493 122	321 92
HESIOD.	498 227	335 168
Op. 1 8	509 143	404 180
HOMER.	518 123	418 179
Iliad.	522 68	482 133
I, 8 204	524 68, 112	V, 66 19
11 172	555 80	85 58, 101
13 217	558 78	127 70
18 174	564 92	220 212
20 209	580 114	228 22
26 181	582 209	273 107
27 227	586 221	298 81
28 40	589 196	308 106
29 146	601 215	311 101
32 69	II, 3 76	350 104
56 171	8 178	388 101
	10 209	407 133

V, 411	77	XIII, 172	210	I, 232	99
433	170	317	135	295	76
456	61	321	51	390	110
679	95	329	142	392	188
VI, 49	107	343	129	II, 31	182
128	117	368	43	43	182
146	137	667	32	54	134
164	175	825	175	59	204
177	169	XIV, 247	129	111	67
229	204	261	81	158	196
258	143	267	55	374	146
329	129	521	197	III, 19	78
350	177	XV, 70	143	129	76
361	77	195	221	205	174
448	123, 182	213	104	223	105
459	182	223	5	284	68
460	196	588	210	327	78
521	129	701	43	359	69
VII, 28	105	XVI, 84	69	IV, 97	177
303, 305	7	242	68	163	68
133	175	322	34, 210	171	99
157	175	389	46	181	99
179	209	XVII, 70	101	195	130
VIII, 82	170	177	45	363	95
36	67	272	202	477	146
125	221	XVIII, 86	177	545	76
130	96	333	6	668	210
366	96	XIX, 59	177	733	63
452	210	313	210	735	75
IX, 42	207	423	210	V, 2	67
121	181	XX, 26	104	57	142
165	139	100	210	221	104
167	55	198	211	300	85
312	130, 131	257	211	328	132
320	45	301	68	385	168
397	128	XXI, 327	7	465	184
500	130	405	204	473	80
519	5	459	76	VI, 188	133
588	146	522	133	255	68
655	220	580	146	VII, 171	48
684	60	XXII, 317	6	280	142
702	128	389	121	VIII, 20	69
704	26	XXIII, 71	180	133	179
X, 5-9	132	274	102	147	130
100	81	526	101	344	78
111	175	653-656	7	352	107
222	118	805	35	451	228
437	197	XXIV, 253	177	523-531	132
488	142	489	204	579	70
XI, 20	204	751	47	IX, 376	168
67-71	133	Odyssey		420	76
404	184	I, 40	128	475	38
XXII, 25	69, 223	47	51, 129, 175	554	76
167-172	133	56	68	X, 175	145, 146
243	188	76	76	XI, 441	209
245	121	94	115	548	177
332	139	138	204	XII, 17	34
407	32	205	76	156	70
437	146	217	127	295	179
XIII, 127	63			383	182

XIII, 209 . . . 8	p. 311 C. § 7 . . . 160	p. 60 C. § 95 . . . 31
214 . . . 133	315 A. § 24 . . . 198	60 D. § 96 . . . 35
215 . . . 180	Archid.	64 B. § 113 . . . 140
365 . . . 76	P. 116 A. § 1 . . . 217	70 B. § 142 . . . 111
376 . . . 77	121 A. § 26 . . . 211	73 D. § 157 . . . 115
XIV, 68 . . . 177	126 C. § 51 . . . 206	77 C. § 175 . . . 220
156 . . . 131	128 C. § 60 . . . 86	78 C. § 179 . . . 222
181 . . . 68	134 A. § 87 . . . 94	79 D. § 185 . . . 140
312 . . . 68	138 A. § 107 . . . 104	Philipp.
333 . . . 138	138 A. § 108 . . . 227	p. 87 A. § 23 . . . 151
372 . . . 104	Areopag.	93 C. § 56 . . . 95
440 . . . 174	p. 146 E. § 36 . . . 112	101 A. § 98 . . . 181
496 . . . 204	147 B. § 37 . . . 203	104 D. § 110 . . . 195
XV, 263 . . . 160	147 D. § 39 . . . 194	109 B. § 133 . . . 160
457 . . . 204	Busir.	Plataic.
458 . . . 139	p. 230 C. § 47 . . . 105	p. 303 E. § 38 . . . 81
509 . . . 182	Demon.	Trapezit.
XVI, 24 . . . 7	p. 2 B. § 2 . . . 19	p. 360 C. § 11 . . . 214
168 . . . 180	5 C. § 17 . . . 108	361 D. § 15 . . . 169
218 . . . 212	6 A. § 19 . . . 197	361 E. § 16 . . . 167
437 . . . 182	9 C. § 33 . . . 10	363 B. § 22 . . . 40
XVII, 21 . . . 207	11 C. § 43 . . . 191	LYCURGUS.
250 . . . 71	Evag.	In Leocrat.
322 . . . 130	p. 193 D. § 24 . . . 11	p. 154, § 50 . . . 230
362 . . . 78	200 C. § 56 . . . 17, 222	155, § 60 . . . 33
475 . . . 92	Helen.	155, § 61 . . . 30
539 . . . 56	p. 217 D. § 49 . . . 223	LYSIAS.
549 . . . 102	Nicocl.	In Agorat.
593 . . . 6	p. 15 B. § 3 . . . 18	p. 121, § 15 . . . 162
XVIII, 132 . . . 133	16 C. § 8 . . . 105	133, § 45 . . . 162
272 . . . 123	18 A. § 16 . . . 74	135, § 62 . . . 88
402 . . . 210	22 B. § 37 . . . 74	137, § 76 . . . 88
XIX, 463 . . . 226	23 D. § 45 . . . 118	137, § 78 . . . 108
XX, 121 . . . 33	Pac.	139, § 94 . . . 118
XXI, 194 . . . 182	p. 160 C. § 9 . . . 222	In Alcib. II.
201 . . . 175	162 D. § 18 . . . 103	p. 145, § 8 . . . 117
XXII, 139 . . . 180	163 A. § 20 . . . 26, 103	De Arist. Bon.
262 . . . 34	186 D. § 139 . . . 214	p. 156, § 51 . . . 32
414 . . . 130	Panathen.	157, § 61 . . . 123
XXIII, 43 . . . 146	p. 241 D. § 44 . . . 216	In Eratosth.
134 . . . 69	254 A. § 103 . . . 141	p. 121, § 14 . . . 161
309 . . . 212	Panegy.	123, § 32 . . . 100
XXIV, 334 . . . 69	p. 43 D. § 14 . . . 21	124, § 48 . . . 100
Hymn. in Apoll. 1 . . . 182	44 A. § 16 . . . 146	127, § 74 . . . 163
ISAEUS.	44 C. § 19 . . . 145	127, § 76 . . . 167
Cleon. § 26 . . . 5	48 B. § 38 . . . 23	De Morte Eratosth.
Dicaeog. § 12 . . . 225	49 B. § 43 . . . 205	p. 95, § 34 . . . 18
Menecl. § 10 . . . 39, 138	49 C. § 44 . . . 139	Or. Funebr.
Philoct. § 35 . . . 40	49 E. § 45 . . . 205	p. 192, § 22 . . . 162
ISOCRATES.	55 C. § 73 . . . 151	194, § 42 . . . 194
Aeginet.	55 D. § 74 . . . 23	Or. X.
p. 388 D. § 22 . . . 86	57 C. § 83 . . . 113	p. 117, § 25 . . . 157
Antid.	58 B. § 86 . . . 222	Or. XXVII.
p. 70, § 115 . . . 196	58 B. § 86 . . . 227	p. 178, §§ 8, 9 . . . 59
73, § 122 . . . 199		
119, § 270 . . . 208		

In Philocr.		Apol. 42 A . . . 191	Gorg. 479 A . . . 63
p. 182, § 12 . . . 20		Charm. 156 A . . . 160	479 A . . . 86
182, § 13 . . . 37		163 A . . . 84	479 C . . . 12, 206
		164 B . . . 137	481 A . . . 76
MENANDER.		171 E . . . 127	482 A . . . 226
Col. Fr. 6 . . . 46		Cratyl. 385 B . . . 178	483 C . . . 189
Frag. Incert. 41 . . . 19		396 C . . . 144	484 D . . . 221
Monos. 45 . . . 36		396 E . . . 208	486 B . . . 52
387 . . . 197		401 D . . . 21	487 D . . . 35, 74
397 . . . 37		430 E . . . 83	489 C . . . 5
		436 B . . . 83	499 C . . . 208
MIMNERMUS.		436 B . . . 87	500 C . . . 215
I, 2 . . . 51, 174		Crit. 43 B . . . 70	502 B . . . 228
		44 C . . . 98	503 A . . . 74
Nov. TESTAM.		44 D . . . 177	506 B . . . 144
Matth. XI, 3 . . . 6		45 B . . . 52	506 C . . . 48
XXVI, 24 . . . 97		46 A . . . 22	515 B . . . 74
Luc. IX, 40 . . . 78		46 B . . . 194	516 E . . . 94
Joh. XIII, 34 . . . 78		47 D . . . 8	516 E . . . 112
		48 C . . . 114	517 B . . . 208
PHILEMON.		49 A . . . 234	522 E . . . 86
Frag. Incert. 29 . . . 46		49 B . . . 36	523 E . . . 218
		50 A . . . 159	525 E . . . 227
PINDAR.		50 B . . . 171	Hipp. Maj.
Ol. XI(X), 34 . . . 67		51 B . . . 217	301 A . . . 20
XIII, 92 . . . 145		51 E . . . 14	Ion. 535 B . . . 139
XIV, 30 . . . 68		52 C . . . 14	Lach. 194 A . . . 120
Pyth. VIII, 20 . . . 45		52 D . . . 14	196 C . . . 83
IX, 196 . . . 211		52 D . . . 14	Leg. IV, 712 E . . . 55
Nem. VII, 25 . . . 45		Critias, 108 C . . . 46	V, 736 B . . . 22
VII, 68 . . . 56		Euthydem.	VI, 759 E . . . 209
Isthm. IV, 16 . . . 105		272 E . . . 227	X, 902 D . . . 224
		275 E . . . 161	XI, 917 E . . . 45
PLATO.		275 E . . . 223	XII, 959 B . . . 73
Alcib. I, 115 B . . . 224		276 E . . . 161	Lys. 210 D . . . 200
Apol. 17 C . . . 181		278 D . . . 21	214 E . . . 129
17 D . . . 127		283 C . . . 75	215 B . . . 126
18 C . . . 116		283 E . . . 222	215 B . . . 129
20 B . . . 168		290 A . . . 113	218 D . . . 84
21 A . . . 151		295 C . . . 146	Men. 74 B . . . 119
21 B . . . 38		296 D . . . 174	79 D . . . 231
21 B . . . 151		296 E . . . 170	89 B . . . 73
21 C . . . 151		299 A . . . 222	89 E . . . 123
21 D . . . 124, 125		302 A . . . 129	92 C . . . 129
22 B . . . 47		302 B . . . 142	Menex. 239 B . . . 196
25 B . . . 88		302 D . . . 184	245 A . . . 142
25 B . . . 117		305 D . . . 199	Phaed. 58 A . . . 5
28 E . . . 119		Euthyphr.	58 E . . . 141
28 E . . . 203		4 E . . . 82	59 D . . . 29, 131
29 A . . . 189		12 D . . . 101	59 D . . . 144
29 C . . . 56		13 D . . . 61	60 A . . . 171
29 C . . . 207		14 A . . . 61	60 C . . . 35
30 C . . . 60		14 C . . . 95	62 C . . . 145
32 D . . . 95		15 D . . . 40, 50	63 A . . . 217
36 B . . . 222		Gorg. 447 D . . . 101	66 E . . . 233
36 C . . . 40		450 D . . . 207	68 B . . . 105
37 B . . . 42		457 E . . . 86	70 A . . . 81
37 C . . . 137		461 E . . . 218	71 C . . . 198
39 A . . . 83		462 E . . . 83	72 C . . . 51
39 A . . . 206		476 D . . . 189	72 D . . . 191
40 B . . . 219			

Phaed. 73 A . . . 94	II, 360 B . . . 140	X, 609 B . . . 79
84 E . . . 84	360 C . . . 64	614 A . . . 20
90 D . . . 196	365 D . . . 206	614 B . . . 193
91 C . . . 82	368 B . . . 80	615 D . . . 56
91 C . . . 112	372 E . . . 183	620 D . . . 111
95 D . . . 154	374 D . . . 113	Soph. 242 A . . . 199
98 D . . . 192	375 C . . . 227	Symp. 173 B . . . 196
100 B . . . 6	376 A . . . 26, 28	174 D . . . 193
101 D . . . 169	376 C . . . 86	175 C . . . 15
102 D . . . 217	379 B . . . 134	186 B . . . 226
108 E . . . 199	III, 393 E . . . 40	188 A . . . 45
117 E . . . 198	397 D . . . 37	193 E . . . 49
Phaedr. 227 D . . . 141	398 A . . . 64	194 D . . . 139
228 A . . . 92	398 B . . . 139	199 A . . . 69
228 E . . . 183	408 C . . . 92	199 D . . . 101
228 E . . . 203	412 A . . . 38	202 D . . . 62
229 A . . . 204	412 B . . . 86	208 D . . . 217
230 A . . . 8	412 D . . . 129	212 E . . . 37, 183
232 B . . . 47	415 B . . . 78	213 D . . . 77
232 E . . . 146	415 C . . . 32	215 A . . . 208
251 B . . . 199	415 E . . . 194	Theact. 142 A . . . 120
257 C . . . 81	416 C . . . 138	143 E . . . 49
263 E . . . 183	IV, 427 E . . . 43	144 B . . . 19
266 A . . . 145	427 E . . . 200	145 A . . . 208
276 A . . . 194	430 A . . . 40	145 B . . . 85
279 C . . . 174	433 B . . . 206	149 C . . . 205
Phileb. 13 A . . . 82	433 E . . . 76	155 A . . . 129
15 D . . . 183	439 B . . . 64	158 B . . . 232
39 C . . . 216	439 E . . . 153	183 E . . . 8
63 A . . . 10	V, 451 A . . . 82	209 E . . . 31, 188
Politic. 264 A . . . 191	455 B . . . 156	Theag. 123 B . . . 101
302 B . . . 196	457 C . . . 180	Tim. 18 C . . . 39
Protag. 313 A . . . 101	473 D . . . 103	18 E . . . 40
314 C . . . 225	VI, 485 C . . . 203	31 B . . . 18
316 C . . . 33	489 B . . . 94	90 E . . . 195
318 D . . . 226	490 C . . . 193	
320 A . . . 211	492 E . . . 184	SIMONIDES.
328 B . . . 45	495 E . . . 197	Frag. 5 . . . 221
329 B . . . 107	501 C . . . 143	
332 D . . . 26	502 A . . . 21	SOPHOCLES.
335 C . . . 173	508 C, D . . . 137	Aj. 20 . . . 5
335 D . . . 173	VII, 515 D . . . 53	75 . . . 186
338 C . . . 207	515 E . . . 64	122 . . . 220
339 C . . . 221	515 E . . . 145	281 . . . 231
De Republica.	522 A . . . 8	389 . . . 61
I, 327 C . . . 115	VIII, 549 B . . . 129	403 . . . 183
327 C . . . 232	549 E . . . 78	496 . . . 105
328 C . . . 228	553 A . . . 21	536 . . . 25
329 A . . . 220	557 B . . . 129	550 . . . 174
330 A . . . 157	561 C . . . 233	555 . . . 144
337 A . . . 163	561 E . . . 21	560 . . . 184
337 A . . . 78	564 C . . . 74	567 . . . 78
337 E . . . 78	556 B . . . 194	572 . . . 37
339 A . . . 78	567 A . . . 69	674 . . . 45
341 B . . . 184	568 B . . . 221	715 . . . 172
352 E . . . 64	IX, 573 C . . . 14	986 . . . 68
354 B . . . 201	580 B . . . 183	1082 . . . 46
II, 358 B . . . 115	X, 595 B . . . 208	1221 . . . 52
358 C . . . 221	595 C . . . 233	1334 . . . 181
	607 C . . . 21	1335 . . . 205

Aj. 1418 . . . 211	Oed. Col. 667 . . . 199	Phil. 961 . . . 145
Antig. 22 . . . 202, 229	781 . . . 181	969 . . . 177
41 . . . 78, 150	761 . . . 58	978 . . . 8
44 . . . 225	816 . . . 44	1253 . . . 191
61 . . . 149	848 . . . 185	1342 . . . 103
69 . . . 62	956 . . . 37	Trach. 25 . . . 81
76 . . . 92	1040 . . . 27	196 . . . 215
91 . . . 128	1180 . . . 77	197 . . . 211
97 . . . 205	1442 . . . 105	226 . . . 201
98 . . . 92	1528 . . . 68	401 . . . 150
178 . . . 132	1713 . . . 176	545 . . . 191
185 . . . 111	Oed. Tyr. 9 . . . 195	604 . . . 78
223 . . . 170	129 . . . 199	631 . . . 83
240 . . . 111	283 . . . 202	687 . . . 169
242 . . . 231	364 . . . 67	801 . . . 181
272 . . . 155	395 . . . 133	944 . . . 109
278 . . . 84	505 . . . 145	973 . . . 184
372 . . . 136	543 . . . 179	978 . . . 185
375 . . . 126	548 . . . 170	1129 . . . 81
390 . . . 60	580 . . . 215	1183 . . . 186
443 . . . 202	637 . . . 186	1233 . . . 32
444 . . . 113	651 . . . 183	Hippon. Fr. 280 . . . 172
484 . . . 93	736 . . . 146	THEOCRITUS.
534 . . . 178	796 . . . 138	Id. I, 4 . . . 102
544 . . . 202	834 . . . 27, 143	THEOGNIS.
547 . . . 228	840 . . . 20	Vs. 126 . . . 145
580 . . . 130	918 . . . 172	153 . . . 5
605 . . . 106	956, 959 . . . 231	THUCYDIDES.
666 . . . 135	1146 . . . 44, 215	I, 1 . . . 219
678 . . . 234	1157 . . . 177	2 . . . 17
685 . . . 170	1281 . . . 133	2 . . . 226
710 . . . 109	1232 . . . 202	2 . . . 194
1063 . . . 231	1245 . . . 169	3 . . . 15
1168 . . . 179	1293 . . . 205	3 . . . 223
1173 . . . 32, 191	1368 . . . 228	4 . . . 198
1253 . . . 84	1387 . . . 72, 202	5 . . . 150
1255 . . . 110	1391 . . . 72	9 . . . 94
1339 . . . 113	Phil. 75 . . . 19	9 . . . 113
Elect. 81 . . . 183	103 . . . 184	10 . . . 34
333 . . . 62	253 . . . 231	10 . . . 111, 223
637 . . . 113	281 . . . 139	11 . . . 137
697 . . . 134	300 . . . 180	12 . . . 17
1029 . . . 184	325 . . . 52	12 . . . 84
1052 . . . 185	349 . . . 201	16 . . . 190, 199
1172 . . . 141	381 . . . 79	21 . . . 130
1205 . . . 68	41b . . . 231	21 . . . 208
Oed. Col. 12 . . . 204	444 . . . 228	22 . . . 128
16 . . . 208	493 . . . 83	23 . . . 31
36 . . . 211	519 . . . 77	24 . . . 31
49 . . . 202	526 . . . 92, 179	25 . . . 30, 155
52 . . . 122	539 . . . 179	27 . . . 42
83 . . . 224	567 . . . 231	28 . . . 112
84 . . . 172	594 . . . 43	31 . . . 70
170 . . . 183	611 . . . 185	35 . . . 126
174 . . . 181	617 . . . 154	36 . . . 215
176 . . . 185	674 . . . 113	39 . . . 211
271 . . . 141	674, 675 . . . 215	41 . . . 241
310 . . . 182	761 . . . 183	
442 . . . 191	825 . . . 69	
565 . . . 199	917 . . . 28, 145	

I, 45	167	II, 2	151	III, 33	170
50	206	3	33	34	42
57	75	3	70	40	117
58	102	4	155	40	161
58	170	4	190	49	194
62	199	4	203	49	199
63	155	5	162	53	81
65	70	5 (2 examp.)	167	53	84
65	75	7	220	70	75
68	211	8	22	74	99
69	159	11	50	75	200
69	211	12	203	80	81
70	27, 45, 109	12	211	83	81
70	34	13	11, 151	88	213
72	8	13	204	89	59
73	58, 199	13	211	89	126
74	224	15	7	98	137
76	59	18	35, 229	102	206
76	62	20	35, 59, 158, 229	114	206
76	199	21	167, 172	IV, 4	190
79	8	24	168	13	155
81	234	32	13	17	133
83	123	34 (2 examp.)	27, 130	24	33
86, 88	234	37	109	28	14, 158
90	38, 54, 150	39	219	28	158
90	143	40	160	29	226
90	151	41	62	36	208
91	6, 167	42	42	42	168
91	151	44	203	43	219
91	170	45	46	67	190
91	193	49	61	71	43
95	167	49	200	95	224
99	131	53	195	113	227
101	36	56	191, 197	115	42
103	140	59	220	121	42
108	36	60	80	125	225
111	218	60	117	128	75
113	140	60	172	133	84, 227
114	224	60	229	V, 9	61
115	36	61	126	9	209
116	217	61	194	10	211
116	224	62	130	18	209
117	36	63	61	30	224
118	31	64	44	35	42
120	224	64	132	35	226
121	88	65	137	49	164
125	224	67	167	56	224
126	31	72	154	63	193
126	68	80	60	64	214
128	214	89	46	82	60
129	19	92	25	102	215
131	112	93	83	105	82, 85
132	145	102	13, 193	VI, 2	5
134	154	III, 1	201	2	32
137	5	4	74	4	211
137	143, 162	11	58	6	42
138	208, 216	22	71	9	10
140	56	26	42	16	6
142	117	28	42, 160	16	47
142	215	32	165	17	22

VI, 18 63	VII, 70 23	I, 10, 6 225
18 150, 159	70 191	10, 9 81
20 6	71 47, 109	10, 16 158
20 174	72 23	10, 16 160
21 105	77 87	10, 17 155
25 233	80 164	II, 1, 3 152
29 169	84 31, 157	1, 4 95
30 61	85 219	1, 6 204
31 7	VIII, 9 169	1, 8 156
31 74	25 60	1, 10 146, 157
37 59	45 226	1, 21 232
38 60	55 42	1, 23 151
50 203	65 234	2, 12 184
50 234	66 108	2, 21 11, 151
54 78, 192	68 8, 214	3, 6 163
57 42	70 155	3, 10 206
58 211	71 60	3, 11 201
59 216	74 42	3, 13 194
61 34	TYRTAEUS.	3, 18 58, 158
61 42	XII, 35 109	3, 19 159
61 146	XENOPHON.	3, 20 83
61 165	Anabasis.	3, 24 143
66 60	I, 1, 1 6	3, 25 141
74 7	1, 2 25	3, 29 27, 128
75 32	1, 3 25	4, 5 44
77 6	1, 3 220	4, 5 214
78 98	1, 5 132	4, 17 26, 67
82 208	1, 6 223	4, 19 117
88 206	1, 10 111	4, 22 29
96 36	2, 1 218	4, 22 214
96 71	2, 1 220	5, 13 56
100 115	2, 2 33	5, 14 64
102 158	2, 21 152	5, 16 69
VII, 1 111	3, 6 62	5, 27 162
2 34	3, 6 63, 232	5, 27 230
5 87	3, 8 220	6, 12 28, 131
6 23, 61	3, 14 229	6, 21 10, 67
10 109	3, 15 232	III, 1, 2 154
11 42	3, 17 35	1, 13 199
13 111	3, 17 52, 86	1, 14 43
15 232	4, 7 115, 168	1, 16 146
17 87	4, 12 & 13 162	1, 20 199
21 42	4, 18 70	1, 38 29
23 213	4, 18 150	1, 38 52
24 219	5, 9 231	1, 40 29
27 167	5, 13 23	2, 9 161
28 111	6, 2 199	2, 10 223
31 206	6, 8 171	2, 25 26
39 80	7, 3 79	2, 27 9, 67
42 59	7, 3 218	2, 29 25
44 224	7, 7 155	2, 36 52
46 43	8, 12 19	3, 12 152
47 159	8, 13 75	4, 8 142
48 134	9, 10 166	4, 29 81
50 211	9, 13 132	4, 49 122, 142
56 42	9, 21 67	5, 3 81
59 168	9, 27 132	5, 7 206
60 168	10, 4 220	5, 11 199
61 61	10, 5 11, 155	5, 13 152
67 75		5, 18 167

IV, 1, 5 194	I, 4, 23 146	V, 2, 12 82, 85
1, 6 81	4, 25 68	2, 22 219
2, 4 142	5, 12 197	3, 13 51
2, 10 110	5, 13 128	3, 27 103
4, 6 207	5, 14 74	3, 55 29, 130
5, 13 108	6, 3 129	3, 55 108
5, 30 29, 145	6, 7 76	4, 17 40
6, 10 75	6, 7 138	4, 30 103
8, 7 157	6, 10 80	4, 35 108
8, 14 201	6, 18 63	5, 13 9, 103
V, 1, 1 161	6, 18 227	5, 21 121
1, 9 117	6, 19 135	5, 34 94
2, 17 162	6, 22 52	VI, 1, 21 43
3, 1 126	6, 28 160	1, 26 225
4, 16 223	6, 32 201	1, 40 205
6, 3 223	II, 1, 8 28, 105	2, 30 85
6, 22 79	1, 9 119	2, 39 14
7, 5 145	1, 21 68	3, 19 207
7, 26 20, 81	1, 30 137	4, 17 205
VI, 1, 17 76	1, 31 129	VII, 1, 10 47, 131
1, 19 7	2, 3 88	2, 19 150
1, 25 163	2, 8 167	3, 3 171
1, 26 165	2, 9 167	3, 7 167
1, 28 83	2, 16 220	3, 13 184
1, 29 157	2, 20 224	3, 17 77
4, 9 126	3, 5 27	5, 6 142
5, 6 132	4, 7 29, 150	5, 73 214
6, 24 230	4, 10 135	5, 81 69
6, 25 161	4, 12 68	5, 82 81
VII, 1, 2 218	4, 17 52	5, 82 80
1, 7 203	4, 23 199	VIII, 1, 5 179
1, 16 162	4, 31 136	1, 10 40
1, 33 39	III, 1, 1 86	1, 10 161
3, 11 9, 103	1, 1 113	1, 43 40, 74
3, 13 154	1, 3 39, 161	1, 44 75, 144
3, 43 35	1, 8 68, 171	2, 21 110
4, 2 69	1, 9 199	2, 25 195
6, 21 97	2, 1 163	3, 5 196
7, 11 11, 105	2, 8 184	3, 42 189
7, 31 81	2, 12 211	4, 16 155, 156
7, 55 164	2, 13 77, 103	5, 12 137
Cyropaedia.	2, 15 218	5, 28 224
I, 1, 2 130	2, 25 216	7, 15 86
2, 1 32, 158	2, 26 41	7, 23 114
2, 1 205	3, 31 199	7, 25 62
2, 2 45, 109	3, 60 211	7, 27 206
2, 8 145	IV, 1, 1 163	Hellenica.
2, 8 206	1, 18 77	I, 1, 16 223
2, 16 94	2, 7 22	6, 7 162
3, 1 197, 218	3, 10 211	6, 32 185
3, 3 219	3, 11 206	7, 5 153
3, 5 & 8 210	3, 15 33	7, 26 221
3, 9 210	4, 4 11, 152	7, 28 203
3, 11 143	5, 15 206	II, 1, 4 151
3, 18 83	5, 37 144	1, 6 217
4, 2 81	5, 46 197	3, 2 39, 137, 138
4, 7 168	V, 1, 3 227, 228	3, 11 39, 138, 207
4, 13 155	1, 25 202	3, 51 130
4, 14 145, 168	2, 9 81	4, 1 225
4, 21 168	2, 9 212	4, 8 206

III, 1, 20 . . .	165	I, 2, 7 . . .	67	IV, 1, 3 . . .	213
2, 6 . . .	164	2, 7 . . .	168	2, 3 . . .	80
2, 13 . . .	226	2, 18 . . .	17	2, 4 . . .	78
2, 19 . . .	225	2, 20 . . .	225	2, 6 . . .	214
2, 20 . . .	143, 168	2, 22 . . .	217	2, 10 . . .	30
3, 6 . . .	202	2, 36 . . .	183	2, 12 . . .	83
3, 9 . . .	75	2, 46 . . .	176	2, 20 . . .	129
5, 9 . . .	208	2, 55 . . .	197	2, 30 . . .	232
5, 10 . . .	86	2, 63 . . .	215	2, 32 . . .	134
IV, 1, 36 . . .	199	3, 3 . . .	165	2, 35 . . .	46
1, 38 . . .	10	3, 6 . . .	207	2, 39 . . .	80
1, 38 . . .	29, 174	4, 19 . . .	172	2, 40 . . .	11, 108
4, 15 . . .	203	5, 2 . . .	203	3, 3 . . .	18
7, 3 . . .	160	5, 3 . . .	87, 107	4, 4 . . .	59
8, 2 . . .	162	5, 4 . . .	129	4, 4 . . .	216
8, 16 . . .	70	6, 9 . . .	196	4, 12 . . .	156
8, 23 . . .	205	7, 2 . . .	234	4, 16 . . .	68
V 1, 14 . . .	203	7, 3 . . .	129	4, 17 . . .	190
1, 18 . . .	178	II, 1, 15 . . .	197	6, 7 . . .	11
1, 19 . . .	214	1, 16 . . .	199	6, 7 . . .	51
2, 2 . . .	162	1, 17 . . .	87, 93	6, 13 . . .	47, 109
2, 29 . . .	15	1, 18 . . .	129	8, 2 . . .	197
2, 32 . . .	161	1, 25 . . .	185	8, 7 . . .	172
2, 36 . . .	191	2, 3 . . .	31, 141		
4, 7 . . .	23	2, 3 . . .	134	Ages. II, 31 . . .	163
4, 7 . . .	32	3, 3 . . .	225	IV, 1 . . .	207
4, 8 . . .	161	3, 4 . . .	172	IV, 6 . . .	168
4, 9 . . .	223	3, 9 . . .	192	IX, 2 . . .	137
VI, 1, 5 . . .	132	3, 12 . . .	129	XI, 3 . . .	109
1, 14 . . .	86	6, 2 . . .	126	Apol. § 14 . . .	178
4, 6 . . .	161	6, 29 . . .	161	Cyneg. III, 2 . . .	196
4, 26 . . .	219	6, 32 . . .	223	Hier. VIII, 3 . . .	179
4, 27 . . .	40	7, 10 . . .	98	XI, 15 . . .	184
VII, 1, 23 . . .	150	7, 13 . . .	141	Hipp. IX, 2 . . .	77
1, 34 . . .	152	9, 2 . . .	82	Oecon. I, 2 . . .	188
1, 34 . . .	164	9, 2 . . .	129	IV, 4 . . .	183
1, 35 . . .	150	10, 2 . . .	68	VII, 5 . . .	74
1, 38 . . .	153	III, 1, 3 . . .	223	VII, 20 . . .	139
2, 9 . . .	203	2, 1 . . .	74	VII, 39 . . .	52
3, 7 . . .	135	2, 3 . . .	9, 67	VIII, 8 . . .	172
4, 34 . . .	161	3, 3 . . .	178	XII, 19 . . .	207
4, 37 . . .	142	3, 11 . . .	192, 197	XIII, 4 . . .	191
4, 39 . . .	155	5, 1 . . .	183	XV, 2 . . .	163
5, 8 . . .	40	5, 6 . . .	130	XX, 8 . . .	77
Memorabilia.		5, 24 . . .	228	Rep. Ath. I, 16 . . .	127
I, 1, 5 . . .	94	6, 3 . . .	233	Rep. Lac. XIV, 5 . . .	36
1, 13 . . .	168	6, 16 . . .	82	Symp. II, 11 . . .	81
1, 16 . . .	59	8, 8 . . .	196	III, 3 . . .	202
2, 1 . . .	205	8, 10 . . .	207	IV, 6 . . .	172
2, 3 . . .	198	11, 1 . . .	234	IV, 26 . . .	214
2, 6 . . .	169	12, 6 . . .	205	VII, 2 . . .	76
		13, 3 . . .	196, 206	VIII, 25 . . .	74

ENGLISH INDEX.

N. B. The figures refer to *Pages*.

Absolute, Genitive, 222, 225, 232; **Accusative**, 224, 225.

Antecedent, definite or indefinite, 121, 122, 123-125.

Aorist, Indicative, 24. Distinguished from Imperf., 7, 8, 24. Of verbs denoting a *state or condition*, 24. Used for Perf. or Pluperf., 25. Expressing a momentary action just taking place, 25. In epistles, 25. In Final clauses, 72. In Protasis and Apodosis (implying non-fulfilment of condition), 93-102; how distinguished from Imperf., 94, 96 (*b*); how from Pluperf., 96 (*b*). With *ἄν* in Apod., 56, 93-96; rarely referring to present time, 101. *Gnomic*, 45; with *πολλάκις* or *ἤδη*, 46; in Hom. similes, 46; a primary tense, 49; see Aor. Inf. *Iterative*, w. *ἄν*, 47; iterative forms in *-σκον*, *-σκόμην* (w. *ἄν*, in Ildt.), 47, 48.

—, in dependent moods, 25-36. How distinguished from Present, 8 (R.). See Contents, Chap. II.; and Subj., Opt., Imperat., and Infin.

—, Subjunctive, 26-28. As Fut. Perf., after *ἐπειδάν*, &c., 26. How distinguished from Perf. Subj., 28. With *μή* in prohibitions, 180. With *οὐ μή*, 184.

—, Optative, 28-30. After *ἐπειδή*, &c., 29. In indirect quotations and questions, 29, 30; ambiguity of, in indirect questions, 30.

—, Imperative, 30. Rarely used in prohibitions (for Aor. Subj.), 181.

—, Infinitive, with *indef. time*, 30-32. After *χράω*, *θεσπίζω*, &c., 31; see Present. After *αἰτιός εἰμι*, 32. In indirect quotation, referring to the *past*, 32, 33. Used for the Future: 'after verbs of *hoping, promising*, &c., 32; after verbs of *thinking, saying*, &c., 33. After *λέγω* and *εἶπον*, to *command*, 34. Primary or secondary tense, 53. In a *gnomic*

sense, 46, 47. With *ἄν* in a *podosis*, 59, 113, 114, 157; see *Ἄν*.

—, Participle, 34-36. With *λανθάνω*, *φθάνω*, &c., coinciding with the verb in time, 34; with *περιπατάω*, &c., 35. With *ὁμολογέω*, 36. With *ἔχω*, as periphrastic Per., 229. With *ἔσομαι*, as periphr. Fut. Perf., 44. Primary or secondary tense, 54. As protasis, equiv. to Aor. Ind., Subj., or Opt., 110, 217. With *ἄν* in a *podosis* (never in protasis), 59, 113, 114, 159; see *Ἄν*.

Apodosis, defined, 87, 125; see Protasis.

Assimilation in conditional Relative clauses, 135, 136.

Causal sentences, 171-173; see Contents, Chap. IV, Sect. V. Introduced by Relatives, 141; negative particle in, 142. Expressed by Participles, 216; by Part. w. *ὥς*, 219, 220.

Caution, verbs of; see *Fear*.

Conditional sentences, 110-121; see Contents, Chap. IV, Sect. II. Cond. Relative sentences, 125-137.

Danger, expressions of; see *Fear*.

Dative after Verbals in *-τέος*, 233, 234.

Dependence of moods and tenses, 48-54.

Direct Quotations, distinguished from indirect, 147; introduced by *ὅτι*, 171.

Exhortations, Imperative in, 178. Subj. in, 179. Opt. in (poet.), 175. Fut. Ind. in, w. *ὅπως* (sc. *σκόπει*), 78, 79.

Fear, caution, and danger, expressions of, 80-87. Followed by *μή* w. Subj. or Opt., 80, see Object clauses; by *μή* w. Fut. Ind. (seldom), 82; by *μή* w. Pres. and Past tenses of Indic., 83-85; by *ὥς* or *ὅπως* w. Indic. (as 'in indir. discourse).

- 85; by Fut. Inf., 85; by *εἰ*, *whether*, *ὅπως*, *how*, &c., 85; by a causal sentence with *ὅτι*, 86; by Infin., 86, 87, 190.
- Final clauses (*pure*), after *ἵνα*, *ὥς*, *ὅπως*, *ὄφρα*, and *μή*, 67–73; distinguished from object clauses, &c., 66, 67. Subj. and Opt. in, 67. Fut. Ind. in, 68. Subj. in, after secondary tenses, 70. Secondary tenses of Indic. in, 72. *Ἄν* or *κῆ* in: with Subj., 69; w. Opt., 69, 70.
- Final and Object clauses w. *ὅπως* and *ὅπως μή*, after verbs of *striving*, *effecting*, &c., 73–80. Fut. Ind. in, 73, 74. Subj. or Opt. in, 73, 74; Fut. Opt. 74, 39. *Ὅπως ἂν* w. Subj. in, 76. Homeric construction in, 76, 77. *Μή* (without *ὅπως*) in, 77. *Ὡς* for *ὅπως* in, 77. After verbs of *exhorting*, *forbidding*, &c., 77, 78; rarely introduced by *ἵνα*, 78; see *ἵνα*. *Ὅπως* or *ὅπως μή* w. Fut. Ind., by ellipsis of the leading verb, 78 (N. 7, a); *μή* alone in prohibitions, 79 (N. 7, b). *Second Aor.* Subj. Act. and Mid. preferred in, 79; Dawes's Canon, 79, 80. See Object clauses after *μή*.
- Finite moods, 1.
- Future, Indicative, 36–38. In gnomic sense, 36. Expressing a general truth hereafter to be recognized, 36. Like mild imperative, 37. In prohibitions w. *μή*, 37, 79. In Final clauses, w. *ὅπως*, *ὄφρα*, *μή*, 68. With *ὅπως* or *ὅπως μή*, after verbs of *striving*, *effecting*, &c. 73. With *ὅπως* or *ὅπως μή*, in exhortations and prohibitions (sc. *σκοπεῖ*), 73. With *μή*, after verbs of *fearing*, 82. In Protasis w. *εἰ*: expressing a future condition, 103; expr. a present intention, &c., 93. In Rel. clauses expr. a purpose, 137. With *οὐ μή*, 184–187. With *ἂν*: in Homer, 55; in Attic, 56. Periphrastic form of, w. *μέλλω*, 38.
- , Optative, in indirect quotation, 38. After *ὅπως* or *ὅπως μή*, 39–41, 73, 74.
- , Infinitive, 41–43. After verbs of *saying*, *thinking*, &c., in indirect quotation, 41. For Pres. or Aor. Inf., after verbs of *wishing*, &c., after *ὥστε*, and even in other constructions, 42. Regularly used after verbs of *hoping*, &c., 43. With *ἂν* (rarely), 60. Primary or secondary tense, 53.
- , Participle, 43. Expressing a purpose, 217, 214. With *ἂν* (rarely), 60. Primary or secondary tense, 53.
- Future Perfect, Indicative, 43–45. Compound forms of: Perf. Part. w. *ἔσομαι*, 44; rarely Aor. Part w. *ἔσομαι*, 44, 215.
- Often nearly = Fut., 44. In the dependent moods, 44.
- General and particular suppositions, how distinguished, 88, 89.
- Genitive Absolute, 222, 225, 232.
- Gnomic Aorist and Perf. See Aor. and Perf.
- Hindrance*, verbs implying, w. Infin., 198–202. See Infinitive.
- Hoping*, *promising*, &c., verbs of, w. Fut. Inf., 43; w. Pres. Infin., 14; w. Aor. Inf., 32, 33.
- Imperative, 2. Tenses of, see Present, Perfect, &c. Not used w. *ἂν*, 57. In commands, exhortations, &c., 178; w. *ἄγε*, *φέρε*, *ἴθι*, 178; second person w. *πᾶς*, 179. After *οἷσθ' ὅ*, &c., 179. In prohibitions w. *μή* (Present, rarely Aor.), 180, 181.
- Imperfect, 6–8. Distinguished from Aor., 7, 8, 24. Denoting attempted action, 7. In sense of Pluperf. (when Pres. = Perf.), 7. Sometimes not distinguished from Aor., 7, 8. Expressing past *likelihood*, *intention*, or *danger*, 7. Expressing a fact just recognized, 8. In Final clauses, 72. In Protasis or Apodosis (implying non-fulfilment of condition), 93–102; how distinguished from Aor., 94, 96 (b); how from Pluperf., 96 (b); in Hom. usually *past*, 96. With *ἂν*, in Apodosis, 56, 93–96. *Iterative*, w. *ἂν*, 47; iterative forms in *-σκον* and *-σκόμην* (w. *ἂν*, in Ildt.), 47, 48. How expressed in Infin. and Part. (Imperf. Inf. and Part.), 15, 17. How expressed in Optative (Imperf. Opt.), 153.
- Indicative, 1. Tenses of, see Present, &c. Primary and secondary tenses of, 3, 49, 50. With *ἂν*, see *Ἄν*. Fut., in Final and Object clauses after *ὅπως*, &c.; see Future. Secondary tenses of, in Final clauses, 72. Present and past tenses of, after *μή*, *lest*, 83–85. Present and past tenses of, in Protasis, 92. Secondary tenses of, in both Protasis and Apodosis (*ἂν* in Apod.), 93–102; see *Ἄν*. Fut.: in Protasis, 103; in Apodosis, 102. For Subj. in *general* suppositions, 109. After Relative w. definite antecedent, 122. In causal Rel. sentences, 141. In conditional Rel. sentences: present and past tenses, 125; secondary tenses (implying non-fulfilment of a condition), 126. For Subj. and Opt. in *general* suppositions

- after Rel., 131. In Rel. sentences after a general negative, 132. Secondary tenses of, in cond. Rel. sentences, by assimilation, 136. Future after Rel., expressing a purpose, 137. Fut. after ἐφ' ᾧ and ἐφ' ᾧτε, 140. After ὥστε, 140. After ἕως, &c., *until*, 142; second. tenses, referring to a result *not* attained, 143. After πρίν, 145; distinguished from Infīn., 144, 145, 210. In indirect quotation after ὅτι and ὥς, and in indir. questions, 149, 150; may be retained even after second. tenses, 151, 152; in dependent clauses of indir. quot., 160-165. In causal sentences, 171. Secondary tenses of, in wishes, 173, 176. Future after οὐ μὴ, 185, 184; see Future.
- Indirect Questions, 147; see Contents, Chap. IV, Sect. IV.
- Indirect Quotation, 147-171; see Contents, Chap. IV, Sect. IV. Moods used in, see Indicative, &c. Tenses used in, see Present, &c.; and Contents, Chap. II.
- Infinitive, 2, 188-212. Tenses of, 212; see Present, &c., and Contents, Chap. II. With ἄν, see Ἄν. After verbs of *fearing*, 86, 87, 190. In Apodosis, 113. In indirect quotations, 147, 148, 157-159. After ὡφελον in wishes, 176, 177. Fut. w. οὐ μὴ, in indir. quot., 185. See Contents, Chap. V.
- Interrogative Subj., 182-184. In indirect questions, 154.
- Iterative Imperfect and Aorist. See Imperfect and Aorist.
- Moods, general view of, Chap. I. Use of, Chap. IV. Constructions enumerated, 65; see Indicative, &c.
- Narration, Infīn. in, 210.
- Object clauses with μὴ after Verbs of *fearing*, &c., 68, 80. Subj. and Opt. in, 80. Μὴ and μὴ οὐ in, 80, 67. How related to Final clauses, 66, 81. Fut. Ind. in, 82. Ὅπως μὴ (= μὴ) in, 82. Μὴ ἄν w. Opt. (in Apod.) in, 82, 83. Μὴ alone w. Subj., by ellipsis of the leading verb, 83. Μὴ w. present and past tenses of Indic. in, 83-85, foot-note † to 84. Other constructions allowed in, see *Fear, caution, and danger*.
- Optative, 2, 174. Its relations to the Indic. and Subjunctive., Appendix I. Tenses of, see Present, &c.; when *primary* and when *secondary*, 50-53. With ἵνα, ὅπως, &c., in *pure* Fin. clauses, 67. With ὅπως and ὅπως μὴ, after verbs of *striving*, &c., (seldom), 73, 74. With μὴ, after verbs of *fearing*, &c., 80. In Protasis w. εἰ, 91: in *particular* suppositions, 105; in *general* suppos., 107, 108. In Apodosis w. ἄν, 57, 105, see Ἄν; w. Protasis suppressed, 112; as mild command or exhortation, or resembling Fut. Ind., 113. In conditional Rel. sentences: in *particular* suppositions, 123; in *general* suppos., 129; by assimilation, 135. In Rel. clauses expressing a purpose (Epic, rarely Attic), 138. After ἕως, &c., *until*, 142, 143; implying a *purpose*, 143, 166-168 (d). After πρίν, 145. In indirect quotations and questions, 148; see Contents, Chap. IV, Sect. IV. In causal sentences, 172. In wishes, 173, 174; expressing concession or exhortation, 175. Future, 38-41; rarely w. οὐ μὴ, after ὥς in indirect quotation, 185.
- Participle, 3, 213-232. Tenses of, see Present, &c. With ἄν, see Ἄν. Conditional (as Protasis) representing Indic., Subj., or Opt., 217, 110. As Apodosis (with or without ἄν), 113. In indirect quotation, 159, 229. See Contents, Chap. VI.
- Particular and general suppositions, how distinguished, 83, 89.
- Perfect Indicative, 18, 19. Why *primary*, 18. Compound form of, 18. Of certain verbs, in sense of Present, 19. In epistles, 19. Referring to the future for emphasis (ὁλωλα), 19. Not used w. ἄν, 56. Gnostic, 45; see Perf. Infīn.
- in dependent moods, relations of to Pres. of those moods, 19. Subj. and Opt., 20. Imperat., 21: in mathematical language, 21; second person of (rare), 22; in sense of Present, 22. Infīn., 22: w. ὡφελον in wishes, 176, 177; primary or secondary tense, 53; w. ἄν, 59; in a gnostic sense, 47; as Pluperf. Infīn., 23 (Rem.), 59. Partic., 23; primary or secondary, 53.
- Pluperfect, 18, 19. Compound form of, 18. Of certain verbs, in sense of Imperf., 19. Sometimes nearly = Aor. (Ilom. and Ildt.), 19. Expressing past *certainty*, &c., 19. In Final clauses, 72. In Protasis and Apodosis, how distinguished from Imperf. and Aor., 96 (b). With ἄν, in Apod., 56, 93, 94. How expressed in Infīn. and Partic., 23 (Rem.).

- Present, Indicative, 4. Expressing a general truth, 4, 46; an attempted action, 5. With adverbs of past time (as *παλαι*), 5. Of certain verbs, in sense of Perf., 5. Of verbs signifying *to hear, to learn, &c.*, 6. Of *εἶμι*, as Fut., sometimes as Pres., 6. Expressing *likelihood, intention, or danger*, 6. Not used w. *ἄν*, 55. Historic, 6; a secondary tense, 49.
- , in dependent moods, 8–17. How distinguished from the Aor., 8. See Contents, Chap. II.; and Subj., Opt., Imperat., and Infm.
- , Subjunctive, 9, 10. In *first* person, in prohibitions w. *μή*, 180; see Aor. Subj. With *οὐ μή*, 184.
- , Optative, 10–12. In indirect quotations and questions, 11; ambiguity in indirect questions, 12.
- , Imperative, 12. In prohibitions w. *μή*, 180. See Aor. Subj.
- , Infinitive, three uses of, 12. With *indef.* time, 12. After *χρᾶω, θεσπίζω, &c.*, 13. In indirect quotation, 13. For Fut., after verbs of *hoping, &c.*, 14. After *λέγω* and *εἶπον, to command*, 14. As Imperfect Infm., 15, 16. With *ἄν* in Apodosis, 58, 113, 157; see *Ἄν*. With *ἄν*, representing *iterative* Imperf., 60. Primary or secondary tense, 53.
- , Participle, 16, 17. As Imperf. Part., 17. Primary or secondary, 63. As Protasis (= Pres. Ind., Subj., or Opt.), 110, 217. With *ἄν*, in Apod. (never in Prot.), 58, 113, 159; see *Ἄν*.
- Prevention*, verbs implying, w. Inf., 198–202. See Infinitive
- Primary and Secondary tenses, 3, 48–54; see Tenses.
- Prohibitions, Subj. and Imperat. in, 180; Aor. Imperat. rare in, 181. Fut. Ind. in, w. *ὅπως μή* or *μή* (sc. *σκόπει*), 78. See *οὐ μή*.
- Promising*, verbs of; see *Hoping*.
- Protasis and Apodosis, defined, 87. Moods and tenses in, see indic., &c.; Present, &c.; and Contents, Chap. IV., Sect. II.
- Purpose, expressed by Final clause w. *ἵνα, &c.*, 67; by Relat. w. Fut. Ind., 137; by Rel. w. Subj. and Opt. in Hom. (rarely in Attic), 138; by *ἕως* w. Subj. and Opt., 143, 167, 168 (*d*); by *ὥστε* w. Infm., 205; by Inf. alone, 203; by Fut. Part., 214, 217.
- Questions, *of doubt*, w. first pers. of Subj. (sometimes w. *βούλει* or *θέλεις*), 182; rarely w. third person of Subj., 183; see Subjunctive.
- Indirect, 147; see Indirect quotation.
- Quotation, see Direct and Indirect.
- Relative and Temporal sentences, 121–146; see Contents, Chap. IV., Sect. III. Relative with Infinitive, 193.
- Secondary, see Tenses.
- Similes (Homeric): Gnomic Aor. in, 46, 133; Subj. (without *ἄν*) and Pres. Indic. in, 133.
- Subjunctive, 1. Its relations to the Opt., Appendix I. Tenses of; see Pres., Perf., and Aor.; always primary, 50. With *ἄν* or *κέ*, see *Ἄν*. With *ἵνα, ὅπως, &c.*, in *pure* Final clauses, 67; after secondary tenses, 70. With *ὅπως* and *ὅπως μή* after verbs of *striving, &c.* (seldom), 73. With *μή* after verbs of *fearing, &c.*, 80. In Protasis w. *ἔάν* (*ἄν, ἦν*), 90, 91; in *particular* suppositions, 102; in *general* suppos., 107, 108; w. *εἰ* (without *ἄν*), see *Ἄν*. In Apodosis w. *κέ* or *ἄν* (Hom.), 57, 182; see *Ἄν*. In conditional Relat. sentences: in *particular* suppositions, 127; in *general* suppos., 129; by assimilation, 135. In Homeric similes after *ὥς, ὥς ὅτε*, 132. In Rel. causes expressing a purpose (Epic), 138. After *ἕως, &c., until*, 142, 143; implying a purpose, 143, 167, 168 (*d*). After *πρίν*, 145. In indirect questions, representing Interrog. Subj., 154, 155. In indir. quotations, repres. dependent Subj., 148, 160; may be changed to Opt. or retained, after second. tenses, 148, 160, 166. First person of, in exhortations, 179; see *ἄγε, φέρε*. Aor. in prohibitions w. *μή*, 180; Pres. only in first person (rarely sing.), 180, 181. Independent (like Fut. Ind.) in Hom., 181; w. *ἄν* or *κέ*, 182. Interrog. (in *questions of doubt*), 182. With *οὐ μή*, as strong future, 184.
- Temporal sentences, see Relative. With *ἕως, &c., until*, 142–144. With *πρίν*, 144–147, 210.
- Tenses, enumeration of, 3. Primary and secondary, 3, 48–54. Relative or absolute time denoted by, 3, 4. Gnomic and iterative, 45–48. Use of, see Contents, Chap. II. See also Present, &c.
- Verbal in *-τέος*, 3; see Contents, Chap. VII
- Wish, expression of, 173–177; see Contents, Chap. IV., Sect. VI. Ind and Opt. in, see Ind. and Opt. Latin and Greek expressions of, compared, 177. Infm. in (poet.), 209.

GREEK INDEX.

N. B. The figures refer to *Pages*.

Ἀγανακτεω εἰ, 120.

Ἀγαπάω εἰ, 120.

Ἀγε or ἄγετε w. Imperat., 178, 179, 180.

Ἀδικέω as Perf., 5.

Αἰσχύνομαι with Part. and Inf., 223. Αἰσχύνομαι εἰ, 120.

Αἰτιός εἰμι, w. Inf. (sometimes w. τοῦ or τό), 190, 191; w. Aor. Inf., 32.

Ἀκούειν, ἀκοῦσαι, in sound, 196.

Ἀλίσκομαι as Perf., 5.

Ἄλλά or αὐτάρ (like δέ) in Apodosis, 121, 137 (Rem.).

Ἄμα w. Partic., 219.

Ἄν (ἄ), Adv., two uses of, in Apodosis and in Protasis, 54. Not used w. Pres. and Perf. Indic., 55. With Fut. Ind.: in early poets, 55, 104; in Attic, 56. With secondary tenses of Ind. in Apodosis, 56, 87, 90, 94, 127; omitted for emphasis, 96; regularly omitted in Apod. formed by Infin. and ἐχρῆν, ἔδει, &c., 97-100. With Imperf. and Aor. Ind. in *iterative* sense, 47. With Subjunctive: in Protasis (ἐάν, ἄν, or ἦν), 56, 87, 102, 108; in conditional Rel. and Temporal clauses, 56, 125, 128, 130, 143, 144, 145; often omitted in Hom. (rarely in Attic), 104, 105, 109, 133. In Final clauses after ὥς ὅπως, ὅφρα: w. Subj., 68, 69; rarely w. Opt., 69, 70. Omitted from ἐάν, ὅταν, ὅς ἄν, &c., when the Subj. is changed to Opt. after past tenses, 149; rarely retained, 163, 169. Seldom w. ὥς and Subj. in Hom. similes, 132. Epic use of, w. Subj. in Apodosis, 57, 104, 182. With Optative, always forming Apodosis, 57, 105, 123, see Optative; never w. Fut. Opt., 57; rarely omitted, 100. With an Opt. in Protasis which is also an Apod., 107, 133. Εἰ κε w. Opt. in Hom., 107. Never used w. Imperat., 57. With Infin. and Part.: in Apodosis, 57-61, 113, 157, 159, 192, 232; never in Protasis, 62; use

of each tense with, see Present, &c. With Inf. for *iterative* Imperf. and Aor. w. ἄν, 60. Position of, 61, 62. Repetition of, 62-64. Without a verb, 63. Τάχ' ἄν, perhaps, 64.

Ἄν (ᾱ), contracted for, ἐάν. See Ἐάν.

Ἀναιρέω, to give an oracular response, see Χράω.

Ἀνέχομαι w. Part., 223.

Ἀποκάμνω w. Part. and Inf., 223.

Ἀρκέω w. Part., 223.

Ἄτε, ἄτε δή, w. Part., 219.

Αὐτίκα w. Part., 219.

Ἀχρη, see Ἐως. Ἀχρη οὐ, 142.

Βελτίων w. Part., 223.

Βούλει or βούλεσθε w. Interrog. Subj., 182. Βουλομένῳ μοι τοῦτό ἐστιν, 229.

Γεγονέαι, to be, 19.

Δέ, in Apodosis, 121. In antecedent clauses, 137.

Δείκνυμι w. Part., 229.

Δεινόν ἐστιν εἰ, 120.

Δηλός εἰμι w. Part., 230.

Διατελέω w. Part., 227; see Δανθάνω.

Δίδωμι, to offer, 5. Imperf. of, 7.

Δίκαιος w. Inf., 195.

Διόπερ, 171.

Διότι, 171; w. Inf. (Hdt.), 193.

Ἐάν (εἰ ἄν), contracted ἄν (ᾱ) or ἦν, 56, 87, 104; w. Subj., 102, 108.

Ἐβουλόμην with Infinitive in Apodosis, without ἄν, 93.

Ἐδει, ἐχρῆν (χρῆν), ἐξῆν, ἐνῆν, προσῆκεν, εἰκὸς ἦν ἤρμωσεν, ἦν or ὑπῆρχεν, with Infin. in Apodosis, without ἄν, 97-100.

Εἰ, if, 87, 92, 93, 105, 108; see Ἄν. *Supposing that*, 115, 163. After θαυμάζω, &c., 120. With Inf. (Hdt.), 193. Εἰ γάρ, or εἰ, in wishes, 173-177.

- Εἰ, *whether*, 120, 147, 151; after verbs of fearing 85.
 Εἰ γάρ in wishes, see Εἰ.
 Εἰ δ' ἄγε, 111.
 Εἰ δὲ μή in alternatives, 112.
 Εἴθε in wishes, 173-177.
 Εἰκὸς ᾗν in Apodosis, see Ἐδεῖ.
 Εἴμι, *to be going*: as Future, 6; as Pres., 6; w. Inf., 204.
 Εἶναι, expressing a purpose, 204.
 Εἶπον, *I commanded*, w. Inf., 14, 34.
 Εἰς ὃ (for ἕως) w. Indic. (Hdt.), 142.
 Εἰσόκε w. Subj. and Opt., 143; see Ἐως.
 Ἐκὼν εἶναι, 208.
 Ἐνεσσι, Partic. of, used personally w. Inf., 194, 195.
 Ἐνῆν in Apodosis, see Ἐδεῖ.
 Ἐξαίφνης w. Part., 219.
 Ἐξῆν in Apodosis, see Ἐδεῖ.
 Ἐπάν or ἐπὶν, see Ὅταν.
 Ἐπεάν, Ionic for ἐπὶν.
 Ἐπεὶ or ἐπειδὴ (temporal); w. Aor. Indic. (not Pluperf.), 25; w. Aor. Opt., 29; w. Infin., 193. Causal, 171.
 Ἐπειδάν, w. Aor. Subj. as Fut. Perf., 26. See Ὅταν.
 Ἐπίδοξος w. Inf. 195.
 Ἐπικαίριος w. Inf., 195.
 Ἐπιμελόμαι (ἐπιμέλομαι), w. ὅπως and Fut. Ind., 73; w. Inf., or Inf. w. τοῦ, 192.
 Ἐπιτήδειος w. Inf., 195.
 Ἐπιτρέπω w. Part., 226.
 Ἔστε, *until*, see Ἐως.
 Εὐθύς w. Part., 219.
 Εὐρίσκω w. Part., 226.
 Ἐφην, in Homer, 99.
 Ἐφ' ᾧ or ἐφ' ᾧτε, w. Fut. Ind., 140; w. Inf., 207.
 Ἐχρῆν in Apodosis. See Ἐδεῖ.
 Ἐχω w. Aor. or Perf. Part. as periphrastic Perf., 229.
 Ἐως, ἔστε, ἄχρι, μέχρι, εἰσόκε, ὅφρα, *until*, 142-144; expressing a purpose, 143, 167, 168.
 Ἐως, ὅφρα, *so long as*, see Relative.
 Ἦκω as Perf., 5. Imperf. of, 7.
 Ἦρμωσεν in Apodosis, see Ἐδεῖ.
 Ἠττάομαι, as Perf., 5.
 Θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι, 196.
 Θαυμάζω εἰ, θαυμαστόν ἐστιν εἰ, 120.
 Θέλετε or θέλετε w. Interrog. Subjunctive (poet.), 182.
 Θεσπίζω, see Χράω.
 Ἰδεῖν, ὁρᾶν, *in appearance*, 196.
 Ἰθι w. Imperat., 178.
 Ἰκανός w. Part., 223.
 Ἰκω or ἰκάνω as Perf. (Hom.), 5.
 Ἰνα, in Final clauses: w. Subj. and Opt., 67, 70; w. second. tenses of Indic 72. Not used with ἄν, 70; ἵνα κε, 70. In Object clauses after λίσσομαι (Hom.), 78; similar constr. in N. Test., 78.
 —, *where*, with ἄν, 70 (R.).
 Κά, Doric for κέ; see Ἄν.
 Καί, καίπερ (καί . . . περ), w. Part., 220, 221.
 Καίτοι w. Part. (rare), 221.
 Κέ or κέν, see Ἄν.
 Κεκλήσθαι, *to be called*, 19.
 Κινδυνεύω w. Inf. in Apodosis, 99.
 Κρατέω, see Νικάω.
 Κρείσσω w. Part., 223.
 Κυρέω w. Part. (poet.), 227.
 Λανθάνω, φθάνω, τυγχάνω, διατελῶ, w. Part., 227; w. Aor. Part., 34.
 Λέγω, *to command*, w. Inf., 14, 34.
 Μέλλω, w. Inf. as periphrastic Fut., 38; tense of Inf. with, 38. Imperf. of, w. Inf.: expressing past intention, &c., 38, 99; forming Apodosis (without ἄν), 99.
 Μέννημαι, *I remember*, 19; w. Part., 229; w. ὅτε, 231.
 Μεταξύ w. Part., 219.
 Μέχρι, see Ἐως. Μέχρι οὐ, 142.
 Μῆ, *lest*, in Final clauses: w. Subj. and Opt., 67, 70; rarely w. Fut. Ind., 63. After verbs of *striving*, &c. (for ὅπως μῆ), 77. With Fut. Ind. in prohibitions, 37, 79, 187. After verbs of *fearing*, &c.: w. Subj. and Opt., 80; w. Fut. Ind., 82. With Subj. (by ellipsis of a verb of *fearing*), 83. With ἄν, 83. With present and past tenses of Indic., 83-85; never interrog., 84. With Fut. Opt. 39, 40.
 —, *not*, w. ἵνα, ὅπως, &c., in Final and Object clause, 67. In Protasis, 88. In Rel. clauses w. *indef.* anteced., 122, 124. In wishes (with and without εἰ), 174, 176. In prohibitions, 180. With Interrog. Subj., 181. With Inf., after verbs implying negation, 198, 200, 201.
 Μῆ οὐ (double neg.): w. Inf., 198, 200, 201; w. Part., 200; before Nouns, 200.
 Νικάω and κρατέω, as Perf., 5.
 Νομίζω, οἶμαι, and φημί, w. Aorist. Inf. referring to the future (?), 33.

*Ο for οτι (in Hom.), 170.

Οἶδα (novi), *I know*, 19; see Οἶσθα.

Οἶομαι w. Aor. Inf. for Fut. (?), 33.

Οἶος w. Infin., 194. Οἶον, οἶα, οἶα δὲ, w. Part., 219.

Οἶσθ' ὃ δρᾶσον; 179.

Οἰχομαι as Perf., 5. Imperf. of, 7.

*Ολίγου (δεῖν), *almost*, 208.

*Ολλυμαι as Perf. (Trag.), 5.

*Ολωλα, *I shall perish*, 19.

*Ομοῖος w. Infin. (Hom.), 197.

*Οπόταν, see Οταν.

*Οποτε, *when*, see Relative. *Since* (causal), 171.

*Οπως, originally Rel. Adv., 75; as indirect inter.og., 75, 77, 85, 154. *In order that, that*, in Final clauses; w. Subj. and Opt., 67, 70; w. ἄν and Subj., 68, 69; w. Fut. Ind., 68; w. second. tenses of Indic., 72. After verbs of *striving*, &c.: w. Fut. Ind. (sometimes Subj. and Opt.), 73; w. ἄν and Subj. (rare), 76. *That* (like μή), after verbs of *fearing*, &c., 85. *That* (like ὡς or ὅτι), in indirect quotations, 170.

*Οπως μή (for μή), *lest, that*, after verbs of *fearing*, &c., 82.

*Ορᾶν, ἰδεῖν, *in appearance*, 196.

*Οσον or ὡς w. Absol. Infin. 207.

*Οσος w. Infin. 204.

*Οστις ἂν ᾦ, *Οστις ποτ' ἐστίν, 134.

*Οταν, ὁπόταν, ἐπὶν or ἐπὴν (ἐπεάν), and ἐπειδάν, 55, 125, 127, 129; see Ἄν.

*Οτε, *when*, see Relative. After μέμνημαι, 231. With Infin., 193.

—, *since* (causal), 171.

*Οτι, *that*, in indirect quotations, 147: w. Ind. and Opt., 148, 149, 150; w. Ind. or Opt. w. ἄν, 156. Before direct quot., 171.

—, *because* (causal), 171–173, see Contents, Chap. IV., Sect. V.; after verbs of *fearing*, 86.

*Οτι ποτ' ἐστίν, *Οστις ποτ' ἐστίν, &c., 134.

Οὐ, after μή, *lest*, 67, 80. In Apodosis, 88; rarely in Protasis, 88 (N.). In Rel. clauses w. *def.* antec., 122. In *causal* Rel. clauses, 142 (R.). In indirect discourse, 149.

Οὐκ ἂν φθάνοις (φθάνοιτε), 228.

Οὐκ οἶδα ἂν εἰ, 62.

Οὐ μή, 184–187; see Contents, Chapter IV., Section VIII. Dawes's Canon on, 79, 80.

Οὐνεκα, *because*, 171.

Οὕτως, implying a Protasis, 110. With Opt. in protestations, 175. Referring to a Participle, 218

*Οφελον, see Ὀφελον.

*Οφρα (Epic and Lyric), as *final* particle: w. Subj. and Opt., 67; w. ἄν, 69. With Fut. Ind., 68.

—, as *temporal* particle, *until*, 142–144; see ἕως. *So long as*, see Relative.

Πάλαι w. Present, 5.

Παντοῖος γίγνομαι (Ion.) w. Participle, 227.

Πάρος (like πρίν) w. Inf. (Hom.), 212.

Πᾶς w. Imperat. (2d pers.), 179.

Παύω w. Part., 226.

Πείθω, *to try to persuade*, 5. Imperfect of, 7.

Πειράομαι w. Part. (Hdt.), 227.

Περιορώ, w. Part., 226; w. Aor. Part., 35.

Πιστεύω w. Inf. and τῷ, 197.

Πολλός εἰμι, πολλὸς ἐγκείμαι, w. Part. (Ion.), 227.

Πρέπει, Partic. of, used personally w. Inf., 194, 195.

Πρίν, w. Ind., Subj., and Opt., 145, 146. With Infin., 210–212. As Adverb, *sooner*, 146 (N. 5).

Πρίν γ' ὅτε (Hom.), 146.

Πρίν ἢ, 146, 211, 212.

Προσδεχομένω μοι τοῦτό ἐστιν, 229.

Προσῆκεν in Apodosis, see Ἔδει.

Προσῆκει, Partic. of, used personally w. Infin., 194, 195.

Πρόσθεν ἢ, 146, 211.

Πρότερον ἢ, 146, 211.

Πῶς γὰρ ἂν; Πῶς οὐκ ἂν; &c., 63.

Σύνουδα, συγγιγνώσκω, w. Part., 230

Τάχ' ἂν, 64.

Τεθνηκέναι, *to be dead*, 19.

-τέος (-τέον, -τέα), Verbal in, 3, 233, 234; see Contents, Chapter VII.

Τί λέξεις; 38.

Τί μαθών; Τί παθών; *wherefore?* 221, 222.

Τί πάθω; 183, 184.

Τίκτω (in tragedy) as Perf., 5.

Τὸ νῦν εἶναι, 208.

Τυγχάνω w. Part., 227; see Δανθάνω.

*Υστερον ἢ w. Infin., 211.

Φανερός εἰμι w. Part., 230. Φανερόν ποιεῖν w. Part., 230.

Φέρε w. Imperat., 178, 179, 180.

Φεύγω as Perf., 5.

Φημί w. Aor. Inf. for Fut. (?), 33.

Φθάνω w. Part., 227; see Δανθάνω. Φθάνω ἢ w. Infin. (Hdt.), 212.

- Χράω, ἀναιρέω, θεσπίζω, w. Pres. or Aor. Inf., 13, 31; sometimes w. Fut. Inf., 13.
- Ὡς, originally Rel. Adv., 75 (R.). *In order that, that*, in Final clauses: w. Subj. and Opt., 67, 70; w. ἄν and Subj., 68, 69; w. Fut. Ind., 68; w. second. tenses of Indic., 72. Sometimes (for ὅπως) after verbs of *striving*, &c., 77 (N. 4); Homeric construction of, with Subj. or Opt., 76 (N. 2.). Sometimes (for μή) after verbs of *fearing*, 85.
- , *when*, see Relative With Infin., 193.
- , *that*, in indirect quotation (like ὅτι), 147, 148, 149, 150. Once w. Subj. for Fut. Ind. (Hom.), 78, 171.
- Ὡς, *because*, 171-173.
- , in wishes: w. Opt., 175; w. ὥφελον, 177. With Inf., 206, 207, 208. With Partic., 219, 220, 225, 231, 232; w. Part. and ἄν 232.
- Ὡσπερ w. Partic., 219, 222, 225.
- Ὡσπερ ἄν εἰ (ὥσπερανεῖ), 63, 116.
- Ὡστε, *so that*, w. Indic., 140 With Inf., 205; after verbs and adjunct. which commonly take Inf. alone, 206 (N. 2.), 205. With Opt., Indic., or Inf. w. ἄν, 141, 207. With Imperat., 141.
- , in Homer, = ὥσπερ, *as if*, 207. In Hdt., w. Partic., = ἄτε, 219, 223.
- Ὡφελον or ὥφελλον in wishes, 98, 176, 177.

THE END.

Handwritten text in a cursive script, likely a signature or a short note, located in the upper right corner of the page. The text is written in dark ink on a light-colored, aged paper background.



3 6655 00033323 7

PA369 .G6 1874

Goodwin, William Wa/Syntax of

technical and me
and beautiful

PA
369
.G6
1874

Goodwin

Greek Moods and Senses

5716

DATE	ISSUED TO
JUL 22 '66	Ronald Schwartz
MAR 31 1967	Ed Callahan 46

PA
369
.G6
1874

5716



